



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Governing cross-border data flows

Reconciling EU data protection and international trade law

Iakovleva, S.

Publication date

2021

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Iakovleva, S. (2021). *Governing cross-border data flows: Reconciling EU data protection and international trade law*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Governing Cross-Border Data Flows: Reconciling EU Data Protection and
International Trade Law

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen
op woensdag 21 april 2021, te 14.00 uur

door Svetlana Iakovleva
geboren te Ryazan

Promotiecommissie

<i>Promotor:</i>	prof. dr. I. Venzke	Universiteit van Amsterdam
<i>Copromotor:</i>	dr. J.V.J. van Hoboken	Universiteit van Amsterdam
<i>Overige leden:</i>	prof. dr. mr. M.M.M. van Echoud	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. C. Eckes	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	dr. K. Irion	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	dr. G. Vidigal	Universiteit van Amsterdam
	prof. dr. C.B. Kuner	Vrije Universiteit Brussel
	prof. dr. J.T. Kurtz	European University Institute

Faculteit der Rechtsgeleerdheid

Concise Table of Contents

LISTS OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	9
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10
1. INTRODUCTION	11
2. PERSONAL DATA TRANSFERS IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND EU LAW: A TALE OF TWO ‘NECESSITIES’	28
3. PRIVACY PROTECTION(ISM): THE LATEST WAVE OF TRADE CHALLENGES ON REGULATORY AUTONOMY	70
4. RECONCILING DATA PRIVACY AND GLOBAL DATA FLOWS THE EU WAY	132
5. EU FRAMEWORK FOR TRANSFERS OF PERSONAL DATA: CRITIQUE AND DIRECTIONS FOR REFORM	183
6. CONCLUSIONS	245
REFERENCES	257
SHORT SUMMARY	311
SAMENVATTING	320

Table of Contents

LISTS OF FIGURES	8
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	9
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	10
1. INTRODUCTION	11
1.1 Setting the scene: background and problem definition	13
1.1.1 International trade in data: what’s at stake?.....	13
1.1.2 EU data privacy framework.....	15
1.1.3 Tension between external trade policy and domestic data privacy framework	16
1.1.4 Restrictions on personal data flows: a barrier to digital trade?	18
1.1.5 Clash between EU Charter and EU international trade commitments	19
1.2 Research questions and methodology	22
1.3 Outline of the thesis	26
2. PERSONAL DATA TRANSFERS IN INTERNATIONAL TRADE AND EU LAW: A TALE OF TWO ‘NECESSITIES’	28
2.1 Introduction	28
2.2 EU data protection and international trade law	28
2.2.1 The EU regime for transfers of personal data outside the EEA	28
2.2.1.1 Historical background	29
2.2.1.2 The notion of transfer	34
2.2.1.3 Restrictions on transfers	38
2.2.2 Compatibility of the EU regime for data transfer with international trade law	42
2.3 Two necessities: a catch-22 for the EU	47
2.3.1. Framing the Issue.....	47
2.3.2 Trade law necessity and EU Restrictions on data transfers	54
2.3.3 Necessity under EU law	64
2.3.4 The incompatibility of two ‘necessities’	66
2.4 Ways forward	67
2.5 Conclusion	69
3. PRIVACY PROTECTION(ISM): THE LATEST WAVE OF TRADE CHALLENGES ON REGULATORY AUTONOMY	70
3.1 Introduction	70
3.2 The expansion of the notion of ‘protectionism’	76
3.2.1 Defining protectionism: key disagreement.....	78
3.2.2 Protectionism and the classical free trade idea	79
3.2.3 Protectionism and ‘embedded liberalism’ of GATT 1947	81
3.2.4 The new protectionism and the neoliberal discourse: towards an ever-broader conceptualisation of ‘protectionism’	85
3.2.4.1 The ‘new protectionism’	85
3.2.4.2 ‘Fair trade’	86
3.2.4.3 Neoliberal discourse.....	90

3.2.4.4 The impact of neoliberal discourse on the formation and functioning of the international trading system.....	92
3.3 Digital protectionism: the latest wave of trade constraints on regulatory autonomy	98
3.3.1 The digital trade discourse(s).....	100
3.3.2 Framing data protection as ‘digital protectionism’ in the digital trade discourse.....	102
3.3.3 Business interests behind the ‘digital trade’ discourse.....	107
3.3.4 Measures banning ‘digital protectionism’ in recent trade agreements	110
3.3.5 The ‘Digital protectionism’ label as a trigger to redefine ‘barriers to trade’	115
3.4 The baseline between privacy protection and protectionism: the role of discourse.....	117
3.4.1 Normative approaches to privacy and data protection.....	118
3.4.2 Limitations of the economic approach to privacy and data protection.....	121
3.5 Concluding remarks: towards a new digital trade regime	128
4. RECONCILING DATA PRIVACY AND GLOBAL DATA FLOWS THE EU WAY.....	132
4.1 Introduction.....	132
4.2 EU horizontal provisions: a critical evaluation.....	139
4.2.1 Background	139
4.2.2 Relationship with the general exception	140
4.2.3 An undesirable parallel with the national security exception	145
4.2.3.1 Interpretation of the national security exception at the WTO	146
4.2.3.2 Interpretation of the digital trade exception for privacy and data protection	151
4.2.4 A different threshold for digital trade exceptions	152
4.2.5 Undermining the goal of liberalisation of cross-border data flows	156
4.2.6 Downsides of the EU-centric approach.....	158
4.3 Thresholds alternative to ‘necessity’, ‘it considers necessary’ and ‘it deems appropriate’ tests	161
4.3.1 Non-circumvention	162
4.3.2 Non-avoidance	165
4.3.3 Reasonableness	169
4.3.4 Prohibition of ‘arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination’ or a ‘disguised restriction on international trade’.....	171
4.3.4.1 Blurring the borderline between the chapeau and necessity test of the general exception	173
4.3.4.2 Chapeau as an expression of the principles of good faith and prohibition of abuse of right	174
4.3.4.3 Factors in assessment of ‘arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination’	175
4.3.5 Towards the goldilocks exception for privacy and data protection in future EU trade agreements	176
4.3.5.1 Undesirability of replicating the standard of chapeau in digital trade exception for privacy and data protection	176
4.3.5.2 The need for a restrictive mechanism in the digital trade exception for privacy and data protection	179
4.4 Conclusion.....	182
5. EU FRAMEWORK FOR TRANSFERS OF PERSONAL DATA: CRITIQUE AND DIRECTIONS FOR REFORM	183
5.1 Introduction.....	183
5.2 Constitutional contours of the EU’s framework for transfers of personal data	185
5.2.1 The relationship between the EU Charter and EU secondary data protection law.....	185
5.2.2 ‘Essential equivalence’: from EU secondary law to EU Charter and then back again.....	189

5.2.3 The concept of the ‘essence’ of fundamental rights	193
5.2.4 The ‘essence’ of the fundamental rights to privacy, protection of personal data and judicial remedy.....	195
5.2.5 The ‘essence’ and restrictions on transfers of personal data outside the EEA.....	201
5.3 Weaknesses of the EU’s framework for transfers of personal data from the EU Charter perspective	203
5.3.1 The foreign surveillance issue after Schrems II.....	208
5.3.2 Inadequacies of adequacy decisions.....	216
5.3.2.1 Adequacy decisions: between protection of fundamental rights and trade politics.....	216
5.3.2.2 Limitations of adequacy decisions inherent in legal instruments with extraterritorial application.....	220
5.3.3 Limited scalability of EU framework for transfers of personal data	228
5.4 Directions of thought on how to improve the EU framework for transfers of personal data outside the EEA	234
5.4.1 Improving the operation of the current framework	235
5.4.2 Greater scalability	237
5.4.3 Redesign.....	241
5.5 Conclusion	244
6. CONCLUSIONS	245
REFERENCES.....	257
Secondary sources	257
Primary Sources.....	289
Legal Texts	289
International Treaties and Conventions.....	289
Proposed International Agreements.....	291
Council of Europe	292
European Union: Treaties and Charter	292
European Union: Regulations and Directives.....	293
European Union: Proposed Legislation	293
European Commission Implementing Decisions: Adequacy Decisions	293
European Parliament	294
EU Council Decisions	295
EU Member States National Legislation	295
The Netherlands: Parliamentary Documents.....	295
Foreign legislation.....	295
Russia	295
China	295
Canada	295
Official Documents.....	295
United Nations	295
Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)	295
Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC)	296
World Trade Organization (WTO)	296
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT).....	296
European Union	297
European Commission	297
Council of the European Union	299
Council of Europe	299
Article 29 Working Party	299
European Data Protection Board (EDPB)	300

European Data Protection Supervisor (EDPS)	301
National Data Protection Authorities	301
Netherlands	301
Germany	301
Foreign Data Protection Authorities	301
Canada	301
United States Trade Representative	302
Case Law	303
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU): Judgements	303
Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU): Advocate General (AG) Opinions	304
European Court of Human Rights (ECtHR)	304
World Trade Organization (WTO)	305
General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT)	307
International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	307
National Cases	308
Germany	308
Ireland	308
Electronic Sources	308
SHORT SUMMARY	311
SAMENVATTING	320

Lists of Figures

Figure 1: Overlap between the EU Charter and Trade ‘Necessities’	63
Figure 2: Protectionism in economic and pluralist discourses	70

List of Abbreviations

AI	Artificial Intelligence
APEC	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation
APEC CBPR	Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation Cross-Border Privacy Rules
BCR	Binding Corporate Rules
CCPA	California Consumer Privacy Act
CETA	Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement
CJEU	Court of Justice of the European Union
CPTPP	Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership
DG	Directorate General
DPA	Data Protection Authority
ECEFP	Executive Committee on Economic Foreign Policy
ECHR	European Convention on Human Rights
ECIPE	European Centre for International Political Economy
ECtHR	European Court of Human Rights
EDPB	European Data Protection Board
EDPS	European Data Protection Supervisor
EEA	European Economic Area
EU	European Union
EU Charter	Charter of the European Union
GATS	General Agreement on Trade in Services
GATT	General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
ICCPR	International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICT	Information and Communications Technology
ITO	International Trade Organisation
JEFTA	EU-Japan Economic Partnership Agreement
KORUS FTA	United States-Korea Free Trade Agreement
MFN	Most Favoured Nation
NAFTA	North American Free Trade Agreement
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OPC	Office of the Privacy Commissioner
PIPEDA	Personal Information Protection and Electronic Documents Act
PNR Agreement	Personal Name Records Agreement
SCC	Standard Contractual Clauses
TBT Agreement	Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade
TEU	Treaty on European Union
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
TiSA	Trade in Services Agreement
TRIPS	Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Law
TTIP	Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership
UDHR	Universal Declaration of Human Rights
USITC	United States International Trade Commission
USMCA	United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement
VCLT	Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
WTO	World Trade Organization

Acknowledgements

Although writing a PhD thesis can be at times an isolating experience, I was privileged to be guided, supported and encouraged by multiple people.

I would like to thank my PhD supervisors, Professors Joris van Hoboken and Ingo Venzke for having faith in me being able to lift this project up while working part time at De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek, for their time and effort in guiding me along the way, and for their thorough reading of my drafts. Their input was invaluable in bringing the quality of my work to the next level. I am also grateful to them for their positive reinforcement and encouragement. My sincere gratitude also goes to my parents for always being there for me, for their unconditional love and support throughout yet another study and research project of mine as well as for their support with my relocation to the Netherlands, without which this project would have never been possible. I am deeply indebted to Daniel for his patience, constant support and encouragement to make small steps towards completing this project and for letting me see the light at the end of the tunnel even when that light was meek and far away. I would also like to thank my friends for their support throughout this project, and in particular, Olga Zrilina, Radmila Nikitina, Svetlana Romaniko, Maria Taylor, Momchil Tsonev, Maya and Oleg Zupnik. I would like to acknowledge my current and former colleagues from the University of Amsterdam and De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek for the insightful conversations, collaboration and support. I would particularly like to single out Ot van Daalen, Sarah Eskens, Marco Fabbri, Kristina Irion, and Joao Pedro Quintais from the University of Amsterdam; and Axel Arnbak, Ties Boonzajer Flaes, Maaïke Boomgaard, Wessel Geursen, Salima Guettache, Christien de Kruif (who also helped with proofreading the Dutch summary), Geert Potjewijd, Esther Rosenkrantz, and Helma van der Wal (who also helped with designing the visuals) from De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek. I am also grateful to Alice Garner for her meticulous proofreading of this manuscript. Last but not least, I am grateful to my cat Charlie for radiating positive vibes while sleeping on my work table at almost all times of me working on this thesis.