Sensitivity of the KM3NeT/ARCA neutrino telescope to point-like neutrino sources
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K3M3NeT will be a network of deep-sea neutrino telescopes in the Mediterranean Sea. The K3M3NeT/ARCA detector, to be installed at the Capo Passero site (Italy), is optimised for the detection of high-energy neutrinos of cosmic origin. Thanks to its geographical location on the Northern hemisphere, K3M3NeT/ARCA can observe upgoing neutrinos from most of the Galactic Plane, including the Galactic Centre. Given its effective area and excellent pointing resolution, K3M3NeT/ARCA will measure or significantly constrain the neutrino flux from potential astrophysical neutrino sources. At the same time, it will test flux predictions based on gamma-ray measurements and the assumption that the gamma-ray flux is of hadronic origin. Assuming this scenario, discovery potentials and sensitivities for a selected list of Galactic sources and to generic point sources with an $E^{-2}$ spectrum are presented. These spectra are assumed to be time independent. The results indicate that an observation with 3σ significance is possible in about six years of operation for the most intense sources, such as Supernovae Remnants RX J1713.7-3946 and Vela Jr. If no signal will be found during this time, the fraction of the gamma-ray flux coming from hadronic processes can be constrained to be below 50% for these two objects.
1. Introduction

Neutrinos are an optimal probe to observe high energy astrophysical phenomena, since they interact only weakly with matter and are not deflected by magnetic fields. Therefore, they point back to their origin, can bridge large distances without absorption, and may provide information on processes in dense sources, which can be opaque to the electromagnetic radiation. They are unique messengers from the most violent and highest energy processes in our Galaxy and far beyond. The discovery by the IceCube Collaboration of a high-energy neutrino flux of extra-terrestrial origin\cite{1,2,3} has thus opened a new observational window on our Universe and initiated a new era of neutrino astronomy. KM3NeT\cite{4} is a large research infrastructure that will consist of a network of deep-sea neutrino telescopes in the Mediterranean Sea. KM3NeT will include two detectors with the same technology but different granularity, KM3NeT/ARCA and KM3NeT/ORCA (Astroparticle and Oscillation Research with Cosmics in the Abyss, respectively)\cite{4}. While KM3NeT/ORCA, installed at the KM3NeT-France site offshore Toulon (France), will study oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos with the primary objective to determine the neutrino mass ordering, KM3NeT/ARCA will be dedicated to high-energy neutrino astronomy, including the investigation of the cosmic neutrino flux discovered by IceCube. KM3NeT/ARCA is being installed at the KM3NeT-Italy site offshore Capo Passero (Italy) and will have cubic-kilometer scale size, suited to measure neutrinos in the TeV–PeV energy range. KM3NeT/ARCA will have a wider and complementary field of view with respect to IceCube. One of its primary targets is the detection of Galactic sources visible also at relatively low energy around tens of TeV for which the IceCube sensitivity to muon neutrinos is low.

In KM3NeT, neutrinos are detected by measuring the Cherenkov light induced by charged secondary particles emerging from a neutrino interaction in the sea water, which serves as target material and Cherenkov radiator as well as a shield for downgoing atmospheric muons. The light is detected by photo-multiplier tubes (PMTs) arranged in glass spheres that withstand the water pressure (digital optical modules, DOMs\cite{5,6}). Each optical module houses 31 3-inch PMTs optimising the photo-cathode area, the directional sensitivity, the angular coverage per DOM, and the photon counting capability. The DOMs of the KM3NeT/ARCA detector are arranged along flexible strings with a total height of about 700 m. KM3NeT/ARCA will consist of two building blocks of 115 strings each, with 18 DOMs per string, vertically spaced by 36 m. Each block will have a roughly circular footprint with an average distance between strings of about 90 m. The two blocks together will cover an instrumented volume of about 1 km$^3$. They will be deployed and anchored in the Capo Passero site located at 36$^\circ$16$'$N 16$^\circ$06$'$E, at a depth of 3500 m, and will be connected to the shore station via a 100 km electro-optical cable to transfer power and data between shore and the detector.

Different populations of Galactic astrophysical objects have been proposed as production sites of neutrinos up to the TeV–PeV range. Supernova Remnants (SNRs) are the best motivated candidates in our Galaxy\cite{7}. They are often addressed as the main contributors to the flux of Galactic Cosmic Rays (the so-called SNR paradigm on the origin of GCR). Evidence for the acceleration of protons in the remnants was provided in 2013 when Fermi-LAT reported an indication of the pion-decay signature from the SNRs W 44 and IC 443\cite{8}. However, being model dependent, this measurement result is not a conclusive proof. Being a smoking gun for hadronic acceleration, neutrinos could contribute to the challenge of unveiling cosmic-ray accelerators.

In the last decades, very high energy (VHE; $E_{\nu} > 100$ GeV) emission from a large number of Galactic SNRs has been identified by $\gamma$-ray telescopes. The observed $\gamma$-ray spectra can extend up to tens of TeV, proving that these objects are efficient particle accelerators. These particles could be protons yielding $\gamma$-rays via inelastic production of neutral pions, but could also be electrons which emit VHE $\gamma$-rays via Inverse Compton scattering on ambient low energy photons. The observation of high-energy neutrinos from these sources would establish an unambiguous proof that hadronic processes are at work; due to strong model dependences, this proof cannot easily be achieved with the current $\gamma$-rays observations.

Another class of Galactic objects observed in TeV $\gamma$-rays comprises Pulsar Wind Nebulae (PWNe), in which emission of nonthermal radiation is powered by the relativistic outflows from a pulsar, i.e. a rapidly spinning, strongly magnetised neutron star. The interaction of the pulsar wind with the slower supernova ejecta or with the interstellar medium creates a termination shock where particles can be accelerated to very high energies. Even though the TeV emission of PWN is usually interpreted in a purely leptonic scenario\cite{9}, some authors\cite{10} also consider the presence of a hadronic contribution, which could be tested with neutrino telescopes.

The scientific potential of KM3NeT/ARCA to detect neutrino point-like sources in our Galaxy and beyond is discussed in this paper. This subject has already been covered in Ref.\cite{4}. However, since then, the event reconstruction and analysis methods have been improved significantly, leading to new results presented in this paper. Moreover, the recent publication of new and more precise $\gamma$-ray observations\cite{11,12} has also allowed for updated neutrino flux predictions. In addition, an extended set of potential neutrino sources is now investigated, including several candidate sources for measurable neutrino signals. A stacking analysis of SNRs with the most intense VHE $\gamma$-ray flux is also presented.

The recent detection by IceCube of a high-energy neutrino event coincident in direction and time with a $\gamma$-ray flaring state of the blazar TXS 0506+056 is reported in Ref.\cite{13}. This observation suggests that blazars\cite{14} are likely sources of extra-galactic high-energy neutrinos. In addition, an investigation over the full IceCube neutrino archive has shown an excess with more than 3$\sigma$ significance of high-energy neutrino events at the position of this blazar compatible with a neutrino flux with $E^{-2}$ energy dependence\cite{15}. ANTARES has also searched for neutrinos from this source\cite{16} but no evidence has been found. To illustrate the detection capabilities of KM3NeT/ARCA for this type of extragalactic sources, the sensitivity of KM3NeT/ARCA to a $E^{-2}$ neutrino flux from a point-like source is also discussed.

The analysis focusses on charged-current interactions of muon-neutrinos, producing a high-energy muon in the final state. Due to its path length of up to several kilometres in water, the direction of the muon and thus – at sufficiently high neutrino energy – the neutrino direction can be measured with good accuracy (see Section 4). Such track-like events therefore provide the dominant contribution to the sensitivity for point-like sources\cite{4}. The main backgrounds are due to atmospheric neutrinos and muons produced by the interaction of cosmic rays with nuclei in the atmosphere. To eliminate atmospheric muons, only events reconstructed as upgoing or coming from slightly above the horizon are selected since the Earth or the slant water layer traversed absorbs all particles except neutrinos. The cosmic neutrino signal is observed as an excess on the background of atmospheric neutrinos and of remaining atmospheric muons falsely reconstructed as upgoing. Given the latitude of the detector, KM3NeT will detect upgoing neutrinos from about 3.5$\pi$ sr of the sky, including most of the Galactic Plane. The visibility of a given candidate source (i.e. the fraction of time it is observable) depends on its declination, $\delta$, and on the angular acceptance above the horizon, see Fig. 1. In partic-
ular, note that the region of full visibility extends to $\delta \lesssim -45^\circ$ if events up to $10^4$ above the horizon are included, as in the present analysis (see Section 5).

In this paper, neutrino fluxes expected from a selected list of Galactic $\gamma$-ray sources are estimated assuming a hadronic scenario for the $\gamma$-ray production and transparent sources. This topic is discussed in Section 2. The details of the simulation codes are described in Section 3 and the reconstruction performances in Section 4. The analysis procedure and the results are presented in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the KM3NeT/ARCA sensitivity and discovery potential for a generic $E^{-2}$ flux. The effect of systematic uncertainties is discussed in Section 7, and the conclusions are summarised in Section 8.

2. Selected Galactic sources and estimated neutrino fluxes

Galactic candidate sources have been selected from the TeVCat catalogue [17] on the basis of their visibility, the $\gamma$-ray intensity and the energy spectrum. In particular, it was required that the $\gamma$-ray flux is measured up to a few tens of TeV. The selected sources are: RX J1713.7-3946, Vela X, Vela Jr, HESS J1614-518, the Galactic Centre and MGRO J1908+06 (see Table 1 for the individual references). The visibility of these sources is indicated in Fig. 1. Except for MGRO J1908+06, all the sources have a visibility above 70%.

For all the sources (with the only exception of MGRO J1908+06), the neutrino flux is derived from the measured $\gamma$-ray flux using the method described in Refs. [18,19] and references therein. Another method has been tested [20], using as a test case the source RX J1713.7-3946, obtaining compatible results. All neutrino fluxes are estimated for the $\nu_\mu^2$ channel, assuming that, due to oscillation, for cosmic neutrinos the flavour ratio at Earth will be $v_\nu : v_\mu : v_\tau = 1 : 1 : 1$ [21]. For all cases a 100% hadronic emission and a transparent source are assumed, but the results can also be interpreted in terms of the percentage of hadronic emission, provided that the hadronic and non-hadronic contributions have the same energy spectrum. If $\xi_{\text{had}}$ is the percentage of the $\gamma$-ray flux that has hadronic origin, the neutrino fluxes are calculated under the hypothesis that $\xi_{\text{had}} = 1$, but from these results the discovery potentials and sensitivities for $\xi_{\text{had}} < 1$ can be derived.

All neutrino fluxes in this publication are parameterised by

$$\Phi_\nu(E) = k_0 \left( \frac{E}{\text{TeV}} \right)^{-\Gamma} \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{E}{E_{\text{cut}}} \right)^\beta \right],$$

where $k_0$ is the normalisation constant, $\Gamma$ is the spectral index, $E_{\text{cut}}$ is the energy cutoff, $\beta$ is the cutoff exponent [22]. Table 1 lists the sources considered, their declination $\delta$ and angular extension (indicated as radius), as measured by $\gamma$-ray detectors, as well as the parameters of the Eq. (1). For several sources, different parameterisations are consistent with the $\gamma$-ray data and the corresponding neutrino fluxes are included in the analysis. The fluxes listed in Table 1 are shown in Fig. 2.

In the following subsections short descriptions of the sources are given with details on the derivation of the neutrino flux from the measured $\gamma$-ray flux.

2.1. RX J1713.7-3946

The young shell-type SNR RX J1713.7-3946 is at present one of the best studied SNRs in the VHE regime. Its high-energy $\gamma$-ray emission has been observed by H.E.S.S. in several campaigns, in the years 2003–05 [22,26,27] and in 2011 and 2012 [11]. The reported spectrum extends up to about 100 TeV, suggesting that the hadronic particle population may have energies up to several PeV if the $\gamma$-ray production is hadronic.

The origin of the TeV $\gamma$-ray emission from RX J1713.7-3946 has been a matter of active debate. A detailed discussion of the interpretation of the H.E.S.S. data in hadronic or leptonic scenarios can be found e.g. in Refs. [11,27] and references therein. Fermi-LAT reported an observation of GeV $\gamma$-ray emission from RX J1713.7-3946 [28]. While the high spectrum at GeV energies reported by the Fermi Collaboration is generally interpreted as an argument in favour of a leptonic scenario, some authors argue that both hadronic and leptonic scenarios can reproduce the data under certain assumptions (e.g. Ref. [29]). On the other hand, the observation of molecular clouds in the vicinity of the source [30,31] could provide an additional hint in favour of the hadronic scenario. In Ref. [32], a detailed numerical treatment of the SNR shock interaction in a non homogenous medium has been reported, consistently describing the broadband GeV–TeV spectrum of RX J1713.7-3946.
in terms of a hadronic model. In Ref. [11], the X-ray, the Fermi-LAT and the updated H.E.S.S. data are combined to derive in both scenarios the particle spectra from the SNR spectral energy distribution. The data can be fit both with hadronic and leptonic models so neither of the two scenarios can currently be excluded.

2.2. Vela X

Vela X is one of the nearest pulsar wind nebulae and is associated with the energetic Vela pulsar PSR B0833-45. Even if PWN/e are generally considered as leptonic sources, interpretation of TeV γ-ray emission from Vela X in terms of hadronic interactions has been discussed [10,35].

The VHE γ-ray emission from Vela X was first reported by the H.E.S.S. Collaboration [36] and was found to be coincident with a region of X-ray emission discovered with ROSAT as a filamentary structure extending south-west from the pulsar to the centre of Vela X. The first result of H.E.S.S. has been updated [23] with data from the 2005–07 and 2008–09 observation campaigns and using an improved method for the background subtraction. The new data are characterised by a 25% higher integral flux above 1 TeV and a harder energy spectrum and are used here to derive the neutrino spectrum.

2.3. Vela Jr

RX J0852.0-4622, commonly referred as Vela Junior (Vela Jr in Table 1 and Fig. 2) is a young shell-type SNR with properties similar to RX J1713.7-3946. Vela Junior emits γ-rays up to energies of few tens of TeV [12]. Also for this source the γ-ray emission has been interpreted both in the hadronic and leptonic scenarios (see Ref. [12] for an overview on the arguments). In particular, a recent analysis [37] reports a good spatial correspondence between the TeV γ-rays and interstellar hydrogen clouds, suggesting a hadronic interpretation of the origin of the observed γ-rays from this source.

2.4. HESS J1614-518

The γ-ray high energy emission of the source HESS J1614-518 has been observed by H.E.S.S. up to about 10 TeV [24] and was studied in terms of morphological, spectral and multi-wavelength properties and classified as candidate shell-type SNR. The γ-ray flux from the source HESS J1614-518 has been fitted in Ref. [24] as being pure power law and the neutrino flux derived from it is indicated in the following as HESS J1614-518 (1). To test the effect of a possible cutoff in the spectrum, in this study the H.E.S.S. γ-ray data were fitted also with a power law with exponential cut-off. The neutrino flux derived from this γ-ray flux is referred as HESS J1614-518 (2).

2.5. Galactic Centre

Recently, the H.E.S.S. Collaboration has reported γ-ray observations of the region surrounding the Galactic Centre [25]. The γ-ray flux reported is derived for two regions: a point source with radius 0.1° (PS) HESS J1745-290 centred on Sgr A* and a diffuse emission (DF) from an annulus between 0.15° and 0.45°. The strong correlation between the brightness distribution of diffuse VHE γ-ray emission in the wider vicinity of the Galactic Centre and the locations of molecular clouds points towards a hadronic origin of the γ-ray emission [25]. Since the DF γ-ray data are consistent with a hard power-law, the spectrum of the parent protons should extend to PeV energies. The neutrino spectra expected from the two regions PS and DF have been evaluated in Ref. [19], where a few possible neutrino spectra are proposed starting from plausible γ-ray fluxes and exploring different energy cutoffs. The flux considered here is the sum of the PS and DF regions, choosing as flux for the PS area the one derived from the γ-ray flux with $E_{\text{cut},\gamma} = 10.7$ TeV and for the DF region the one from $E_{\text{cut},\gamma} = 0.6$ PeV. For simplicity, the source shape is approximated as a homogeneous disk of radius 0.45°.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>δ</th>
<th>radius</th>
<th>$k_0$</th>
<th>$\Gamma'$</th>
<th>$E_{\text{cut}}$</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>γ-ray data</th>
<th>type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RX J1713.7-3946</td>
<td>-39.77</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela X</td>
<td>-45.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>PWN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vela Jr</td>
<td>-46.36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HESS J1614-518 (1)</td>
<td>-51.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HESS J1614-518 (2)</td>
<td>-51.82</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>see text</td>
<td>UNID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic Centre</td>
<td>-28.87</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>85.53</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>UNID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGRG J1908+06 (1)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>see text</td>
<td>UNID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGRG J1908+06 (2)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>see text</td>
<td>UNID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGRG J1908+06 (3)</td>
<td>6.27</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.6. MGRO J1908+06

The source MGRO J1908+06 has been detected both by air Cherenkov telescopes (H.E.S.S. [38] and VERITAS [39]) and extensive air-shower detectors (Milagro [40–42], ARGO-YBJ [43] and HAWC [44]). The nature of this source is currently unclear. It could be a PWN associated with the pulsar PSR J1907+0602 [45]. Its large size and the lack of softening of the TeV spectrum with distance from the pulsar, however, are uncommon for TeV PWNe of similar age, suggesting that it could also be a SNR [39,46]. Using the measured γ-ray spectra, the prospects for detecting neutrinos from this source with IceCube are discussed in Ref. [46]. Three possible assumptions on the γ-ray flux are considered, with a spectral index $\Gamma_{\gamma} = 2$ and cutoff energies $E_{\text{cut,}\gamma} = 30, 300, 800$ TeV. The corresponding neutrino fluxes derived in Ref. [46], listed in Table 1 as (1), (2) and (3), respectively, are used in this analysis. The source position and extension are taken from the H.E.S.S. results [38].

3. Simulations

For this analysis the Monte Carlo (MC) chain discussed in Ref. [4] is used. Neutrinos and anti-neutrinos of all flavours are considered, and both charged and neutral current reactions are simulated. For the generation equal fluxes of neutrinos and anti-neutrinos are assumed. Since neutrino and anti-neutrino interactions cannot be distinguished in KM3NeT on an event-by-event basis, the lepton symbols ($\nu$, $\mu$, $e$, and $\tau$) denote both particles and anti-particles in the following. Neutrinos are generated over the full solid angle to simulate the background of atmospheric neutrinos. Neutrinos from the specific sources described in Section 2 are simulated as originating from homogenous disks centred at the declination shown in Table 1 and with a radius given in the same table. Events are generated in the energy range between $10^2$ and $10^8$ GeV according to an $E^{-1.4}$ spectrum and subsequently reweighted to different flux models. The neutrino interactions are simulated using LEPTO [47] with the parton distribution functions CTEQ6 [48] (for deep inelastic scattering). The muon produced at the interaction vertex is propagated through rock and water with MUSIC [49].

The Cherenkov photons induced by charged particles traversing the water are propagated to the DOMs. To save CPU time, this is done using tabulated photon propagation probabilities based on full GEANT3.21 [50] simulations and taking into account the DOM properties (effective area, quantum efficiency and collection efficiency of the photomultipliers; transmission probability through glass and gel), the DOM orientation with respect to the incident direction of the photon and the optical water properties measured at the KM3NeT-Italy site [51]. For each event, the PMTs measuring a signal are determined, each signal (“hit”) being characterised by the photon arrival time and the signal amplitude (deposited charge). The hit data are converted to digitised arrival time and time-over-threshold (ToT), i.e. the time the analog signal exceeds a predefined threshold.

Optical background due to the presence of $^{40}$K in salt water is simulated by adding an uncorrelated hit rate of 5 kHz per PMT. Moreover, the probability of two-, three- and four-fold hit coincidences on a DOM from a single $^{40}$K decay have been estimated by GEANT simulations and are included with rates of 500, 50 and 5 Hz per DOM, respectively. Both the single and coincidence rates are in agreement with the results from the prototype detection unit of the KM3NeT detector deployed at Capo Passero [52]. The effect of bioluminescence light is negligible at the KM3NeT-Italy site [53].

At the end of the simulation chain, trigger algorithms are applied in order to select potentially interesting events that will be reconstructed and analysed with the statistical methods described below. The trigger is based on the L1 hits, i.e. hits on more than one PMT of the same DOM in a time window of 10 ns. Events pass the trigger condition if there are at least 5 causally connected L1 hits. Details on the trigger and trigger efficiency are given in Ref. [4].

3.1. Atmospheric neutrinos and muons

Only a very small fraction of the high energy neutrino flux arriving at the detector is of astrophysical origin. The dominant contribution is due to atmospheric neutrinos from extended air showers caused by cosmic ray interactions with nuclei in the atmosphere. However, at sufficiently large energies, the astrophysical flux will dominate that of atmospheric origin. The atmospheric neutrino flux has two components: the conventional one due to the decay of charged pions and kaons and the prompt one due to the decay of charmed hadrons, produced in the primary interaction. The atmospheric neutrino flux is simulated assuming the conventional atmospheric model as in Ref. [54] and the prompt component as described in Ref. [55]. Corrections due to the break in the cosmic ray spectrum (knee) are applied as described in Ref. [56]. Also other models of prompt neutrino fluxes [57–59] have been tested, but they leave the final results essentially unaltered.

In addition to atmospheric neutrinos, cosmic ray interactions in the atmosphere also produce atmospheric muons. Each initial interaction creates a number of muons that are collimated and coincide in time (muon bundle). Atmospheric muons are simulated using the MUPAGE event generator [60]. In the analysis presented here two simulated muon event samples are used, one with muon bundle energies $E_\mu > 10$ TeV, corresponding to a lifetime of about 3 months, the other with $E_\mu > 50$ TeV, equivalent to about 3 years of lifetime.

4. Event reconstruction performances

The neutrino induced events are observed in two topologies, track-like and cascade-like events, each class requiring specific event reconstruction algorithms.

Track-like events are due to charged-current $\nu_\mu$ interactions that, for $E_\nu > 1$ TeV, produce in the final state muons with track lengths of the order of kilometres and trajectories almost collinear with the parent neutrino direction. Also $\nu_e$ charged-current interactions can produce a high-energy muon in the final state through a muonic decay of the final-state $\tau$ with a branching ratio of about 17%. The reconstruction algorithm used for track-like events is described in Ref. [61]. The muon direction is reconstructed from the sequence of Cherenkov photon hits on the PMTs, taking advantage of the fact that photons are emitted along the particle track at an angle of about 42°. The reconstruction algorithm starts by a prefit scanning the full solid angle. Then, starting from the twelve best fitted directions in the prefit, a maximum likelihood search is performed. The likelihood is derived from a probability density function depending on the position and orientation of the PMTs with respect to the muon trajectory and on the hit times. Among these intermediate tracks, the one with the best likelihood is chosen. A reconstruction quality parameter is defined as $\Lambda = -\log L - 0.1 N_{\text{comp}}$, where $\log L$ is the log-likelihood of the fit and $N_{\text{comp}}$ is the number of intermediate tracks during the reconstruction within 1° from the chosen one.

The angular resolution, calculated as the median angle between the reconstructed track and the neutrino direction, is smaller than 0.2° at $E_\nu > 10$ TeV. The energy is reconstructed from the spatial distribution of hit and non-hit PMTs. The resolution is better than 0.3 units in $\log_{10}(E_{\text{rec}}/E_\nu)$, where $E_{\text{rec}}$ is the reconstructed and $E_\nu$ the true muon energy at the detector level.
All neutral-current reactions, as well as charged-current reactions of ν̄_e and most ν̄_μ, produce cascade-like event topologies. Particle cascades evolve from the hadronic final state and the final-state charged lepton (e) or its decay products (τ, except in muonic decays). These cascades are typically several metres long and therefore small compared to inter-DOM distances. The reconstruction for such cascade-like events has an angular resolution worse than the track-like case and is described in [61].

Track-like events are of particular relevance for the search for point-like, i.e. very localised sources of neutrino emission, since they allow for fully exploiting the large effective area and the good angular resolution of KM3NeT/ARCA. The analysis discussed in this paper therefore focuses on track-like events, and consequently the event reconstruction specific for track-like events is applied to all events, including cascade events. Only events with sufficient reconstruction quality are retained.

5. Galactic sources: search method and results

Since the neutrino signal from any point source must be identified on top of a large background of atmospheric muons and neutrinos, statistical techniques are required to quantify a possible excess of events around the source position. The two quantities used to describe the detector performance are the discovery potential and the sensitivity. The discovery potential refers to the flux that could produce a significant (e.g. 3σ or 5σ) observation with probability 50%. The sensitivity refers to the flux that can be excluded at a given confidence level (90% in this paper), if no significant signal is observed (see Section 5.3). The search for Galactic point-like neutrino sources is performed in the following steps:

- Selection cuts are applied to reduce the background events (Section 5.1).
- A multivariate analysis employing a Random Decision Forest algorithm [62] is performed on the remaining events to distinguish signal from background events (Section 5.2).
- An unbinned likelihood method is used to determine the discovery potential and the sensitivity (Section 5.3).

5.1. Selection cuts

For signal events, only charged-current interactions of ν̄_μ and of ν̄_e (with subsequent τ → μ+ντ decay) are considered since the remaining event classes (other decays of ν̄_μ producing cascades, charged-current ν̄_τ and all neutral-current interactions) are almost completely rejected by applying track reconstruction quality criteria. For atmospheric neutrinos, both charged- and neutral-current ν̄_μ and ν̄_e events are taken into account. The loose selection cuts applied are:

1. A zenith cut at about 10° above the horizon to reduce the background of atmospheric muons (see Section 1), slightly optimised for each candidate source taking into account its maximum elevation (see Table 2).
2. A cut on the angle α between the reconstructed track direction and the nominal source position. A cut α < 10° has been selected as a compromise to reduce the background without reducing significantly the efficiency for selecting signal events.

The numbers of signal events after these selection cuts, expected from the different sources for the flux assumptions from Table 1, are reported in Table 2.

5.2. Random Decision Forest training

A multivariate analysis employing the Random Decision Forest algorithm is performed to distinguish three classes of events: neutrinos coming from the source, atmospheric neutrinos, and atmospheric muons. More specifically we use the extremely randomised trees classifier from Ref. [63].

The features used in the training to characterise the events are: the angle α between the reconstructed track direction and the nominal source position; the reconstructed zenith angle θ; the reconstructed muon energy at the detector level; the numbers of hits used at different stages of the reconstruction; the error estimate on this fit β; and the track reconstruction quality parameter, Λ, defined in Section 4. The distributions of the most important of these features are shown in Fig. 3 for the three event classes. Note that α is the convolution of the source extension and the angular resolution.

In a first step the algorithm is trained on a sample of events to optimise its performance in distinguishing the different event classes. The trained classifier has then been applied to a separate event sample to test its performance. For each event the classifier returns the probability to belong to each one of the three classes. The distributions of the probability to belong to the signal class (Fig. 4) are used for all events as probability density functions in the subsequent analysis step.

An example of the distribution of the simulated neutrino energy at the different stages of the analysis is shown in Fig. 5 for the source SNR RX J1713.7-3946 (see Table 1). The energy distribution for all the reconstructed events, those passing the selection cuts (see Table 2) and those passing the cuts of the “cut-and-count” analysis (see [64] for a description of this method) is shown. In latter case, the output of the Random Decision Forest classifier is used as a variable to cut on. This is shown here to illustrate the energy of interest of these kind of analyses, typically peaking around 10 TeV. However, the cut-and-count method is not used for the results described in the next sections.

5.3. Unbinned method

In order to test the compatibility of the data with two different hypotheses H₀ and H₁, a test statistic is defined. The test statistic can in principle be any function of the data but is optimally selected such that its distributions under the two competing hypotheses are maximally separated. In the search for neutrino point sources the hypothesis H₀ = H₀ refers to the case in which the data set consists of background events only. Hypothesis H₁ = H₁ refers to the case where events from a cosmic source are present in addition to the background. To calculate the test statistic, a likelihood ratio [65] has been defined as the ratio of the probabilities to obtain the data assuming the hypotheses H₁ or H₀:

\[
\text{LR} = \log \frac{P(\text{data}|H_0)}{P(\text{data}|H_1)}.
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The likelihood ratio can be written in terms of the probability density functions (PDFs) describing the distribution of signal and background events as a function of a given variable x, f(x|s) and \( f(x|b) \):

\[
\text{LR} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \left( \frac{\frac{n_s}{n} \cdot f(x_i|s) - \frac{n_b}{n} \cdot f(x_i|b)}{f(x_i|b)} \right),
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where n is the total number of recorded events in a given period of time and \( n_s \) is the expected number of signal events in the sample of n events; \( n_s \) is a free parameter constrained to be non-negative. Note that the source position is assumed to be known and is not determined from the data. For each sample, LR is maximised. The maximum value of LR is used as the test statistic and will be denoted with the symbol \( \lambda \). The variable x in Eq. (3) is the probability that the event belongs to the “signal” class as calculated by the
Random Decision Forest classifier. As an example the PDFs for the source RX J1713.7-3946 are shown in Fig. 4.

The output of the algorithm is the $\lambda$ value and the corresponding fitted $n_s$ value. The distribution of $2\lambda$ for the background-only case is expected to follow a half-$\chi^2$-distribution as defined in Ref. [66] and can be used to estimate the pre-trial $p$-value.

In order to estimate the distribution of the test statistic for the background-only assumption, the algorithm is applied to several thousand samples of background events sampled from the simulated atmospheric neutrino and muon events. For each sample, the maximum value of LR, $\lambda$, is recorded. The normalised distribution of $\lambda$, $g(\lambda|b)$ is then determined. Selecting the required significance and the corresponding two-sided Gaussian probability, e.g. $3\sigma$ and $2.7 \times 10^{-3}$, a critical value $\lambda_{3\sigma}$ is calculated from

$$\int_{\lambda_{3\sigma}}^{\infty} g(\lambda|b)\,d\lambda = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (4)

Subsequently, the procedure is repeated adding the Poissonian expectation, $N_s$, of one simulated signal event to the background sample, then the Poissonian expectation for two signal events, and so on. For each $N_s$, $\lambda$ is again calculated and its normalised distribution will be indicated with $g(\lambda|N_s+b)$. The “power” $P(N_s)$ is calculated as:

$$\int_{\lambda_{3\sigma}}^{\infty} g(\lambda|N_s+b)\,d\lambda = P(N_s).$$  \hspace{2cm} (5)
Let \( n_{3\sigma} \) be the value of \( N_s \) for which \( P(N_s) = 0.5 \). Then \( n_{3\sigma} \) is the number of expected signal events that would lead to a detection with a significance of at least \( 3\sigma \) in 50% of the cases. If the analysis has been performed with a model for the source that predicts a flux \( \Phi_\nu \) and a mean number of signal events \( \langle n_s \rangle \), the discovery potential will be given by

\[
\Phi_{3\sigma} = \Phi_\nu \cdot \frac{n_{3\sigma}}{\langle n_s \rangle}.
\]  

(6)

The sensitivity is calculated as the 90% confidence level median upper limit by using the Neyman method [63]. The procedure is similar to that described previously but in this case a reference value \( \lambda_{90} \) is calculated as the median of the \( g(\lambda|b) \) distribution. The power is evaluated as in Eq. (5) but with \( \lambda_{90} \) instead of \( \lambda_{3\sigma} \). The number of events needed to reach the required sensitivity, \( n_{90} \), is the number of events such that \( P(N_s) = 0.9 \).

As in Eq. (6), the sensitivity flux \( \Phi_{90} \) is calculated as:

\[
\Phi_{90} = \Phi_\nu \cdot \frac{n_{90}}{\langle n_s \rangle}.
\]  

(7)

5.4. Results

The results are shown in Figs. 6 and 7. Fig. 6 refers to the source MGRO J1908+06 with the three neutrino flux assumptions listed in Table 1, while the results for the other sources are shown in Fig. 7. The fluxes corresponding to the discovery potential at \( 3\sigma \), \( \Phi_{3\sigma} \), are shown in the left plots of Figs. 6 and 7 and the sensitivity at 90% confidence level, \( \Phi_{90} \), is shown in the right plots. In Figs. 6 and 7 both \( \Phi_{3\sigma} \) and \( \Phi_{90} \) are reported as a ratio over the flux expectation \( \Phi_\nu \) of each source, given by Eq. (1) and shown in Table 1. Therefore \( \Phi_{3\sigma}/\Phi_\nu \) and \( \Phi_{90}/\Phi_\nu \) have the same spectral shape (see Eq. (6)) so the ratio between the two fluxes corresponds to the ratio between their normalisation constants and does not depend on the energy.

The sensitivity to exclude the predicted fluxes at 90% confidence level is reached for all the sources after about 5 (7) years for \( \xi_{\text{had}} = 1 \) (\( \xi_{\text{had}} = 0.8 \)). For MGRO J1908+06, a \( 3\sigma \) discovery is possible after about 5.5 years (7.5 years) if the cutoff in the \( \gamma \)-ray spectrum is \( \xi_{\text{cut},\gamma} = 800 \text{ TeV} \) (300 TeV) and if \( \xi_{\text{had}} = 1 \). In the case of a cutoff at much lower energies, however, longer observation time would be necessary, e.g. 27 years for a cutoff at 30 TeV. For RX J1713.7-3946, 5 years of observation time are sufficient to constrain the hadronic fraction to \( \xi_{\text{had}} < 0.5 \). Even though hadronic scenarios for Vela X are disfavoured, it is worth noting that KM3NeT/ARCA could constrain the hadronic contribution to \( \xi_{\text{had}} \) as much as 0.6 (at \( \xi_{\text{had}} = 0.2 \) in about 1 year (5.5 years).

It should be noted that the results for a given neutrino flux degrade with increasing extension of the source. This effect depends mainly on the source radius, but also on the source spectrum. Studies concerning this effect have been reported by the ANTARES Collaboration [67,68] and other authors [69]. To quantify the impact of the source extension, the discovery potential and the sensitivity have been determined for two sources, assuming that they are point-like instead of having finite extension. For RX J1713.7-3946, with a radius of 0.6°, \( \Phi_{3\sigma} \) is reduced by about 25% and \( \Phi_{90} \) by about 20%. For MGRO J1908+06 (0.34° radius and a harder spectrum), the relative reduction is about half as large. Systematic effects from the uncertainties of the source extensions or possible inhomogeneities of the neutrino emission from the source region are expected to be negligible.

A stacking analysis has been performed for the two most intense SNRs, RX J1713.7-3946 and Vela Jr. The analysis is similar to the one described above for single sources, with the PDFs in Eq. (3) obtained as weighted sums of the PDFs of the single

---

Fig. 6. Ratio of the discovery potential \( \Phi_{3\sigma} \) (left) and sensitivity \( \Phi_{90} \) (right) to the expectation flux \( \Phi_\nu \) as a function of the observation time for the three fluxes assumed for the source MGRO J1908+06 (see Table 1). The fluxes (1), (2) and (3) correspond to a \( \gamma \)-ray spectral index \( \Gamma_\gamma = 2 \) and cutoff energies of \( \xi_{\text{cut},\gamma} = 30, 300, 800 \text{ TeV} \), respectively.

Fig. 7. Ratio of the discovery potential \( \Phi_{3\sigma} \) (left) and sensitivity \( \Phi_{90} \) (right) to the expectation flux \( \Phi_\nu \) as a function of the observation time for the first seven source fluxes listed in Table 1.
sources, both for the signal and the background, using as weight
the number of events expected in each case. Since these sources
are quite distant in the sky (about 80°), there is no overlap be-
tween the selected events around the two sources. It is therefore
possible to use the original classifier designed for each source as
PDF for the stacked search.

In Fig. 8, the resulting values of $\Phi_{3\sigma}/\Phi_s$ and $\Phi_{5\sigma}/\Phi_s$
are shown as a function of the observation time. Note that in this case
$\Phi_s$ indicates the sum of the fluxes of the two stacked sources. An
observation at $3\sigma$ is possible after 3 years and at $5\sigma$ after 9 years.

6. Generic point sources with $E^{-2}$ spectrum

The sensitivity to astrophysical neutrino sources lacking a spe-
cific neutrino flux prediction based on $\gamma$-ray measurements is per-
formed assuming a generic, unbroken power law energy spectrum
proportional to $E^{-2}$. This assumption is in agreement with the re-
cent IceCube findings [15] and provides a benchmark scenario that
can be compared with other detectors (see e.g. the corresponding
results from ANTARES [67] and IceCube [70]).

In this case no specific source generation is performed. Instead
of a specific training for each possible source location in the sky,
only one training is performed assuming as “signal” an event sam-
generated with a $E^{-2}$ spectrum and imposing the experimental
point spread function. Only tracks reconstructed below the horizon
and up to $10^\circ$ above the horizon are considered. The features used
for the training are the same as for Galactic sources, except that in
this case the distance from the source position is not used at this
stage of the analysis. The output of the Random Decision Forest
classifier is used as a cut variable in the analysis.

The likelihood ratio in Eq. (3) is built in this case from the PPDFs that
describe the reconstructed directions and energies of the
events, following a procedure widely used by the ANTARES Collab-
oration (see e.g. [67]). More precisely,

$$f(x_i|s) = f(\psi_i|s)f(E_{\text{rec}}|s)$$

where $f(\psi_i|s)$ is a parameterisation of the point spread function,
i.e. the probability density function of reconstructing event $i$ at an
angular distance $\psi_i$ from the true source location, and $f(E_{\text{rec}}|s)$
is the probability density function for signal events to be recon-
structed with an energy $E_{\text{rec}}$. For the background, the spatial part
of the PDF depends only on the event declination $\delta_i$, while the
probability in right ascension is uniformly distributed, so

$$f(x_i|b) = f(\delta_i|b)/(2\pi f(E_{\text{rec}}|b))$$

Here, $f(\delta_i|b)/(2\pi)$ is the probability density for background
events as a function of the declination and $f(E_{\text{rec}}|b)$ is the prob-
ability density function for background events to be reconstructed
with an energy $E_{\text{rec}}$.

The resulting sensitivity and $5\sigma$ discovery flux are shown in
Fig. 9 as a function of the source declination. An observation time
of 6 years has been used, which is similar to IceCube results re-
ported in Ref. [70].

Previously [4], the $5\sigma$ discovery flux was reported for an ob-
ervation time of three years. The present analysis leads to a 25% improvement with respect to Ref. [4] in the $5\sigma$ discovery flux.

7. Systematic uncertainties

A detailed investigation of the systematic effects for point
source searches has been reported in Ref. [4]. The main contribu-
tion comes from the uncertainty on the normalisation of the conventional part of the atmospheric neutrino flux, which is around ±25% [54]. The corresponding variations of the discovery fluxes reported here are in the range of about +15% to −5%.

The uncertainties on the scattering and absorption lengths of Cherenkov light in the deep-sea water and on the geometrical acceptance of the optical modules, which represents the major uncertainty in the response of a DOM to incident photons, have negligible effects. Also, the deterioration of the event reconstruction due to uncertainties on the position calibration is found to be small.

8. Conclusions

The search for Galactic point-like neutrino sources is one of the prime goals of the future KM3NeT/ARCA neutrino telescope. For a selected sample of Galactic sources the detection perspectives of KM3NeT/ARCA have been investigated, using several parameterisations of the expected neutrino fluxes derived from the measured γ-ray fluxes. A new event reconstruction method [61] and an improved multivariate analysis allowing for the distinction of three event classes have been applied, improving upon the results of Ref. [4].

Most of the Galactic sources considered can be observed by KM3NeT within a few years if their γ-ray emission is of purely hadronic origin. As an example, Vela Jr can be observed with a 3σ significance within 6 years, and RX J1713.7-3946 within 5.5 years. If no signal is observed after about 5 years, the hadronic contribution to the γ-ray emission can be constrained to be less than 50% for both sources.

The search for extragalactic neutrino sources is strongly motivated by the recent observation of a high-energy neutrino event coincident in direction and time with a γ-ray flaring state of a blazar [13]. In this respect, the performance of the KM3NeT/ARCA telescope have been investigated for a generic E−2 neutrino flux. The sensitivity and 5σ discovery potential for sources with an unbroken E−2 spectrum for an observation time of 6 years are in the ranges $E^2 \Phi = 0.2 - 0.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$ and $0.5 - 1 \times 10^{-8} \text{GeV}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2}$, respectively, for the full declination range $-\frac{\sin(\delta)}{0.8}$. These values are similar to the results, based on a similar exposure, reported by IceCube for the Northern hemisphere and by more than one order of magnitude better for the Southern hemisphere.
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