



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Governing the right to build

The institutional dynamics of self-build housing in Brazil and the Netherlands

Bossuyt, D.M.

Publication date

2021

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bossuyt, D. M. (2021). *Governing the right to build: The institutional dynamics of self-build housing in Brazil and the Netherlands*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Appendix A. List of interviews.

The Netherlands. June 2016 - November 2018

#	Position	Organization	Date
1	Alderman	Municipality of Almere	10/06/16
2	Architect	Urbannerdam	14/12/16
3	Senior project Manager	Municipality of Amsterdam	01/02/17
4	Policy advisor	Province of North-Holland	02/02/17
5	Policy advisor; project manager	Municipality Midden-Delfland; Municipality of Rotterdam	06/02/17
6	Policy advisor	Municipality of Deventer	08/03/17
7	Researcher; policy advisor	De Alliantie	13/04/18
8	Policy advisor	De Alliantie	01/05/18
9	Advisor	Construction consultancy	14/06/18
10	Policy advisor	ABN AMRO	05/10/18
11	Senior project manager	Municipality of Almere	01/11/18

The Netherlands. March 2019 - April 2020

#	Position	Organization	Date
12	Director	Woonzorg Nederland	04/03/19
13	Project manager	Municipality of Almere	08/03/19
14	Board member	Amsterdamse Federatie Wooncoöperaties	03/02/20
15	Board member	Housing cooperative 'De Nieuwe Meent'	06/02/20
16	Project manager	Municipality of Amsterdam	07/02/20
17	Board member	Housing cooperative 'Het Rotterdams Woongenootschap'	11/02/20
18	Council member	Municipality of Amsterdam	16/02/20
19	Project manager	Habion	17/02/20
20	Board member	Housing cooperative 'De Warren'	26/02/20
21	Board member	Housing cooperative 'Het Rotterdams Woongenootschap'	01/04/20

Almere Homeruskwartier, the Netherlands. April 2018 - October 2018

#	Anonimized name	Position	Type of self-build	Date
22	Suzan & Peter	Resident	Affordable	10/02/2018
23	Betty	Resident	Catalogue-builder	05/04/2018
24	Patty & Maurice	Resident	Catalogue-builder	05/08/2018
25	Jacob	Resident	Catalogue-builder	05/09/2018
26	Willem	Resident	Architect	05/10/2018
27	Esma	Resident	Affordable	13/06/2018
28	Astrid	Resident	Affordable	14/06/2018
29	Jos	Resident	Architect	16/05/2018
30	Umut & Wietske	Resident	Architect	16/05/2018
31	Paul & Emma	Resident	Architect	17/05/2018
32	Boris	Resident	Affordable	17/09/2018
33	Floris & Greta	Resident	Affordable	18/06/2018
34	Thiago	Resident	Architect	19/04/2018
35	Wendy & Klaas	Resident	Affordable	20/09/2019
36	Frank & Carola	Resident	Catalogue-builder	21/06/2018
37	Piet	Resident	DIY	25/04/2018
38	Gregory	Resident	Architect	28/09/2018
39	Lisette	Resident	Affordable	28/09/2018
40	Jessica	Resident	DIY	28/09/2019
41	Yvonne & Sam	Resident	Affordable	28/09/2019
42	Asha	Resident	Architect	29/04/2018
43	Humberto	Resident	Catalogue-builder	29/04/2018

Brazil. October 2018 - September 2019

#	Position	Organization	Date
44	Resident	Dandara building	02/10/2018
45	Resident	Dandara building	02/10/2018
46	Resident	Dandara building	02/10/2018
47	Resident	Dandara building	10/10/2018
48	Resident	Dandara building	10/10/2018
49	Professor emeritus	Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul	11/12/2018
50	Movement leader	Unificação das Lutas de Cortiço	20/12/2018
51	Professor; Architect	Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism at the University of São Paulo; Peabiru	20/12/2018
52	Professor	Faculty of Architecture and Urbanism of the University of São Paulo	20/12/2018
53	Resident	Marisa Letícia building	14/04/2019
54	Resident	Marisa Letícia building; Unificação das Lutas de Cortiço	14/04/2019
55	Resident	Marisa Letícia building	14/04/2019
56	Resident	Marisa Letícia building	14/04/2019
57	Resident	Marisa Letícia building	14/04/2019
58	Architect	Integra	16/04/2019
59	Movement leader	Unificação das Lutas de Cortiço	16/04/2019
60	Secretary of Housing and Urban Development	Municipality of São Paulo	24/04/2019
61	Social Assistant	Integra; São Paulo Municipal Educational Secretariat	14/05/2019
62	Movement leader	União Nacional por Moradia Popular	24/09/2019

Bibliography

- Aalbers, M.B., 2015. The Great Moderation, the Great Excess and the global housing crisis. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 15 (1), 43–60.
- Aalbers, M.B. and Christophers, B., 2014. Centring Housing in Political Economy. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 31 (4), 373–394.
- Aalbers, M.B. and Gibb, K., 2014. Housing and the right to the city: introduction to the special issue. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 14 (3), 207–213.
- Adriaansens, C.A. and Priemus, H., 1986. *Marges van volkshuisvestingbeleid*. The Hague: Staatsuitgeverij.
- Aernouts, N. and Ryckewaert, M., 2017. Beyond housing: on the role of commoning in the establishment of a Community Land Trust project. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 0 (0), 1–19.
- Alexander, C., 1979. *The Timeless Way of Building*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ambrose, P., 1994. *Urban Process and Power*. London: Routledge.
- Arıcan, A., 2020. Behind the Scaffolding: Manipulations of Time, Delays, and Power in Tarlabaşı, Istanbul. *City & Society*, 32 (3), 482–507.
- Assarroudi, A., Heshmati Nabavi, F., Armat, M.R., Ebadi, A., and Vaismoradi, M., 2018. Directed qualitative content analysis: the description and elaboration of its underpinning methods and data analysis process. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 23 (1), 42–55.
- Azevedo, S. de and Andrade, L.A.G. de, 2011. *Habitação e poder: da Fundação da Casa Popular ao Banco Nacional Habitação*. Rio de Janeiro: Centro Edelstein.
- Ball, M., 1986. The built environment and the urban question. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 4 (4), 447–464.
- Ball, M., 1998. Institutions in British Property Research: A Review. *Urban Studies*, 35 (9), 1501–1517.
- Ball, M., 2003. Markets and the Structure of the Housebuilding Industry: An International Perspective. *Urban Studies*, 40 (November 2002), 897–916.
- Balmer, I. and Gerber, J.-D., 2018. Why are housing cooperatives successful? Insights from Swiss affordable housing policy. *Housing Studies*, 33 (3), 361–385.
- Barlow, J., 1993. Controlling the Housing Land Market: Some Examples from

- Europe. *Urban Studies*, 30 (7), 1129–1149.
- Barlow, J. and King, A., 1992. The state, the market and competitive strategy: the housebuilding industry in the United Kingdom, France, and Sweden. *Environment and Planning A*, 24, 381–400.
- Barlow, J., Meikle, Jim.L., and Jackson, R., 2001. *Homes to DIY for: the UK's self-build housing market in the twenty-first century*. Layerthorpe: York Publishing Services.
- Beck, A.F., 2020. What Is Co-Housing? Developing a Conceptual Framework from the Studies of Danish Intergenerational Co-Housing. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 37 (1), 40–64.
- Beckert, J., 2003. Economic Sociology and Embeddedness: How Shall We Conceptualize Economic Action? *Journal of Economic Issues*, 37 (3), 769–787.
- Beckert, J., 2016. *Imagined Futures. Fictional Expectations and Capitalist Dynamics*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Beckert, J. and Aspers, P., eds., 2011. *The worth of goods: valuation and pricing in the economy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Beekers, W.P., 2012. Het Bewoonbare Land. Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam. *Beheerovereenkomst Teilingerstraat*, 1995. Rotterdam: Stichting de Teil.
- Bengtsson, B. and Hertting, N., 2014. Generalization by Mechanism: Thin Rationality and Ideal-type Analysis in Case Study Research. *Philosophy of the Social Sciences*, 44 (6), 707–732.
- Benson, M., 2015. *Creating a nation of selfbuilders*. London: Goldsmiths University.
- Benson, M., 2018. Self-building as a practice of homemaking: the affective spaces of unfinished homes. In: M. Benson and I. Hamiduddin, eds. London: UCL Press.
- Benson, M. and Hamiduddin, I., 2018. *Self-Build Homes: Social Discourse, Experiences and Directions*. London: UCL Press.
- Bergamo, M., 2020. Ocupações em São Paulo se organizam para evitar transmissão de Covid-19. *Folha de S.Paulo*, 17 May.
- Beumer, P.T. and Mannsur, A., 2016. *Beantwoording Schriftelijke Vragen Ik Bouw Voor Een Ander*. Almere: DSO. Gemeente Almere.
- Blandy, S., 2013. Collective property: owning and sharing residential space, in: N. Hopkins (Ed) *Modern Studies in Property Law: Vol. 7*, pp. 151–172 (Oxford: Hart Publications).
- Blandy, S., Dixon, J., and Dupuis, A., 2006. *Theorising Power*

- Relationships in Multi-owned Residential Developments: Unpacking the Bundle of Rights. *Urban Studies*, 43 (13), 2365–2383.
- Blandy, S. and Goodchild, B., 1999. From Tenure to Rights: Conceptualizing the Changing Focus of Housing Law in England. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 16 (1), 31–42.
- Blomley, N., 2004. *Unsettling the City. Urban Land and the Politics of Property*. Routledge: London.
- Blomley, N., 2008. Enclosure, Common Right and the Property of the Poor. *Social & Legal Studies*, 17 (3), 311–331.
- Boelhouwer, P., Van der Heijden, H., Van De Ven, B., Peter, Boelhouwer., Van der Heijden, H., and Van De Ven, B., 1997. Management of social rented housing in Western Europe. *Housing Studies*, 12 (4), 509–529.
- Boonstra, B. and Boelens, L., 2011. Self-organization in urban development: towards a new perspective on spatial planning. *Urban Research & Practice*, 4 (2), 99–122.
- Boonstra, B. and Lofvers, W., 2017. Rotterdam: Do-It-Yourself Assemblages in Urban Regeneration. *disP - The Planning Review*, 53 (1), 6–17.
- Bossuyt, D. and van der Horst, A., 2018. Opdrachtgeverschap in de woningbouw. *Rooilijn*, 3 (51).
- Bossuyt, D., Salet, W., and Majoor, S., 2018. Commissioning as cornerstone of self-build housing. Assessing the constraints and opportunities of self-build in the Netherlands. *Land Use Policy*.
- Bossuyt, D.M., 2020. The value of self-build: understanding the aspirations and strategies of owner-builders in the Homeruskwartier, Almere. *Housing Studies*, 1–18.
- Bossuyt, D., 2021. Uma análise institucional do desenvolvimento da habitação cooperativa na Holanda [An institutional analysis of the development of cooperative housing in the Netherlands]. In: C. D'Ottaviano, ed. *Habitação, Autogestão e Cidade: um outro olhar necessário*. Rio de Janeiro: Letra Capital.
- Bossuyt, D.M., 2021. Who owns collaborative housing? A conceptual typology of property regimes. *Housing, Theory and Society*
- Bower, R., 2016. Who Decides and Who Provides? The Anarchistic Housing Practices of John Turner as Realizations of Henri Lefebvre's Autogestive Space. *Alternatives: Global, Local, Political*, 41 (2), 83–97.
- Bower, R., 2017. Forgotten Plotlanders: Learning from the Survival of Lost Informal Housing in the UK. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 34 (1),

79–105.

- Brandsen, T. and Helderma, J.-K., 2012. The Trade-Off Between Capital and Community: The Conditions for Successful Co-production in Housing. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 23 (4), 1139–1155.
- Bredenoord, J. and van Lindert, P., 2010. Pro-poor housing policies: Rethinking the potential of assisted self-help housing. *Habitat International*, 34 (3), 278–287.
- Bronzini, M., 2016. Contested issues surrounding social sustainability and self-building in Italy. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 6718 (January), 1–21.
- Brown, R., 2007. Identity and Narrativity in Homes Made by Amateurs. *Home Cultures*, 4 (November), 261–285.
- Brown, R., 2008. Designing differently: The self-build home. *Journal of Design History*, 21 (4), 359–370.
- Bruun, M.H., 2015. Communities and the commons: Open access and community ownership of the urban commons. In: *Urban commons: Rethinking the city*. Routledge, 153–170.
- Bruun, M.H., 2018. The financialization of Danish cooperatives and the debasement of a collective housing good. *Critique of Anthropology*, 38 (2), 140–155.
- Buitelaar, E., 2010. Window on the netherlands: cracks in the myth: challenges to land policy in the netherlands. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie*, 101 (3), 349–356.
- Buitelaar, E., Feenstra, S., Galle, M., Lekkerkerker, J., Sorel, N., and Tennekes, J., 2012. *Vormgeven aan de Spontane Stad: belemmeringen en kansen voor organische stedelijke herontwikkeling*. Den Haag.
- Bunce, S., 2016. Pursuing Urban Commons: Politics and Alliances in Community Land Trust Activism in East London. *Antipode*, 48 (1), 134–150.
- Caffentzis, G. and Federici, S., 2014. Commons against and beyond capitalism. *Community Development Journal*, 49 (suppl 1), i92–i105.
- Caldeira, T., 2009. Marginality, Again. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 33 (3), 848–853.
- Caldeira, T., 2017. Peripheral urbanization: Autoconstruction, transversal logics, and politics in cities of the global south. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 35 (1), 3–20.

- Camargo, C.M.D., 2016. *Minha Casa Minha Vida Entidades: entre os direitos, as urgências e os negócios*. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Van der Cammen, H. and De Klerk, L., 2012. *The selfmade land*. Amsterdam: Spectrum.
- Capra, F. and Mattei, U., 2015. *The ecology of law: toward a legal system in tune with nature and community*. Oakland: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Carmon, N., 2002. User-controlled Housing: Desirability and Feasibility. *European Planning Studies*, 10 (3), 285–303.
- Carriou, C., 2014. Propriété privée, propriété de soi et sens du collectif. La « coopérative d’habitat Le Grand Portail » à Nanterre (France). *Espaces et sociétés*, n° 156-157 (1), 213–227.
- CBS, 2018. Bouwvergunningen woonruimten; type, opdrachtgever, eigendom, gemeente [online]. *Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek*. Available from: <https://opendata.cbs.nl/statline/#/CBS/nl/dataset/83671NED/table?ts=1547037815860> [Accessed 9 Jan 2019].
- CBS, 2019. Wijk- en buurtstatistieken. Kerncijfers wijken en buurten 2004-2018 [online]. *Centraal Bureau voor de Statistiek*. Available from: <https://www.cbs.nl/nl-nl/dossier/nederland-regionaal/wijk-en-buurtstatistieken> [Accessed 11 Jan 2019].
- Chatterton, P., 2013. Towards an agenda for post-carbon cities. Lessons from Lilac, the UK’s first ecological, affordable cohousing community. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 37 (5), 1654–1674.
- Chatterton, P., 2016. Building transitions to post-capitalist urban commons. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 41 (4), 403–415.
- Chiodelli, F., 2015. What is really different between cohousing and gated communities? *European Planning Studies*, 23 (12), 2566–2581.
- Christman, J.P., 1994. *The myth of property: toward an egalitarian theory of ownership*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Clapham, D., 2011. “I Wouldn’t Start From Here”: Some Reflections on the Analysis of Housing Markets. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 28 (3), 288–291.
- Clapham, D., Kintrea, K., and McAdam, G., 1993. Individual Self-provision and the Scottish Housing System. *Urban Studies*, 30 (8), 1355–1369.
- Collinson, P., 2018. Is custom build the future of housing? *The Guardian*, 10 Feb.
- Crawford, J. and McKee, K., 2018. Privileging the “Objective”: Understanding the State’s Role in Shaping Housing Aspirations. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 35 (1), 94–112.

- Czischke, D., 2017. Collaborative housing and housing providers : towards an analytical framework of multi- stakeholder collaboration in housing co- production. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 1247.
- Czischke, D., Carriou, C., and Lang, R., 2020. Collaborative Housing in Europe: Conceptualizing the Field. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 37 (1), 1–9.
- Dardot, P. and Laval, C., 2019. *Common: On Revolution in the 21st Century*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Davidson, M. and Leather, P., 2000. Choice or necessity? A review of the role of DIY in tackling housing repair and maintenance. *Construction Management and Economics*, 18 (7), 747–756.
- Davies, M., 1999. Queer Property, Queer Persons: Self-Ownership and Beyond. *Social & Legal Studies*, 8 (3), 327–352.
- Davoudi, S. and Madanipour, A., 2015. *Reconsidering Localism*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Dawkins, C., 2020. The right to housing in an ownership society. *Housing and Society*, 47 (2), 81–102.
- De Angelis, M. and Stavrides, S., 2010. On the commons: A public interview with Massimo De Angelis and Stavros Stavrides. *An Architektur*, (17).
- De Decker, P., 2008. Facets of housing and housing policies in Belgium. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 23 (3), 155–171.
- De Nieuwe Meent, 2020. De Nieuwe Meent. Een wooncoöperatie volledig georganiseerd rondom de principes van commoning. [online]. Available from: <https://nieuwemeent.nl/> [Accessed 5 Sep 2020].
- De Teil, 2011. Zelfbeheer afspraken de Teil, bij het huurcontract. Rotterdam.
- Dekel, T., 2020. The institutional perspective on informal housing. *Habitat International*, 106, 102287.
- Dewey, J., 1922. The nature of aims. In: *Human Nature and Conduct: An Introduction to Social Psychology*. New York: Modern Library, 223–237.
- DiMaggio, P.J. and Powell, W.W., 1983. The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American sociological review*, 147–160.
- Dingle, T., 1999. Self-help Housing and Co-operation in Post-war Australia. *Housing Studies*, 14 (3), 341–354.
- Diogo, E., 2004. Habitação social no contexto da reabilitação urbana na Área Central de São Paulo. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Dobbins, T., 2018. How Could Modern Self-Build Communities Challenge

- the Role of the Architect? [online]. *ArchDaily*. Available from: [https:// www.archdaily.com/895190/how-could-modern-self-build-communities-challenge-the-role-of-the-architect](https://www.archdaily.com/895190/how-could-modern-self-build-communities-challenge-the-role-of-the-architect) [Accessed 19 Aug 2019].
- Dol, K., Lennartz, C., and De Decker, P., 2012. Self-Provided Housing in Developed Societies. In: S.J. Smith, ed. *International Encyclopedia of Housing and Home*. San Diego: Elsevier, 310–315.
- Doling, J., 1999. De-commodification and Welfare: Evaluating Housing Systems. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 16 (4), 156–164.
- Donoso, R.E. and Elsinga, M., 2018. Management of low-income condominiums in Bogotá and Quito: the balance between property law and self-organisation. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 18 (2), 312–334.
- D'Ottaviano, C., 2021. *O Programa Minha Casa Minha Vida Entidades: crítica necessária versus consolidação dos avanços*. Rio de Janeiro: Letra Capital.
- D'Ottaviano, C., Pasternak, S., Bassani, J., and Santo Amore, C., 2020. The institutionalisation of self-build governance: exemplifying governance relationships in São Paulo/Brazil/Latin America. In: *The Self-Build Experience: Institutionalization, Place-Making and City Building*. Polity Press.
- Duivesteijn, A., 1998. *Partiële herziening Planologische Kernbeslissing Nationaal Ruimtelijk Beleid. Gewijzigde motie van het lid Duivesteijn c.s., ter vervanging van die gedrukt onder nr.5*. Tweede Kamer der Staten Generaal.
- Duivesteijn, A., 1999. De-zeggenschap-over-het-wonen-behoort-de-burgers-toe.pdf. *Architectuur Lokaal*, 22.
- Duncan, S. and Rowe, A., 1993. Self-provided Housing: The First World's Hidden Housing Arm. *Urban Studies*, 30 (8), 1331–1354.
- Dunning, R.J., 2017. Competing Notions of Search for Home: Behavioural Economics and Housing Markets. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 34 (1), 21–37.
- Durst, N.J. and Wegmann, J., 2017. Informal Housing in the United States. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 41 (2), 282–297.
- Earle, L., 2012. From Insurgent to Transgressive Citizenship: Housing, Social Movements and the Politics of Rights in São Paulo. *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 44 (1), 97–126.
- Earle, L., 2017. *Transgressive Citizenship and the Struggle for Social Justice*. Cham: Springer International Publishing.

- Eckardt, F., Heijne, K., Hinterleitner, J., Roos, C., and Tellinga, J., eds., 2013. *Hoe bouwt de particuliere opdrachtgever?* Rotterdam: nai010.
- Euler, J., 2018. Conceptualizing the Commons: Moving Beyond the Goods-based Definition by Introducing the Social Practices of Commoning as Vital Determinant. *Ecological Economics*, 143, 10–16.
- Expertteam Eigenbouw, 2014. *Betaalbaar particulier opdrachtgeverschap*. Rijksdienst voor Ondernemend Nederland. Ministerie van Binnenlandse Zaken en Woningbouw.
- Faludi, A. and Valk, A.J. van der, 1994. *Rule and Order Dutch Planning Doctrine in the Twentieth Century*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Fennell, L., 2011. Ostrom's Law: Property rights in the commons. *International Journal of the Commons*, 5 (1), 9–27.
- Fenster, M., 1999. Community by Covenant, Process, and Design: Cohousing and the Contemporary Common Interest Community. *Journal of Land Use & Environmental Law*, 15 (1), 3–54.
- Fernandes, E., 2007. Implementing the urban reform agenda in Brazil. *Environment and Urbanization*, 19 (1), 177–189.
- Fernandes, E., 2014. The Challenges of Reforming the Urban Legal Framework: A Critical Assessment of Brazil's City Statute Ten Years Later Part III: Environmental and Natural Resources Law. *World Bank Legal Review*, 5, 279–292.
- Fierro, A., 2020. The MTST Politics of Social Rights: Counter-Conducts, Acts of Citizenship and a Radical Struggle Beyond Housing. *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society*.
- Fisker, J.K., Chiappini, L., Pugalis, L., and Bruzzese, A., 2018. *The Production of Alternative Urban Spaces: An International Dialogue*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Foeken, G. and Van der Wouden, R., 2009. *Evaluatie Ontwikkelcompetitie Homeruskwartier Conclusies. Eindrapportage*. SEV: Rotterdam.
- Forrest, R. and Hirayama, Y., 2015. The financialisation of the social project: Embedded liberalism, neoliberalism and home ownership. *Urban Studies*, 52 (2), 233–244.
- Forrest, R. and Williams, P., 1984. Commodification and Housing: Emerging Issues and Contradictions. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 16 (9), 1163–1180.
- Foster, S. and Bonilla, D., 2011. The Social Function of Property: A

- Comparative Law Perspective. *Fordham Law Review*, 80 (101).
- Fromm, D., 1991. *Collaborative Communities: Cohousing, Central Living, and Other New Forms of Housing with Shared Facilities*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Fromm, D., 2010. Seeding Community : Collaborative Housing as a Strategy for Social and Neighbourhood Repair. *Journal of Built Environment*, 38 (3).
- Fromm, D., 2012. Seeding Community: Collaborative housing as a strategy for social and neighbourhood repair. *Built Environment*, 38 (3), 364–394.
- Ganapati, S., 2010. Enabling Housing Cooperatives: Policy Lessons from Sweden, India and the United States. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34 (2), 365–380.
- García-Lamarca, M. and Kaika, M., 2016. ‘Mortgaged lives’: the biopolitics of debt and housing financialisation. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 41 (3), 313–327.
- Gemeente Almere, 2006. Ik bouw mijn huis in Almere. Competitie voor ontwikkelaars als onderdeel van het programma ikbouwmijnhuisinalmere, 20 Dec. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2009a. *Homeruskwartier Oost. kom bouwen op je eigen manier*. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2009b. *Meerjarenprogramma IkbouwmijnhuisinAlmere 2010 - 2014*. Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2012. *Programmarekening 2011*. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2013. *Programmarekening 2012*. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2016. *Evaluatie bouwen voor een ander*. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2017. *Programmarekening 2016*. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Gemeente Almere, 2018. Kavelkaart [online]. *Ik bouw mijn huis in Almere*. Available from: <http://www.ikbouwmijnhuisinalmere.nl/meerweten/kavelkaart/> [Accessed 22 May 2018].
- Gerber, J.-D. and Gerber, J.-F., 2017. Decommodification as a foundation for ecological economics. *Ecological Economics*, 131, 551–556.
- Gerrichhauzen, L., 1987. *Woningcorporaties. Een beleidsanalyse*. The Hague: Staatsuitgeverij.
- Gerring, J., 2004. What Is a Case Study and What Is It Good for? *The American Political Science Review*, 98 (2), 341–354.

- Gibson-Graham, J.K., Cameron, J., and Healey, S., 2016. Commoning as a Postcapitalist Politics. Releasing the Commons: Rethinking the futures of the commons. *In: A. Amin and P. Howell, eds. Releasing the Commons: Rethinking the futures of the commons.* London: Routledge.
- Gohn, M.D.G.M., 1991. *Movimentos sociais e lutas pela moradia. Edições Loyola.* São Paulo: Edições Loyola.
- Grostein, M.D., 2001. Metr pole e expans o urbana: a persist ncia de processors ‘insustentav is’. *S o Paulo em Perspectiva*, 15 (1), 13–19.
- Guy, S. and Henneberry, J., 2000. Understanding Urban Development Processes: Integrating the Economic and the Social in Property Research. *Urban Studies*, 37 (13), 2399–2416.
- Guy, S. and Henneberry, J., 2002a. Bridging the divide? Complementary perspectives on property. *Urban Studies*, 39 (8), 1471–1478.
- Guy, S. and Henneberry, J., 2002b. *Development and Developers : perspectives on property.* Blackwell Science.
- Hagbert, P., ed., 2020. *Contemporary co-housing in Europe: towards sustainable cities?* Milton Park, Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Hall, P., 2014. *Cities of Tomorrow: An Intellectual History of Urban Planning and Design in the Twentieth Century.* 4th ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hamiduddin, I., 2015. Neighbourhood Planning Strategies in Feiburg, Germany. *Social Sustainability, Residential Design and Demographic Balance*, 86 (April 2014), 29–52.
- Hamiduddin, I. and Gallent, N., 2015. Self-build communities: the rationale and experiences of group-build (Baugruppen) housing development in Germany. *Housing Studies*, 3037 (November), 1–19.
- Harloe, M., 1995. *The People’s Home? Social Rented Housing in Europe and America.* Oxford: Blackwell.
- Harms, H., 1982. Historical perspectives on the practice and purpose of self-help housing. *In: PM Ward, editor, 15-55.*
- Harris, R., 1998. The silence of the experts: “Aided self-help housing”, 1939–1954. *Habitat International*, 22 (2), 165–189.
- Harris, R., 1999. Aided self-help housing, a case of Amnesia: editor’s introduction. *Housing Studies*, 14 (3), 277–280.
- Harvey, D., 2006. *The limits to capital.* London; New York: Verso.
- Harvey, D., 2011. The Future of the Commons. *Radical History Review*, 2011 (109), 101–107.
- Harvey, D., 2012. *Rebel cities: from the right to the city to the urban revolution.* New

- York: Verso.
- Healey, P., 1998. Regulating property development and the capacity of the development industry. *Journal of Property research*, 15 (September 1994), 211–227.
- Healey, P., 2004. Creativity and Urban Governance. *disP - The Planning Review*, 40 (158).
- Healey, P. and Barrett, S.M., 1990. Structure and Agency in Land and Property Development Processes : Some Ideas for Research. *Urban Studies*, 27 (1), 89–103.
- van der Heijden, H., Dol, K., and Oxley, M., 2011. Western European housing systems and the impact of the international financial crisis. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 26 (3), 295–313.
- Het Rotterdams Woongenootschap, 2017. *Acte van oprichting en statuten*. Rotterdam: Fokkema Linssen. Notarissen te Rotterdam.
- Hodkinson, S.N., 2012. The Return of the Housing Question. *Ephemera: theory and politics in organization*, 12, 423–444 (22).
- Holston, J., 2009. *Insurgent Citizenship Disjunctions of Democracy and Modernity in Brazil*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Homeruskwartier Centrum. Zelf bouwen.*, 2010. Almere: Gemeente Almere.
- Houben, F., 1989. Kwaliteitsbeleid voor de Nederlandse woningvoorraad. Delft, TU Delft.
- Hulse, K., 2008. Shaky Foundations: Moving Beyond “Housing Tenure”. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 25 (3), 202–219.
- Huron, A., 2015. Working with Strangers in Saturated Space: Reclaiming and Maintaining the Urban Commons. *Antipode*, 47 (4), 963–979.
- Jacobs, J., 1961. *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Jakobsen, P. and Larsen, H.G., 2018. An alternative for whom? The evolution and socio-economy of Danish cohousing. *Urban Research & Practice*, 0 (0), 1–17.
- Janssen, T., Huisman, M., and Gorter, H., 2011. *Woononderzoek Almere 2011*. Almere: Gemeente Almere/ SBZ/Onderzoek & Statistiek.
- Jarvis, H., 2015. Community-led Housing and ‘Slow’ Opposition to Corporate Development: Citizen Participation as Common Ground? *Geography Compass*, 4, 202–213.
- Joas, H., 1996. *The creativity of action*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Jonkman, A. and Janssen-Jansen, L., 2015. The “squeezed middle” on the

- Dutch housing market: how and where is it to be found? *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 30 (3), 509–528.
- Kara-José, B., 2010. A popularização do centro de São Paulo: um estudo de transformações ocorridas nos últimos 20 anos. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- van Kernenbeek, L., 2021. Creating the city. Step-by-step. Wageningen University, Wageningen.
- van Kernenbeek, L. and Janssen-Jansen, L., 2018. Playing by the rules? Analysing incremental urban developments. *Land Use Policy*, 72, 402–409.
- Klink, J. and Denaldi, R., 2016. On urban reform, rights and planning challenges in the Brazilian metropolis. *Planning Theory*, 15 (4), 402–417.
- Koole, C. and Kämäna, R., 2014. *De democratie van het zand*. Amsterdam: Prometheus/Bert Bakker.
- Kuckartz, U., 1991. Ideal types or empirical types: the case of Max Weber's empirical research. *Bulletin of Sociological Methodology/Bulletin de Méthodologie Sociologique*, 44-53.
- Kuyucu, T., 2014. Law, Property and Ambiguity: The Uses and Abuses of Legal Ambiguity in Remaking Istanbul's Informal Settlements. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 38 (2), 609–627.
- Lago, L.C. do, 2012. *Autogestão habitacional no Brasil. Utopias e contradições*. Rio de Janeiro: Letra Capital.
- Lago, L.C. do, 2017. A produção autogestionária do habitat popular e a requalificação da vida urbana. In: A.L. Cardoso, T.A. Aragão, and S.T. Jaenisch, eds. *22 anos de política habitacional no Brasil: da euforia à crise*. Rio de Janeiro: Letra Capital, 133–155.
- Lang, R., Carriou, C., and Czischke, D., 2018. Collaborative Housing Research (1990–2017): A Systematic Review and Thematic Analysis of the Field. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 1–30.
- Lang, R. and Stoeger, H., 2017. The role of the local institutional context in understanding collaborative housing models: empirical evidence from Austria. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 1247 (February), 1–20.
- Larsen, H.G., 2019. Three phases of Danish cohousing: tenure and the development of an alternative housing form. *Housing Studies*, 0 (0), 1–23.
- Lefebvre, H., 1991. *Critique of everyday life*. London: Verso.

- Lefebvre, H., 1996. *Writings on cities*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Lefebvre, H., 2003. *The urban revolution*. Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press.
- Lehavi, A., 2008. How Property Can Create, Maintain, or Destroy Community. *Theoretical Inquiries in Law*, 10 (1), 43–76.
- Lehavi, A., 2016. Residential Communities in a Heterogeneous Society: The Case of Israel. In: A. Lehavi, ed. *Private Communities and Urban Governance: Theoretical and Comparative Perspectives*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 95–125.
- Lima, B.A.A. de, 2016. A promoção de habitação social por meio da reabilitação de edifícios vazios e subutilizados no centro de São Paulo: uma abordagem socioambiental. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Lima, B.A.A. de, 2019. A Promoção de Habitação Social por meio da Reabilitação de Edifícios Vazios no Centro de São Paulo: avaliação de dezesseis anos de experiências (2001-2016). *Planejamento e Políticas Públicas*, 53.
- Linebaugh, P., 2008. *The Magna Carta manifesto: Liberties and commons for all*. University of California Press.
- Lloyd, M.G., Peel, D., and Janssen-Jansen, L.B., 2015. Self-build in the UK and Netherlands: mainstreaming self-development to address housing shortages? *Urban, Planning and Transport Research*, 3 (1), 19–31.
- Lörzing, H., Klemm, W., Leeuwen, M.V., and Soekimin, S., 2006. *Vinex! Een morfologische verkenning*. Rotterdam: NAI.
- Lowndes, V. and Roberts, M., 2013. *Why Institutions Matter. The New Institutionalism in Political Science*. London: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Mackay, M. and Perkins, H.C., 2017. DIY Dreams and the Potential of Home. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 0 (0), 1–17.
- Madanipour, A., 2017. *Cities in time: temporary urbanism and the future of the city*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Madden, D. and Marcuse, P., 2016. *In defense of housing. The politics of crisis*. London: Verso.
- Manzi, T. and Bill, S.-B., 2005. Gated Communities as Club Goods: Segregation or Social Cohesion? *Housing Studies*, 20 (2).
- March, J.G. and Olsen, J.P., 2006. Elaborating the “new institutionalism”. *The Oxford handbook of political institutions*, 5, 3–20.

- Marques, E., 2013. Government, political actors and governance in urban policies in Brazil and São Paulo: concepts for a future research agenda. *Brazilian Political Science Review*, 7 (3), 8–35.
- Marsh, A. and Gibb, K., 2011. Uncertainty, Expectations and Behavioural Aspects of Housing Market Choices. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 28 (3), 215–235.
- McCabe, B.C., 2011. Homeowners Associations as Private Governments: What We Know, What We Don't Know, and Why It Matters. *Public Administration Review*, 71 (4), 535–542.
- Mcfarlane, C., 2010. The comparative city: Knowledge, learning, urbanism. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34 (4), 725–742.
- McKee, K., 2015. An Introduction to the Special Issue – The Big Society, Localism and Housing Policy: Recasting State–Citizen Relations in an Age of Austerity. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 32 (1), 1–8.
- McKenzie, E., 2003. Common-Interest Housing in the Communities of Tomorrow. *Housing Policy Debate*.
- McKenzie, E., 2006. Emerging trends in state regulation of private communities in the U.S. *GeoJournal*, 66 (1), 89–102.
- Merrill, T.W., 1998. Property and the Right to Exclude. *Nebraska Law review*, 77 (4), 27.
- Meszaros, G., 2000. Taking the Land into their Hands: The Landless Workers' Movement and the Brazilian State. *Journal of Law and Society*, 27 (4), 517–541.
- Mineiro, E.H. and Rodrigues, E., 2012. Do Crédito Solidário ao MCMV Entidades: uma história em construção. In: L.C. do Lago, ed. *Autogestão habitacional no Brasil: utopias e contradições*.
- Ministry of Housing Spatial Planning and the Environment [MVRM], 2001. *Nota Wonen. Mensen, wensen, wonen. Wonen in de 21e eeuw*. The Hague: MVRM.
- Ministry of Housing Spatial Planning and the Environment [MVRM], 2001. *Nota Wonen. Mensen, wensen, wonen. Wonen in de 21e eeuw*. The Hague: MVRM.
- Minora, F., Mullins, D., and Jones, P.A., 2013. Governing for Habitability: Self-organised communities in England and Italy. *International Journal of Co-operative management*, 6 (2).
- Moore, T., 2014. *Affordable homes for local communities: the effects and prospects of community land trusts in England*. St Andrews: University of St Andrews.

- Centre for Housing Research.
- Morado Nascimento, D., 2016. Accessing the Urban Commons Through the Mediation of Information: The Eliana Silva Occupation, Belo Horizonte, Brazil. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 40 (6), 1221–1235.
- Mosciaro, M. and Aalbers, M.B., 2020. Asset-based welfare in Brazil. *Housing Studies*, 35 (2), 367–389.
- Mullins, D. and Moore, T., 2018. Self-organised and civil society participation in housing provision. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 18 (1), 1–14.
- Musch, M., 1999. Poldergrond. *OASE*, 16–31.
- Musterd, S., 2014. Public housing for whom? Experiences in an era of mature neo-liberalism: The Netherlands and Amsterdam. *Housing Studies*, 29(4), 467-484.
- Needham, B., 1997. Land policy in the Netherlands. *Tijdschrift voor economische en sociale geografie*, 88 (3), 291–296.
- Needham, B., 2016. *Dutch Land-use Planning: The Principles and the Practice*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Needham, B. and Kam, G. De, 2004. Understanding how land is exchanged: co-ordination mechanisms and transaction costs. *Urban Studies*, 41 (10), 2061–2076.
- Nelissen, N. and De Vocht, C., 1994. Design control in the Netherlands. *Built Environment*, 20 (2), 142–156.
- Neuendorf, K.A., 2017. *The content analysis guidebook*. Los Angeles: SAGE.
- Nijman, J., 2007. Introduction—Comparative Urbanism. *Urban Geography*, 28 (1), 1–6.
- NUL20.nl, 2010. Tweehonderd ‘betaalbare’ kavels verkocht in Almere [online]. *NUL20.nl*. Available from: <https://www.nul20.nl/tweehonderd-%E2%80%98betaalbare%E2%80%99-kavels-verkocht-almere> [Accessed 28 May 2018].
- Ostrom, E., 1990. *Governing the commons*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom, E. and Hess, C., 2007. Private and common property rights. In: *Encyclopedia of law and economics*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing Limited.
- Page, J., 2010. Common Property and the Age of Aquarius. *Griffith Law Review*, 19 (2), 172–196.
- Parvin, A., Saxby, D., Cerulli, C., and Schneider, T., 2011. *A right to build*.

- Sheffield: School of Architecture, University of Sheffield.
- Pasternak, S. and D'Ottaviano, C., 2016. Rental Housing in Brasil. Limitations and Perspectives. *In: A. Blanco, V. Fretes Cibils, and A.F. Muñoz, eds. Rental Housing Wanted. Options for expanding Housing Policy.* Fiscal and Municipal Management Division. Institutions for Development Sector. Inter-American Development Bank.
- Pasternak, S. and D'Ottaviano, C., 2018. Paradoxes of the Intervention Policy in Favelas in São Paulo: How the Practice Turned Out the Policy. *In: The Routledge Handbook of Institutions and Planning in Action. Edited by: Willem Salet.* Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Patterson, M.E. and Williams, D.R., 2002. *Collecting and analyzing qualitative data: Hermeneutic principles, methods and case examples.* Champaign: Sagamore Publishing.
- Perlman, J., 2017. Cities without Slums are Cities without Soul. *Other Housing Strategies*, 4.
- Pindell, N., 2006. Finding a Right to the City: Exploring Property and Community in Brazil and in the United States. *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, 39 (2), 435–480.
- Pinho, A., 2018. Vazio por anos, prédio é reformado por sem-teto e agora vira exemplo em SP. *Folha de São Paulo*, 5 Apr.
- Preece, J., Crawford, J., McKee, K., Flint, J., and Robinson, D., 2019. Understanding changing housing aspirations: a review of the evidence. *Housing Studies*, 0 (0), 1–20.
- Priemus, H., 1995. How to abolish social housing? The Dutch case. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 19 (1), 145–155.
- Purcell, M., 2003. Citizenship and the right to the global city: reimagining the capitalist world order. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 27 (3), 564–590.
- Purcell, M., 2014. Possible Worlds: Henri Lefebvre and the Right to the City. *Journal of Urban Affairs*, 36 (1), 141–154.
- Purcell, M. and Tyman, S.K., 2015. Cultivating food as a right to the city. *Local Environment*, 20 (10), 1132–1147.
- Qu, L. and Hasselaar, E., 2011. *Making Room for People: Choice, Voice and Liveability in Residential Areas.* Amsterdam: Techne Press.
- Remkes, J.W., 2005. Brief minister over de motie Van Gent/Duivesteijn (kamerstuk 27400 XI, nr. 40), 22 Dec.
- Revilla, B.P., 2020. Shaping energy norms in digital communities: The

- contribution of online discussion boards to questioning energy needs in Amsterdam. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 67, 101586.
- Robinson, J., 2006. *Ordinary cities: between modernity and development*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Robinson, J., 2014. Introduction to a Virtual Issue on Comparative Urbanism. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 1–13.
- Rodermans, J., 2018. Recht op betaalbare zelfbouw. *ROMagazine*, 36 (4).
- Rodrigues, E.L., 2013. A estratégia fundiária dos movimentos populares na produção autogestionária da moradia. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Rodrigues, F.L.L., 2003. Função social da propriedade privada - notas sobre a sua evolução conceitual, (2), 32.
- Rolnik, R., 2014. Place, inhabitation and citizenship: the right to housing and the right to the city in the contemporary urban world. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 14 (3), 293–300.
- Rolnik, R., 2019. *Urban Warfare. Housing Under the Empire of Finance*. London; New York: Verso.
- Ronald, R., 2008. *The Ideology of Home Ownership: Homeowner Societies and the Role of Housing*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Rose, C.M., 2000. Left Brain, Right Brain and History in the New Law and Economics of Property. *Oregon Law review*, 79, 15.
- Rose, C.M., 2006. Introduction: Property and Language, or, the Ghost of the Fifth Panel Symposium - Properties of Carol Rose: A Celebration. *Yale Journal of Law & the Humanities*, 18 (Supplement-Special 2006), 1–28.
- Rosenberg, B.C., 2011. Home Improvement: Domestic Taste, DIY, and the Property Market. *Home Cultures*, 8 (1), 5–23.
- Rosetto Netto, A., 2017. Habitação central. Produção Habitacional no Centro de São Paulo, no âmbito do Programa Minha Casa Minha Vida – Faixa 1. University of São Paulo, São Paulo.
- Rosetto Netto, A. and D’Ottaviano, C., 2019. Habitação em área central via programa Minha Casa Minha Vida Alternativas possíveis. Presented at the Anais XVIII ENANPUR, Natal.
- Roy, A., 2005. Urban Informality: Toward an Epistemology of Planning. *Journal of the American Planning Association*, 71 (2), 147–158.
- Roy, A., 2017. Dis/possessive collectivism: Property and personhood at city’s end. *Geoforum*, 80, A1–A11.
- Ruii, M.L., 2014. Differences between Cohousing and Gated Communities. A

- Literature Review. *Sociological Inquiry*, 84 (2), 316–335.
- Ruonavaara, H., 1993. Types and forms of housing tenure: Towards solving the comparison/translation problem. *Scandinavian Housing and Planning Research*, 10 (1), 3–20.
- Ruonavaara, H., 2012. Tenure as an institution. In: *International Encyclopedia of Housing and Home*.
- Ryan, G.W. and Bernard, H.R., 2003. Techniques to Identify Themes. *Field Methods*, 15 (1), 85–109.
- Salet, W., 2018. *Public Norms and Aspirations: The Turn to Institutions in Action*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Salet, W. and Bossuyt, D., 2020. Contested governance of housing for low-and middle-income groups in European city-regions: the pivotal role of commissioning. *The Self-Build Experience: Institutionalization, Place-Making and City Building*, 43.
- Salet, W., D'Ottaviano, C., Majoor, S., and Bossuyt, D., 2020. *The Self-Build Experience - Institutionalisation, Place-Making and City Building*. Policy Press.
- Samuel, F., 2008. *Field: Alternative Currents*, 2, 111-123.
- dos Santos Cunha, A., 2011. The Social Function of Property in Brazilian Law Symposium: The Social Function of Property: A Comparative Perspective: The Social Function of Property at Work in Latin America. *Fordham Law Review*, 80 (3), 1171–1182.
- Savini, F., 2017a. Self-Organization and Urban Development : Disaggregating the City-Region , Deconstructing Urbanity in Amsterdam. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*.
- Savini, F., 2017b. Planning , uncertainty and risk : The neoliberal logics of Amsterdam urbanism. *Environment and Planning A*.
- Savini, F., Salet, W., and Majoor, S., 2014. Dilemmas of planning: Intervention, regulation and investment. *Planning Theory*, 14, 296–315.
- Scheller, D. and Thörn, H., 2018. Governing ‘Sustainable Urban Development’ Through Self-Build Groups and Co-Housing: The Cases of Hamburg and Gothenburg: *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 42 (5), 914–933.
- Schlager, E. and Ostrom, E., 1992. Property-Rights Regimes and Natural Resources: A Conceptual Analysis. *Land Economics*, 68 (3), 249.
- Scott, J.C., 2012. *Two cheers for anarchism: six easy pieces on autonomy, dignity, and meaningful work and play*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Scott, J.C., 2020. *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human*

- condition have failed.* Yale University Press.
- Singer, J., 2000a. *Entitlement: The Paradoxes of Property.* New Haven & London: Yale University Press.
- Singer, J., 2000b. Property and Social Relations: From Title to Entitlement. *In: Property and values: Alternatives to public and private ownership*,. 3–20. Island Press: Washington DC.
- Smith, S.J. and Munro, M., 2008. The Microstructures of Housing Markets. *Housing Studies*, 23 (2), 159–162.
- Soaita, A.M., 2013. Romanian Suburban Housing : Home Improvement through Owner-building. *Urban Studies*, 50 (August), 2084–2101.
- Soaita, A.M., 2015. The meaning of home in Romania: views from urban owner–occupiers. *Journal of Housing and the Built Environment*, 30 (1), 69–85.
- Sorel, N. and Tennekes, J., 2014. *Bekostiging van publieke voorzieningen bij organische gebiedsontwikkeling.* The Hague: PBL.
- Sørvoll, J. and Bengtsson, B., 2018. Mechanisms of Solidarity in Collaborative Housing – The Case of Co-operative Housing in Denmark 1980–2017. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 0 (0), 1–17.
- de Sousa Santos, B., 1998. Participatory budgeting in Porto Alegre: toward a redistributive democracy. *Politics & society*, 26 (4), 461–510.
- Stapper, E. W. 2020. Do contracts have politics?: Contracts, planning consultants, and urban development in the age of participation. Universiteit van Amsterdam, Amsterdam.
- Stavrides, S., 2016. *Common Space. The City as Commons.* London: Zed Books.
- Stiphany, K.M. and Ward, P.M., 2019. Autogestão in an era of mass social housing: the case of Brazil’s Minha Casa Minha Vida- Entidades Programme. *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 19 (3), 311–336.
- Susser, I. and Tonnelat, S., 2013. Transformative cities: The three urban commons. *Focaal*, 2013 (66), 105–121.
- Tarleton, J., 2018. The power of equity: private motivations and public implications of dissolving affordable housing cooperatives. Boston: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Taşan-Kok, T. and Korthals Altes, W.K., 2012. Rescaling Europe: Effects of Single European Market Regulations on Localized Networks of Governance in Land Development: The Single European Market and local development in the Netherlands. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 36 (6), 1268–1287.

- Tatagiba, L. and Teixeira, A.C.C., 2016. *União Nacional por Moradia Popular and Social Innovation in Housing Policies for the Poor in Brazil*. Antwerp: Herman Deleeck Centre for Social Policy, University of Antwerp, Case Study.
- Tellinga, J., 2002. *Burgerlijke Stedenbouw*. Rotterdam: Architectuur Lokaal & NAI.
- Tellinga, J., 2018. Zelfbouw is remedie tegen groeiende economische ongelijkheid. *Het Financieele Dagblad*, 20 Jan.
- Terlouw, E., 1999. Een eigen huis. A house of one's own. *OASE*, 35 (1), 171–187.
- Thompson, M., 2015. Between Boundaries: From Commoning and Guerrilla Gardening to Community Land Trust Development in Liverpool. *Antipode*, 47 (4).
- Thompson, M., 2018. From Co-Ops to Community Land Trusts: Tracing the Historical Evolution and Policy Mobilities of Collaborative Housing Movements. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 0 (0), 1–19.
- Thompson, M., 2020. *Reconstructing public housing: Liverpool's hidden history of collective alternatives*. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.
- Tsukumo, I.T.L., 2007. Habitação social no centro de São Paulo: legislação, produção, discurso. São Paulo: University of São Paulo.
- Tummers, L., 2015. Understanding co-housing from a planning perspective: why and how? *Urban Research & Practice*, 8 (1), 64–78.
- Turner, J., 1972. *Freedom to Build*. Basingstoke: Macmillan.
- Turner, J., 1991. Foreword. In: K. Mathey, ed. *Beyond self-help*. London: Mansell, xi–xiv.
- Turner, J., 1968. Housing priorities, settlement patterns, and urban development in modernizing countries. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 34 (6), 354–363.
- UNMP, 2012. *O que muda com a nova resolução do Ministério das Cidades no programa Minha Casa Minha Vida Entidades*. São Paulo: UNMP.
- Urban, F., 2013. *Tower and slab: histories of global mass housing*. Abingdon-on-Thames: Routledge.
- Van der Schaar, J., 1987. *Groei en bloei van het Nederlandse volkshuisvestingsbeleid*. Delft: TU Delft.
- Van der Vegt, J., Adriaanse, C., and Jansen, S., 2014. *Proces, kosten en tevredenheid bij zelfbouw in Almere*. Delft: TU Delft.
- Van der Zande, C., 2018. *Wooncoöperatie de Warren*. Amsterdam: De Warren.
- Verhage, R. and Needham, B., 1997. Negotiating about the Residential

- Environment: It is Not Only Money that Matters, 34 (12), 2053–2068.
- Verma, N., ed., 2007. *Institutions and planning*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Vidal, L., 2018. The politics of creditor–debtor relations and mortgage payment strikes: The case of the Uruguayan Federation of Mutual-Aid Housing Cooperatives. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*, 50 (6), 1189–1208.
- Vidal, L., 2019. Cooperative Islands in Capitalist Waters: Limited-equity Housing Cooperatives, Urban Renewal and Gentrification. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 43 (1), 157–178.
- VNG, NEPROM, MVRM, and NVB, 2006. *De prijs van kwaliteit. Handreiking voor gemeentelijk grondprijsbeleid bij woningbouw*. Den Haag: Ecorys.
- Vogel, J., Lind, H., and Lundqvist, P., 2016. Who is Governing the Commons: Studying Swedish Housing Cooperatives. *Housing, Theory and Society*, 33 (4), 424–444.
- Von Benda-Beckmann, F., 1995. Anthropological approaches to property law and economics. *European Journal of Law and Economics*, 2.
- Vreeze, A., 1993. Woningbouw, inspiratie & ambities. Kwalitatieve grondslagen van de sociale woningbouw in Nederland. TU Delft, Delft.
- De Vries, J., 2015. Planning and Culture Unfolded: The Cases of Flanders and the Netherlands. *European Planning Studies*, 23 (11), 2148–2164.
- Wakeman, R., 1999. Reconstruction and the self-help housing movement: the French experience. *Housing Studies*, 14 (3), 355–366.
- Wallace, A., 2012. Shared Ownership: Satisfying Ambitions for Homeownership? *International Journal of Housing Policy*, 12 (2), 205–226.
- Wallace, A., Ford, J., and Quilgars, D., 2013. *Build-it-yourself? Understanding the changing landscape of the UK self-build market*. York: University of York & Lloyds Banking Group.
- Ward, C., 1976. *Housing. An Anarchist Approach*. London: Freedom Press.
- Watts, J., 2017. Resistance! São Paulo’s homeless seize the city. *The Guardian*, 27 Nov.
- Webb, B. and Webber, S., 2017. The implications of condominium neighbourhoods for long-term urban revitalisation. *Cities*, 61, 48–57.
- Whitehead, C. and Scanlon, K.J., 2007. *Social housing in Europe*. London: London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Williams, J., 2005. Designing Neighbourhoods for Social Interaction: The Case of Cohousing. *Journal of Urban Design*, 10 (2), 195–227.
- Williams, M.J., 2018. Urban commons are more-than-property. *Geographical*

Research, 56 (1), 16–25.

- Yip, N.-M., 2010. Management rights in multi-owned properties in Hong Kong. *In*: S. Blandy, J. Dixon, and A. Dupuis, eds. *Multi-owned housing. Law, power and practice*. Ashgate Publishing Limited, 109–124.
- Yip, N.M. and Forrest, R., 2002. Property Owning Democracies? Home Owner Corporations in Hong Kong. *Housing Studies*, 17 (5), 703–720.

This dissertation is based on the following articles

Chapter 2: Commissioning as the cornerstone of self-build

Bossuyt, D., Salet, W., & Majoor, S. (2018). Commissioning as the cornerstone of self-build. Assessing the constraints and opportunities of self-build housing in the Netherlands. *Land use policy*, 77, 524-533.

Data was collected and analyzed by the PhD candidate. The paper was jointly written by the PhD candidate, W. Salet and S. Majoor.

Chapter 3: The value of self-build

Bossuyt, D.M. (2020). The value of self-build: understanding the aspirations and strategies of owner-builders in the Homeruskwartier, Almere. *Housing Studies*.

Chapter 4: Who owns collaborative housing?

Bossuyt, D.M. (2021). Who owns collaborative housing? A conceptual typology of property regimes. *Housing, Theory, Society*.

Chapter 5: Maintaining housing commons

Bossuyt, D.M. & D'Ottaviano, C. (2021). Maintaining housing commons. An empirical analysis of the consolidation of housing occupations into low-income housing in São Paulo, Brazil

Data were jointly collected and analyzed by the PhD candidate and C. D'Ottaviano. Interviews conducted by C. D'Ottaviano. The paper was jointly written by the PhD candidate and C. D'Ottaviano. The paper is currently under review.

Dissertation Summary

The topic of this dissertation is self-build housing, understood as a wide array of activities in which people commission the production of housing for their own use. Across the world, households face growing housing constraints. People rely upon housing provided by state and market actors, but these fail to guarantee the right to adequate and affordable housing. Concomitantly, there has been increased attention to self-building as a means of fulfilling a gap uncatered for by state and markets.

The urban city-regions of the Global South are acknowledged for their long history of self-building by low- and middle-incomes. Across Brazilian cities, self-built peripheries are a common pattern. These are acknowledged for their intrinsic social value, providing affordable and qualitative housing. Researchers studying housing in the Global North have largely ignored self-building, considering it a phenomenon exclusive to 'underdeveloped' or housing systems. Yet, throughout Europe there is increasing attention to active resident involvement in housing provision at a time of growing housing constraints and ongoing residualization of social housing. In the Netherlands, the promotion of self-building relates to the popularization of incremental development strategies and a wider discontent with rational-comprehensive planning.

In both locales, spatial governance efforts have sought to build on active resident involvement in housing provision. This calls attention to the capacity of self-building to alleviate the ills associated with our housing systems. Still, self-building continues to be primarily associated with informal housing practices in the Global South, whereas it has remained under acknowledged in the context of the Global North. Existing accounts of self-building have tended to foreground it as an act of economic self-sufficiency or structuralist urbanization, whereas the mediating role of institutional conditions remain underexplored.

This dissertation sets out to explore the activation of residents in housing provision through self-building activities. It specifically attends to the relationship between spatial governance and self-building in different contexts, scrutinizing the central conditioning dimension of property. It engages with calls for urban comparativism by bringing together housing experiences from contexts considered vastly different: the Netherlands and Brazil. It brings

these accounts onto the same analytical plane to illuminate the diversity of self-building activities. It aims to reject the incommensurability of urban self-building experiences in different locales, unsettling the dominant frame of self-building as an isolated, informal phenomenon.

The research reported here draws upon a comparative case-study of self-build housing governance in the metropolitan areas of Amsterdam in the Netherlands and São Paulo in Brazil. In the Netherlands it engages with the *Homeruskwartier*, the largest state-assisted self-building scheme in Europe. In Brazil it draws upon the experience of *Minha Casa Minha-Vida Entidades*, a federal housing programme that reconciles resident self-management with housing provision. The dissertation studies the self-managed consolidation of two buildings into low-income housing in central São Paulo. In both cases it juxtaposes qualitative analyses of self-building experiences with the way in which self-building is embedded into the dynamics of urban development.

To this end, the dissertation formulates the central question of ‘how self-build housing for low- and middle-incomes interacts with the institutional dynamics of spatial governance in the Netherlands and Brazil’. This central question is answered through three sub-questions pertaining to the interaction between state actors and self-building as a mode of housing provision; the experiences of actors in self-building; and the conceptualization and effects of property relations. This dissertation is structured according to four scientific research articles that have been published by, or submitted for publication to peer-reviewed scientific journals.

Theoretically the dissertation connects a qualitative analysis of the experiences and values of self-builders with a study of governance and policy arrangements for housing. Self-building is conceptualized as an actualization of the right to the city. The relationship between different actors is understood through a new institutionalist lens of contested spatial governance. The dissertation heeds central attention to the mediating influence of property norms. The research speaks to calls for more multi-polar modes of urban theory. It goes beyond a comparison of most similar cases or rankings of urban contexts. Instead, it seeks to recognize and explore the diversity of social processes with respect to the governance of self-building.

The case-studies

In the second chapter, the dissertation scrutinizes the relationship between self-building activities and the wider planning and housing regime in the Netherlands. This chapter shows how interdependent oligopolies of municipalities, housing corporations and developers have suppressed the involvement of residents in housing provision. The conditions of land development stand at odds with the preconditions of self-building. The chapter introduces the Homeruskwartier in Almere, one of the largest state-led self-building schemes in 21st century Europe. This neighbourhood indicates how a reconfiguration of actors' positions is necessary to put self-building central in residential urban development.

The third chapter zooms in on the experiences of self-builders in the Homeruskwartier. It sets out to ask why people have decided on building their own homes and how they have done so. The chapter questions the fact that self-builders always pursue use over exchange values. It shows how socio-material conditions impinge upon the aspirations of self-builders, but that these are also constantly reframed through the self-building process. This underlines the indeterminate and experimental character of self-building.

The fourth chapter turns to forms of collective self-building known as collaborative housing. Noting the high diversity in forms, it unpacks and disaggregates these according to the constitutive property relations. Drawing on empirical examples from the Netherlands and elsewhere, the chapter develops the conceptual argument that collaborative housing is based on limited common property, self-governance and sets of internal rules. While it shares these features with other forms of multi-unit housing, it emphasizes how collaborative housing is differentiated through management and commissioning rights in common.

The fifth chapter turns to collective self-building in the context of São Paulo, Brazil. The chapter analyzes the consolidation of occupied vacant real-estate into low-income housing in central São Paulo. It brings forward the experiences of housing movements and resident organizations. The chapter explores the conditions that contribute to the long-term stability of these housing developments as commons. It demonstrates the contradiction

between the aspirations and tactics of housing movements on the one hand, and the conditions imposed by public support in terms of ownership, finance, and spatial form.

Answering the first sub-question, the dissertation reveals a range of mechanisms of interaction, including provision of land, materials, finance, and supportive legal architecture. The dissertation demonstrates that, in the case of Brazil, extensive mobilization has resulted in the redirection of public funds, allowing for the consolidation of low-income housing in central São Paulo. While a progressive legal discursively mobilizes the efforts of self-builders, access to real-estate still runs up against the vagaries of a highly dynamic land market. This renders the self-managed renovation of vacant real-estate effectively dependent upon public donations and concessions. In contrast, the Dutch experience demonstrates how a combination of comprehensiveness, spatial order and actor interdependency have squelched self-building for low- and middle-incomes in urban areas.

With respect to the experiences of residents, the dissertation notes a contrast between the individualized approach of the Homeruskwartier and the key role played by resident organizations. In the highly dynamic Dutch housing market, the penetration of economic rationalities implies that self-builders frequently reconsider economic motives. Moreover, households are responsabilized on an individual level for self-building. In Brazil, organizations affiliated to housing movements work with an array of parties to assume responsibility for the renovation of empty real-estate. These relate primarily to housing in terms of social need solidarity. In both cases, the indeterminacy and experimental nature of self-building are shared feature.

Turning to the issue of property relations, the dissertation underlines the necessity of legal architecture for understanding the progressive capacity of self-build. Spatial governance impinges upon self-building practices through the hegemonic ownership model of property.

In answering the main question, the dissertation argues that the interactive relationship between spatial governance and self-build housing can be understood through three key mechanisms concerning the nomination of a commissioning actor, the regulation of self-building activities, and the

establishment of property relations. The dissertation concludes with a call for a more systematic engagement with the relationship between legal categories and housing models that are not socially, environmentally, and ecologically extractive as represented by notions of commons and commoning.

Samenvatting

Het recht op zelfbouw.

De institutionele dynamiek van zelfbouw in Brazilië & Nederland.

Zelfbouw betreft verschillende vormen van woningbouw waarbij de bewoners een opdrachtgevende rol vervullen. Huishoudens over de gehele wereld ervaren toenemende problemen bij de toegang tot betaalbare woningbouw. Men vertrouwde lang op de staat en de markt om in woningen te voorzien, maar deze verzaken in toenemende mate in het garanderen van adequate en betaalbare huisvesting. Tegelijkertijd groeit de interesse voor zelfbouw als een manier om dit gat op te vullen.

Bij zelfbouw denkt men veelal aan stedelijke gebieden in het mondiale zuiden. Hier voorzien grote groepen mensen met lage en middeninkomens in hun eigen huisvesting. Zo zijn grote perifere zelfbouwwijken een kenmerk van Braziliaanse steden. Wetenschappers en beleidsmakers erkennen de intrinsieke sociale kwaliteiten van deze vorm van huisvesting. Zelfbouw biedt een zekere mate van betaalbaarheid en toegankelijkheid.

De rol van zelfbouw in de steden van het mondiale noorden is echter onderkend. Bij zelfbouw wordt vaak namelijk gedacht aan 'onderontwikkeling' of sloppenwijken. Dit is echter niet helemaal terecht. Ook in Europa is er toenemende aandacht voor de betrokkenheid van burgers bij huisvesting. Deze aandacht komt voort uit toenemende problemen op de woningmarkt en een krimpende sociale huursector. De Nederlandse aandacht voor zelfbouw kan bovendien worden begrepen uit een ontevredenheid met rationele vormen van planning en de populariteit van incrementele gebiedsontwikkeling.

Zowel in het mondiale noorden als in het zuiden ondernemen overheden actieve pogingen om de betrokkenheid van bewoners bij wonen te bevorderen. Dit roept uiteraard de vraag op in welke mate, en hoe, zelfbouw problemen op de woningmarkt kan verzachten of oplossen. De wetenschappelijke literatuur bestudeert zelfbouw voornamelijk als informele huisvesting in het mondiale zuiden, terwijl haar rol in het mondiale noorden onderbelicht blijft. Bovendien wordt zelfbouw vaak verklaard als een daad van individuele zelfvoorziening dan wel als een product van structurele verstedelijking. De mediërende rol van

institutionele condities is vooralsnog onderbelicht gebleven.

Dit proefschrift verkent hoe bewoners actief worden in huisvesting bij verschillende vormen van zelfbouw. Het kijkt specifiek naar de relatie tussen ruimtelijke governance en zelfbouwpraktijken in verschillende contexten. De mediërende rol van eigendomsrelaties staat centraal. Het proefschrift brengt ervaringen samen uit contexten die vaak als radicaal verschillend worden beschouwd. Zo beantwoordt het de roep om vergelijkend stedelijk onderzoek. Het belicht de verscheidenheid aan zelfbouwactiviteiten in Nederland en Brazilië. Op deze manier verwerpt het de onvergelykbaarheid van zelfbouwervaringen in steden uit het zogeheten mondiale zuiden en noorden. Het ontwricht het dominante idee dat zelfbouw per definitie een individueel, geïsoleerd en informeel fenomeen is.

Het onderzoek maakt gebruik van een vergelijkende casestudy van de governance van zelfbouw in de stedelijke gebieden van Amsterdam in Nederland en São Paulo in Brazilië. In Nederland kijkt het naar het Homeruskwartier, een van de grootste zelfbouw gebiedsontwikkelingen in Europa. In Brazilië wordt het Minha Casa Minha Vida-Entidades programme bestudeerd. Dit is een beleidsprogramma van de federale overheid dat zelfbeheer met huisvesting probeert te verenigen. Dit programma maakt het mogelijk voor sociale bewegingen om leegstaande gebouwen te transformeren en renoveren tot sociale huisvesting in het centrum van São Paulo. In beide contexten stelt het proefschrift de ervaringen van bewoners tegenover de manier waarop zelfbouw is ingebed in de dynamiek van stedelijke ontwikkeling.

De centrale vraag van dit proefschrift luidt: 'hoe interacteert zelfbouw voor lage en middeninkomens met de institutionele dynamiek van ruimtelijke governance in Nederland en Brazilië?' Deze hoofdvraag wordt beantwoord door middel van drie sub-vragen, over de interactie tussen overheidsactoren en zelfbouw, de ervaringen van zelfbouwers en de conceptualisering van eigendomsrelaties. Dit proefschrift is gebaseerd op vier wetenschappelijke artikelen die zijn gepubliceerd door of ingediend bij *peer-reviewed* wetenschappelijke tijdschriften.

Het proefschrift bestudeert zelfbouw als een uitoefening van het recht op de

stad. Op theoretisch vlak verbindt het proefschrift een kwalitatieve analyse van de ervaringen en waarden van zelfbouwers met een studie van governance en beleidsarrangementen op het gebied van huisvesting. De relatie tussen verschillende actoren wordt begrepen door een institutionele lens van *contested spatial governance*.

De casussen

Het tweede hoofdstuk verkent de relatie tussen zelfbouw en het bredere planning en huisvestingsregime in Nederland. Dit hoofdstuk laat zien hoe hechte oligopolieën van gemeentes, corporaties en ontwikkelaars de betrokkenheid van bewoners hebben onderdrukt. De condities van grondontwikkeling staan op gespannen voet met de voorwaarden voor zelfbouw. Het tweede hoofdstuk introduceert tevens het Homeruskwartier in Almere, een van de grootste staatsgeleide zelfbouwstrategieën van de 21^{ste} eeuw in Europa. Het Homeruskwartier laat zien hoe de uitwerking van zelfbouw leidt tot een herstructurering van de posities van verschillende actoren in de woningmarkt.

Het derde hoofdstuk stelt de vraag waarom mensen besluiten tot het bouwen van hun eigen huis en hoe ze dit doen. De ervaringen van zelfbouwers in het Homeruskwartier laten zien dat zelfbouwers niet per definitie gebruikswaarden boven ruilwaarden stellen. De aspiraties van zelfbouwers worden niet alleen door sociale en materiële voorwaarden gevormd, ze worden ook constant bijgesteld door het bouwproces heen. Dit laatste benadrukt de onbestemde en experimentele aard van zelfbouw.

In het vierde hoofdstuk komt de conceptualisering van collectieve vormen van zelfbouw aan bod. In de wetenschappelijke literatuur staan deze ook bekend als *collaborative housing*. Collectieve zelfbouw kent een grote verscheidenheid in vorm en organisatie. Het hoofdstuk introduceert een conceptuele typologie van eigendomsrelaties. Het gebruikt hiervoor empirische voorbeelden uit Nederland en elders. Het conceptuele argument luidt dat *collaborative housing* zich onderscheidt door collectief uitgeoefende beheer- en opdrachtgeverschapsrechten.

Hoofdstuk vijf gaat in op collectieve zelfbouw in het centrum van São Paulo. Het bestudeert hoe sociale bewegingen vechten voor de consolidatie en renovatie van leegstaande gebouwen tot huisvesting voor lage inkomens. Het hoofdstuk

verkent de voorwaarden die bijdragen aan de lange termijn stabiliteit van deze woningen als *commons*. Er is een tegenstelling tussen de voorwaarden voor overheidssteun en de strategieën van de bewegingen. Deze drukt zich uit in eigendomsrelaties, financiën en ruimtelijke aspecten.

In een antwoord op de eerste deelvraag onthult dit proefschrift verschillende interacterende mechanismes op het gebied van grondvoorziening, materialen, geld en juridische ondersteuning. Het proefschrift toont hoe in Brazilië uitgebreide sociale mobilisering heeft geleid tot publieke steun voor sociale huisvesting in het centrum van São Paulo. Hoewel een progressief juridisch raamwerk discursief zelfbouwers mobiliseert, blijft toegang tot gebouwen binnen de dynamische vastgoedmarkt van São Paulo een heikel punt. Renovatie in zelfbeheer door lage inkomens is uiterst afhankelijk van donaties en concessies van overheidszijde. In Nederland daarentegen is te zien hoe een combinatie van grootschaligheid, een verlangen naar ruimtelijke orde en actorafhankelijkheid heeft geleid tot de onderdrukking van zelfbouw door lage en middeninkomens in stedelijke gebieden.

De ervaringen van zelfbouwers, onderwerp van de tweede deelvraag, variëren sterk tussen het geïndividualiseerde Homeruskwartier en de sleutelrol voor bewonersorganisaties in Brazilië. Zelfbouwers in het Homeruskwartier dragen een individuele verantwoordelijkheid en worden in sterke mate gekenmerkt door economische motieven, overeenkomend met de dominante economische functie van woningbezit in Nederland. In Brazilië nemen bewoners tezamen de verantwoordelijkheid voor de renovatie van leegstaande gebouwen. De sociale bewegingen waar bewoners deel van uitmaken verhouden zich tot wonen als sociale behoefte. In beide gevallen worden zelfbouwprocessen beschouwd als experimenteel, onbestemd en creatief.

Wat betreft eigendomsrelaties onderkent het proefschrift de noodzaak van een ondersteunende juridische architectuur ter bevordering van de progressieve vermogen van zelfbouw. Dit staat op gespannen voet met ruimtelijke governance, welke zich voornamelijk tot zelfbouw verhoudt door het eigendomsmodel van privaat individueel bezit.

Het proefschrift beargumenteert dat de interactieve relatie tussen ruimtelijke governance en zelfbouw kan worden begrepen vanuit drie mechanismes.

Dit betreft de vaststelling van de opdrachtgevende actor, de regulering van zelfbouwactiviteiten en de ordening van eigendomsrelaties. Het proefschrift onderstreept de noodzaak voor verder onderzoek naar de relatie tussen wettelijke modellen en vormen van wonen die niet leiden tot uitputting van onze samenleving en planeet, zoals voorgesteld door ideeën van *commons* of *commoning*.

Notes

¹ CBS. 2017. Newly built housing; permit issued per commissioner.

² Missing data 1939-1945. Adapted from CBS / Statline.

³ Ministerie van Wederopbouw en Volkshuisvesting. (1947). Voorlopige wenken voor het ontwerpen van eengezinshuizen (arbeiderswoningen). The Hague.

Ministerie van Volkshuisvesting en Ruimtelijke Ordening. Centrale Directie van de Volkshuisvesting en de Bouwnijverheid. (1951; 1965). Voorschriften en wenken voor het ontwerpen van woningen. Staatsuitgeverij: The Hague.

⁴ Examples are Bredero, Welschen, Korrebeton en Airey

⁵ Adapted from CBS / Statline.

⁶ Self-build housing is legally defined as ‘the situation in which citizens or groups of citizens – in the latter case organized as a non-profit legal person – obtain responsibility for, as well as influence over the development of land, design and construction of their own residence’. Spatial Planning Decree [Besluit Ruimtelijke Ordening] 2008. Art.1.1.1.

⁷ Self-builders may employ architects, construction consultancies or developers which formally request the building permit on their behalf. Adapted from CBS / Statline.

⁸ Collinson, P. (2011, November 25th). Self-build: it’s time to go Dutch . *The Guardian*. Retrieved from <https://www.theguardian.com/money/2011/nov/25/self-build-go-dutch>

⁹ This paper uses self-build housing to refer to a broad continuum of practices where residents commission housing for their own use. This can be done alone, in groups, and/or in conjunction with other stakeholders and different degrees of resident involvement.

¹⁰ The distinction between collaborative housing and cohousing warrants specific attention as these are sometimes used interchangeably. The paper defines cohousing as a specific socio-spatial form based on alternative social values and collective social interaction around a shared space (Jarvis 2015; Fenster 1999).

¹¹ Civil law jurisdictions generally state one owns property. Meanwhile in common law one does not outright own, but rather hold a certain right against other people. For the sake of clarity, we hold property and ownership to be synonymous for the context of this paper.

¹² This paper defines self-build housing as activities where people commission the production of housing for their own use.

¹³ SEHAB/PMSP (2016) Plano Municipal de Habitação de São Paulo. Caderna para discussão pública. São Paulo: PMSP.

¹⁴ Folha de São Paulo, 2018. O que já se sabe sobre o desabamento do prédio em São paulo. Folha de São Paulo.

¹⁵ IBGE, 2010. Censo Demográfico 2010. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística, Rio de Janeiro.

¹⁶ Bergamo, M., 2020. Ocupações em São Paulo se organizam para evitar transmissão de Covid-19. Folha de S.Paulo.; Pinho, A., 2018. Vazio por anos, prédio é reformado por sem-teto e agora vira exemplo em SP. Folha de São Paulo; Watts, J., 2017. Resistance! São Paulo's homeless seize the city. The Guardian.

¹⁷ Quierati, L., 2018. Prédio de ocupação irregular desaba após incêndio no centro de SP. UOL.

¹⁸ Even though movements seek to realize the social function of property, not all members may be convinced of violating the sacrality of private property (Tatagiba et al. 2012: 413).

¹⁹ UNMP, 2019. Revista da produção de habitação coeprative em autogestão. Empreendimentos da União Nacional por Moradia Popular no programa Minha Casa Minha Vida - Entidades. UNMP, São Paulo; UNMP (2019, 4).

²⁰ This includes the output from the following programmes: PAR-Reforma; PAC-BID; PAC-BID; FMH; Locação Social; Renova Centro and MCMV-Entidades (Tsukumo 2007, Kara-José 2010, Lima 2019).

²¹ Zilio, D., 2013. Apresentação Programa Renova Centro. Cohab-SP, Prefeitura de São Paulo, São Paulo.

²² Faixa 1 is aimed at residents with 0-3 maximum salaries, covering a maximum of 1395 to 1600 reais per month. Within this target group, MCMV-FAR is undertaken by private builders and MCMV-Entidades by movements. The limit went up to 1800 reais during the second phase of the programme.

²³ Webpage Governo Federal, 2020. Sistema Nacional de Habitação de Interesse Social [WWW Document]. Sistema Nacional de Habitação de Interesse Social. URL <https://www.gov.br/mdr/pt-br/assuntos/habitacao/sistema-nacional-de-habitacao-de-interesse-social> (accessed 12.13.20).

²⁴ The notion of *habitação de interesse social* (housing of social interest) has generally been used to denote people with a salary of up to 6 minimum salaries. In some cases this is differentiated between 0-3 minimum salaries and 4-6 minimum salaries.

Acknowledgments

Even though it is often said that pursuing a PhD is a lonely process, I have come to know a lot of great people over the past years. The many interactions with colleagues, friends, respondents, and others, both in and outside of the university, have been one of the greatest joys of doing this PhD. At difficult moments, there were always people whom I could turn to for advice, support or for distraction. I have come to appreciate this, especially in the last year. Even though the pandemic and lockdown meant there were no more ‘distractions’, I have felt at moments very deprived of the social interactions that give me energy. Writing these acknowledgments makes me incredible grateful for the people that I have worked with over the past years.

I am deeply grateful to my promotores Willem Salet and Stan Majoor. It is no secret that the quality of supervision by large determines the experience of doing a PhD. Working with you has always been a joy. Willem, whenever I would tell other PhDs at conferences that you were my promotor, they would often respond with positive jealousy. I can hardly blame them. You are well-humored, encouraging and always approachable. You guarded me from ‘ruis’, but also motivated me at the right moments. Where Willem offered a lot of theoretical guidance, Stan knew when to remind me of the practical relevance of the PhD. Stan, I value your emotional openness, honesty, and attention for the details of academic writing. I always looked forward to our meetings and it was also a pleasure to travel with you to places such as Brazil or the USA, to go for a walk in the Utrechtse Heuvelrug, or to discover your talents in minigolf. You have helped me develop my own identity as a researcher and urban planner. Without you both I would not be where I am today.

I was able to do my PhD within the BESEFOGO project. This enabled me to work with colleagues from the Faculty of Architecture and Urban Planning at the University of São Paulo. It was amazing to get to know Camila D’Ottaviano, Cecilia Andrade Fiuza and Juliana Lima. Camila, I thank you for making me feel welcome from the very first time I set foot in Brazil. It will probably not come as a surprise when I disclose I was glad to discover we share a fondness for music and food. Working in Brazil with people from all sorts of academic backgrounds helped me see self-building in a different light. It reminded me of the urgency of the right to adequate and affordable housing. The residents

of Marisa Leticia and Avenida Ipiranga hold a special place in my heart. I thank them for sharing their stories.

Many people whom I had never met before assisted me in answering my questions over these past years. This includes people from the Expertteam Eigenbouw, the Municipality of Almere and many more. I am grateful to all of my respondents and interviewees. Jacqueline Tellinga has helped me greatly with getting started in the first years. My intellectual curiosity was fueled by our regular conversations, I thank you for always taking the time to sit down with me and explain things. Together with Darinka Czischke you formed a welcome team of external supervisors. Arie Lengkeek and Peter Kuenzli have been of great help with the research on housing cooperatives.

The department of Geography, Planning and International Development Studies has been a great workplace. I think that it is especially important to acknowledge our program manager and secretariat: Puikang Chan and Amy Lam. Without you, the department would not function. I also thank you for always being present and approachable. I could always drop by for advice, or even just to see if there was some chocolate. I appreciate the guidance and leadership offered by Jochem de Vries, Luca Bertolini and Maria Kaika. The urban planning program group is an inspiring workspace, thanks to you. I also thank you for putting the trust in me to finish this dissertation. I also thank Richard Ronald and Tuna Tasan-Kok for always being available for advice, a chat or a joke.

Federico Savini has been a mentor to me since my master's. Federico I thank you for your encouragement to embark on this journey. I look up to you academically and I think you have an inspiring outlook on what is important in life.

I always looked forward to our shared lunches with room B4.12. Our conversations would often come back to the same list of topics: food, Ede, Eurovision, bicycles. I am particularly impressed by Jochems memorization of all the fixtures and results of the Dutch national team in international tournaments since 1970. The urban planning theme group meetings have also been of great help to me academically. It was a joy to learn about colleagues' work and receive feedback and support at critical moments. I want to extend spe-

cial thanks to Francesca Ranalli, Debra Solomon, Sara Özogul, Mendel Giezen, Nanke Verloo, Tait Mandler, Arend Jonkman and Martijn van den Hurk for their comments during the theme group meetings. Since day one, Beatriz Pineda-Revilla has been my academic big sister. Like my brothers she has become very good at teasing me, but equally provides support when I need it. To Lilian van Karnenbeek, Michiel Stapper and Jannes Willems, I think the four of us make a great group, both academically and socially! Ying-Tzu Lin and Letizia Chiappini have become cherished friends in the last years. I remember vividly every moment of our time in Tuscany (pirates!) and always look forward to spending time with you.

Even though we all love to complain about Roeterseiland, it was great to be so close to the many program groups and departments that make up our faculty. Wouter van Gent and Sako Musterd were helped me make sense of statistics on housing or access data. I am also thankful to Barbara, Fenna and Anastasiya for their support. The AISSR has provided an excellent research and training environment. I want to thank Brian Burgoon for his leadership. I thank Annemarie Mol for challenging the way I look at social reality. Special thanks to my dear colleague and friend Afra Foli. I was overjoyed when you told me you were joining us at UvA to pursue a PhD. The past year I have especially enjoyed Skype calls in which we share our stories, struggles or doubts. You encouraged me to go all-in in this acknowledgments section. I agree with you that we should always thankful for people that make us thrive !

In the summer of 2013 I started working with the Summer Programmes Office at the Graduate School of Social Sciences. Even though it meant giving up summer holidays, it was always a pleasure to work with this group of colleagues. I thank Mirjam Schieveld, Grace Yano and Ian Kenny in particular. The study trip to Charleroi with Richard Ronald deserves a special mention as a fond memory.

In my first year I attended the AESOP YA Conference in Munich and, not too long after, I got involved in the coordination team and, later, in the executive committee of AESOP. Through AESOP I have got to know wonderful people: Anaïs, Irene, Lukas, Aoife, Batoul, Agnes, Karel, Joana and many more. Irene, we have become close friends ever since sharing a dinosaur-filled apartment in Munich. I am thankful for living so close to you right now. Travelling also

meant I was able to see friends doing PhDs abroad. Patty, I loved our long walks through Toronto. I have great memories of my time with Alize in Chicago, I am happy we are still in touch so many years after spending evenings on your balcony in Neukölln. I look forward to seeing you ride a bike one day.

Finishing this dissertation under lockdown made me appreciate my friends at home more and more. I absolutely love living and being so close to so many of you, and while trying not to forget to name anyone I know I probably will. My friends remind me there is so much more in life than academia and work.

No matter whether this is the first moment you realize what I have been working on, or whether you are still trying to make sense of an article I wrote, I thank you. Each of you has supported me in your own way. I feel lucky for being surrounded with so many great friends. Much love to the ijsberen, de paradepaardjes, de meneren, de zelfscancriminelen, bloklandboys, twin freaks and 'instagram', Arthur, Ruben, Sascha, Vincent, Kiki, Ralph, Frank, Pamela, Nergiz, Joëlle, Susan, Benno, Chernelle, Yoeri, Wike, Sander, Luc, Suze, Evy, Qui, Gina, Marianne, Anna, Niels, Mejrem, Poul & Hevy, Boris, Matt, Alice, Niek, Puck, Vicky. I want to mention Marciano Lansu for being kind enough to help out with the design of this dissertation.

I close by thanking my family. My family in Hainaut has always welcomed me with a lot of warmth. Un gros merci à Martine, Pascal, Emilie, Arnaud and Charlotte pour me faire sentir le bienvenue. In Nijmegen, I thank my hero oma Puck, my peetvader 'Nic' and Ien. Above all, I could not have finished this dissertation without the unwavering support, wisdom and love of my parents, brothers, and Joris, *min kæreste*.

