



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Categories on the move

Governing refugees in transnational admission programmes to Germany

Welfens, N.P.M.R.

Publication date

2021

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Welfens, N. P. M. R. (2021). *Categories on the move: Governing refugees in transnational admission programmes to Germany*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Categories on the Move

Governing Refugees in Transnational
Admission Programmes to Germany

Natalie Welfens



Categories on the Move

Governing Refugees in Transnational
Admission Programmes to Germany

Natalie Welfens

© 2021 / Natalie Welfens

ISBN 978-94-6421-341-6

Design: Waarlé Grafische Vormgeving, Amsterdam, t.waarle@planet.nl

Cover image: rug designed by Sonya Winner ©

Print: Ipskamp Printing, Enschede

Categories on the Move

Governing Refugees in Transnational
Admission Programmes to Germany

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
Prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College van Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Aula der Universiteit
op woensdag 9 juni 2021, te 11.00 uur

door Natalie Paulina Maria Regina Welfens,
geboren te Düsseldorf

Promotiecommissie

Promotores:

Dr. Liza Mügge	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. Marieke de Goede	Universiteit van Amsterdam

Overige leden:

Prof. dr. Claudia Aradau	King's College London
Prof. dr. Luiza Bialasiewicz	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Prof. dr. Gülay Çağlar	Freie Universität Berlin
Prof. dr. Anna Korteweg	University of Toronto
Dr. Saskia Bonjour	Universiteit van Amsterdam
Dr. Beste İşleyen	Universiteit van Amsterdam

Faculteit der Maatschappij- en Gedragwetenschappen

Funding

The research of this dissertation has been funded by a Research Talent (Onderzoekstalent) grant no. 406-16-219 of the Dutch Research Council (Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek, NWO).

Table of Contents

Previous Publications	vii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	viii
List of Figures and Tables	ix
Acknowledgments	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction: Unequal Access to Mobility and Protection	1
What is at Stake?	2
Germany's Humanitarian Admission Programmes	6
Why Study Categorisation Practices in Transnational Refugee Admission Programmes?	8
Research Questions and Approach	10
Chapter Outline: Traveling Along the Chain of Admission	12
Chapter 2 Theorising the Chain of Admission	17
Introduction	18
What We Know about Categories	20
Towards a Framework for Studying Categories on the Move	23
Understanding Categories Intersectionally	24
Studying Categorisation Practices Transnationally	27
Analysing the Normative Dimension of Inclusion and Exclusion	33
Conclusion	36
Chapter 3 Methodology: Following Categories on the Move	39
Introduction	40
Ethnographic Policy Analysis	41
The Research Journey	42
The Interpretivist Methods Toolkit	48
Data Analysis	56
Conclusion	59
Chapter 4 Policy Formulation: Justification and Critique of Refugee Admission Programmes	63
Introduction	64
Formalising and Justifying Refugee Admission Programmes	65
Germany's Humanitarian Admission Programme from Lebanon (2013-15)	69
Germany's Humanitarian Admission Programme from Turkey (2017-present) ...	81
Conclusion	93

Chapter 5 Refugee Selection: From Vulnerable to Resettling Refugees	97
Introduction	98
Refugee Selection	99
Assessing Vulnerabilities	101
Assessing Assimilability	111
Admission Countries' Selection	118
Conclusion	125
Chapter 6 Pre-Departure: From Formally Admitted Refugees to Future German Citizens	129
Introduction	130
From Travel Agency to Translator: IOM's Resettlement Work in Context.....	132
Resettling Refugees as a Target Group	134
Pre-Departure Orientation Courses for Resettling Refugees	140
Conclusion	151
Chapter 7 Refugee Reception: From Resettling Refugees to Legal Residents	155
Introduction	156
Refugee Reception	157
Initial Reception at Friedland's 'Border Transition Camp'	158
Distributing Resettling Refugees	164
Arrival in the Municipality	171
Conclusion	178
Chapter 8 Conclusion: Rethinking Refugee Admission Programmes	181
Introduction	182
Findings	183
Empirical Contributions: Multiple Meanings of Policy Categories	192
Theoretical Contributions: Understanding the Complexities of Inclusion and Exclusion	195
Contributions to Policy Debates: The Promises and Pitfalls of Refugee Admission Programmes	198
Bibliography	204
Annex A: Overview of Documents.....	232
Annex B: List of Actors interviewed.....	255
Annex C: Example of Interview Request.....	259
Annex D: Topic List for Interviews.....	260
Annex E: Overview of Observations	261
Thesis Summary	262
Samenvatting	266

Previous Publications

The dissertation draws on the following publications.

Chapter 2 builds to a small part on theoretical arguments about categorising made in the book chapter

Welfens, Natalie, and Asya Pisarevskaya. 2020. "The 'Others' amongst 'Them' – Selection Categories in European Resettlement and Humanitarian Admission Programmes." In *European Societies, Migration and the Law. The "Others" Amongst "Us"*, edited by Moritz Jesse, 81–104. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Chapters 4 and 5 are partly based on the article

Welfens, Natalie, and Saskia Bonjour. 2020. "Families First? The Mobilization of Family Norms in Refugee Resettlement." *International Political Sociology*. Online first. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ips/olaa022>.

Chapter 4 also builds on the article

Welfens, Natalie. "Whose (In)Security Counts in Crisis? Selection Categories in Germany's Humanitarian Admission Programmes Before and After 2015." Under review in *International Politics*.

Abbreviations and Acronyms

ATCR	Annual Tripartite Consultation on Resettlement
BAMF	Bundesamt für Migration und Flüchtlinge <i>German Federal Office for Migration and Refugees</i>
BKA	Bundeskriminalamt <i>German Federal Criminal Police Office</i>
BMI	Bundesministerium des Innern / Bundesministerium des Innern, für Bau und Heimat <i>German Federal Ministry of the Interior, since 2016: Federal Ministry of the Interior, for Construction and Community</i> ¹
CDU	Christlich-Demokratische-Union <i>Christian Democratic Union</i>
CSU	Christlich-Soziale-Union <i>Christian Social Union</i>
DGMM	Directorate General of Migration Management
EASO	European Asylum Support Office
EEAS	European External Action Service
EKD	Evangelische Kirche Deutschlands <i>Political representation of the Protestant Church of Germany</i>
EMN	European Migration Network
ESSN	European Social Safety Net
EU	European Union
GDL	Grenzdurchgangslager <i>Border Transition Camp</i>
HAP	Humanitarian Admission Programme <i>Humanitäres Aufnahmeprogramm</i>
ILO	International Labour Organization
IO	International Organisation
IOM	International Organization for Migration
IPS	International Political Sociology
IR	International Relations
IRC	International Rescue Committee
LGBTI	Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender and Intersex
MBE	Migrationsberatung für Erwachsene <i>Counselling for Adult Migrants</i>
MSF	Médecins sans Frontières <i>Ärzte ohne Grenzen/Doctors without Borders</i>
NGO	Non-Governmental Organisation
NRW	North Rhine-Westphalia <i>Nordrhein-Westfalen</i>
PDO	Pre-Departure Orientation
SGB II	Sozialgesetzbuch Zweites Buch <i>Basic Level of Social Protection for Jobseekers</i>
SGBV	Sexual and gender-based violence
SPD	Sozialdemokratische Partei Deutschlands <i>German Social Democratic Party</i>
UNHCR	United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
WGR	Working Group on Resettlement

1 For better readability, I only use the term 'Ministry of the Interior' throughout the text.

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

- 1.1 Four phases of the admission process
- 1.2 The chain of admission
- 1.3 Overview of empirical chapters
- 2.1 Overview of key research questions and concepts
- 2.2 Spatial set-up of the admission chain for Germany's humanitarian admission programme from Turkey (2017-present), own figure based on Google Maps
- 2.3 Actors along the chain of admission for Germany's humanitarian admission programme from Turkey
- 3.1 Illustration of different fieldwork phases, own figure based on Google Maps
- 4.1 Actors involved in shaping and contesting admission policies
- 5.1 Refugee selection in Germany's HAP from Turkey
- 5.2 Exemplary NGO-internal chain, based on interview with Caritas Lebanon
- 5.3 Red Crescent European Social Safety Net (ESSN) Criteria (Kızılay 2019)
- 5.4 DGMM's internal chain and link to UNHCR's Resettlement Unit
- 5.5 UNHCR Resettlement Unit's internal chain
- 5.6 Assessment of 'family links' and 'document requirements' in UNHCR's pre-assessment
- 5.7 Link between UNHCR and Germany's decision over admission
- 6.1 'IOM Areas of Work Along the Resettlement Continuum' (IOM 2018)
- 7.1 Refugee reception in Germany's humanitarian admission and resettlement programmes
- 7.2 Map of Friedland's border transition camp. Own photograph taken during fieldwork
- 7.3 Routing slip of the first reception centre Friedland for resettled refugees. Own photograph taken during fieldwork
- 7.4 Checklist of 'First steps in Germany' from information flyer 'Arriving in Germany' (Caritas Friedland et al. 2019)
- 7.5 Difference in administrative chains for 'resettlement refugees' and 'asylum seekers' for claiming social benefits
- 8.1 Overview of empirical chapters and locations
- 8.2 Resettlement actors along the admission chain from selection to reception for Germany's HAP from Turkey

Tables

- 3.1 Overview of research questions and methods
- 4.1 Overview of official policies for the humanitarian admission programmes from Lebanon (2013-15) and from Turkey (2017-present)
- 4.2 Overview of Germany's humanitarian admission programmes 2013-2015 (based on Engler 2015, 16)
- 4.3 Normative justifications for formal selection categories
- 5.1 Normative justifications in refugee selection
- 6.1 Normative justifications in pre-departure orientation courses
- 8.1 Normative justifications in refugee admission policies

Acknowledgements

This thesis would not have been possible without the generous investment, encouragement, intellectual and emotional support of research participants, supervisors, colleagues, friends and family.

I would like to thank my supervisors Liza Mügge and Marieke de Goede for encouraging and inspiring me to find my own voice, to think across disciplines and to contribute to an academic culture of support and collegiality. I am grateful for the many ways in which both of you have shaped my writing, thinking, and academic practice, and ultimately an important part of who I am today. Liza, it was your ‘Introduction to Gender and Sexuality’ course back in 2011 that planted the seed of this PhD: you have inspired me to bring feminist and intersectional theories into dialogue with migration studies and motivated my decision to eventually apply for doctoral positions. Without the confidence you placed in me, the time and energy you invested this PhD would not have been possible. Marieke, your supervision and the collective thinking in Team FOLLOW have been vital sources of inspiration and support from the early days of this journey to the final stages of submission. Thank you for pushing my intellectual boundaries through sharp and constructive feedback, and for your compassionate understanding in challenging times.

I am thankful to the NWO for providing financial support and to the University of Amsterdam for providing an institutional home for my research project. I remember coming to the UvA for the first time in 2011 for my Erasmus year and how the courses I took opened my eyes to the critical scholarship being conducted across the social sciences and humanities. Fast forward to today I feel lucky to have conducted my dissertation at an institute that values interdisciplinarity and critical thinking. I would like to thank Naziha Irassir, Samira Laazane, Evelyn Oomen and Leentje de Vos, within the AISSR, and Gijs van der Starre and Liza Saris at the Amsterdam Centre for European Studies (ACES) for their institutional support.

While this PhD was not part of a bigger project, I always felt that I was a member of a whole number of teams, which all supported me in different ways.

I would like to thank the many people who participated in my research – as interviewees, facilitators, door-openers. You remain anonymous but still I want to deeply express my gratitude to you all. Thank you for making this research come alive, for making it complex and challenging, and for teaching me so much more than how bureaucratic and social categories and resettlement work.

There are also a number of people who supported me in doing my research in Amsterdam and during fieldwork. Shukran to Nour and family, Maria, Naim, Fouad, Firas, Myriam, Saada, Karim, Lea, Frank, the Team of Café Hook and the Lebanese Emigration Research Centre for making my stay in Lebanon so memorable. Teşekkürler to Eda, Ayşen, Madeleine and Silvia, and the MiReKoc Team for being my companions in Istanbul and Ankara. In Amsterdam and for fieldwork in Friedland, I enjoyed the support of many great people, who often did more than ‘only’ research assistance: Shukran and Danke to Noura Alhalabi, Zeinab Ismail, Kaid Schullan and

the team of Caritas Friedland for their support in conducting the survey and translating between languages and cultures; and to Eileen Jahn, Luisa Burchartz and Leo Fruth for helping with the transcription of interviews. Special thanks to Lea Lösch for her long-term assistance with transcribing interviews, cataloguing documents and the observation that ‘All these people are somehow doing the same thing – refugee resettlement –, yet it means something different to each of them’. It stayed with me for a long time and in a way perfectly summarises the following 200 pages.

I am also indebted to the different (research) communities inside and outside the University of Amsterdam as well as individual colleagues who have offered generous intellectual and social support throughout my PhD journey. The PhD club of the Amsterdam Centre for Gender and Sexuality has been crucial in providing a validating and inspiring community. Team FOLLOW and friends has been a continuous source of inspiration, encouragement and academic kindness: Tasniem Anwar, Andreas Baur, Rocco Bellanova, Esmé Bosma, Pieter Lagerwaard, Anneroo Planqué van Hardeveld, Carola Westermeier – thank you!

My two programme groups Challenges to Democratic Representation as well as Transnational Configurations, Conflict and Governance were intellectually stimulating spaces and provided me with a sense of community. Call me biased but ultimately it was the PhD students in both programme groups that created the supportive and stimulating context that was the backbone of my everyday work. I would like to especially thank Barbara Koole, Daniel Gomez Uribe, Becky Kazansky, and Joshua Cohen in TranCon, and Loes Aaldering, Merve Biten, Lianne Blok, Linet Durmuşoğlu, Lea Klarenbeek, Lars Nickolson, Wouter Schakel, Patrick Statsch, Eda Kirişçoğlu, Haylee Kelsall, Sander Kunst, Nick Martin, Ebe Ouattara, Isabella Rebasso, Hannah Werner, and Eline Westra in Challenges.

A special type of PhD group and one of the biggest sources of support has been ‘Team Liza’: Judith de Jong, Sanne van Oosten, Zahra Runderkamp and Anne Louise Schotel thank you for being truly awesome colleagues and for your kindness and compassion, especially during the final year of my PhD. You are role models for how to share successes and failures, uplifting one another and showing that – to quote Rocco – ‘another academia is possible’.

A special mention goes to the unmatched EISA conference gang – Andreas Baur, Marijn Hoijsink, Marco Krüger, Hanna Muehlenhoff, and Natalie Pawlowski. Having drinks with you in Barcelona, Prague, Groningen and Sofia, over semi-philosophical discussions and endless laughter, are some of my most precious PhD memories.

There are many individual colleagues inside and outside the University of Amsterdam I am thankful to for their constructive engagement with my work, inspiring collaborations and for saying the right words at the right time (in alphabetical order): Afsoun Afsahi, Yasemin Bekyol, Dimitris Bouris, Sébastien Chauvin, Laura Cleton, Serena Cruz, Marcus Engler, Adèle Garnier, Marlies Glasius, John Grin, Lucy Hall, Lea Klarenbeek, Anna Korteweg, Ulrike Krause, Lea Müller-Funk, Polly Pallister-Wilkins, Asya Pisarevskaya, Nicky Pouw, Eric Schliesser, Dragana Stojmenovska,

Maciej Stępką, Wouter van der Brug, Daphne van der Pas, Anna van der Vleuten, and Darshan Vigneswaran.

A special thanks goes to Saskia Bonjour, Beste İşleyen and Conny Roggeband for having been great mentors and role models throughout this process. I am humbled by the generous intellectual and emotional support you have provided me with and admire your relentless efforts to make the academic world a more inclusive and welcoming space.

Somewhere between role model, mentor and colleagues who have become friends is you, Hanna. I am forever grateful to Marieke for pairing us up for the workshop back in 2016 and the many moments of sharing frustrations and defeat as much as joy and inspiration in the years after.

I would like to thank some people for being much more than only PhD colleagues: João for hugs and words of wisdom, Robby for helping me navigate the jungle of academia and compassionate listening, Stefania for a supercalifragilisticexpialidocious friendship, Tasniem for conversations that resonate, and Ugur for crime dinners, your warm heart and sharp mind.

I would like to especially thank: Anne Louise. Thank you for what has become an unexpected and special bond, for your relentless support and for your understanding in a way only few did.

Esmé. I am grateful for everything I learned with, from and through you. Our many conversations about academia, love, life and its messiness always feel – as you once put it in Prague – ‘like a warm bath’.

My paranympths and wonderful friends Katharina and Ellis. Kathi, you have been my companion, advisor and friend along this journey from day one – back when I did not have a flat or position in Amsterdam until today. You have always welcomed me with open arms, supported me with good advice, sharp comments, and comforting words. Thank you for always making me feel safe and understood.

Ellis, ultimate PhD buddy and partner in crime. Your companionship and friendship made me walk more lightly through the halls of the UvA and the academic world: You made me feel safe, you cheered me up, you encouraged me, and you always had my back. I am grateful for intellectually and personally growing with you and I am proud of this friendship in which methodological divides and competitiveness do not matter, but which is founded on kindness, generosity and pepperiness.

Finally, I am grateful to friends and family for their unconditional love and for being the net below me when it became hard to hold my balance. Special thanks go to Anke Weißenfeld, the sister I never had and graphic designer of some of the ‘chain’ figures in this thesis.

Kochana Mamor, Lieber Papor. Your love is the base I am standing on. Your passion for research, your caution against generalising people and places, and your transnational lives are what underpins much of this research. Your unshakable belief in me has gotten me to the place I am today.

Simon. Without your love, support and patience, this work could not have been completed. Thank you for always being by my side.