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ABSTRACT

Background: Anterior and posterior pelvic tilt appears to play a role in total hip arthroplasty (THA) stability. When changing from the standing to the sitting position, the pelvis typically rotates posteriorly while the hips flex and this affects the femoro-acetabular positions. This case-control study compares changes in 3-D acetabular cup orientation during functional pelvic tilt between posterior THA dislocations vs stable THAs.

Methods: Standing and sitting 3-D cup orientation was compared between fifteen posterior dislocations vs 233 prospectively followed stable THAs. 3-D cup orientation was calculated using previously validated trigonometric algorithms on biplanar radiographs. Those algorithms combine the angles in the three anatomical planes (coronal inclination, transverse version, and sagittal ante-inclination) in the standing position with the change in sagittal pelvic tilt from standing to sitting to calculate the 3-D orientation in the sitting position.

Results: The standing cup orientation of the dislocated THAs was only characterized by a lower coronal inclination ($P = .039$). Compared with the controls, from standing to sitting, they showed less posterior pelvic tilt ($P < .001$). This led to a significant lower coronal inclination ($P < .001$) and sagittal ante-inclination ($P < .001$) in the sitting position but similar transverse version ($P = .366$).

Conclusions: Comparing posterior THA dislocations to stable THAs, there is a lower increase of all three orientation angles from standing to sitting. This leads to a decreased sitting coronal inclination and sagittal ante-inclination which may lead to an increased risk of impingement ensued by THA instability. By contrast, the transverse version was not significantly different in both positions. This confirms the importance of biplanar data on functional cup orientation.

Level of Evidence: Diagnostic, Level III.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

For more than four decades, the “safe zone of Lewinnek”, for acetabular cup placement, which is based on supine pelvic radiographs, has been implemented to limit the dislocation rate [1]. Recently, however, this has been called into question because most total hip arthroplasty (THA) dislocations seem to occur within this proposed “safe zone” [2]. Furthermore, recent studies stated that sagittal pelvic dynamics could play a significant role in the stability of THAs [3–6].

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arth.2020.12.055
0883-5403/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).
The normal posterior pelvic tilt from standing to sitting results in an opening of the acetabulum anteriorly so it can accommodate flexion of the femur. With degenerative spinal pathology, the pelvis is most often already retroverted because lordosis is lost and if pelvic mobility is stiff, further posterior tilt is restricted during postural change from standing to sitting [7–11]. To date, it has been recognized by multiple studies that variations in sagittal pelvic dynamics potentially play a role in implant stability in THAs [10–13]. Owing to the hemispherical shape of most acetabular cups, anterior and posterior pelvic tilt in the sagittal plane will also change the orientation in the other two anatomical planes, the coronal and transverse plane [14]. For better understanding the relevance of spino-pelvic-femoral dynamics in THA implant stability, the purpose of this study is to describe the effect of functional pelvic tilt on the 3-D acetabular cup orientation for posterior THA dislocations vs a cohort of stable THAs. We postulate that posterior dislocated THAs will have a reduction in pelvic tilt from standing to sitting and a decrease in functional acetabular cup position.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

Patients who presented with a posterior dislocation of a THA to our practice between 2011 and 2017 were included in this study. Posterior dislocations are defined as a posterior position of the femoral head relative to the acetabular cup [15,16]. Fifteen patients with a posterior THA dislocation were included. The control group consisted of 233 subjects of a prospective cohort of 238 THA patients enrolled between 2011 and 2017 with complete postoperative radiographic data at three months; 5 of the 238 had a dislocations within the first year. Patients who received a dual mobility cup or where the data were not complete were excluded. In the fifteen dislocated and 233 control patients, the THA was placed by a posterolateral approach. Previously, these patients were included in the publications by Heckmann et al. and Tezuka et al., but assessment of the exact 3-D reorientation of the acetabular cup during functional pelvic tilt was not previously performed [10,17]. Institutional review board approval was obtained before the data collection.

Functional 3-D Acetabular Cup Orientation

Patients from the prospective cohort study (controls and early dislocations) underwent standing and sitting lateral spine-pelvis-hip radiographs as well as a supine anteroposterior pelvis radiograph including the proximal femur with the beam centered on the symphysis 3 months postoperatively, as previously described [4]. For the late dislocations, the same radiographs were collected at the first outpatient follow-up after the late dislocation. The 3-D acetabular cup orientation (coronal inclination (CI), transverse version (TV), and sagittal ante-inclination (AI)) was calculated for the supine, standing, and sitting positions. These mathematical models were previously validated for pelvic tilt using multiplanar 3-D reconstruction on pelvic CT scans in multiple orientations and had an interobserver reliability of 0.953 for CI, 0.982 for TV, 0.985 for AI, 0.963 for CI' and 0.990 for TV' [14,18]. These algorithms combine the orientation of the hemispherical acetabular cup in the three anatomical, orthogonal planes in the supine position plus the sagittal change in pelvic tilt to calculate the 3-D acetabular cup

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Stable THA (n = 233)</th>
<th>Posterior Dislocations (n = 15)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range)</td>
<td>62.6 (27-85)</td>
<td>66.1 (39-94)</td>
<td>.342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male or female (M: F)</td>
<td>119:114</td>
<td>10:5</td>
<td>.380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right or left (R: L)</td>
<td>131:102</td>
<td>6:9</td>
<td>.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean body mass index (range)</td>
<td>28.1 (16.7-51.5)</td>
<td>25.6 (18.3-36.3)</td>
<td>.047*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to dislocation (range)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.8 (1-108)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow-up (range)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.85-3.87)</td>
<td>5.0 (0.01-18)</td>
<td>.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean pelvic incidence (range)</td>
<td>54.5 (25-87)</td>
<td>48.1 (36-60)</td>
<td>.010*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean sacral slope in degrees (range)</td>
<td>38.5 (10-62)</td>
<td>32.8 (24-44)</td>
<td>.004*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age in years, body mass index in kg/m², time to dislocation in months, follow-up in years, pelvic incidence in degrees, sacral slope in degrees (measured in the standing position).

* Indicates P < .05, a statistically significant difference between the posterior dislocation group and the stable THAs.
CI, coronary inclination; TV, transverse version; AI, sagittal ante-inclination.

Table 2
Standing 3-D Acetabular Cup Orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Posterior Dislocations</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>46.3 ± 4.9</td>
<td>43.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>.039 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>33.5 ± 6.5</td>
<td>36.3 ± 10.7</td>
<td>.133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>34.8 ± 8.0</td>
<td>34.9 ± 9.6</td>
<td>.805</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates P < .05, a statistically significant difference between the dislocation group and the stable THAs.

Table 2 shows the standing 3-D acetabular cup orientation for stable THAs and posterior dislocations. The table includes the mean values and standard deviations for CI, TV, and AI.

Results

Five of fifteen posterior dislocations occurred within one year postoperative (mean 2.3 months, range 0.3-4 months). Ten of fifteen occurred past 1 year (mean 52.2 months, range 12-108 months). Comparing both groups in terms of BMI, PI, and SS showed no significant differences (P = .711, P = .760, P = .474, respectively). Standing CI, TV, and AI showed no significant differences (P = .165, P = .956, P = .326, respectively). Sitting CI, TV, and AI showed also no significant differences (P = .051, P = .530, P = .059, respectively).

Hips with posterior dislocation differed significantly from stable THAs by a lower BMI (P = .047), lower PI (P = .010), and lower SS (P = .004) (Table 1). The change of SS and PT from standing to sitting was significantly different between the posterior dislocations and the stable group (–11.5 vs –21.1, P = .000 and 11.2 vs 21.1, P = .000, respectively). In the standing position, the posterior dislocations had a lower statistical CI compared to the stable THAs (43.5 vs 46.3, P = .039) (Table 2, Figs. 2 and 3). In the sitting position, dislocated hips had a statistically significantly lower CI and AI, but similar TV as compared with stable THAs (Table 3, Figs. 2 and 3). From standing to sitting, the posterior dislocations had both statistical and clinically significant less posterior pelvic tilt reflected by the change in AI (+11.3 vs +20.8, P = .000). These were similar to the change in SS and PT of both groups. The reduced posterior pelvic tilt thus results in decreased sitting CI with a consequent lesser increase in AI (+11.3 vs +20.8, P = .000) (Table 4) which represents the functional acetabular cup position.

Discussion

Dislocation of a THA can be either by implant-implant (stem-cup) impingement, by bone-bone (femur pelvis) impingement or implant-bone impingement. Posterior pelvic tilt from standing to sitting accommodates flexion of the femur. Whether a lack of pelvic tilt, an increase of hip flexion, malposition of acetabular or femoral implant, or a combination of these lead to one of the forms of impingement during postural change, depends on the amount of reorientation in 3-D [3,12,14,17,21]. Although the Lewinnek safe...
zone is based on static coronal and transverse plane cup orientation in the supine position, recent studies demonstrated the importance of functional sagittal pelvic tilt and sagittal cup reorientation for THA stability [3,8,13,17]. We studied the changes in the orientation of the acetabular cup in all three orthogonal anatomical planes during functional pelvic tilt in THA patients with posterior dislocations compared with stable THAs. It demonstrates that the 3-D orientation of the acetabular cup changes with changing body position, but degree of changes and 3-D orientation in the sitting position differs between stable and unstable THAs [8,10,13]. Compared with stable THAs, hips with posterior dislocations had less difference between standing and sitting in CI, TV, and AI because of reduced pelvic mobility [10,20,22]. With less pelvic mobility, less increase in AI enlarges the risk of impingement, especially in patients with spinal pathology with pre-existent pelvic retroversion and diminished pelvic mobility [7–10,13,17,21]. The altered spinal pelvic mechanics in patients with a dislocation could have been developed years after THA placement. A lower PT from standing to sitting could be a result of progressive degenerative pathology of the spine, combined with muscle atrophy in the aging patient making them prone for a dislocation. Otherwise, there could also be patients who already have a degenerative spine with coexisting muscle atrophy when the THA is placed. Both patients might benefit from optimizing acetabular cup orientation by increasing the AI in the sitting position, preventing anterior impingement.

A recent study established the mathematical relationship between the orientation angles of the acetabular cup on the three orthogonal, anatomical planes (ie, CI, TV, and AI) [18]. In this study, 1° of pelvic tilt around the hip-axis equals 1° of change in the cup orientation (AI) in the sagittal plane [10]. In contrast to earlier assumptions in the literature, the degree of change in CI and TV, however, is not linearly related, because it is dependent on an individuals’ pelvic mobility as well as the initial 3-D cup positioning [23–25]. The effect of sagittal pelvic tilt on the TV is much greater in acetabular cups with relatively low CI compared to high CI, and vice versa [14]. This explains the comparable TV in the sitting position in contrast to CI and SI in this study. Hips with posterior dislocation have less CI in the standing position, so with less pelvic tilt from standing to sitting compared with stable THAs, TV still changes considerably [14]. Thus, each acetabular cup responds differently to an individuals’ functional spino-pelvic-femoral dynamics based on its initial

### Table 3
Sitting 3-D Acetabular Cup Orientation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Posterior Dislocations</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>56.9 ± 7.3</td>
<td>49.0 ± 9.7</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>43.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>42.5 ± 8.7</td>
<td>.366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>55.6 ± 9.1</td>
<td>46.2 ± 12.1</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, coronal Inclination; TV, transverse version; AI, sagittal ante-inclination. 
* Indicates P < .05, a statistically significant difference between the dislocation group and the stable THAs.

### Table 4
Functional 3-D Acetabular Cup Orientation; Difference Between Standing and Sitting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle</th>
<th>Stable</th>
<th>Posterior Dislocations</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>+10.7 ± 5.7</td>
<td>+5.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TV</td>
<td>+10.3 ± 5.0</td>
<td>+6.2 ± 3.4</td>
<td>.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>+20.8 ± 9.3</td>
<td>+11.3 ± 7.2</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, coronal inclination; TV, transverse version; AI, sagittal ante-inclination.
* Indicates P < .05, a statistically significant difference between the dislocation group and the stable THAs.

Fig. 3. The mean 3-D acetabular component in the standing (dark yellow) and sitting (light yellow) position in the two groups: A. patients with a stable THA, B. patients with a posterior dislocated THA. Green, coronal inclination; red, sagittal ante-inclination; blue, transverse version.
position, and could create significant risk for implant impinge-
ment/instability.

The lower standing and sitting AI, found in this study, is consis-
tent with a lower functional sagittal safe zone which signifies
risk of dislocation. The finding that TV, which does not differ-
entiate in the standing or in the sitting position in both groups, is not the most
important angle is an important contribution of this study.

This finding confirms the data from two plane measurements
studies by Stefl [17,26]. Therefore, the cup in the CI and AI position,
implanted by the surgeon, is important for controlling impinge-
ment risk.

From the spine literature, it is well known that individuals with
a sagittal pelvic morphology characterized by a low PI (a more
vertical position of the sacrum within the pelvic ring and the
femoral heads under the sacrum) normally have more pelvic
retroversion (lower SS) because of less lumbar lordosis. With spinal
imbalance and stiffness, there is less increase in acetabular opening
as expressed by our data of CI and AI in hips with a dislocation
which means more hip flexion is needed that increases the risk
of bony impingement [8,17]. Our data does not include the femur but
previous studies of femur mobility can be interpolated with our 3-D
cup data. Because the hip functions as a joint, our 3-D cup data are
important additional knowledge for the orthopedic surgeon in
understanding stability of the joint. It is also important because it
confirms 2-D findings, thereby confirming the validity of these
previous studies [3,4,8,13,17].

We had limitations. Although we observed clear associations of
altered pelvic-femoral dynamics, changes in implant orientation
and THA stability, this study did not investigate other factors
involved in THA dislocation, such as surgical approach and tech-
nique, muscle tension, femoral head size, femoral component
orientation, combined anteversion, long-term wear, head-neck
ratio, and impingement. All of these factors do have a role in
dislocation in spite of a well-positioned acetabular cup [27–29].

Long-term wear of the bearing has also been described as having a
role in THA dislocation [30,31]. Another limitation is that this study
did not address factors involved in impingement, like individual
differences in bony anatomy, extreme range of motion of the hip,
protrusion of the acetabular cup or stem positioning. Despite the
different confounders that certainly play a role in the onset of
different types of dislocations, we believe that our observations of
associations of altered pelvic-femoral dynamics and the conse-
quently changes in implant orientation with THA stability hold true.

Conclusion

In patients with a posterior THA dislocation, restricted pelvic tilt
combined with a lower PI, results in a lower increase of CI, TV, and
AI from the standing to the sitting position. Our data show that
stability is dependent on a decreased orientation of CI and AI, in
contrast to TV. In hips with dislocation, the 3-D orientation of these
angles reveals a compromised functional safe zone which increases
the risk of impingement and instability. Dependent on the initial
operative cup position, the risk can be increased by reduced post-
erosinferior acetabular restraint..
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Supplemental material

The effect of functional pelvic tilt on the three-dimensional acetabular cup orientation in late total hip arthroplasty dislocations. The 3-D acetabular cup orientation can be defined by the following angles according to the definitions of the Hip-Spine Workgroup [6]:

- Coronal inclination (CI): the rotation of inclination of the cup around the anterior-posterior axis in the coronal plane.
- Sagittal ante-inclination (AI): the sagittal angle of the cup that includes inclination and anteversion that changes with posterior and anterior tilt of the pelvis.
- Transverse version (TV): the anteversion angle of the cup around the cranio-caudal axis in the transverse plane.

Two of these angles measured on biplanar radiographs can be used to calculate the third by using previously validated trigonometric algorithms. [16,22].

The first algorithm is based on an equation that the orientation of the hemispherical cup given in the three orthogonal anatomical planes in a static situation is given by:

\[ \tan(AI) = \tan(TV) \cdot \tan(CI) \]  

[1]

With the second algorithm, the standing and sitting 3-D cup orientations can be calculated, considering that sagittal pelvic tilt is a rotation of the pelvis and acetabular cup around the transverse hip-axis and that 1 change of sagittal pelvic tilt equals 1 of change in the sagittal orientation of the cup [12]. Therefore, a new AI position \( AI' \), is related to a new CI \( CI' \) and new TV \( TV' \) by:

With the auxiliary variable

\[ t(Cl, TV) = \sqrt{(tan TV)^2 + 1/(tan CI)^2} \]  

[2]

the tangent of the other two new angles are given by

\[ \tan(CI') = \frac{1}{t \cdot \cos SI'} \]  

[3]

\[ \tan(TV') = t \cdot \sin AI' \]  

[4]

The algorithms are incorporated in a developed tool available at, www.3d-hip.com.