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Cue and Reward Evoked Dopamine Activity Is Necessary for Maintaining Learned Pavlovian Associations
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Associating natural rewards with predictive environmental cues is crucial for survival. Dopamine (DA) neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) are thought to play a crucial role in this process by encoding reward prediction errors (RPEs) that have been hypothesized to play a role in associative learning. However, it is unclear whether this signal is still necessary after animals have acquired a cue-reward association. In order to investigate this, we trained mice to learn a Pavlovian cue-reward association. After learning, mice show robust anticipatory and consummatory licking behavior. As expected, calcium activity of VTA DA neurons goes up for cue presentation as well as reward delivery. Optogenetic inhibition during the moment of reward delivery disrupts learned behavior, even in the continued presence of reward. This effect is more pronounced over trials and persists on the next training day. Moreover, outside of the task licking behavior and locomotion are unaffected. Similarly to inhibitions during the reward period, we find that inhibiting cue-induced dopamine (DA) signals robustly decreases learned licking behavior, indicating that cue-related DA signals are a potent driver for learned behavior. Overall, we show that inhibition of either of these DA signals directly impairs the expression of learned associative behavior. Thus, continued DA signaling in a learned state is necessary for consolidating Pavlovian associations.
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Significance Statement

Dopamine (DA) neurons of the ventral tegmental area (VTA) have long been suggested to be necessary for animals to associate environmental cues with rewards that they predict. Here, we use time-locked optogenetic inhibition of these neurons to show that the activity of these neurons is directly necessary for performance on a Pavlovian conditioning task, without affecting locomotor per se. These findings provide further support for the direct importance of second-by-second DA neuron activity in associative learning.

Introduction

Dopamine (DA) neurons projecting from the ventral tegmental area (VTA) of the midbrain to the striatum are known for their involvement in motivational processes and the formation of stimulus-reward associations (Wise, 2004; Fields et al., 2007; Salamone and Correa, 2012). As such, increased DA activity drives reward seeking behavior, both for natural rewards like food (Roitman et al., 2004; Adamantidis et al., 2011), as well as drugs of abuse (Phillips et al., 2003; Pascoli et al., 2015). Disturbances in the function of these neurons and downstream target areas like the nucleus accumbens (NAc) are suggested to contribute to multiple psychiatric disorders, including addiction, mood disorders, schizophrenia, and attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (Castellanos and Tannock, 2002; Nestler and...
Carlezon, 2006; Howes and Kapur, 2009). However, fundamental understanding of the necessity of DA neuronal activity for associative behavior remains limited.

Midbrain DA neurons show endogenous burst firing activity when an animal encounters an unexpected reward, which transfers to predictive cues as an animal learns to associate environmental stimuli with the availability of a reward (Schultz et al., 1997; Pan et al., 2005; Day et al., 2007). These signals have been suggested to encode a reward prediction error (RPE; Schultz et al., 1997). Others have suggested that DA signaling mediates appetitive responses to reward-predicting stimuli, through attributing incentive salience (Berridge, 2007), mediating behavioral activation (Robbins and Everitt, 1992, 2007), or mediating flexible approach behavior (Nicola, 2010).

A fundamental question that arises is whether these signals are necessary for the development and expression of associative behavior. Pharmacological, genetic, and lesion studies have implicated NAc DA in the acquisition (Di Ciano et al., 2001; Parkinson et al., 2002; Zweifle et al., 2009), as well as the expression of associative conditioned behavior (Parkinson et al., 2002; Nicola et al., 2005). However, these manipulations cause long-term deficiencies in DA signaling, while behaviorally relevant DA signals occur on subsecond timescales (Schultz et al., 1997).

Optogenetic transient inhibition of VTA DA neurons can disrupt model-based associative learning (Sharpe et al., 2017) and facilitate extinction learning (Chang et al., 2016). Interestingly, these effects were observed on reward-related learning in the session following DA manipulations, but not directly on task execution, implying a confined delayed role of DA in learning. In contrast a more recent study finds that DA inhibition during the reward period in Pavlovian conditioned head-fixed mice directly affects both consummatory and anticipatory licking behavior. Surprisingly this study does not find any longer lasting effects of this inhibition (Lee et al., 2020). Moreover, this study also finds no effects of VTA DA inhibition during the cue period in trained animals. It remains to be seen whether these manipulations have the same effect on freely-moving animals, and whether differences in task-setup can explain this apparent difference in delayed versus immediate effects of DA inhibition.

In earlier work, we established that activation of VTA GABA neurons during a cue-reward seeking conditioning task, specifically decreased reward consummatory behavior (van Zessen et al., 2012). While we show that VTA GABA neurons monosynaptically inhibit VTA DA neurons, these effects could also partially be mediated through VTA GABA projections to other areas (Brown et al., 2012), co-transmission of other neurotransmitters (Stuber et al., 2010; Root et al., 2014), or biased connectivity between VTA GABA neurons and subsets of VTA DA neurons (Yang et al., 2018). Here, we establish the effect of direct inhibition of VTA DA neurons during expression of Pavlovian conditioned behavior. As VTA DA neurons are endogenously active during cue and reward presentation (Cohen et al., 2012), we chose to inhibit these neurons during these time periods. We find that optogenetic inhibition of VTA DA activity during reward presentation acutely attenuates reward-seeking behavior, in line with recent work (Lee et al., 2020). However, we also find this effect progresses over time, and is still present on the next day. These inhibitions of VTA DA neurons are insufficient to modulate licking or locomotor performance outside of the cue-reward conditioning task, ruling out pure motoric or aversive effects. Moreover, we find that inhibition of VTA DA neurons during the cue period also causes pronounced decreases in behavioral performances on the Pavlovian conditioning task. Overall, these data suggest that a time-locked inhibition of VTA DA neurons causes a decrease in the potency of the cue and reward’s ability to generate a behavioral reinforcement signal, directly impacting current and future behavioral responding.

Materials and Methods

Animals and housing

Experiments were approved by the Central Commission Animal Experiments (Centrale Commissie Dierproeven; CCD) or the Animal Experimentation Committee (Dier Experimentele Commissie, DEC) of the University Utrecht and conducted in agreement with Dutch Law (Herziene Wet op Dierproeven, Art 10.a.2, 2014) and European regulations (Guidelines 86/609/EEC and 2010/63/EU).

Adult male Pith3-CRE heterozygote animals on a C57BL/6J background were kept on a reversed (lights on 7 A.M.) 12/12 h light/dark cycle, and ran for experiments during the night (active) cycle. Following recovery from surgery they were solitary housed and food restricted to 90% of their ad libitum weight for the duration of the experiments.

Surgery

Mice were anesthetized with intraperitoneally administered ketamine (75 mg/kg) and medetomidine (1 mg/kg). They were then placed in a stereotactic frame (Kopf Instruments), and microinjections were performed in the VTA (−3.2 AP, −1.5 ML, −4.8 DV, 15° angle). For optogenetic manipulations a volume of 300-nl CRE-inducible halorhodospin (NPHR), or yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) containing adeno associated virus (AAV2.5-EF1a-DIO-cNPHR3.0-EYFP or AAV2.5-EF1a-DIO-EYFP, respectively; UNC Vectore Core) was bilaterally injected at a rate of 0.1 μl/min per side, followed by an additional 10 min period before injection needles were retracted. For fiber photometry recordings, 300–500 nl of CRE-inducible GCaMP6s (AAV2.5-FLEX-hSyn-GCaMP6s, UPPEN Vector Core) was injected unilaterally into the VTA in a similar manner. Afterwards, chronic implantable optic fibers were placed above the injections sites, which were attached to the skull using dental cement (GC Fuji Plus) and skull screws. Animals were treated with carprofen (5 mg/kg), atipamezole (2.5 mg/kg), and saline for rehydration following surgery. They were given carprofen for the subsequent 2 d and then allowed to recover for at least one week before starting training.

Optogenetic manipulation

Optogenetic implantable chronic fibers and optical patch cables were constructed as described previously (Sparta et al., 2011). Briefly, chronic fibers consisted of a 200-μm core multimode fiber (FT200UMT, Thorlabs) were attached to ceramic zirconia ferrules (MM-FER2007C-2300, Precision Fiber Products) and cut to a length of 6 mm. Patch cables consisted of a 50-μm core multimode fiber (FG050UGA, Thorlabs) threaded through ferrule tubing (FF9-250-BLA-100, Precision Fiber Products), and terminated in a ceramic zirconia ferrule (MMFER2007C-1270, Precision Fiber Products) on one end and a multimode FC/PC connector (MM-CON2004-1270-14-BLK, Precision Fiber Products) on the other end. These were connected to a fiber optic rotary joint (FRJ_Ix2i_FC-2FC_0.22, Doric Lasers). A second patch cable connected the rotary joint with a diode-pumped solid-state laser (MGL-III-532, CNI Lasers). Unless otherwise specified, lasers were calibrated to deliver at least 5 mW onto the VTA. After surgery, but before any behavioral assay, animals were tethered to optogenetic patch cables in their home cage to habituate them to the handling procedure.

Fiber photometry recording

In order to perform fiber photometry recordings excitation LED blue and purple light (LEDLC1-B_FC and LEDC1405_FC, Doric Lasers) were frequency and phase locked at 208 and 531 Hz using a lock-in modulator (FPc, Doric) passed through an optical minicube [FMCS_AE405_AF (420–450)]_E1(460–490)_F1(500–550)_S, Doric] before being coupled to a patch cable connecting to implanted animal (MFP_400/460/900-
0.48 _3m.FC-MF2.5, Doric). Green emission light then traveled through the same fiber optics, through the dichroic mirror and emission filter in the minicube, and was focused onto a silicon based photoreceiver (2151 Photoreceiver, Newport Corporation). The signal was then preamplified (2 × 1010 V/A gain) at the photoreceiver, digitized and saved at the fiber photometry console (FPC, Doric). Additional TTL signals from behavioral events were simultaneously recorded. Data were then processed using Python and MATLAB, where the 405 signal was used as an isobestic control and subtracted from the signal (Lerser et al., 2015). Data were then aligned to cue onset period and Z-scored.

Cue-reward conditioning

Animals were trained for 5 d per week on a Pavlovian conditioning task. On the first day, they were habituated to the operant cage, with ad libitum 20% sucrose present in the reward receptacle (CT-ENV-303LP-DP-3, Med Associates). The operant chamber (ENV-307W, Med Associates) contained an electrical circuit lickometer (ENV-250, Med Associates), as well as a houselight and tone generator (Resp. ENV-315W and ENV-224AM, Med Associates). Typical training consisted of a 1-h training session, in which 40 identical trials were presented with a pseudo-random inter-trial interval of 60–120 s. Trials consisted of an auditory and visual cue that were presented for 5 s, followed immediately by delivery of 8 μl of 20% sucrose into the reward receptacle by a pump (PHM-100A, Med Associates). Animals were tethered to optogenetic cables during training, and were extensively trained with “mock” stimulation (where laser light is blocked from entering the brain) to habituate them to the light delivery. Lick and cue presentation timestamps were registered and used for analyses. Licks that were made after cue onset but before reward delivery were counted as “anticipatory” licks, while licks made after reward delivery were counted as “consummatory” licks. A small fraction of animals (1–20) did not learn the task at all, as they did not show many anticipatory or consummatory licks, and typically did not drink the presented sucrose reward. These animals have been excluded from the study.

Locomotion assay

Following completion of Pavlovian conditioning experiments, a subset of animals was used to assess locomotion responses. Animals were tethered to an optical cable, placed in 32 × 25 cm square arena, and allowed to move freely for 30 min. Green light was delivered for 5 s every 90 s for the duration of the experiment (same frequency as during cue-reward seeking). Video tracks were analyzed using Ethovision (Noldus Information Technology), distance moved over time was extracted, and subsequent analyses were done in MATLAB (MathWorks) and Excel (Microsoft).

Free consumption assay

In order assess the possible effects of DA inhibition of ongoing licking behavior, a separate batch of animals were trained to consume ad libitum sucrose for 30 min in the same operant chamber as described above. Once total lick responding was stable (<15% change over 2 d) animals optogenetic manipulations were started. In order to stimulate after lick bout initiation, the laser was triggered after three licks within 0.5 s, followed by a 20-s minimum interstimulation interval. These criteria ensured a similar stimulation frequency (21.3 ± 5.9 per 30 min; mean ± SEM) compared with the cue-reward conditioning task (40 per 60 min). Lick and laser onset timestamps were used for analysis.

Ex vivo electrophysiology

Virus-injected animals were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (200 mg/kg). Horizontal midbrain slices (250 μm) were prepared using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S, Leica Microsystems) after transcardiac perfusion with ice-cold modified artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following: 75 mM sucrose, 87 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 25 mM NaH2PO4, 7 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM CaCl2, 25 mM NaHCO3, 1.25 mM glucose, and 5 mM ascorbate (oxygenated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2, pH 7.3–7.4). Slices were then transferred into a holding chamber containing standard ACSF containing the following: 126 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgSO4, 2 mM CaCl2, 10 mM HEPES, 0.5 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgATP, 0.4 mM Na2GTP, and 4 mM phosphocreatine (pH 7.3 with KOH). Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made using EPC-10 patch-clamp amplifier and PatchMaster v2x73 software (HEKA Electronics). Signals were low-pass filtered at 3 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. Series resistance was constantly monitored and cells were excluded from analysis if the resistance changed by >20%.

Lick and laser onset timestamps were used for analysis.

Analyses

Analyses were performed using Excel, Neuroexplorer (NEXTechnologies), and MATLAB. To assess licking behavior on the cue-reward seeking task and the free consumption task, perievent histograms were constructed that compare lick activity around cue onset. Data from stimulation day was compared with prestimulation mock day. Subsequent statistical analyses were performed in SPSS. Perievent histograms of average licking performance following cue onset were compared between mock and stimulation days using two way repeated measures ANOVA (rmANOVA). They were followed by post hoc Bonferroni corrected paired Student’s t test analysis of the 5-s histogram bins containing anticipatory behavior (0–5 s) as well as consummatory behavior (5–10 s). For the locomotion experiment, distance moved over time was compared between mock and stimulation days, using rmANOVA. For assessing performance on the Pavlovian task over trials, trials were split to first 20 and last 20 trials for mock and stim days. In order to analyze decreased performance over trials or days, the licks within the first 15 s after cue onset were used as a single parameter to assess task performance, and compared over time. To assess the amount of completed trials, the number of trials were counted when animals performed at least one lick within the first 15 s after cue onset. For the first day after stimulations, only sessions were used when animals were run on the task 24 h after initial stimulation. For performance one week later, a session one week after stimulation was picked, where animals received mock stimulation and did not receive any other manipulation. Latency to lick was compared in a similar fashion over days. In all cases rmANOVA were first performed, and followed by paired Student’s t tests.
Viral targeting of VTA DA neurons in Pitx3-Cre mice and DA calcium dynamics during Pavlovian conditioning task

In order to specifically target VTA DA neurons we locally injected CRE-dependent viral constructs into the VTA of Pitx3-Cre heterozygote transgenic animals (Smid et al., 1997, 2012). In order to quantify the efficacy and specificity of this approach we initially injected three animals with a CRE-dependent enhanced YFP, and waited for four weeks (Fig. 1A). Afterwards, we perfused the animals and performed immunohistochemistry staining for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). There was clear overlap between virally mediated YFP expression and TH immunostaining in the VTA (Fig. 1B). We quantified the amount of overlap in the regions of the VTA that we target throughout this study and found that ~67% of VTA TH+ neurons also expressed YFP. Moreover, the YFP expression was largely specific to DA neurons as 88% of the YFP expressing neurons also expressed TH (Fig. 1C). For all the following experiments in this study we also determined the end-location within the VTA of the implanted fibers (Fig. 1D). Animals that did not have fibers in the VTA or did not have any viral expression were excluded from the analysis.

To investigate the role of DA during Pavlovian conditioned behavior we trained freely moving animals on a Pavlovian conditioning task where the presentation of a 5-s audiovisual cue predicts the delivery of a sucrose reward (Fig. 2A). Animals were food restricted to 90% of their free-feeding weight starting from 2 d before training, and remained food restricted throughout the experiments. In order to confirm transient DA activity in this task, we injected a second batch of animals with an AAV containing a CRE-dependent GCaMP6s in the VTA, and placed an optic fiber above the area to perform fiber photometry recordings (Fig. 2B). After training animals show robust and consistent licking responses to the audiovisual cue, and also show clear fluorescence signal increases at the moment of cue presentation and the moment of reward delivery (Fig. 2C–F). However, while cues and reward illicit both DA transients and licking behavior, the response profile has a different temporal dynamic.

Results

Viral targeting of VTA DA neurons in Pitx3-Cre mice and DA calcium dynamics during Pavlovian conditioning task
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Transient inhibition of VTA DA neurons after reward delivery directly impairs learned behavior for a prolonged period.

We then asked whether inhibition of VTA DA neurons during the reward period would interfere with behavioral licking performance on this Pavlovian conditioning task (Fig. 3A). To investigate this, we injected an AAV either containing a CRE-dependent enhanced halorhodopsin 3.0 (NPHR) or a CRE-dependent YFP in the VTA of Pitx3-Cre heterozygote transgenic animals. Ex vivo slice electrophysiology confirmed that delivery of 532 nm wavelength laser light inhibited activity of NPHR-expressing neurons (Fig. 3C). In trained animals, inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward delivery in NPHR-expressing animals decreases licking performance ($F_{(1,20)} = 6.349$, $p = 0.018$, rmANOVA; Fig. 3D,E), during both anticipatory ($T = 3.304$, $p = 0.011$, paired Student’s $t$ test; Fig. 3E) as well as consummatory phases ($T = 3.972$, $p = 0.0032$; Fig. 3E). YFP expressing control animals were unaffected ($F_{(1,8)} = 1.751$, $p = 0.222$, $0–5$ s after cue onset: $T = -1.448$, $p = 0.221$; Fig. 3F,G). In NPHR-expressing animals’ anticipatory behavior was affected following inhibition during reward delivery, indicating that there were prolonged effects on task performance.

In order to investigate the progression of this effect, we created pseudocolored histograms of the average licking response over individual trials. On days without DA inhibition performance is relatively stable over trials, but as DA neurons were inhibited at the moment of reward delivery performance seemed to decrease over trials (Fig. 4A), as was further evident when we quantified the amount of licks in the first 15 s after cue onset over blocks of trials (time effect $F_{(7,182)} = 2.339$, $p = 0.026$, last five trials: $t = 2.846$, $p = 0.0127$; Fig. 4B). Because animals show this decrease in performance, we also quantified the amount of completed trials. To do this we counted the amount of trials where an animal performs at least one lick in the first 15 s after cue onset. Without inhibition of DA neurons animals respond on almost every trial, however when DA neurons are
inhibited during the reward this performance is initially similar, but drops over trials (time effect \(F_{(7,182)} = 2.616, p = 0.014\), last five trials: \(t = 3.791, p = 0.0008\); Fig. 4C). Because of the progressive nature of these effects we then hypothesized that performance on the subsequent day would be affected, in accordance with what others have observed under extinction conditions (Chang et al., 2016). We found that performance on the day following VTA DA inhibition was still decreased, but after repeated training recovered one week after stimulation (\(F = 6.056, p = 0.014\), 1 d before vs stim: \(t = 3.97, p = 0.0008\), 1 d before vs 1 d after: \(t = 2.15, p = 0.026\), 1 d before vs \(\pm 7\) d after: \(t = -0.329, p = 0.374\); Fig. 4D). Thus, inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward consumption progressively weakened anticipatory and consummatory licking over trials and affected the vigor of conditioned and consummatory responses, even on the following day.

**Transient inhibition of VTA DA neurons does not impede licking or locomotion**

While VTA DA activity has been associated with reward-predicting cues, DA also regulates motor performance. Furthermore,
longer inhibition of VTA DA neurons is able to induce aversive responses (Tan et al., 2012). Therefore, we established whether the effects that we observe could be explained by an impediment of licking or locomotion performance. To do this, a different batch of animals were trained to consume (non-predicted) sucrose ad libitum, and we assessed the effects of inhibiting VTA DA neurons during this sucrose consumption. Specifically, we defined onset of a consummatory bout as three licks within half a second, and used that to trigger a 5-s inhibition of VTA DA neurons (Fig. 5A). By introducing a minimal inter-stimulation interval, the frequency of stimulation was comparable to that of the earlier Pavlovian conditioning task (for details, see Materials and Methods). We observed no effects of DA inhibition on ongoing sucrose consumption ($F_{(1,10)} = 0.73$, $p = 0.79$; Fig. 5B,C), and also saw no effects during the 5 s of light stimulation ($T = -0.663$, $p = 0.54$; Fig. 5D), or on total licks within the session ($T = -1.269$, $p = 0.26$; Fig. 5E). This was confirmed by a locomotor assay (Fig. 5F), in which we saw no effects on distance moved during a 5-s inhibition of VTA DA neurons ($F_{(1,8)} = 0.003$, $p = 0.96$; Fig. 5G). Thus, we show that a 5-s inhibition of VTA DA neurons during licking behavior does not impede licking or locomotor performance per se. As a positive control, in these same animals, we tested whether an extended VTA inhibition would be able to induce a place aversion (Fig. 5H). In this setting, inhibition of VTA DA neurons was able to elicit a place aversion in comparison to YFP expressing control animals ($F_{(1,9)} = 10.157$, $p = 0.011$, day 2: $T = 3.366$, $p = 0.025$, day 3: $T = 2.583$, $p = 0.089$, day 4: $t = 2.956$, $p = 0.048$ for stimulations days 2–4, respectively; Fig. 5J). In conclusion, short 5-s inhibition of VTA DA did not alter licking behavior or locomotion, while long-term coupling of inhibition to an environment induces a place avoidance, in line with earlier reports (Tan et al., 2012).

Transnational inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward-predicting cues directly impairs learned behavior

It was recently shown that inhibition of VTA DA signals following reward delivery, but not during cue presentation, were able to affect task performance (Lee et al., 2020). However, we wondered whether this would also apply to freely moving animals, and while inhibiting throughout the whole behavioral session. We thus set out to inhibit VTA DA neurons during reward-predicting cues directly impairs learned behavior.

Figure S5. Transient inhibition of VTA DA neurons does not impede licking or cause locomotion deficits. A, Schematic illustration of task. Animals had ad libitum access to sucrose, after three licks within 0.5 s, DA neurons were inhibited for 5 s. B, Licking behavior of a single animal around the moment of light stimulation trigger ($t = 0$) during a non-stimulation day. C, Average lick rate around stimulation ($t = 0$, green bar), showing inhibition of VTA DA neurons during licking behavior, compared with session with mock optical stimulation ($N=6$ animals for both conditions). D, Average data from C broken into 5-s bins during laser stimulation (light blue) or control no light stimulation (dark blue). E, Total licks per 30-min session, before and during light stimulation. F, Schematic illustration of task. Animals were allowed to freely moving in an open field and unannounced light stimulation occurred for 5 s every 90 s. G, Average distance moved over time around stimulation ($t = 0$, green bar), comparing between days of stimulation (dark blue) to control days (light blue; $N=5$ animals for both conditions). H, Schematic illustration of operant place preference task design. I, Left, Average fraction of time spend in the stimulated compartment on the first 4 d of place preference experiment. Animals expressing NPHR in VTA DA neurons are compared with YFP expressing control animals ($n=4$ YFP animals and $n=7$ NPHR animals). All data are represented as mean ± SEM; *$p < 0.05$. n.s. indicates non-significant.
neurons during the 5-s cue presentation in trained animals (Fig. 6A). Inhibition of VTA DA neurons during the reward-predicting cue decreases licking rate in NPHR-expressing animals ($F_{(1,16)} = 4.542, p = 0.049$; Fig. 6B,C). While anticipatory licking during cue presentation was not significantly decreased ($T = 2.248, p = 0.11$; Fig. 6C), licking performance during reward delivery was significantly decreased ($T = 3.095, p = 0.0296$; Fig. 4C). Control YFP-expressing animals showed no effect of laser illumination of the VTA during the cue ($F_{(1,8)} = 0.152, p = 0.707, 0–5 s$ after cue onset; $T = -0.679, p = 0.5, 5–10 s$ after cue onset $T = -0.175, p = 0.9$; Fig. 6D,E).

For these experiments we also considered how these effects develop over trials. We plotted histograms of the average trial-by-trial performance during the control and stimulation day (Fig. 7A), and then again quantified the amount of licks in the first 15 s after cue onset (Fig. 7B). Again on days of no DA inhibition performance was stable over the session, but when DA neurons were inhibited performance dropped significantly, but did not change dramatically over trials (stimulation effect $F_{(1,10)} = 14.06, p = 0.0018$, time effect n.s., first five trials $t = 2.802, p = 0.0171$; Fig. 7B). This is also evident in the amount of completed trials, where in contrast to DA inhibition during reward delivery, there was no significant decrease in performance (Fig. 7C). Thus, we conclude that inhibition of VTA DA neurons during cues predicting food reward directly decreased conditioned responses without showing trial-by-trial worsening of the performance.

**Discussion**

VTA DA neurons increase their activity to primary rewards and reward-predictive cues; however, it is unclear to what extent this firing is necessary for expression of learned associative behavior on the short and long-term. Here, we specifically transiently inhibited the activity of these neurons during either cue or reward presentation in animals trained on a Pavlovian conditioning task. In line with earlier work (Steinberg et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2016), when we inhibit during reward delivery, mimicking a negative RPE, we observe chronic decreases that develops over trials and persist onto the next day. In contrast, inhibition of cue-induced DA activity directly and stably decreases conditioned and consummatory licking performance. Together,
these data suggest that phasic VTA DA activity during both predictive cues and reward delivery are both necessary for behavioral performance, yet play different roles in maintaining trained behavior.

Reward-predictive cues elicit behavioral approach and responding, in our assay this takes the form of anticipatory licking behavior. This phenomena has been explained through different theoretical frameworks, where reward-predicting cues encode a RPE (Schultz et al., 1997), gain incentive salience (Berridge, 2007), elicit behavioral activation (Robbins and Everitt, 1992, 2007), or elicit flexible approach (Nicola, 2010). Others have suggested DA signals encode a temporal discounted future reward value (Hamid et al., 2016) driving appetitive behavior. While our data do not rule out any aforementioned theory, it suggests that cue-related and reward-related phasic DA activity is directly necessary for appetitive behavior, as we observed a direct within-session decrement in licking performance. Moreover, the more chronic effects that we observe, highlight an established role for phasic DA signaling in reinforcement learning.

One of the crucial questions for these theories on DA function is whether VTA DA activity, following cues or rewards, is directly involved in reward-seeking behavior. Following a classical RPE valuation by VTA DA, activity of these neurons might be important for learning aspects, but not necessarily affect direct trial-by-trial behavior. Manipulations of VTA DA activity through electrical stimulation, 6-OHDA lesions or pharmacological receptor blockade have suggested that indeed VTA DA is directly linked to and necessary for, reward-related response behavior (Parkinson et al., 2002; du Hoffmann and Nicola, 2014). However, these studies lack the temporal resolution to specifically manipulate VTA DA activity during stimuli presentation only. Optogenetic-based studies have shown that manipulations of VTA DA neurons can alter associative learning, as activating VTA DA neurons during a blocked cue, increases future approach to that cue (Steinberg et al., 2013), and decreasing VTA DA activity induces a down-valuation of future cue-induced responses (Chang et al., 2016). While these studies implicate DA in reward-learning processes on subsequent days, in the current study we show that inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward-predicting cues can directly decrease conditioned and consummatory behavior during reward seeking. This is in line with reports that activation of VTA DA neurons directly reinforces chosen action on a subsequent trial (Hamid et al., 2016), and is suggested by VTA DA reinforcement studies (Witten et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012; Ilango et al., 2014).

In this study, we chose to employ a task design that was a minimalist Pavlovian conditioning task, because of the extensive history of looking at DA activity in similar setups. Because of the freely-moving nature of the task and the Pavlovian design,
we chose to use cues that were purposefully not restricted to a particular location within the chamber. We reasoned that this would lead to more robust behavior, as animals would experience the cues roughly in the same way regardless of their location within the experimental cage. However, the downside is that this makes it difficult to differentiate between specific behavioral strategies that animals might choose to employ, like goal or sign-tracking. Specifically the performance sign-tracking animals, that develop clear cue-induced DA transients, is dependent on DA during Pavlovian conditional approach behavior (Flagel et al., 2011). Future studies might elucidate how these short time-locked optogenetic inhibitions differentially effect sign versus goal-tracking behaviors.

It has recently been shown that DA inhibition directly affects learned associative performance in head fixed mice (Lee et al., 2020). While this study primarily replicates some of our findings, there are some striking differences. While their reward-coupled inhibition on a subset of trials only transiently decreases performance, we find that after our whole-session inhibition, performance is still diminished on the following day. This is in line with the consensus in the field that these reward-related responses strongly impact future learning (Steinberg et al., 2013; Chang et al., 2016). Moreover, we find that inhibitions during the cue period drastically decreases licking performance, Lee et al. (2020) find no effect of cue-coupled inhibition. Possibly these differences arise from the freely moving versus head fixed nature of the different designs. While we only measure licking behavior, the nature of our naturalistic freely moving settings means cue presentation typically also requires that animals approach the reward receptacle, requiring approach behavior that has been shown to be dependent on VTA DA activation (Saunders et al., 2018). Thus, in our experiments, it is hard to differentiate between effects on cue-induced or approach-related transient DA activity as they likely occur in a relatively short time-period after cue onset, and effects on either of them likely result in decreased licking behavior.

Perhaps this combination of cue-elicited and approach-elicited DA could help explain the difference between the effects we observe over trials between cue and reward-related DA inhibitions. While the progressive effects of reward delivery coupled DA inhibition largely follows what one would expect during reward omissions (where DA neurons show decreases in activity), the effects of cue-coupled DA inhibition are not clearly changing over trials. As we suggest above, this could be attributed to the role DA plays in approach behavior (Saunders et al., 2018). In contrast, it could also be that this DA decreases the relative desirability of the cue, causing a decrease in performance. In task designs where the animal has multiple options between rewards, DA signals at the cue relate to the preferred reward (Roesch et al., 2007). Both these options could directly change the intensity of the animals’ subsequent licking behavior and it would be worthwhile to explore this further using task designs that are better optimized to separate these DA signals.

While we are able to repeat earlier observations that prolonged DA inhibition induces a place aversion (Tan et al., 2012), in the current study, inhibition of VTA DA neurons during active licking behavior does not decrease licking per se. This indicates that while longer DA inhibition might directly initiate aversive responses, the short inhibition that we use in this assay is not sufficient to induce an aversive state that is sufficient to stop licking behavior. Moreover, this also suggests that the effects we see are not mediated by motoric impairments because of inhibition of VTA DA neurons. These results are confirmed by our finding that a brief optogenetic inhibition does not alter locomotion. Therefore, we consider that the effects are likely mediated by a direct decrease of VTA DA phasic activity during rewards and reward-predicting cues, and suggest that decreasing this signaling impairs reward-seeking behaviors.

During omission trials in conditioning tasks, in which rewards are unexpectedly not delivered, activity of VTA DA neurons decreases below baseline levels (Schultz et al., 1997; Cohen et al., 2012), encoding a proposed negative RPE. While our optogenetic inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward presentation might mimic such a signal, it should be considered that the reward is still delivered, and animals typically still consume all of the reward. Thus, it is likely that our manipulation does not completely mimic the endogenous brain activity that occurs during these reward omissions, giving us a unique opportunity to assess the effects of negative RPE DA signaling without changes in expectation because of reward unavailability. We find that licking performance directly decreases, and decreases over trials, while remaining lowered on the day after DA inhibition. Thus, we consider that the inhibition of VTA DA neurons during reward delivery decreases the reinforcing properties of the reward, resulting in prolonged impairments in performance.

Interestingly, earlier work using halorhodopsin mediated inhibition in TH-cre rats was unable to observe direct effects of inhibition on task performance (Chang et al., 2016). However, we set out our task design such that animals show large, yet consistent, amounts of anticipatory and consummatory task-related performance. This allowed us to optimally quantify smaller deviations in performance. It should be considered that although behavior is partially impaired, performance is not completely abolished. In fact, we find that toward the end of the session, all sucrose is typically consumed. While the parsimonious explanation would be that we were not able to fully silence all VTA DA activity, it is interesting to consider that this behavior remains partially intact while VTA DA neurons are inhibited.

We find that VTA DA inhibition only impairs licking behavior in a context where animals associate this behavior with the presence of a temporally discrete environmental cue. It is interesting to consider these findings in the light of cue induced feeding literature, although in such studies animals are specifically kept on ad libitum food to minimize the influence of hunger-driven food seeking (Petrovich, 2013). Nevertheless, many of the involved brain structures (e.g., lateral hypothalamus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex), and neuromodulators (e.g., orexin, ghrelin) are closely interconnected with VTA DA neurons (Meye and Adan, 2014). As such, VTA DA neurons might play an important role in the overconsumption of food in obesogenic environments (Berthoud, 2012).

Overall, this study shows that inhibition of VTA activity following rewards and reward-predictive cues directly impairs performance. Moreover, performance is decreased over time and remains decreased the day after stimulation, suggesting impairments in reinforcing capacity. These data support earlier reports on VTA DA involvement in associative learning, but also provide compelling evidence for a direct role of phasic VTA DA activity in reinforcement and cue-induced appetitive responses.

References


