Gap, shadows, spirals, and streamers: SPHERE observations of binary-disk interactions in GG Tauri A
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ABSTRACT

Context. A large portion of stars is found to be part of binary or higher-order multiple systems. The ubiquity of planets found around single stars raises the question of whether and how planets in binary systems form. Protoplanetary disks are the birthplaces of planets, and characterizing them is crucial in order to understand the planet formation process.

Aims. Our goal is to characterize the morphology of the GG Tau A disk, one of the largest and most massive circumbinary disks. We also aim to trace evidence for binary-disk interactions.

Methods. We obtained observations in polarized scattered light of GG Tau A using the SPHERE/IRDIS instrument in the H-band filter. We analyzed the observed disk morphology and substructures. We ran 2D hydrodynamical models to simulate the evolution of the circumbinary ring over the lifetime of the disk.

Results. The disk and also the cavity and the inner region are highly structured, with several shadowed regions, spiral structures, and streamer-like filaments. Some of these are detected here for the first time. The streamer-like filaments appear to connect the outer ring with the northern arc. Their azimuthal spacing suggests that they may be generated through periodic perturbations by the binary, which tear off material from the inner edge of the outer disk once during each orbit. By comparing observations to hydrodynamical simulations, we find that the main features, in particular, the gap size, but also the spiral and streamer filaments, can be qualitatively explained by the gravitational interactions of a binary with a semimajor axis of $\sim 35$ au on an orbit coplanar with the circumbinary ring.
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1. Introduction

Almost half of all main-sequence solar-type stars are found in binary or higher-order multiple systems (e.g., Raghavan et al. 2010; Duchêne & Kraus 2013), and it is thought that the fraction of multiple systems is even higher among pre-main sequence stars (e.g., Duchêne 1999; Kraus et al. 2011). More than 4000 detections of extrasolar planets around single stars to date show that the assembly of planetary bodies is a common byproduct of star formation. The high abundance of multiple stars on the one hand and planetary companions on the other hand thus raises the question about the possible formation pathways and prevalence of planets in multiple systems.

While our understanding of the building-up of planets within protoplanetary disks around single stars has significantly advanced in the past years, less is known about the conditions of planet formation in multiple systems (e.g., Thebault & Haghighipour 2015). In contrast to the single-star case, the evolution of material in the circumbinary and individual circumstellar disks in multiple systems will depend on the binary parameters such as mass ratio, orbital separation, and eccentricity) be dominated by the gravitational perturbation of the central binary. As a consequence, the binary-disk interaction has severe implications for the planet formation process. Tidal interactions exerted by the binary are expected to truncate the individual circumstellar disks, reducing their masses, outer radii, and viscous timescales (e.g., Papaloizou & Pringle 1977; Artymowicz & Lubow 1996; Muñoz et al. 2020). While observational trends infer binary interaction to be indeed destructive for disks in many cases (e.g., Bouwman et al. 2006; Duchêne 2010; Harris et al. 2012; Cox et al. 2017; Akesson et al. 2019; Manara et al. 2019), potentially impeding the formation of planets, several massive disks around binary systems are known and have been observed at high angular resolution (e.g., Avenhaus et al. 2017; Monnier et al. 2019).

Despite the potential complications for planet formation induced by the gravitational perturbations from the binary, more
than 100 planets in binary systems have already been discovered (e.g., Martin 2018; Bonavita & Desideria 2020). Most of these planets are found to orbit only one of the binary stars (i.e., “S-type”, i.e., circumstellar planets). The reason for this certainly is that the radial velocity and transit photometry methods, which represent the most successful planet detection methods in terms of numbers, are strongly biased toward planets on short orbital periods. Nevertheless, about 20 planets have been discovered on orbits surrounding both binary components (i.e., “P-type”, i.e., circumbinary planets) (e.g., Doyle et al. 2011; Orosz et al. 2019). The statistical analysis of the first direct-imaging survey dedicated to finding planets orbiting two stars suggests that the distributions of planets and brown dwarfs are indistinguishable between single and binary stars within the error bars (Bonavita et al. 2016; Asensio-Torres et al. 2018). This implies that planet formation in multiple systems, and in particular, in circumbinary disks indeed occurs.

Most of the circumbinary planets were detected with the Kepler space telescope on close (∼1 au) orbits around eclipsing binary systems. Interestingly, they seem to orbit their host systems close to the stability limit, implying that migration processes and planet-disk interactions may have played a crucial role during their early evolution (e.g., Kley & Haghighipour 2014). It is therefore clear that the observation and characterization of circumbinary disks provide the unique opportunity of testing the conditions and setup for possible planet formation in multiple systems.

One of these cases is GG Tau. Located at a distance of 150 pc (see Sect. 2; Gaia Collaboration 2016, 2018), GG Tau is a young (∼1–4 Myr; White et al. 1999; Hartigan & Kenyon 2003; Kraus & Hillenbrand 2009) hierarchical quintuple system composed of two main components, GG Tau Aa/b and GG Tau Ba/b, at a projected separation of about 10′′ (∼1500 au) (Leinert et al. 1991, 1993). The northern and more massive binary, GG Tau Aa/b (projected separation ∼0.25′′, corresponding to ∼38 au) is surrounded by a bright and well-studied circumbinary disk. Recent interferometric observations suggest that the secondary component, GG Tau Ab, is a binary itself (GG Tau Ab1/2) at a projected separation of about 31.7 mas (∼4.8 au) (Di Folco et al. 2014).

The circumbinary disk around GG Tau A is observed as a large and massive disk with a cleared cavity. While the gaseous disk extends out to more than ∼850 au and reveals a reduced amount of gas in the inner region (e.g., Guilloteau et al. 1999; Dutrey et al. 2014; Phuoc et al. 2020a), the population of large dust grains observed at (sub-)millimeter wavelengths is confined within a narrow ring surrounding a deeply depleted dust cavity, spanning a full width of ∼60–80 au centered at a radial distance of about 250 au with respect to the system barycenter (e.g., Andrews et al. 2014; Dutrey et al. 2014; Tang et al. 2016). Scattered-light observations in the optical, near- and thermal infrared regime infer that the inner edge of the outer disk of the small-grain population is located at about ∼190–200 au (e.g., Krist et al. 2002; Duchêne et al. 2004; Itoh et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2017). Such a radial concentration of dust is indicative of particles being trapped within a pressure maximum at the edge of the cavity, as expected for binary-disk interactions (e.g., de Juan Ovelar et al. 2013; Cazzoletti et al. 2017).

To what extent the tidal interactions of GG Tau Aa/b are responsible for the observed gap size has remained controversial, however. Because the radial location of the gas pressure maximum depends on the binary semimajor axis and eccentricity (e.g., Artymowicz & Lubow 1994), the knowledge of the binary orbit is required in order to compare the observed gap size with theoretical predictions. Based on almost two decades of orbital monitoring, a best-fit orbit with a semimajor axis of 36 au and an eccentricity of 0.28 has been established (Köhler 2011). However, this orbital solution assumes that the orbit is coplanar with the circumbinary ring; when this assumption is relaxed, the orbital solution is less well constrained and allows for larger orbit sizes. Several theoretical studies have concluded that in order to explain the observed gap size of ∼190 au, the binary orbit should have a semimajor axis of about ∼65 au, that is, about one-third of the gap size. To still remain consistent with the astrometric constraints, such a large binary orbit would have to be misaligned with respect to the circumbinary disk (e.g., Beust & Dutrey 2005; Cazzoletti et al. 2017; Aly et al. 2018). It is clear that the respective geometry and orientation of binary orbit and circumstellar and circumbinary disk will have a severe effect on the potential of planet formation. Therefore, a detailed knowledge of these parameters is required.

We present new high-resolution (~0.04′′) near-infrared polarimetric observations of the GG Tau A system obtained with the SPHERE instrument. Our observations reveal the circumbinary environment at unprecedented detail. We confirm previously known disk substructures and reveal new features within the circumbinary disk. We compare our observations to hydrodynamical simulations in order to investigate whether the observed structures can be explained by binary-disk interactions. Our paper is structured as follows: first, we revise the stellar parameters of GG Tau A in Sect. 2, followed by the presentation of our observations in Sects. 3 and 4. Section 5 presents our modeling efforts, which are discussed in context with the observations in Sect. 6.

2. Stellar properties

Although several authors have studied the stellar properties of GG Tau A (e.g., White et al. 1999; Hartigan & Kenyon 2003; Kraus & Hillenbrand 2009), the discovery of the binarity of GG Tau Ab by Di Folco et al. (2014) needs to be taken into account. In this work, we re-calculated the stellar mass and ages following this notion and the newly known distance d to the system. A negative parallax has been reported for GG Tau A (Gaia Collaboration 2018), probably because of its binarity (see also Luhman 2018), with an absolute value of 6.65 mas. GG Tau B, lying about 10′ farther south than GG Tau A, has a positive parallax of 6.66 mas. Because the two components are known to be bound, we used a parallax of 6.66 mas (150 pc) as a proxy for the distance of GG Tau A. We note, however, that the parallax measured for GG Tau B is likely affected by its own binarity as well, such that the distance of the system remains somewhat uncertain.

We assumed spectral types of M0, M2, and M3 for GG Tau Aa, Ab1, and Ab2 and an extinction of 0.3 mag for Aa and 0.45 mag for Ab1/2, as determined by Hartigan & Kenyon (2003) and Di Folco et al. (2014). The corresponding stellar effective temperatures were obtained using the temperature scale of Rajpurohit et al. (2013) calibrated by their NTT spectra. We further assumed stellar luminosities derived by Hartigan & Kenyon (2003), rescaled to 150 pc, considering that their luminosity measured for Ab represents the sum of the luminosities of Ab1 and Ab2 with a respective luminosity ratio of ∼2:1 (see Di Folco et al. 2014; Brauer et al. 2019). We derived stellar masses and ages by comparing the locations of the GG Tau A
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1 see also http://www.univie.ac.at/adg/schwarz/multiple.html (Schwarz et al. 2016).
components on a Hertzsprung-Russell diagram with those predicted by a set of five pre-main-sequence tracks (Siess, PARSEC, MIST, Baraffe, Dartmouth; Siess et al. 2000; Bressan et al. 2012; Dotter 2016; Choi et al. 2016; Baraffe et al. 2015; Dotter et al. 2008). This yielded the following possible ranges for stellar masses and ages: 0.6–0.7 $M_\odot$ and 2.4–3.1 Myr for Aa, 0.3–0.5 $M_\odot$ and 2.2–5.6 Myr for Ab1, and 0.2–0.4 $M_\odot$ and 2.7–10.0 Myr for Ab2. We adopted the median of these values as our final stellar masses and ages: 0.65 $M_\odot$ and 4.3 Myr for Ab2. The image shows bright emission from the close environment of the binary, and spiral structures in the outer disk region. The following sections are dedicated to a detailed characterization of the different disk regions and categories of substructures.

### 3. Observations and data reduction

GG Tau A was observed with SPHERE (Beuzit et al. 2019) as part of the guaranteed-time observations (GTO) during the night of 2016 November 18. The IRDIS instrument (Dohlen et al. 2008) was used in the dual-beam polarimetric imaging (DPI) mode (Langlois et al. 2014; de Boer et al. 2020; van Holstein et al. 2020), applying the H-band filter (1.625 μm; pixel scale 12.25 mas px$^{-1}$), and the telescope operated in field-tracking mode. One polarimetric cycle consisted of tuning the half-wave plate position at four different angles (0°, 45°, 22.5°, and 67.5°, respectively). At each of these positions, we took 15 frames with an exposure time of 4 s each. A total of 11 polarimetric cycles was carried out, resulting in a total integration time on the science target of about 44 min. No coronagraph was used during the observations, inducing a slight saturation at the location of both Aa and Ab. Weather conditions were relatively stable during the observations (seeing at 500 nm $\sim$ 0.6–0.9″, coherence time $\sim$3 ms, and wind speed $\sim$10 m s$^{-1}$). We measured a point spread function (PSF) full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of about 43 mas by fitting a Moffat pattern to the unsaturated images obtained with a neutral density filter.

The data were reduced using the IRDAP pipeline

\footnote{https://irdap.readthedocs.io}

of about 43 mas by fitting a Moffat pattern to the unsaturated images obtained with a neutral density filter.

4. Results

The final PI image is shown in Fig. 1. In our image, the binarity of GG Tau Ab1/2 is not resolved, therefore we refer to this component in the following as Ab. The image shows bright emission close to Aa and Ab, followed by a gap that is surrounded by the bright circumbinary ring. The circumbinary ring is highly structured, with several shadowed regions, as well as several fine filament structures connecting the northern side of the ring with the close environment of the binary, and spiral structures in the southern disk region. Figure 2 presents a schematic overview of the detected features in the outer disk region. The following sections are dedicated to a detailed characterization of the different disk regions and categories of substructures.

#### 4.1. Inner region

Our final image, after correction for the instrumental polarization effects, reveals a residual unresolved polarized intensity signal at the locations of both Aa and Ab. We measure a linear polarization degree and angle of 0.33% and 37.1° at the location of Aa, and 1.12% and 8.7° at the location of Ab. A non-negligible amount of residual polarization can be interpreted as signal from unresolved circumstellar material such as a disk observed at nonzero inclination (e.g., van Holstein et al. 2020; Keppeler et al. 2018; Garufi et al. 2020). The circumstellar
Fig. 1. SPHERE polarized intensity (PI) image of GG Tau A. The image is centered on the expected location of the system’s center of mass. The locations of GG Tau Aa and Ab are marked by a black triangle and circle, respectively. North is up and east is to the left.

Fig. 2. Schematic overview of the features in the outer circumbinary ring detected in our SPHERE PI image. The image is centered on the location of GG Tau Aa and was smoothed for illustration purposes.

Any unresolved circumstellar material may create a halo of polarization signal around the star. Because this unresolved polarized signal can affect the analysis of the immediate circumstellar environments, we subtracted these polarized signals (i.e., the total intensity halo multiplied by the degree of polarization) individually for Aa and Ab. While subtracting the material around both components is confirmed by the measurement of non-negligible extinction ($A_V = 0.3$ mag and 0.45 mag toward Aa and Ab, respectively; Hartigan & Kenyon 2003), as well as accretion signatures from hydrogen-recombination lines and 10 µm silicate features found at the location of both components (White et al. 1999; Hartigan & Kenyon 2003; Skemer et al. 2011). While we cannot make a statement about the inclinations of the disks from our measurements (except for excluding the case where the disks would be seen face-on and are circular symmetric: in this case, the polarized signal would cancel out), the measured angles of linear polarization indicate that the disks are oriented at position angles of $\sim 127^\circ$ and $\sim 99^\circ$ (i.e., perpendicular to the direction of linear polarization), respectively. We note that close to the stars, the radiation field is dominated by their individual illumination, and the contribution to the measured residual PI from the respective other star can be neglected (see Appendix A). Observations at higher angular resolution and/or detailed modeling are required to better constrain the orientation of the circumstellar disks.
Fig. 3. Zoom on the inner region after subtraction of the stellar polarization on Aa. The dotted lines highlight the detected features: the “north arc”, revealing a double-arc structure (green); several filaments (light blue) and a possible shadow lane (gray dashed). See Sect. 4.1 for details. The immediate stellar environments (<120 mas) are masked out. North is up and east is to the left.

The polarization signal of Aa slightly increases the contrast of the fine structures in the immediate stellar environment, subtracting the polarization signal of Ab instead blurs these structures. This can be explained by the fact that the measured polarization degree of Ab is somewhat higher than that of Aa. Therefore, subtracting the polarization signal of Ab adds an artificial polarization halo around Aa, which weakens the fine structures in its environment. Subtracting the (less strongly) polarized signal of Aa, however, does not noticeably affect the environment of Ab. Figure 3 shows the resulting image after subtraction of the polarization signal of Aa with annotations of the detected features. The immediate stellar environments that are affected by the diffraction pattern are masked out. In all the images, the inner region appears highly structured, as highlighted in Fig. 3 by the contours of the ALMA dust continuum at 0.9 mm (Phuong et al. 2020a) in Fig. 4 (right). This may imply that dust grains are mostly of small size inside the cavity, consistent with large grains being trapped in the outer circumbinary ring, while small grains, well coupled to the gas, can still enter the cavity (e.g., Pinilla et al. 2012; de Juan Ovelar et al. 2013; Cazzoletti et al. 2017). The comparison of the SPHERE and ALMA images also shows an obvious shift of the ring roughly along the disk minor axis, which is due to a projection effect related to the fact that the ALMA dust continuum traces the disk midplane, while the near-infrared SPHERE observations image the scattering surface of the disk. This is explained in detail in the following.

Figure 5 shows the radial deprojected profiles of the linear polarization intensity averaged along the major and minor axes, as well as averaged over the complete range of azimuthal angles. The polarized intensity along the major axis peaks around 200 au. Although the derivation of the profiles takes the projection by the disk inclination into account, the profiles along the near (north) and far (south) side of the minor axis appear very different: while the profile along the near side is quite peaked and peaks farther in than the major axis (~175 au), the profile of the far side is much broader and peaks at a much larger distance (~250 au). The different peak locations along the minor axis reflect a shifted geometric center of the ring because the ring is not geometrically flat, but has a non-negligible thickness. Similarly, the different profile shapes (broad versus peaked) are also connected to the geometrical thickness because the inclination of the disk allows us to see the inner rim of the southern (far) side, while for the north (front) side, the inner wall is hidden and only the upper surface is visible (e.g., Silber et al. 2000; Krist et al. 2005).

To quantify the outer ring geometry, we extracted radial profiles within azimuthal bins of 20° width. For each azimuthal bin, we determined the location of maximum brightness by fitting a polynomial function to the radial profile and then fitting an ellipse to the radial peak locations at all position angle bins.
We find that the ring can be fit with an ellipse of eccentricity 0.64, a semimajor axis of 216 au, and a position angle of 288°. The geometric center of the ellipse is offset by 32 au toward the south from the assumed center of mass. These results compare well with the values found in previous scattered-light studies at similar wavelengths (e.g., McCabe et al. 2002). If the disk were geometrically flat and intrinsically circular, an eccentricity of 0.64 would imply an inclination of 39.7°. This value is slightly higher than the inclination of 37° ± 1° derived from (sub-)millimeter continuum observations (Guilloteau et al. 1999; Andrews et al. 2014) because the geometric thickness of the disk affects the scattered-light observations (e.g., Guilloteau et al. 1999; McCabe et al. 2002; Krist et al. 2002). The measured offset ∆s of the geometric center of the ellipse from the assumed system barycenter can be used to constrain the scattering surface height $H_{\text{sc}}(r)$ along the ellipse according to $H_{\text{sc}}(r) = \Delta s(r) / \sin(i)$ (e.g., de Boer et al. 2016). Our measured offset ∆s of 32 au therefore corresponds to a scattering height of ~53 au at the inner edge of the ring (~200 au). Because the scattering surface height typically traces layers at about 2–3 times the pressure scale height $H_p$, this would imply an aspect ratio of $H_p / R \sim 0.09–0.13$, which compares well with constraints from other disks (e.g., Villenave et al. 2019). We stress that this should only be considered as a rough estimate because azimuthal variations of the surface brightness, due to the azimuthal dependence of phase function and polarization degree, as well as the abundance of disk substructures such as shadows and spirals, may complicate a precise determination of the isophotes to which our ellipse was fit.

Finally, a precise knowledge of the vertical thickness of the ring is required in order to determine the disk eccentricity from the scattered-light data. However, optically thin millimeter observations indicate that the intrinsic eccentricity of the ring is rather low because the continuum, which traces the emission from the disk midplane and whose shape is therefore less biased by geometrical effects, can be well fit by an intrinsically circular model ring at the given angular resolution (beam major axes of 0.45″ and 0.67″; Piétu et al. 2011; Andrews et al. 2014).

### 4.3. Streamers

We detect four filament-like structures connecting the inner edge of the outer disk and the outer edge of the northern arc, as indicated in green in Fig. 2. Some of these structures have previously been described as “bridges” by Itoh et al. (2014) and Yang et al. (2017).

In order to measure the position angles of these structures, we deprojected the image, assuming $i = 37°$ and PA = 277°. The connecting points of the filaments at the inner edge of the outer disk are found at approximately PA ~ 296°, 331°, 0°, and 36° (from west to east). The filaments are not aligned with the radius vector pointing toward the center of mass, but are tilted by increasing angles from west to east of ~13° to 26° with respect to the radial direction. The measured PAs imply that the azimuthal spacing of the filaments is about 29°, 35°, and 36°. When we adopt an arbitrary uncertainty on the PA measurement of 5°, this translates into a mean spacing of 33.3 ± 2.9°. When we assume that the outer disk is in Keplerian rotation around a center of mass with 1.15 $M_\odot$, the azimuthal spacing of the filaments may imply that the filaments are launched by periodic perturbations occurring at the inner edge of the disk (180 ± 20 au) every 208 ± 29 years.

The binary best-fit semimajor axis of 36.4 au constrained by Köhler (2011) (scaled here to 150 pc) translates into an orbital
period of about 205 yr, assuming a central binary mass of 1.15 $M_\odot$. The azimuthal spacing of the filaments would therefore be compatible with being triggered by a periodic perturbation occurring once every binary orbit, when the secondary passes at apocenter and comes closest to the disk edge. Interestingly, when we assume that the binary orbit is coplanar with the disk, the binary has just passed apastron (McCabe et al. 2002).

We interpret the filaments as accretion streams. Accretion streams close to the north arc have previously been suggested by continuum observations at 1.1 mm (Piétu et al. 2011), as well as by the CO J = 6–5 emission line, which show deviation from Keplerian rotation that may be compatible with infall motion (Dutrey et al. 2014). Furthermore, the $^{13}$CO gas distribution within the cavity shows a highly inhomogeneous structure consisting of several fragments (Dutrey et al. 2014). One of these CO fragments coincides with the location of the northern arc. As noted by Yang et al. (2017), the entire northern arc may thus itself be part of a large accretion stream.

4.4. Shadows

We detect three shadowed regions, known from previous scattered-light observations (e.g., Silber et al. 2000; Itoh et al. 2014; Yang et al. 2017), at PA $\sim$275$^\circ$, $\sim$86$^\circ$, and $\sim$132$^\circ$, and labeled A, B, and C in Fig. 2. In addition, we detect a tentative fourth shadow, labeled “D”, at a PA of about 320$^\circ$ and possibly related to a less prominent gap detected at a similar location (PA $\sim$ 310$^\circ$) by Krist et al. (2005).

The most prominent shadow is a dark lane close to the western major axis of the disk (shadow “A”). To measure the shadow location, we deprojected the disk assuming an inclination of 37$^\circ$, transformed the image into polar coordinates, and traced the azimuthal profile of the shadow in different radial bins (see Fig. 6). We then fit a Gaussian profile with negative amplitude to these profiles. At the inner and outer edge of the ring ($\sim$175 au and $\sim$245 au), we find the shadow center to be located at PA of 274.8$^\circ$ and 266.7$^\circ$, respectively. The tilt of the shadow is therefore about 8$^\circ$. We furthermore measured the contrast of the surface brightness in polarized intensity within the shadow lane with respect to the disk just north of it, resulting in a contrast of about 2.6.

Using the Subaru datasets taken in 2001 January and 2011 September, Itoh et al. (2014) measured an anticlockwise rotation of the shadow of 5.9$^\circ$ and 4.9$^\circ$ between both epochs for the inner and outer disk edges, respectively. If the movement were linear in time, we would expect a further displacement by $\sim$2.5–3$^\circ$ between 2011 and our SPHERE dataset taken in 2016 November. In order to verify the movement, we repeated our procedure of determining the shadow location on the total intensity frame of the 2011 Subaru dataset. We measure a shadow PA of 274.4$^\circ$ and 268.1$^\circ$ at the inner and outer edge of the disk as defined above. Compared to the values we measured on our SPHERE dataset above (274.8$^\circ$ and 266.7$^\circ$), we therefore cannot confirm a linear movement of the shadow between 2011 and 2016. The shadow positions instead appear to be stable.

It has been suspected that this western shadow may be cast by circumstellar material (e.g., Itoh et al. 2014), such as by an inclined disk around one of the binary components, as in the case of HD 142527 (Marino et al. 2015). Shadow “B” (and the dark lane to the east of Ab detected in the inner region, see Sect. 4.1) may be just the east side of this same shadow (see also Brauer et al. 2019). We can estimate the expected brightness contrast of the shadow lane with respect to the adjacent nonshadowed disk region under the hypothesis that one or two of the illumination sources are blocked by an optically thick inclined disk. A disk that does not lie in any shadow is illuminated by all three stars, and it will therefore receive a total flux of $F_{\text{tot}} = (1/4\pi) \times (L_{\text{Aa}}/d_{\text{Aa}}^2 + L_{\text{Ab1}}/d_{\text{Ab1}}^2 + L_{\text{Ab2}}/d_{\text{Ab2}}^2)$, where $d_i$ is the distance of component $i$ to the shadowed disk region. When one of the stellar components is surrounded by an inclined optically thick disk, this will cast a shadow on the outer disk, which will therefore only be illuminated by the two remaining sources. Using the stellar luminosities as defined in Table 1, and estimating a distance of Aa and Ab to shadow “A” of $\sim$181 au and $\sim$156, respectively (as measured on the deprojected image), we would expect a contrast of $\sim$2.4 for a disk around Aa, a contrast of $\sim$1.7 for a disk around Ab (i.e., a circumbinary disk around Ab1/2), and a contrast of $\sim$1.4 and $\sim$1.2 for a disk around Ab1 and Ab2, respectively. The measured contrast of 2.6 from our SPHERE data would therefore favor the shadow to be cast by an inclined disk around Aa or Ab, rather than around Ab1 or Ab2.

Min et al. (2017) have developed an analytical description with which the orientation of an inner shadow-casting disk can be derived from measuring the orientation of the shadows cast on the outer disk. We repeated the same procedure for GG Tau A, assuming that the shadow is cast by a disk around either Aa or Ab. For this purpose, we measured the position angle of the line connecting the two shadows of about 90$^\circ$, and the vertical (projected) offset of this line of 21.2 au and $\sim$9.7 au from Aa and Ab, respectively. Inserting these values into Eqs. (7) and (10) of Min et al. (2017), we obtain a disk position angle of about 90$^\circ$ for the shadow-casting disk for both cases. Assuming an outer disk aspect ratio of 0.1–0.15, and assuming that the scattering surface is found at about 2–3 times the pressure scale height, we furthermore find an inclination of $\sim$72$^\circ$–81$^\circ$ if the disk were found around Aa, and an inclination of $\sim$96$^\circ$–100$^\circ$ if it were found around Ab. Considering the outer disk inclination of 37$^\circ$, the misalignment of a disk around Aa and Ab would then be $\sim$35$^\circ$–44$^\circ$ and $\sim$59$^\circ$–63$^\circ$, respectively.

Recently, Brauer et al. (2019) have investigated the effect of circumstellar disks around the binary components on the

![Fig. 6. Azimuthal profiles of the western shadow at different (deprojected) radial bins between 160 and 260 au. The smooth, shadowed lines correspond to the best-fit Gaussian profiles, respectively.](image-url)
brightness distribution within the circumbinary ring using radiative transfer modeling. In one of their setups, they simulated an inclined circumstellar disk around Ab2 (while keeping a coplanar disk around Aa). In this case, their simulations were able to reproduce a sharp shadowed lane at the location of shadow “A”, as well as a symmetric eastern shadow (corresponding to shadow “B”), although they found it to be shallower in brightness contrast than in the observations. We suggest here that an inclined disk around Ab (i.e., a circumbinary disk around both Ab1 and Ab2) or around Aa would be more compatible with the measured contrast.

4.5. Spirals

We detect multiple spiral structures in the southern part of the disk. For an improved identification, we processed the image by a high-pass filter, that is, we convolved the image with a Gaussian filter ($\sigma = 9$ px) and subtracted it from the original image. The spiral structures are clearly seen in this image (Fig. 7). Interestingly, one spiral arm is tentatively found to cross the gap, and if confirmed, connects the southwestern circumbinary ring to the immediate circumstellar environment of Aa (see the dashed yellow line in Fig. 7, right). Thin filaments in the southeast disk have previously been suggested from the observations by Krist et al. (2005), who interpreted these structures as possible signs of binary-disk interactions. Furthermore, Tang et al. (2016) and Phuong et al. (2020b) found at an angular resolution of $\sim 0.3$–$0.4''$, that the radial distribution of CO brightness in the outer disk exhibits several spiral structures.

5. Modeling

We performed hydrodynamical simulations in order to model the system and its evolution. The main goal was to verify whether the binary might be qualitatively responsible for the observed gap size and features within the circumbinary ring.

5.1. Hydrodynamical model setup

We carried out hydrodynamical simulations of the gas disk using the GPU version of PLUTO (Mignone et al. 2007) by Thun & Kley (2018). The simulations were 2D and isothermal. We used a polar radially logarithmic grid ranging from one binary semimajor axis ($a_{\text{bin}}$, 35 au) to 40 $a_{\text{bin}}$ (1400 au) with 684 cells in radial and 584 cells in azimuthal direction. Because the separation of Ab1 and Ab2 ($\sim 5$ au; Di Folco et al. 2014) is smaller than the inner edge of the circumbinary ring ($\sim 200$ au), we considered Ab1 and Ab2 together as a single component, Ab, and the entire system was treated as a binary. The binary components Aa and Ab were assumed to have masses of $0.75 M_\odot$ and $0.67 M_\odot$, implying a mass ratio of 0.89, similar to the mass ratio of 0.77 derived in Sect. 2. As shown in Thun & Kley (2018), minor changes in the mass ratio of the binary affect the disk dynamics only very slightly. The binary orbit was set to have a semimajor axis of 35 au and an initial eccentricity of 0.28, consistent with the observations (Köhler 2011). Furthermore, the binary orbit was assumed to be coplanar with the circumbinary disk plane. We ran two different models that differed only in the adopted radial temperature profile. In the first model, we considered a temperature profile constrained by the $^{13}$CO molecule (Guilloteau et al. 1999), tracing the disk surface temperature, and in the second model, we applied a temperature profile constrained by the dust continuum (Dutrey et al. 2014), tracing the midplane temperature,

\begin{equation}
T_{\text{surface}} = 20 K \cdot \frac{300 \text{ au}}{R}.
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
T_{\text{midplane}} = 13.8 K \cdot \frac{200 \text{ au}}{R}.
\end{equation}

By considering these two different temperature profiles, which are sensitive to the warm disk surface and to the cool midplane, respectively, we covered the two limiting cases. The aspect ratio $h = H/R$ of the disk was determined by the sound speed $c_s$ and Keplerian orbital frequency $\Omega_k$, and therefore results from the assumed temperature profile as follows:

\begin{equation}
h = \frac{c_s}{\Omega_k R} = \sqrt{\frac{k \mu}{GM_{\text{bin}}m_p}} \cdot \sqrt{T/R},
\end{equation}

with $M_{\text{bin}}$ the binary mass, $\mu = 2.3$ the mean molecular weight, $m_p$ the proton mass, and $R$ the radial distance from the system.
The initial surface density follows a power law $\propto R^{-1.5}$ normalized in such a way that the total disk mass amounts to 10% of the binary mass (0.14 $M_\odot$). As the inner 3 $a_{bin}$ of the disk are unstable, the initial density profile inside of 2.5 $a_{bin}$ exponentially decays to $e^{-1}$ of the smooth profile within 0.1 $a_{bin}$. The boundary conditions of the simulations were defined as in Thun & Kley (2018). We simulated the gas content of the disk assuming an $\alpha$ viscosity with a constant Shakura-Sunyaev parameter of $10^{-3}$ throughout the disk.

The computational time needed to reach the actual disk structure from the initial power-law profile can be long (Kley et al. 2019). To ensure a feasible time step for the grid code, we did not include the stars themselves in the simulation domain, but the inner grid boundary was set to a radius of 1 $a_{bin}$ (35 au) and we added the binary as n-bodies inside the domain to create the potential, using a gravitational softening parameter of 0.6 (see Kley et al. 2019). As discussed in Kley et al. (2019), such an inner boundary does not change the dynamics of the circumbinary disk or gap width. The outer disk edge is an open boundary that assumes a continuation of the power-law disk. We note that the simulations do not take GG Tau B into account, which is observed at a projected separation of about 1400 au from GG Tau A. Because this outer companion may accrete from and/or truncate the outer parts of the disk (see, e.g., Beust & Dutrey 2006), it is therefore possible that the density in the outer parts of the disk is overestimated in the simulation. We ran both models for 28,000 binary orbits ($\approx$ 4.9 Myr).

5.2. Postprocessing of hydrodynamical simulations

To investigate the appearance of our simulated disks in scattered light, we generated images in polarized intensity using the radiative transfer code RADMC-3D (Dullemond et al. 2012). We included a radiation field from two stellar components with luminosities of 0.44 $L_\odot$ and 0.20 $L_\odot$ and temperatures of 3900 and 3400 K, respectively. In order to generate a 3D view from the simulated disk, we expanded the 2D surface density distribution resulting from the hydrodynamical simulations along the vertical axis, assuming a Gaussian density distribution with constant aspect ratios of 0.15 and 0.11, consistent with the assumed temperature laws in the simulations (see Sect. 5.1). We assumed the dust to be well mixed with the gas. This is a valid assumption because at 1.67 $\mu$m, the scattered light is dominated by micron-sized dust grains, which are well coupled to the gas. We thus assumed the dust density distribution to be identical to that of the gas, scaled by a factor of 0.01, which corresponds to a typically assumed dust-to-gas ratio of 1–100 in protoplanetary disks.

We assumed the dust number density $n$ as a function of grain size $a$ to follow a power law of the form $n(a) \propto a^{-3.5}$. The grains were considered to be distributed between sizes of 0.005 and 0.5 $\mu$m, as assumed in the modeling efforts by Brauer et al. (2019). We assumed that 5% of the total dust mass is contained within this population of small grains, corresponding to a fraction of $5 \times 10^{-4}$ of the total disk gas mass. Our dust mixture was composed of 70% astronomical silicates (Draine 2003) and 30% amorphous carbon grains (Zubko et al. 1996). We computed the Stokes $Q$ and $U$ frames at 1.67 $\mu$m, taking the observed inclination and position angle of the disk into account. The simulations were run using $10^8$ photon packages in order to obtain images with high signal-to-noise ratios (S/Ns). Finally, we convolved our images with a Gaussian kernel with an FWHM of 43 mas.

5.3. Modeling results and comparison to observations

Both models ran for 28,000 binary orbits ($\approx$ 4.9 Myr), during which the system reached a converging configuration of a stable sized, eccentric, precessing cavity around the binary and a stable circumbinary disk after about 10,000 binary orbits ($\approx$ 1.7 Myr). In the simulations, mass is constantly accreted onto the stars through accretion streams. Therefore the disk looses a fraction of about $5.2 \times 10^{-5}$ of its mass per binary orbit (or $3.0 \times 10^{-5}$ per year). As a result, the initial disk mass of 0.14 $M_\odot$ has decreased at the end of the simulation to 86% of its initial value ($0.12 M_\odot$). This is in excellent agreement with the disk mass constraints from observations ($\approx 0.12 M_\odot$, Guilloteau et al. 1999). We did not take the accretion onto the stars into account as it is not resolved in the domain.

The final gas density distributions for both simulations are displayed in Fig. 8 (left and center left columns). They show evidence of large cleared inner regions. The gap in the gas is found to have a semimajor axis (defined as the location where the gas density has decreased to 10% of its peak value) of 4.77 $a_{bin}$ (167 au) and an eccentricity of 0.34 in the case of the midplane temperature ($h = 0.11$), and a semimajor axis of 3.85 $a_{bin}$ (135 au) and an eccentricity of 0.25 in the case of the (higher) surface temperature ($h = 0.15$).

The surface density shows an azimuthal asymmetry, with the density peaking in direction of the disk apocenter. The reason is that the gas velocity is slowest at these locations, leading to an enhancement of material in these regions. Figure 8 (left column) shows that the circumbinary ring is structured by numerous tightly wound fine spirals. Furthermore, the logarithmic color stretch for the surface density (Fig. 8, center left column) reveals the structure of material flow through the cavity. Spiral streams occur in the simulation, periodically driven by the circumbinary rotation, accelerating the close-by infalling material. Our simulations show regularly stripped-off material streams from the outer disk, similar to the observations, while the exact morphology and orientation of the filaments is not reproduced. These differences may be related to the fact that we do not know the exact initial conditions of the system, with some parameters such as its mass related to some uncertainty. Another possible caveat in the simulations is the fact that we did not simulate the direct circumbinary material, but the simulation domain was cut inside of about 35 au. The presence of material in that region (such as the “northern arc”) may affect the flow dynamics and dust morphology within the cavity. Furthermore, it may affect the morphology of the material flow that AB itself is a binary.

The postprocessed polarized intensity images are shown in the center right column of Fig. 8. The intensity also shows clear azimuthal variations here. Because the disk is optically thick in the near-infrared regime, the azimuthal dependence of the large-scale surface brightness is not sensitive to the surface density, but to the dust phase function and polarization degree. As expected, the near side is significantly brighter than the far side. The simulated polarized intensity images also show substructures within the circumbinary ring. While the contrast of the spirals in the circumbinary ring appears faint, they become very well visible when the images are treated with a high-pass filter, similarly to the observations (Fig. 8, right column). We note, however, that the simulated view of the disk in scattered light may be biased by our simplified treatment of the vertical structure of the disk.
Fig. 8. Surface density output of our simulations in linear (left column; 1(a) and (b)) and logarithmic (center left column; 2(a) and (b)) color stretch. The center right column (3(a) and (b)) shows the simulated polarized intensity images evaluated at 1.67 µm. This image was calculated after inclining and orienting the disk as in the observations. The right column (4(a) and (b)) shows the polarized intensity image of the center right column, processed with a high-pass filter. In each column, the panel in the first row (a) corresponds to the model with \(h = 0.11\), the panel in the second row (b) to \(h = 0.15\).

Figure 9 shows the radial profiles of the simulated gas surface densities (dotted blue and red lines) along the disk major axes. We find a disk semimajor axis (defined as the distance where the profile peaks) of about 215–230 au. Assuming that the large dust particles traced by millimeter observations are being trapped at the location of maximum gas density, these findings are well comparable with the observations: using the optically thin continuum emission between 1.3 and 7.3 mm, Andrews et al. (2014) observed the continuum to peak at about 250 au. Figure 9 also shows the radial profiles of the observed, deprojected disk averaged over all azimuths is plotted (dashed).

6. Discussion

6.1. Accretion streams within the circumbinary gap

We interpret the filaments detected in our observations that we described in Sect. 4.3 as accretion streams. According to theoretical models, circumbinary accretion is thought to proceed onto the stars from the outer circumbinary disk through accretion streams, which are repeatedly torn off at the inner edge of the disk near the apocenter of the binary orbit. This is consistent with what is seen in our simulations. Such a phase-dependent pulsed accretion process has been seen in numerous theoretical
6.2. Spiral structures as imprints of binary-disk interaction

Our SPHERE observations show several spiral structures in the southern disk region. Our simulations show that this is an expected outcome of binary-disk interactions and is also consistent with other modeling efforts, which do show that the generation of spiral density waves is a common result of binary-disk interaction, in particular, for cases where the binary orbit has nonzero eccentricity (e.g., Miranda et al. 2017; Price et al. 2018). Observations of circumbinary disks have brought observational evidence of such spiral structures in these systems (e.g., Avenhaus et al. 2017; Monnier et al. 2019). In addition, large accretion streams, such as the tentative connection from the southern disk to the primary, Aa, are also expected from simulations (e.g., Mösta et al. 2019). In this respect, the detected spiral features agree well with our expectations from simulations of circumbinary disks, where the binary orbit has moderate eccentricity. We note that in addition to this, the external binary-disk interactions with GG Tau B (projected separation of ∼1500 au) might also be able to trigger spiral waves in the GG Tau A disk (e.g., Dong et al. 2016). This scenario may be addressed by future work.

However, theoretical models have shown that in addition to binary-disk interactions, several different processes can also drive the generation of spirals in disks, such as a low-mass companion on an orbit inside or outside of the disk (e.g., Dong et al. 2015a), gravitational instability (e.g., Dong et al. 2015b; Dipierro et al. 2015; Nelson & Marzari 2016; Meru et al. 2017), or a combination of both (e.g., Pohl et al. 2015), as well as temperature fluctuations as a result of shadowing by a warped or misaligned inner disk (e.g., Montesinos et al. 2016). In order to discern between the companion and gravitational instability scenario, observations at comparably high resolution of the dust continuum, probing the midplane of the disk, are required (e.g., Rosotti et al. 2020). However, we regard the last scenario as rather unlikely because in this case, the spiral arms would be expected to diverge from a location close to where the scattered-light shadows are located. In contrast, several spiral arms seem to rather originate from a point located on the outer ring at a PA of ∼120°. Interestingly, at this PA (but slightly outward of the near-infrared peak emission, at radial distances of ∼215–270 au), an asymmetric structure within the CO distribution has been found, showing evidence of a significantly increased temperature (Dutrey et al. 2014; Tang et al. 2016). This so-called “hot spot” was interpreted as an area with locally enhanced density and temperature, heated by a possible embedded planet at the formation stage (Phuong et al. 2020b). While we still consider binary-disk interaction as the most obvious driving force for the spirals observed in the scattered light, a possible connection or interference with this hypothetical forming body needs to be investigated with complementary observations.

6.3. Gap size as a result of binary-disk interactions

Our simulations show that a binary with a semimajor axis of 35 au is able to create a gap in a coplanar disk with a size that is comparable to our observations. Our inferred gap sizes (4.8 au and 3.9 au for the two temperature regimes) agree with previous studies of other systems, in which cavity sizes ranging from three to six binary separations were found (e.g., Thun & Kley 2018).

We note that our gap estimates are significantly larger than those derived by previous studies of the GG Tau A circumbinary ring. As an example, considering the best-fit astrometric solution of the binary under the assumption that the orbit is coplanar with the outer disk (ρbin ∼ 36 au), Beust & Dutrey (2005) predicted a gap size of 2–3.3 au, which they noted to be obviously incompatible with the observations. This apparent discrepancy between observed and simulated gap sizes was confirmed by the hydrodynamical simulations of Cazzoletti et al. (2017), who tested the binary-disk coplanar case considering different disk temperature profiles and a range of values for the viscosity. The authors found the simulated gas distribution to peak at radial distances smaller than ∼160 au, which contrasts with the observed millimeter continuum peak at about 250 au. As a possible solution of this discrepancy, Beust & Dutrey (2005) proposed to drop the assumption that the binary orbit was coplanar with the disk. In this case, the most plausible orbit has a semimajor axis of ∼65 au, an eccentricity of 0.44, and a disk-orbit misalignment of about 25° (Köhler 2011). This latter scenario, a binary on a wide, disk-misaligned orbit was tested with hydrodynamical simulations by Aly et al. (2018). The authors found that they were indeed able to reproduce the observed gap size, assuming a binary separation of ∼60 au and a binary-disk misalignment of ∼30°.

The differences between these earlier estimates and our own gap values are probably mainly due to a difference in timescales. While our simulations were run for 28,000 orbits, previous studies such as those of Nelson & Marzari (2016), Cazzoletti et al. (2017) and Aly et al. (2018) stopped their simulations after about 1000–2000 orbits or fewer, and they therefore studied earlier stages of the disk evolution to define the gap size and eccentricity. Because the disk evolution starts from an azimuthally symmetric density distribution, our simulations show that the disk crosses meta-stable symmetric states between 1100 and 1700 orbits. This is illustrated in Fig. B.1, which shows the evolution of the gap semimajor axis and gap eccentricity over the first 20,000 orbits. However, this meta-stable state is an artifact of the setup and does not correspond to the convergent behavior of a circumbinary disk because the binary will excite the disk to eccentric motion. The disk will eventually evolve to a larger, more eccentric, stable gap, as the full evolution in Fig. 10 shows. Although this evolution is slow, it converges well within the lifetime of the disk. Therefore the simulations of Cazzoletti et al. (2017) and our simulations agree well with each other during the earlier stages, but our longer simulation time shows that the gap will widen with progressing evolution. We accordingly conclude
that the observed gap size can be explained by the long-term action (10 000 orbits) of a binary with a separation of 35 au that is coplanner with the disk.

We note that our choice for the viscous $\alpha$-parameter of $10^{-3}$ does not affect our conclusion. As the disk starts at more than 10 au with rather low density, a low level of turbulence driven by the magnetorotational instability seems to be a reasonable assumption, and we consider our value a realistic choice. However, the relatively high mass of the disk may lead to an even lower viscosity. We therefore compared our results with a simulation using an even lower $\alpha$ parameter of $10^{-4}$. Our test run shows that lowering $\alpha$ affects the gap size only slightly, reducing it by less than 10%. The fact that in this case, a lower $\alpha$ viscosity slightly shrinks the gap size, is related to the relatively high binary eccentricity of GG Tau A ($e \sim 0.3$). For eccentricities $\gtrsim 0.15$, the eccentricity of the disk is directly affected by the binary eccentricity. Because the transfer of angular momentum is weaker, lowering $\alpha$ decreases the apocenter distance of the disk, while the pericenter distance remains constant, thus lowering the gap eccentricity and resulting in a slightly smaller net gap size (Penzlin et al., in prep.). Similarly, Cazzoletti et al. (2017) observed no strong dependence of the location of the gas density peak on the assumed value of $\alpha$. However, a lower $\alpha$ value would result in a significantly less eccentric gap. Therefore a low viscosity may even be consistent with the fact that the disk does not appear very eccentric in the continuum observations.

In summary, our simulations suggest that a tight, $\sim 35$ au binary orbit that is coplanner with the outer disk is sufficient to create a gap in the disk of the observed size. However, we note that some misalignment within the system cannot be excluded, in particular, in view of the shadows on the outer disk, which may imply the presence of misaligned circumstellar material. Final conclusion on the orbital parameters of the binary and the respective disk-orbit orientation requires further astrometric monitoring as the current orbital coverage is still sketchy (Maire et al., in prep.).

7. Summary and conclusions

We have observed the circumbinary environment of GG Tau A in polarized light with SPHERE/IRDIS in H-band at unprecedented angular resolution. We analyzed the disk morphology and compared our observations to hydrodynamical simulations. The following section summarizes our findings.

The inner region appears to be highly structured. Our image suggests that the previously reported northern arc is composed of a double-arc structure. We furthermore detect various filament-like structures in the immediate circumbinary environment. Small dust grains scattering off light from the binary appear to be distributed in a large area around the binary. We clearly detect previously suggested filament-like structures connecting the outer ring with the northern arc. The azimuthal spacing of the streamers may be consistent with a periodic perturbation by the binary, tearing off material from the inner edge of the outer disk once during each orbit. We confirm detection of three shadowed regions cast on the outer disk, as well as a tentative fourth shadow, suggesting the presence of an inclined circumstellar disk around Aa or Ab. We do not confirm a linear movement of the western shadow lane since 2011 that was suggested by previous observations.

We ran hydrodynamical simulations including the binary on an eccentric and disk coplanner orbit with a semimajor axis of 35 au. The simulations ran for 28 000 orbits, which covers the estimated age of the system. The final disk configuration shows evidence of spiral structures in the outer ring as well as within the cavity, similar to the observations. The resulting disk size is in qualitative agreement with the observations, which implies that a coplanner binary orbit $\sim 35$ au in size may be sufficient to explain the size of the ring. Astrometric follow-up observations are required to provide a final conclusion on the size and orientation of the binary orbit.
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Fig. 10. Size and eccentricity evolution of the cavity around GG Tau A created by the PLUTO simulations for the midplane temperature and surface temperature of the disk. $a_{\text{inner}}$ is 35 au and the initial disk mass is 0.1 binary masses.
Appendix A: Polarized intensity pattern in the presence of two illumination sources

In order to investigate how the presence of two illumination sources affects the morphology of PI, we generated a toy model of the GG Tau A disk. We considered two illumination sources at a respective separation of 38 au, and with luminosities \( L_a = 0.44 L_\odot \), \( L_b = 0.23 L_\odot \) such as is found for GG Tau Aa and Ab. We assumed that the luminosity ratios of Aa and Ab are representative for their \( H \)-band flux ratios, which is consistent with the observations by Di Folco et al. (2014), who reported an \( H \)-band flux ratio of \( \sim 2 \). For each point in the disk plane, we computed the received stellar illumination \( F_{tot} = F_a + F_b \propto L_a/d_a^2 + L_b/d_b^2 \), where \( d_a \) and \( d_b \) is the distance to Aa and Ab, respectively. This received stellar flux is proportional to the intensity of scattered linearly polarized light, assuming a homogeneous surface density and degree of linear polarization throughout the disk. We also assumed a flat-disk geometry for simplicity.

Figure A.1 (left) shows the distribution of \( F_{tot} \) for a face-on view of the disk. The dotted circles trace contours at which the contribution from the respective other star to \( F_{tot} \) is 5, 10, and 20\%, that is, where \( F_a/F_{tot} = (0.05, 0.1, 0.2) \) (green) and \( F_b/F_{tot} = (0.05, 0.1, 0.2) \) (red). The 5\% contours are found as close as \( \sim 9 \) au (\( \sim 5 \) au) to the location of Aa (Ab). Because the PSF FWHM of our SPHERE observations is about 40 mas (i.e., 6 au at 150 pc, thus corresponding to a PSF radius of \( \sim 3 \) au) the contribution of scattered polarized light from the respective other star to the unresolved polarized signal measured at the locations of GG Tau Aa and Ab is thus expected to be negligible.

We furthermore investigated whether any of our detected disk substructures might be related to the respective orientation of the polarization vectors in the presence of two illumination sources, rather than to a variation in disk surface density or scale height. If, for example, the polarization vectors at a certain point in the disk due to light scattered from Aa and Ab enclosed an angle of about \( 90^\circ \), the polarized signal could cancel out, leading to a locally depressed PI. Because the orientation of the linear polarization vectors is expected to be orthogonal to the radius vectors connecting a certain point in the disk with the respective illumination sources, it is possible to map the angles enclosed by the two polarization vectors throughout the disk. This map is shown in Fig. A.1 (right). A region with a respective polarization angle difference of \( 90^\circ \) indeed lies close to the stars. Farther away, however, from \( \sim d_{180} \) on, polarization vectors tend to be aligned with respect to each other. This is consistent with our observations, where the polarization vectors are clearly azimuthally orientated throughout the outer disk. While we cannot exclude that some spatial PI variation close to the binary is caused by the superposition of the polarization vectors, we conclude that this effect cannot be responsible for the generation of any of the disk substructures we detected that are illustrated in Fig. 3.

Fig. A.1. Toy model of the inner disk region of GG Tau A including two illuminating sources. The left panel shows the radiation field generated by the two stars. For each star, the dotted contours mark the regions where the contribution from the other star to the total flux is 5, 10, and 20\% (in red, the contribution from GG Tau Ab in the immediate surrounding of GG Tau Aa; in green, vice versa). The grey dashed line traces the contour where \( F_a \) equals \( F_b \). The right panel maps the angles between the linear polarization vectors resulting from scattering of light from Aa and Ab. In regions in which this angle becomes close to \( 90^\circ \), PI could theoretically cancel out.
Appendix B: Early meta-stable simulation phase

Cazzoletti et al. (2017) found a smaller inner cavity after a simulation of about 1000 binary orbits. We can confirm this finding for the early simulation, as shown in Fig. B.1. However, we find that this feature is created by the symmetric initial condition of the gas distribution. After clearing the inner disk from gas in unstable orbits during the first few hundred orbits, the disk reaches a meta-stable configuration. This symmetric configuration will be disturbed by the higher modes of the binary potential and transform into the stable eccentric cavity that is reached after about 10,000 binary orbits. The same behavior occurs for less viscous systems a few hundred orbits earlier.

Fig. B.1. Gap size (top) and eccentricity (bottom) evolution of the cavity around GG Tau A created by our PLUTO simulation for the midplane temperature of the disk. The disk encounters a meta stable symmetric state (highlighted in yellow) with reduced gap size and eccentricity for about 600 orbits. The 2D surface density plot after 1300 orbits is shown in the middle.