UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Good science at heart

Lessons on ethics from big data research in sudden cardiac arrest

Bak, M.A.R.

Publication date
2021

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):
Bak, M. A. R. (2021). *Good science at heart: Lessons on ethics from big data research in sudden cardiac arrest*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, P.O. Box 19185, 1000 GD Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (https://dare.uva.nl)

Download date:15 Oct 2026

References

Chapter 1. Introduction and outline

Epigraph: Carroll L. Alice's Adventures in Wonderland. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2015 [1865].

1. Bateson G. Form, substance, and difference. Essential Readings in Biosemiotics. New York: Springer; 1970.
2. Seltzer W, Anderson M. The dark side of numbers: The role of population data systems in human rights abuses. Social Research, 2001;68(2):481-513.
3. Martijn M, Tokmetzis D. Je hebt wél iets te verbergen: over het levensbelang van privacy. Amsterdam: De Correspondent bv. 2016
4. Bates DW, Saria S, Ohno-Machado L, Shah A, Escobar G. Big data in health care: using analytics to identify and manage high-risk and high-cost patients. Health Affairs. (2014);33(7):1123-1131.
5. Kambatla K, Kollias G, Kumar V, Grama A. Trends in big data analytics. Journal of Parallel and Distributed Computing. (2014);74(7):2561-2573.
6. Laney D. 3D data management: controlling data volume, velocity, and variety, Application delivery strategies. Stamford: META Group Inc; 2001.
7. Ristevski B, Chen M. Big data analytics in medicine and healthcare. Journal of integrative bioinformatics. 2018 Sep 1;15(3).
8. Denaxas SC, Morley KI. Big biomedical data and cardiovascular disease research: opportunities and challenges. European Heart Journal-Quality of Care and Clinical Outcomes. 2015 Jul 1;1(1):9-16.
9. Mittelstadt B. The ethics of biomedical 'Big Data'analytics. Philosophy & Technology. 2019 Mar;32(1):17-21.
10. Esteva A, Kuprel B, Novoa RA, Ko J, Swetter SM, Blau HM, Thrun S. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. nature. 2017 Feb;542(7639):115-8.
11. Libert T. Privacy implications of health information seeking on the web. Communications of the ACM. 2015 Feb 23;58(3):68-77.
12. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L, editors. The ethics of biomedical big data. New York: Springer; 2016.
13. Beauchamp TL & Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2001.
14. Annas GJ, Grodin MA. The Nazi doctors and the Nuremberg code. Human rights in human experimentation. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1992.
15. Knoppers BM, Thorogood AM. Ethics and big data in health. Current Opinion in Systems Biology. 2017 Aug 1;4:53-7.
16. Chassang G, Rial-Sebbag E. Research Biobanks and Health Databases: The WMA Declaration of Taipei, Added Value to European Legislation (Soft and Hard Law). European Journal of Health Law. 2018 Nov 15;25(5):501-16.
17. Andorno R. The right not to know: an autonomy based approach. Journal of medical ethics. 2004;30(5):435-439.

18. Hoffman S, Podgurski A. Balancing privacy, autonomy, and scientific needs in electronic health records research. SMUL Rev.. 2012;65:85.
19. Ploem MC. Tussen privacy en wetenschapsvrijheid: regulering van gegevensverwerking voor medisch-wetenschappelijk onderzoek. Sdu Uitgevers; 2004.
20. Juengst ET, McGowan ML. Why does the shift from "personalized medicine" to "precision health" and "wellness genomics" matter?. AMA Journal of Ethics. 2018 Sep 1;20(9):881-90.
21. Warren SD, Brandeis LD. The right to privacy. Harvard law review. 1890;4(5):193-220.
22. Anonymous. Data overprotection. Nature. 2015;522:391–2.
23. Ploem MC, Essink-Bot ML, Stronks K. Proposed EU data protection regulation is a threat to medical research BMJ 2013; 346 :f3534
24. Timmers M, Van Veen EB, Maas AI, Kompanje EJ. Will the Eu data protection regulation 2016/679 inhibit critical care research?. Medical law review. 2019;27(1):59-78.
25. Gräsner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, Perkins GD, Lefering R, Tjelmeland I, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe-Results of the EuReCa TWO study. Resuscitation. 2020;148:218-226.
26. Atwood C, Eisenberg MS, Herlitz J, Rea TD. Incidence of EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe. Resuscitation. 2005;67(1):75-80.
27. Empana JP, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, et al. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest–A European perspective: The ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation. 2018;124:7-13.
28. Kang SH, Heo J, Oh IY, Kim J, Lim WH, Cho Y, Choi EK, Yi SM, Do Shin S, Kim H, Oh S. Ambient air pollution and out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. International journal of cardiology. 2016 Jan 15;203:1086-92.
29. Nieuwenhuizen van BP, Oving I, Kunst AE, Daams J, Blom MT, Tan HL, et al. Socioeconomic differences in incidence, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review. Resuscitation. 2019;141:44-62.
30. Berdowski J, Blom MT, Bardai A, Tan HL, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Impact of onsite or dispatched automated external defibrillator use on survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Circulation. 2011;124(20):2225-2232.
31. Ringh M, Hollenberg J, Palsgaard-Moeller T, Svensson L, Rosenqvist M, Lippert FK, et al. The challenges and possibilities of public access defibrillation. Journal of internal medicine. 2018;283(3):238-256.
32. Maron BJ, Spirito P, Shen WK, Haas TS, Formisano F, Link MS, et al. Implantable cardioverter-defibrillators and prevention of sudden cardiac death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Jama. 2007;298(4):405-412.
33. Perkins GD, Jacobs IG, Nadkarni VM, Berg RA, Bhanji F, Biarent D, et al.. Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome reports: update of the utstein resuscitation registry templates for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a statement for healthcare professionals from a task force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian and New Zealand Council on Resuscitation, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, InterAmerican Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa .... Circulation. 2015;132(13):1286-1300.
34. Oving I, de Graaf C, Masterson S, Koster RW, Zwinderman AH, Stieglis R, et al. European first responder systems and differences in return of spontaneous circulation and survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A study of registry cohorts. The Lancet Regional Health-Europe. 2021;1:100004.
35. Nolan J, Soar J, Eikeland H. The chain of survival. Resuscitation. 2006;71(3):270-271.

36. McNally B, Stokes A, Crouch A, Kellermann AL, CARES Surveillance Group. CARES: cardiac arrest registry to enhance survival. Annals of emergency medicine. 2009;54(5):674-683.
37. Blom MT, Van Hoeijen DA, Bardai A, Berdowski J, Souverein PC, De Bruin ML, et al. Genetic, clinical and pharmacological determinants of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: rationale and outline of the AmsteRdam Resuscitation Studies (ARREST) registry. Open Heart. 2014;1(1):e000112.
38. Tan HL, Dagres N, Böttiger BW, Schwartz PJ, ESCAPE-NET Investigators. European Sudden Cardiac Arrest network: towards Prevention, Education and New Effective Treatments (ESCAPE-NET) A major European Horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest. European Heart Journal. 2018 Jan 7;39(2):86-8.
39. Patton MQ. Qualitative research and evaluation methods. Thousand Oaks: Sage Publications; 2nd ed. 2002 [1990].
40. Yin, R. 'Analytic Generalization.' In Albert J. Mills, G. Durepos, & E. Wiebe (Eds.), Encyclopedia of Case Study Research. (pp. 21-23). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc; 2010.
41. van Gorp A, van der Molen S. Parallel, embedded or just part of the team: ethicists cooperating within a European security research project. Sci Eng Ethics. 2011;17(1):31–43.
42. McLennan S, Fiske A, Celi LA. et al. An embedded ethics approach for AI development. Nat Mach Intell. 2020 Sep;2(9):488-90. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1038/ s42256-020-0214-1.
43. Jongsma KR, Bredenoord AL. Ethics parallel research: an approach for (early) ethical guidance of biomedical innovation. BMC medical ethics. 2020;21(1):1-9.
44. Brännström M, Niederbach C, Rödin AC. Experiences of surviving a cardiac arrest after therapeutic hypothermia treatment. An interview study. International emergency nursing. 2018;36:34-38.
45. Whitehead L, Tierney S, Biggerstaff D, Perkins GD, Haywood KL. Trapped in a disrupted normality: Survivors' and partners' experiences of life after a sudden cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2020;147:81-87.
46. Borry P, Schotsmans P, Dierickx K. The birth of the empirical turn in bioethics. Bioethics. 2005 Feb;19(1):49-71.
47. Zussman, R. Intensive Care: Medical Ethics and the Medical Profession. Chicago, IL and London: University of Chicago Press ; 1992
48. Musschenga AW. Empirical ethics, context-sensitivity, and contextualism. The Journal of medicine and philosophy. 2005;30(5):467-490.
49. Annis DB. A contextualist theory of epistemic justification. American philosophical quarterly. 1978 Jul 1;15(3):213-9.
50. Timmons M. Moral justification in context. The Monist. 1993 Aug 1;76(3):360-78.
51. Pols J. Towards an empirical ethics in care: Relations with technologies in health care. Medicine, Health Care and Philosophy. 2015;18(1):81-90.
52. Tomasulo FP. "Everything's got a moral": Alfred Hitchcock as moralist in Vertigo. Unfinished essay, retrieved through academia.edu; 2010 [accessed 17 July 2021].
53. Flick U. An introduction to qualitative research. 4th ed. London: Sage; 2009
54. Widdershoven GA. The doctor-patient relationship as a Gadamerian dialogue: A response to Arnason. Medicine, health care, and philosophy. 2000;3(1):25.
55. Miles MB, Huberman AM, Saldana JM. Qualitative data analysis: a methods sourcebook: 3rd ed. Thousand Oaks: Sage; 2013
56. Strauss AL, Corbin J.. Basics of qualitative research. Thousand Oakes, Sage; 1990

57. Denzin NK.. Sociological Methods. New York: McGraw-Hill; 1989
58. McMillan JH and Schumacher S.. Research in education: a conceptual framework. New York: Longman; 1997

Chapter 2. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data

Epigraph: Skloot R. The Immortal Life of Henrietta Lacks. London: Picador; 2019 [2010].

1. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, Koster RW. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. Resuscitation. 2010;81:1479-1487.
2. Pronovost P, Angus DC. Using large-scale databases to measure outcomes in critical care. Crit Care Clin. 1999;15:615-631.
3. McNally B. The importance of cardiac arrest registries. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med. 2014;22:A3.
4. Concato J, Shah N, Horwitz RI. Randomized, controlled trials, observational studies, and the hierarchy of research designs. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:1887-1892.
5. Barnish MS, Turner S. The value of pragmatic and observational studies in health care and public health. Pragmat Obs Res. 2017;8:49.
6. Priori SG. Genetic testing to predict sudden cardiac death: current perspectives and future goals. Indian Heart J. 2014;66:S58-S60.
7. McNally B, Robb R, Mehta M, Vellano K, Valderrama AL, Yoon PW, et al. Out-of-hospital cardiac arrest surveillance—cardiac arrest registry to enhance survival (CARES), United States, October 1, 2005–December 31, 2010. MMWR Surveill Summ. 2011;60:1-19.
8. Ong MEH, Shin SD, Tanaka H, Ma MHM, Khruekarnchana P, Hisamuddin N, et al. Pan-Asian Resuscitation Outcomes Study (PAROS): Rationale, Methodology, and Implementation. Acad Emerg Med. 2011;18:890-897.
9. Beck B, Bray J, Smith K, Walker T, Grantham H, Hein C, et al. Establishing the Aus-ROC Australian and New Zealand out-of-hospital cardiac arrest Epistry. BMJ Open. 2016;6:e011027.
10. Empana JP, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, et al. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest–A European perspective: The ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation. 2018;124:7-13.
11. Blom MT, Van Hoeijen DA, Bardai A, Berdowski J, Souverein PC, De Bruin ML, et al. Genetic, clinical and pharmacological determinants of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: rationale and outline of the AmsteRdam Resuscitation Studies (ARREST) registry. Open Heart. 2014;1:e000112.
12. Waien SA. Linking large administrative databases: a method for conducting emergency medical services cohort studies using existing data. Acad Emerg Med. 1997;4:1087-1095.
13. Rumsfeld JS, Joynt KE, Maddox TM. Big data analytics to improve cardiovascular care: promise and challenges. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2016;13:350.
14. Alexander CA, Wang L. Big Data Analytics in Heart Attack Prediction. J Nurs Care. 2017;6:2167-1168.
15. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L. The ethics of big data: Current and foreseeable issues in biomedical contexts. Sci Eng Ethics. 2016;22:303-341.
16. Miller BL. The ethics of cardiac arrest research. Ann Emerg Med. 1993;22:118-124.

17. Petriș AO, Cimpoeșu DC, Ungureanu D. What's new in ethics of cardio-pulmonary resuscitation research: too little time and too many rules?. Intensive Care Med. 2015;41:120-122.
18. Fox EE, Bulger EM, Dickerson AS, Del Junco DJ, Klotz P, Podbielski J, et al. Waiver of consent in non-interventional, observational emergency research: the PROMMTT experience. J Trauma Acute Care Surg. 2013;75:S3.
19. Anonymous. Data overprotection. Nature. 2015;522:391–392.
20. Chassang G. The impact of the EU general data protection regulation on scientific research. Ecancermedicalscience. 2017;11:709.
21. Morris MC, Mechem CC, Berg RA, Bobrow BJ, Burns S, Clark L, et al. Impact of the privacy rule on the study of out-of-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2007;11:272-277.
22. Gershon AS, Tu JV. The effect of privacy legislation on observational research. CMAJ. 2008;178:871-3.
23. Mostert M, Bredenoord AL, van der Sloot B, van Delden JJ. From Privacy to Data Protection in the EU: Implications for Big Data Health Research. Eur J Health Law. 2017;25:43-55.
24. Rachels J. Why privacy is important. Philos Public Aff. 1975;4:323-333.
25. Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Health Research and the Privacy of Health Information. The value and importance of health information privacy. In: Nass SJ, Levit LA, Gostin LO, editors. Beyond the HIPAA Privacy Rule: Enhancing Privacy, Improving Health Through Research. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2009.
26. Buitelaar JC. Post-mortem privacy and informational self-determination. Ethics Inf Technol. 2017;19:129-142.
27. Laurie G, Jones KH, Stevens L, Dobbs C. A review of evidence relating to harm resulting from uses of health and biomedical data. Nuffield Council on Bioethics. 2015.
28. Molster C, Taruscio D, Schaefer F, Lochmüller H, Dawkins H, Posada M, et al. The risk of re-identification versus the need to identify individuals in rare disease research. Eur J Hum Genet. 2016;24:1553.
29. Otlowski M, Taylor S, Bombard Y. Genetic discrimination: international perspectives. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet, 2012;13:433-454.
30. Geelen E, Horstman K, Marcelis CL, Doevendans PA, Van Hoyweghen I. Unravelling fears of genetic discrimination: an exploratory study of Dutch HCM families in an era of genetic non-discrimination acts. Eur J Hum Genet. 2012;20:1018-1023.
31. Mohammed S, Lim Z, Dean PH, Potts JE, Tang JN, Etheridge SP, et al. Genetic Insurance Discrimination in Sudden Arrhythmia Death Syndromes. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10:e001442.
32. Reinier K, Thomas E, Andrusiek DL, Aufderheide TP, Brooks SC, Callaway CW, et al. Socioeconomic status and incidence of sudden cardiac arrest. Can Med Assoc J. 2011;183:1705-1712.
33. Keogh LA, van Vliet CM, Studdert DM, Maskiell JA, Macrae FA, St John DJ, et al. Is uptake of genetic testing for colorectal cancer influenced by knowledge of insurance implications?. Med J Aust. 2009;191:255-258.
34. Knoppers BM, Joly Y, Simard J, Durocher F. The emergence of an ethical duty to disclose genetic research results: international perspectives. Eur J Hum Genet. 2006;14:1170-1178.
35. McGuire AL, Caulfield T, Cho MK. Research ethics and the challenge of whole-genome sequencing. Nat Rev Genet. 2008;9:152-156.
36. Pullman D, Hodgkinson K. Genetic knowledge and moral responsibility: ambiguity at the interface of genetic research and clinical practice. Clin Genet. 2006;69:199-203.

37. Emanuel EJ, Wendler D, Grady C. What makes clinical research ethical?. JAMA. 2000;283:2701-2711.
38. Badcock D, Kelly AM, Kerr D, Reade T. The quality of medical record review studies in the international emergency medicine literature. Annals of emergency medicine. 2005;45:444-447.
39. Kaji AH, Schriger D, Green S. Looking through the retrospectoscope: reducing bias in emergency medicine chart review studies. Ann Emerg Med. 2014;64:292-298.
40. Nishiyama C, Brown SP, May S, Iwami T, Koster RW, Beesems SG, et al. Apples to apples or apples to oranges? International variation in reporting of process and outcome of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2014;85:1599-1609.
41. Docherty AB, Lone NI. Exploiting big data for critical care research. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2015;21:467-472.
42. Aufderheide TP, Nolan JP, Jacobs IG, Belle G, Bobrow BJ, Marshall J, et al. Global health and emergency care: a resuscitation research agenda—part 1. Acad Emerg Med, 2013;20:1289-1296.
43. Morini E, Sangiuolo F, Caporossi D, Novelli G, Amati F. Application of next generation sequencing for personalized medicine for sudden cardiac death. Front Genet. 2015;6:55.
44. Myerburg RJ, Goldberger JJ. Sudden Cardiac Arrest Risk Assessment: Population Science and the Individual Risk Mandate. JAMA Cardiol. 2017;2:689-694.
45. Hoeyer K. Denmark at a crossroad? Intensified data sourcing in a research radical country. In: Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L, editors. The Ethics of Biomedical Big Data. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2016.
46. Manrai AK, Funke BH, Rehm HL, Olesen MS, Maron BA, Szolovits P, et al. Genetic misdiagnoses and the potential for health disparities. N Engl J Med, 2016;375:655-665.
47. Boyd KM. Ethnicity and the ethics of data linkage. BMC Public Health. 2007;7:318.
48. Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences. International Ethical Guidelines for Epidemiological Studies. Geneva, Switzerland: Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences; 2009.
49. Foëx BA. The problem of informed consent in emergency medicine research. Emerg Med J. 2001;18:198-204.
50. Nichol G, Huszti E, Rokosh J, Dumbrell A, McGowan J, Becker L. Impact of informed consent requirements on cardiac arrest research in the United States: exception from consent or from research?. Resuscitation. 2004;62:3-23.
51. The Academy of Medical Sciences. Personal data for public good: using health information in medical research. The Academy of Medical Sciences; 2006.
52. Jansen TC, Kompanje EJO, Druml C, Menon DK, Wiedermann CJ, Bakker J. Deferred consent in emergency intensive care research: what if the patient dies early? Use the data or not?. Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:894-900.
53. Tu JV, Willison DJ, Silver FL, Fang J, Richards JA, Laupacis A, el al. Impracticability of informed consent in the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1414-1421.
54. Fang J, Kapral MK, Richards J, Robertson A, Stamplecoski M, Silver FL. The registry of the Canadian stroke network: an evolving methodology. Acta Neurol Taiwan. 2011;20:77-84.
55. Freeman BD, Bolcic-Jankovic D, Kennedy CR, LeBlanc J, Eastman A, Barillas J, et al. Perspectives of decisional surrogates and patients regarding critical illness genetic research. AJOB Empir Bioeth. 2016;7:39-47.

56. Christen M, Domingo-Ferrer J, Draganski B, Spranger T, Walter H. On the compatibility of big data driven research and informed consent: The example of the Human Brain Project. In: Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L, editors. The Ethics of Biomedical Big Data. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2016.
57. Clark DE. Practical introduction to record linkage for injury research. Inj Prev. 2004;10:186-191.
58. Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E, Erlich Y. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. Science. 2013:339:321-324.
59. Fost N, Robertson JA. Deferring consent with incompetent patients in an intensive care unit. IRB. 1980;2:5.
60. Offerman SR, Nishijima DK, Ballard DW, Chetipally UK, Vinson DR, Holmes JF. The use of delayed telephone informed consent for observational emergency medicine research is ethical and effective. Acad Emerg Med. 2013;20:403-407.
61. Tassé AM. Biobanking and deceased persons. Hum Genet. 2011;130:415-423.
62. Gevers JKM. Genetic databases and consent for use of medical records. Public Health Genomics. 2004;7:173-175.
63. Wicclair MR, DeVita MA. Oversight of research involving the dead. Kennedy Inst Ethics J. 2004;14:143-164.
64. Vayena E, Blasimme A. Biomedical Big Data: New Models of Control Over Access, Use and Governance. J Bioeth Inq. 2017;14:501-513.
65. Thorogood A, Zawati MNH. International guidelines for privacy in genomic biobanking (or the unexpected virtue of pluralism). J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:690-702.
66. Auffray C, Balling R, Barroso I, Bencze L, Benson M, Bergeron J, et al. Making sense of big data in health research: Towards an EU action plan. Genome Med. 2016;8:71.
67. Duvall MG, Burns JP. Better Late Than Never? Deferred Consent for Minimal Risk Research in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2017;45:1571-1572.
68. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. OECD Guidelines on Human Biobanks and Genetic Research Databases. Eur J Health Law. 2010;17:191-204.
69. Knoppers BM, Harris JR, Budin-Ljøsne I, Dove ES. A human rights approach to an international code of conduct for genomic and clinical data sharing. Hum Genet. 2014;133:895–903.
70. van Panhuis WG, Paul P, Emerson C, Grefenstette J, Wilder R, Herbst AJ, et al. A systematic review of barriers to data sharing in public health. BMC Public Health. 2014;14:1144.
71. Davidson S, McLean C, Treanor S, Aitken M, Cunningham-Burley S, Laurie G, et al. Public acceptability of data sharing between the public, private and third sectors for research purposes. Scottish Government Social Research; 2013.
72. The Washington Post. Henrietta Lacks's family wants compensation for her cells. 2017. http://www.washingtonpost.com. Accessed 22 June 2018.
73. Vezyridis P, Timmons S. Understanding the care.data conundrum: New information flows for economic growth. BD&S. 2017;4:2053951716688490.
74. Nissenbaum H. Privacy in context: Technology, policy, and the integrity of social life. Stanford University Press; 2009.
75. Prainsack B, Buyx A. A solidarity-based approach to the governance of research biobanks. Med Law Rev. 2013;21:71-91.

Chapter 3. Health data research on sudden cardiac arrest: perspectives of survivors and their next-of-kin

Epigraph: Arendt H. The Human Condition. Chicago: Chicago University Press; 2018 [1958].

1. Hemingway H, Asselbergs FW, Danesh J, Dobson R, Maniadakis N, Maggioni A, et al. Big data from electronic health records for early and late translational cardiovascular research: challenges and potential. Eur Heart J. 2018;39:1481-95.
2. Podesta J. Big data: seizing opportunities, preserving values. Washington DC: Government Printing Office; 2014.
3. Gruson D, Helleputte T, Rousseau P, Gruson D. Data science, artificial intelligence, and machine learning: opportunities for laboratory medicine and the value of positive regulation. Clin Biochem. 2019;69:1-7.
4. Sanchez-Pinto LN, Luo Y, Churpek MM. Big data and data science in critical care. Chest. 2018;154:1239-48.
5. Price WN, Cohen IG. Privacy in the age of medical big data. Nat Med. 2019;25:37-43.
6. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around anonymity and consent. In: Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum H, editors. Privacy, big data, and the public good: frameworks for engagement. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2014.
7. Favaretto M, De Clercq E, Elger BS. Big Data and discrimination: perils, promises and solutions. A systematic review. J Big Data. 2019;6:12.
8. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L. The ethics of big data: current and foreseeable issues in biomedical contexts. Sci Eng Ethics. 2016;22:303-41.
9. Abbott A. Icelandic database shelved as court judges privacy in peril. Nature. 2004;429:118.
10. Dove ES, Joly Y, Tassé AM, Knoppers BM. Genomic cloud computing: legal and ethical points to consider. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015;23:1271-8.
11. Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E, Erlich Y. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. Science. 2013;339:321-4.
12. Takashima K, Maru Y, Mori S, Mano H, Noda T, Muto K. Ethical concerns on sharing genomic data including patients' family members. BMC Med Ethics. 2018;19:1.
13. Shabani M, Borry P. Rules for processing genetic data for research purposes in view of the new EU General Data Protection Regulation. Eur J Hum Genet. 2018;26:149-56.
14. Timmers M, Van Veen EB, Maas AI, Kompanje EJ. Will the EU data protection regulation 2016/679 inhibit critical care research? Med Law Rev. 2019;27:59-78.
15. Myerburg RJ, Goldberger JJ. Sudden cardiac arrest risk assessment: population science and the individual risk mandate. JAMA Cardiol. 2017;2:689-94.
16. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems, DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2018;22:212.
17. Tassé AM, Budin-Ljøsne I, Knoppers BM, Harris JR. Retrospective access to data: the ENGAGE consent experience. Eur J Hum Genet. 2010;18:741-5.
18. Gräsner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, Perkins GD, Lefering R, Tjelmeland I, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe-Results of the EuReCa TWO study. Resuscitation. 2020;148:218-26.
19. Cohen LL, Stolerman M, Walsh C, Wasserman D, Dolan SM. Challenges of genetic testing in adolescents with cardiac arrhythmia syndromes. J Med Ethics. 2012;383:163-7.

20. Tester DJ, Ackerman MJ. Evaluating the survivor or the relatives of those who do not survive: the role of genetic testing. Cardiol Young 2017;27 Suppl 1:19-24.
21. Tassé AM. Biobanking and deceased persons. Hum Genet. 2011;130:415-23.
22. Fellmann F, Rial-Sebbag E, Patch C, Hentze S, Stefandottir V, Mendes Á, et al. ESHG PPPC Comments on postmortem use of genetic data for research purposes. Eur J Hum Genet. 2020;28:144-6.
23. Dickert NW, Bernard AM, Brabson JM, Hunter RJ, McLemore R, Mitchell AR, et al. Partnering with patients to bridge gaps in consent for acute care research. Am J Bioethics. 2020;20:7-17.
24. Pols J. Towards an empirical ethics in care: Relations with technologies in health care. Med Health Care Philos. 2015;18:81-90.
25. Blom MT, Van Hoeijen DA, Bardai A, Berdowski J, Souverein PC, De Bruin ML, et al. Genetic, clinical and pharmacological determinants of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: rationale and outline of the AmsteRdam Resuscitation Studies (ARREST) registry. Open Heart. 2014;1:1.
26. Empana JP, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, et al. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest–a European perspective: the ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation. 2018;124:7-13.
27. Breitkopf CR, Petersen GM, Wolf SM, Chaffee KG, Robinson ME, Gordon DR, et al. Preferences regarding return of genomic results to relatives of research participants, including after participant death: empirical results from a cancer biobank. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:464-75.
28. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health C. 2007;19:349-57.
29. Boivin A, L'Espérance A, Gauvin FP, Dumez V, Macaulay AC, Lehoux P, et al. Patient and public engagement in research and health system decision making: a systematic review of evaluation tools. Health Expect. 2018;21:1075-84.
30. Carter SM. Methodological challenges in deliberative empirical ethics. J Med Ethics. 2020;46:382-383.
31. Ticktin MI. Casualties of care: immigration and the politics of humanitarianism in France. Berkeley: University of California Press; 2011.
32. Nissenbaum H. Privacy in context: Technology, policy, and the integrity of social life. Stanford: Stanford University Press; 2009.
33. Taylor M. Genetic data and the law: a critical perspective on privacy protection. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2012.
34. Green MJ, Botkin JR. Genetic exceptionalism in medicine: clarifying the differences between genetic and nongenetic tests. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138:571-5.
35. Prins C, Moerel L. Privacy for the Homo digitalis: Proposal for a new regulatory framework for data protection in the light of big data and the internet of things. 2016;98. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2784123. Accessed 19 May 2020.
36. Sobhani M. HIPAA Isn't Enough: All Our Data is Health Data. USC Center for Body Computing. 2019. https://www.uscbodycomputing.org/uncensored/hipaaisnotenough. Accessed 10 May 2020.
37. Dickert NW, Kass NE. Patients' perceptions of research in emergency settings: a study of survivors of sudden cardiac death. Social Sci Med. 2009;68:183-191.

38. Ballantyne A. Adjusting the focus: a public health ethics approach to data research. Bioethics. 2019;33:357-366.
39. Hansson MG. Building on relationships of trust in biobank research. J Med Ethics. 2005;31:415-18.
40. Lemke AA, Wolf WA, Hebert-Beirne J, Smith ME. Public and biobank participant attitudes toward genetic research participation and data sharing. Public Health Genomics. 2010;13:368-77.
41. Sheehan M, Friesen P, Balmer A, Cheeks C, Davidson S, Devereux J, et al. Trust, trustworthiness and sharing patient data for research. J Med Ethics. 2020; doi: 10.1136/medethics-2019-106048.
42. Lea NC, Nicholls J. Are patient relationships the driver for information governance? Brit J Gen Pract. 2016;66:342-3.
43. Hall MA, Camacho F, Dugan E, Balkrishnan R. Trust in the medical profession: conceptual and measurement issues. Health Serv Res. 2002;37:1419-1439.
44. Clayton EW, Halverson CM, Sathe NA, Malin BA. A systematic literature review of individuals' perspectives on privacy and genetic information in the United States. PloS One. 2018;13:10.
45. Hill EM, Turner EL, Martin RM, Donovan JL. "Let's get the best quality research we can": public awareness and acceptance of consent to use existing data in health research: a systematic review and qualitative study. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2013;13:72.
46. Royal Statistical Society. New RSS research finds "data trust deficit", with lessons for policymakers. 2014. http://www.statslife.org.uk/news/1672-newrss-research-finds-data-trust-deficit-with-lessons-for-policymakers. Accessed 19 May 2020.
47. Vezyridis P, Timmons S. Understanding the care.data conundrum: new information flows for economic growth. Big Data Soc. 2017;4:1.
48. Roehrich JK, Lewis MA, George G. Are public–private partnerships a healthy option? A systematic literature review. Soc Sci Med. 2014;113:110-119.
49. Eisenhauer ER, Tait AR, Rieh SY, Arslanian-Engoren CM. Participants' understanding of informed consent for biobanking: a systematic review. Clin Nurs Res. 2019;28:30-51.
50. Ford E, Oswald M, Hassan L, Bozentko K, Nenadic G, Cassell J. Should free-text data in electronic medical records be shared for research? A citizens' jury study in the UK. J Med Ethics. 2020;46:367-377.
51. Beier K, Scheweda M, Schicktanz S. Taking patient involvement seriously: a critical ethical analysis of participatory approaches in data-intensive medical research. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2019;19:90.
52. Papoutsi C, Reed JE, Marston C, Lewis R, Majeed A, Bell D. Patient and public views about the security and privacy of Electronic Health Records (EHRs) in the UK: results from a mixed methods study. BMC Med Inform Decis. 2015;15:86.
53. D'Costa SN, Kuhn IL, Fritz Z. A systematic review of patient access to medical records in the acute setting: practicalities, perspectives and ethical consequences. BMC Med Ethics. 2020;21:1-19.
54. Norberg PA, Horne DR, Horne DA. The privacy paradox: personal information disclosure intentions versus behaviors. J Consum Aff. 2007;41:100-26.
55. Junghans C, Feder G, Hemingway H, Timmis A, Jones M. Recruiting patients to medical research: double blind randomised trial of "opt-in" versus "opt-out" strategies. BMJ. 2005;331:940.

56. Kho ME, Duffett M, Willison DJ, Cook DJ, Brouwers MC. Written informed consent and selection bias in observational studies using medical records: systematic review. BMJ. 2009;338:b866.
57. Savulescu J, Mann SP, Sahakian BJ. Facilitating the ethical use of health data for the benefit of society: electronic health records, consent and the duty of easy rescue. Philos T R Soc A. 2016; doi:10.1098/rsta.2016.0130.
58. Wertheimer A. (Why) should we require consent to participation in research? J Law Biosci. 2014;1:137-82.
59. Robling MR, Hood K, Houston H, Pill R, Fay J, Evans HM. Public attitudes towards the use of primary care patient record data in medical research without consent: a qualitative study. J Med Ethics. 2003;30:104–9.
60. Richter G, Borzikowsky C, Lieb W, Schreiber S, Krawczak M, Buyx A. Patient views on research use of clinical data without consent: legal, but also acceptable? Eur J Hum Genet. 2019;27:841-7.
61. Petersen I, Desmedt C, Harris A, Buffa F, Kollek R. Informed consent, biobank research, and locality perceptions of breast cancer patients in three European countries. J Empir Res Hum Res. 2014;9:48-55.
62. Sheikh Z, Hoeyer K. "That is why I have trust": unpacking what "trust" means to participants in international genetic research in Pakistan and Denmark. Med Health Care and Philos. 2018;21:169-79.
63. Whitehead L, Tierney S, Biggerstaff D, Perkins GD, Haywood KL. Trapped in a disrupted normality: Survivors' and partners' experiences of life after a sudden cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2020;147:81-87.
64. Duvall MG, Burns JP. Better late than never? Deferred consent for minimal risk research in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2017;45:1571-2.
65. Jansen TC, Kompanje EJ, Bakker J. Deferred proxy consent in emergency critical care research: ethically valid and practically feasible. Crit Care Med. 2009;37:S65-S68.
66. Johnson LR, Siddaiah R. Use of deferred consent for enrolment in trials is fraught with problems. BMJ. 2015;351.
67. Woolfall K, Frith L, Dawson A, Gamble C, Lyttle MD, Young B. Fifteen-minute consultation: an evidence-based approach to research without prior consent (deferred consent) in neonatal and paediatric critical care trials. Arch Dis Childhood-E. 2016;101:49-53.
68. Hallinan D. Broad consent under the GDPR: an optimistic perspective on a bright future. Life Sci Soc Policy. 2020;16:1-18.
69. Hofmann B. Broadening consent—and diluting ethics? J Med Ethics. 2009;35:125-9.
70. Sheehan M. Can broad consent be informed consent? Public Health Ethics. 2011;4:226-35.
71. Cooke S, Crawford G, Parker M, Lucassen A, Hallowell N. Recall of participation in research projects in cancer genetics: some implications for research ethics. Clin Ethics. 2008;3:180-4.
72. Appelbaum PS, Roth LH, Lidz C. The therapeutic misconception: informed consent in psychiatric research. Int J Law Psyc. 1982;5:319-29.
73. Tupasela AM, Snell K, Canada JA. Rethinking Therapeutic Misconception in Biobanking–Ambivalence Between Research and Treatment. Sci Technol Stud. 2017;30:25-39.
74. Tupasela A, Liede S. State responsibility and accountability in managing big data in biobank research: tensions and challenges in the right of access to data. In: Daniel B, Floridi L, editors. The ethics of biomedical big data. Cham: Springer; 2016.
75. Whitley EA, Kanellopoulou N, Kaye J. Consent and research governance in biobanks: evidence from focus groups with medical researchers. Public Health Genom. 2012;15:232-42.

76. Steinsbekk KS, Myskja BK, Solberg B. Broad consent versus dynamic consent in biobank research: is passive participation an ethical problem? Eur J Hum Genet. 2013;21:897-902.
77. Budin-Ljøsne I, Teare HJ, Kaye J, Beck S, Bentzen HB, Caenazzo L, et al. Dynamic consent: a potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. BMC Med Ethics. 2017;18:1-10.
78. Husedzinovic A, Ose D, Schickhardt C, Fröhling S, Winkler EC. Stakeholders' perspectives on biobank-based genomic research: systematic review of the literature. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015;23:1607-14.
79. Custers B. Click here to consent forever: Expiry dates for informed consent. Big Data Soc. 2016;3:1.
80. Hayeems RZ, Miller FA, Li L, Bytautas JP. Not so simple: a quasi-experimental study of how researchers adjudicate genetic research results. Eur J Hum Genet. 2011;19:740-7.
81. Knoppers BM, Joly Y, Simard J, Durocher F. The emergence of an ethical duty to disclose genetic research results: international perspectives. Eur J Hum Genet. 2006;14:1170–8.
82. Hodgkinson K, Dicks E, Connors S, Young T-L, Parfrey P, Pullman D. Translation of research discoveries to clinical care in arrhythmogenic right ventricular cardiomyopathy in Newfoundland and Labrador: lessons for health policy in genetic disease. Genet Med. 2009;11:859-65.
83. Jansen TC, Kompanje EJO, Druml C, Menon DK, Wiedermann CJ, Bakker J. Deferred consent in emergency intensive care research: what if the patient dies early? Use the data or not? Intensive Care Med. 2007;33:894-900.
84. Tu JV, Willison DJ, Silver FL, Fang J, Richards JA, Laupacis A, et al. Impracticability of informed consent in the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network. New Engl J Med. 2004;350:1414-21.
85. Ploem MC, Rigter T, Gevers JKM. Medisch data-onderzoek in het AVG-tijdperk: een zoektocht naar de juiste regels [Medical data research in the era of the GDPR: a search for the right rules]. Tijdschrift voor Gezondheidsrecht. 2020;44:162-181.
86. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Atesyurek H, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research: a systematic review. Eur J Hum Genet. 2019; doi:10.1038/s41431-019-0503-5.
87. McNally E, Cambon-Thomsen A, Brazell A, Cassiman JJ, Kent A, Lindpaintner K, et al. 25 recommendations on the ethical, legal and social implications of genetic testing. European Commission: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation. 2009. https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/53d84d00-5153-498e-9492-47f1fcae5d27/language-en. Accessed 19 May 2020.
88. Chan B, Facio FM, Eidem H, Hull SC, Biesecker LG, Berkman BE. Genomic inheritances: disclosing individual research results from whole-exome sequencing to deceased participants' relatives. Am J Bioethics. 2012;12:1-8.
89. Dheensa S, Fenwick A, Lucassen A. "Is this knowledge mine and nobody else's? I don't feel that." Patient views about consent, confidentiality and information-sharing in genetic medicine. J Med Ethics. 2016;42:174-9.
90. Gordon DR, Breitkopf CR, Robinson M, Petersen WO, Egginton JS, Chaffee KG, et al. Should researchers offer results to family members of cancer biobank participants? A mixed-methods study of proband and family preferences. AJOB Empir Bioeth. 2018;10:1–22.
91. Floridi L. A look into the future impact of ICT on our lives. Inf Soc. 2007;23:59-64.

Chapter 4. Regulating registries and biobanks for research in sudden cardiac arrest: European experts' views

Epigraph: Timmermans S. Sudden Death and the Myth of CPR. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; 1999.

1. Gräsner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, Perkins GD, Lefering R, Tjelmeland I, Koster RW, Masterson S, Rossell-Ortiz F, Maurer H, Böttiger BW, Moertl M, Mols P, Alihodžić H, Hadžibegović I, Ioannides M, Truhlář A, Wissenberg M, Salo A, Escutnaire J, Nikolaou N, Nagy E, Jonsson BS, Wright P, Semeraro F, Clarens C, Beesems S, Cebula G, Correia VH, Cimpoesu D, Raffay V, Trenkler S, Markota A, Strömsöe A, Burkart R, Booth S, Bossaert L. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe - Results of the EuReCa TWO study. Resuscitation 2020;148:218-226.
2. Tan HL, Dagres N, Böttiger BW, Schwartz PJ, ESCAPA-NET Investigators European Sudden Cardiac Arrest network: towards Prevention, Education and New Effective Treatments (ESCAPE-NET): A major European horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest. European Heart Journal 2018;39:86-88.
3. Priori SG. Genetic testing to predict sudden cardiac death: Current perspectives and future goals. Indian Heart J 2014;66:S58-60
4. Sammani A, Baas AF, Asselbergs FW, Te Riele A. Diagnosis and risk prediction of dilated cardiomyopathy in the era of big data and genomics. Journal of Clinical Medicine. 2021;10:921.
5. Sanchez-Pinto LN, Luo Y, Churpek MM. Big Data and Data Science in Critical Care. Chest 2018;154:1239-1248.
6. Barnish MS, Turner S. The value of pragmatic and observational studies in health care and public health. Pragmat Obs Res 2017;8:49-55.
7. Empana JP, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, Jouven X, Meitinger T, Ristagno G, Schwartz PJ, Jonsson M, Tfelt-Hansen J, Truhlar A, Tan HL. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest - A European perspective: The ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation 2018;124:7-13.
8. Docherty AB, Lone NI. Exploiting big data for critical care research. Curr Opin Crit Care 2015;21:467-472.
9. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L. The ethics of big data: Current and foreseeable Issues in biomedical contexts. In: Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L, eds. The Ethics of Biomedical Big Data. Law, Governance and Technology Series. Cham: Springer; 2016, p303-341.
10. Austin C, Kusumoto F. The application of Big Data in medicine: Current implications and future directions. J Interv Card Electrophysiol 2016;47:51-59
11. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: A narrative review. Crit Care 2018;22:212.
12. Wierda E, Eindhoven DC, Schalij MJ, Borleffs CJW, Amoroso G, van Veghel D, Mitchell CR, de Mol B, Hirsch A, Ploem MC. Privacy of patient data in quality-of-care registries in cardiology and cardiothoracic surgery: The impact of the new general data protection regulation EU-law. Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes 2018;4:239-245.
13. Timmers M, Van Veen EB, Maas AIR, Kompanje EJO. Will the EU data protection regulation 2016/679 inhibit critical care research? Med Law Rev 2019;27:59-78.

14. Staunton C, Slokenberga S, Mascalzoni D. The GDPR and the research exemption: Considerations on the necessary safeguards for research biobanks. Eur J Hum Genet 2019;27:1159-1167.
15. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Ateşyürek H, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research: A systematic review. Eur J Hum Genet 2020;28:403-416.
16. Kaye J, Briceño Moraia L, Curren L, Bell J, Mitchell C, Soini S, Hoppe N, Øien M, Rial-Sebbag E. Consent for Biobanking: The Legal Frameworks of Countries in the BioSHaRE-EU Project. Biopreserv Biobank 2016;14:195-200.
17. Townend D. EU laws on privacy in genomic databases and biobanking. J Law Med Ethics 2016;441:128-142.
18. Kranendonk EJ, Ploem MC, Hennekam RC. Regulating biobanking with children's tissue: A legal analysis and the experts' view. Eur J Hum Genet 2016;24:30-36.
19. Campbell S. What is qualitative research? Clinical Laboratory Science 2014;27:3.
20. Bogner A., Littig B., W M. Introduction: Expert Interviews — An introduction to a new methodological debate. In: Bogner A., Littig B., W. M, eds. Interviewing Experts. Research Methods Series. London: Palgrave Macmillan; 2009.
21. Cummins RO, Chamberlain DA, Abramson NS, Allen M, Baskett PJ, Becker L, Bossaert L, Delooz HH, Dick WF, Eisenberg MS. Recommended guidelines for uniform reporting of data from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: The Utstein style. A statement for health professionals from a task force of the American Heart Association, the European Resuscitation Council, the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, and the Australian Resuscitation Council. Circulation 1991;84:960-975.
22. Bauer S. From administrative infrastructure to biomedical resource: Danish population registries, the "Scandinavian laboratory," and the "epidemiologist's dream". Sci Context 2014;27:187-213.
23. Westhoff G, Drougas A. Content design and methodology of seminars, workshops and congresses: Council of Europe; 2002.
24. Beauchamp TL, Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics: Oxford University Press, USA; 2001.
25. Wendler D, Rid A. In defense of a social value requirement for clinical research. Bioethics 2017;31:77-86.
26. Eroglu TE, Mohr GH, Blom MT, Torp-Pedersen C, Souverein PC, De Boer A, Gislason GH, Tan HL. High-dose nifedipine use is associated with increased risk of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: Multi-country case-control study. Europace 2019;21.
27. Oving I, Masterson S, Tjelmeland IBM, Jonsson M, Semeraro F, Ringh M, Truhlar A, Cimpoesu D, Folke F, Beesems SG, Koster RW, Tan HL, Blom MT. First-response treatment after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A survey of current practices across 29 countries in Europe. Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med 2019;27:112.
28. Engdahl J, Holmberg M, Karlson BW, Luepker R, Herlitz J. The epidemiology of out-of-hospital 'sudden' cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2002;52:235-245.
29. Graham R, McCoy MA, Schultz AM. Understanding the public health burden of cardiac arrest: The need for national surveillance. In. Strategies to Improve Cardiac Arrest Survival: A Time to Act. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2015.
30. Hariri RH, Fredericks EM, Bowers KM. Uncertainty in big data analytics: Survey, opportunities, and challenges. Journal of Big Data 2019;6:44.

31. Haywood K, Whitehead L, Nadkarni VM, Achana F, Beesems S, Böttiger BW, Brooks A, Castrén M, Ong ME, Hazinski MF, Koster RW, Lilja G, Long J, Monsieurs KG, Morley PT, Morrison L, Nichol G, Oriolo V, Saposnik G, Smyth M, Spearpoint K, Williams B, Perkins GD. COSCA (Core Outcome Set for Cardiac Arrest) in adults: An advisory statement from the international liaison Committee on resuscitation. Circulation 2018;137:e783-e801.
32. Siscovick DS. Challenges in cardiac arrest research: data collection to assess outcomes. Ann Emerg Med 1993;221:92-98.
33. Nishiyama C, Brown SP, May S, Iwami T, Koster RW, Beesems SG, Kuisma M, Salo A, Jacobs I, Finn J, Sterz F, Nürnberger A, Smith K, Morrison L, Olasveengen TM, Callaway CW, Shin SD, Gräsner JT, Daya M, Ma MH, Herlitz J, Strömsöe A, Aufderheide TP, Masterson S, Wang H, Christenson J, Stiell I, Davis D, Huszti E, Nichol G. Apples to apples or apples to oranges? International variation in reporting of process and outcome of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation 2014;85:1599-1609.
34. Atwood C, Eisenberg MS, Herlitz J, Rea TD. Incidence of EMS-treated out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe. Resuscitation 2005;67:75-80.
35. Gräsner JT, Wnent J, Herlitz J, Perkins GD, Lefering R, Tjelmeland I, et al. Survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Europe-Results of the EuReCa TWO study. Resuscitation 2020; 148, 218-226.
36. Tu JV, Willison DJ, Silver FL, Fang J, Richards JA, Laupacis A, Kapral MK. Impracticability of informed consent in the registry of the Canadian stroke network. N Engl J Med 2004;350:1414-1421.
37. Jansen TC, Bakker J, Kompanje EJ. Inability to obtain deferred consent due to early death in emergency research: Effect on validity of clinical trial results. Intensive Care Med 2010;36:1962-1965.
38. van Beinum A, Hornby L, Dhanani S, Ward R, Chambers-Evans J, Menon K. Feasibility of conducting prospective observational research on critically ill, dying patients in the intensive care unit. J Med Ethics 2017;43:47-51.
39. Bouter L. What research institutions can do to foster research integrity. Sci Eng Ethics 2020; 1-7.
40. Bhatnagar A. Environmental Determinants of Cardiovascular Disease. Circ Res 2017;121:162-180.
41. van Nieuwenhuizen BP, Oving I, Kunst AE, Daams J, Blom MT, Tan HL, van Valkengoed IGM. Socio-economic differences in incidence, bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation and survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: A systematic review. Resuscitation 2019;141:44-62.
42. Evans JP, Burke W. Genetic exceptionalism. Too much of a good thing? Genet Med 2008;10:500-501.
43. Price WN, 2nd, Cohen IG. Privacy in the age of medical big data. Nat Med 2019;25:37-43.
44. Edwards L, Harbina E. Protecting post-mortem privacy: Reconsidering the privacy interests of the deceased in a digital world. Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal 2013;32:83.
45. Woods S. Big data governance: solidarity and the patient voice. In: The Ethics of Biomedical Big Data. Cham: Springer; 2016. p221-238.
46. Horn R, Kerasidou A. Sharing whilst caring: Solidarity and public trust in a data-driven healthcare system. BMC Med Ethics 2020;21:110.
47. Dickert NW, Kass NE. Patients' perceptions of research in emergency settings: A study of survivors of sudden cardiac death. Soc Sci Med 2009;68:183-191.
48. Ploem C. The Right Not to Know: Scope and Limits. Medicine and Law 2017;36:81-90.

49. Knoppers BM, Joly Y, Simard J, Durocher F. The emergence of an ethical duty to disclose genetic research results: International perspectives. Eur J Hum Genet 2006;14:1170-1178.
50. Gliwa C, Berkman BE. Do researchers have an obligation to actively look for genetic incidental findings? Am J Bioeth 2013;13:32-42.
51. Mitchell C, Ploem C, Chico V, Ormondroyd E, Hall A, Wallace S, Fay M, Goodwin D, Bell J, Phillips S, Taylor JC, Hennekam R, Kaye J. Exploring the potential duty of care in clinical genomics under UK law. Med Law Int 2017;17:158-182.
52. Ploem C. Medical confidentiality after a patient's death, with particular reference to The Netherlands. Med Law 2001;20:215-20.
53. Sturdy S, Miller F, Hogarth S, Armstrong N, Chakraborty P, Cressman C, Dobrow M, Flitcroft K, Grossman D, Harris R, Hoebee B, Holloway K, Kinsinger L, Krag M, Löblová O, Löwy I, Mackie A, Marshall J, O'Hallahan J, Rabeneck L, Raffle A, Reid L, Shortland G, Steele R, Tarini B, Taylor-Phillips S, Towler B, van der Veen N, Zappa M. Half a century of Wilson & Jungner: Reflections on the governance of population screening. Wellcome Open Res 2020;5:158.
54. Cho MK. Understanding incidental findings in the context of genetics and genomics. J Law Med Ethics 2008;36:280-2855.
55. Taylor L, Floridi L, Van der Sloot B. Group privacy: New challenges of data technologies. In: Philosophical Studies Series. Cham: Springer; 2016.
56. de Vries J, Jallow M, Williams TN, Kwiatkowski D, Parker M, Fitzpatrick R. Investigating the potential for ethnic group harm in collaborative genomics research in Africa: Is ethnic stigmatisation likely? Soc Sci Med 2012;75:1400-1407.
57. Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing Stigma. Annual Review of Sociology 2001;27:363-385.
58. Timmermans S. Death brokering: Constructing culturally appropriate deaths. Sociol Health Illn 2005;27:993-1013.
59. Hoepman J-H. Privacy Design Strategies. In: ICT Systems Security and Privacy Protection. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer; 2014. p446-459.
60. Basharat I, Azam F, Muzaffar AW. Database security and encryption: A survey study. International Journal of Computer Applications 2012;47.
61. Bolognini L, Bistolfi C. Pseudonymization and impacts of big (personal/anonymous) data processing in the transition from the Directive 95/46/EC to the new EU general data protection regulation. Computer Law & Security Review 2017;33:171-181.
62. Bialke M, Penndorf P, Wegner T, Bahls T, Havemann C, Piegsa J, Hoffmann W. A workflow-driven approach to integrate generic software modules in a trusted third party. J Transl Med 2015;13:176.
63. Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E, Erlich Y. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. Science 2013;339:321-324.
64. Zibuschka J, Kurowski S, Roßnagel H, Schunck CH, Zimmermann C. Anonymization Is Dead–Long Live Privacy. In: Roßnagel H, Wagner S, Hühnlein D, eds. Open Identity Summit 2019; 2019.
65. Fox EE, Bulger EM, Dickerson AS, del Junco DJ, Klotz P, Podbielski J, Matijevic N, Brasel KJ, Holcomb JB, Schreiber MA, Cotton BA, Phelan HA, Cohen MJ, Myers JG, Alarcon LH, Muskat P, Wade CE, Rahbar MH. Waiver of consent in noninterventional, observational emergency research: The PROMMTT experience. J Trauma Acute Care Surg 2013;75:S3-8.
66. Porsdam Mann S, Savulescu J, Sahakian BJ. Facilitating the ethical use of health data for the benefit of society: Electronic health records, consent and the duty of easy rescue. Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci 2016;374:0130.

67. Mostert M, Bredenoord AL, Biesaart MC, van Delden JJ. Big Data in medical research and EU data protection law: Challenges to the consent or anonymise approach. Eur J Hum Genet 2016;24:956-960.
68. Robling MR, Hood K, Houston H, Pill R, Fay J, Evans HM. Public attitudes towards the use of primary care patient record data in medical research without consent: A qualitative study. J Med Ethics 2004;30:104-109.
69. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Tan HL, Blom MT, Willems DL. Towards trust-based governance of health data research: A European case study (Submitted for publication, Under review). Med Health Care Philos 2021.
70. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around anonymity and consent. In: Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum H, eds. Privacy, Big Data, and The Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. p44-75.
71. Solove DJ. Privacy Self-Management and the Consent Dilemma. Harvard Law Review 2013;126:1880.
72. Eisenhauer ER, Tait AR, Rieh SY, Arslanian-Engoren CM. Participants' understanding of informed consent for biobanking: A systematic review. Clin Nurs Res 2019;28:30-51.
73. Gobat NH, Gal M, Francis NA, Hood K, Watkins A, Turner J, Moore R, Webb SA, Butler CC, Nichol A. Key stakeholder perceptions about consent to participate in acute illness research: A rapid, systematic review to inform epi/pandemic research preparedness. Trials 2015;16:591.
74. Manda-Taylor L, Bickton FM, Gooding K, Rylance J. A formative qualitative study on the acceptability of deferred consent in adult emergency care research in malawi. J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics 2019;14:318-327.
75. Bak MAR, Veeken R, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Health data research on sudden cardiac arrest: Perspectives of survivors and their next-of-kin. BMC Med Ethics 2021;22:7.
76. Appelbaum PS, Grisso T. Assessing patients' capacities to consent to treatment. N Engl J Med 1988;319:1635-1638.
77. Hallinan D. Broad consent under the GDPR: An optimistic perspective on a bright future. Life Sci Soc Policy 2020;16:1-18
78. Budin-Ljøsne I, Teare HJ, Kaye J, Beck S, Bentzen HB, Caenazzo L, Collett C, D'Abramo F, Felzmann H, Finlay T, Javaid MK, Jones E, Katić V, Simpson A, Mascalzoni D. Dynamic consent: A potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. BMC Med Ethics 2017;18:4.
79. Ploug T, Holm S. Meta consent: A flexible and autonomous way of obtaining informed consent for secondary research. Bmj 2015;350:h2146.
80. Gutwirth S, De Hert P. Regulating profiling in a democratic constitutional state. In: Profiling the European Citizen: Dordrecht: Springer; 2008. p271-302.
81. Dove ES, Garattini C. Expert perspectives on ethics review of international data-intensive research: Working towards mutual recognition. Research Ethics 2018;14(1):1-25.
82. de Lange DW, Guidet B, Andersen FH, Artigas A, Bertolini G, Moreno R, Christensen S, Cecconi M, Agvald-Ohman C, Gradisek P, Jung C, Marsh BJ, Oeyen S, Bollen Pinto B, Szczeklik W, Watson X, Zafeiridis T, Flaatten H. Huge variation in obtaining ethical permission for a non-interventional observational study in Europe. BMC Med Ethics 2019;20:39.
83. Scott AM, Kolstoe S, Ploem MC, Hammatt Z, Glasziou P. Exempting low-risk health and medical research from ethics reviews: comparing Australia, the United Kingdom, the United States and the Netherlands. Health Res Policy Syst 2020;18:1-8.

84. Nilstun T, Cartwright C, Löfmark R, Deliens L, Fischer S, Miccinesi G, Norup M, Van Der Heide A. Access to death certificates: What should research ethics committees require for approval? Ann Epidemiol 2006;16:281-284.
85. McLennan S, Shaw D, Celi LA. The challenge of local consent requirements for global critical care databases. Intensive Care Med 2019;45:246-248.
86. Mentzelopoulos SD, Couper K, Voorde PV, Druwé P, Blom M, Perkins GD, Lulic I, Djakow J, Raffay V, Lilja G, Bossaert L. European resuscitation council guidelines 2021: Ethics of resuscitation and end of life decisions. Resuscitation 2021;161:408-432.
87. Vayena E, Blasimme A. Biomedical big data: New models of control over access, use and governance. J Bioeth Inq 2017;14:501-513.
88. Hafen E. Midata cooperatives - Citizen-controlled use of health data is a pre-requiste for big data analysis, economic success and a democratization of the personal data economy. In: 9th European Congress on Tropical Medicine and International Health. Tropical Medicine & International Health 2015; 129.
89. Birkun AA, Altukhov AV. The registry as a basis for epidemiological surveillance and optimization of care in out-of-hospital Cardiac Arrest. Russian Sklifosovsky Journal Emergency Medical Care 2018;7:234-243.
90. Regulatory and Ethics Working Group, Global Alliance for Genomics Health, Sugano S. International code of conduct for genomic and health-related data sharing. The HUGO Journal 2014;8:1.

Chapter 5. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research

Epigraph: Kasket E. All the Ghosts in the Machine. London: Robinson; 2019.

1. Hey AJG, Tansley S, Tolle KM. The fourth paradigm: Data-intensive scientific discovery. Microsoft Research: Redmond, WA, 2009.
2. Hayden EC. Geneticists push for global data-sharing. Nature 2013;498:16–17.
3. Raghupathi W, Raghupathi V. Big data analytics in healthcare: promise and potential. Health Inf Sci Systems 2014;2:3.
4. Tassé AM. Biobanking and deceased persons. Hum Genet 2011;130:415-23.
5. Jurate S, Zivile C, Eugenijus G. Mirroring 'the Ethics of Biobanking: What Analysis of Consent Documents Can Tell Us?. Sci Eng Ethics 2014;20:1079-1093.
6. Bak MAR, Tan HL, Ploem MC, Blom MT, Willems DL. Towards trust-based governance of health data research: a European case study. 2021; submitted for publication.
7. Hirschberg I, Knüppel H, Strech D. Practice variation across consent templates for biobank research. a survey of German biobanks. Front Genet 2013;14:240.
8. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care 2018;22:212.
9. Gevers JKM. Genetic databases and consent for use of medical records. Public Health Genomics. 2004;7:173–5.
10. Otlowski M, Taylor S, Bombard Y. Genetic discrimination: international perspectives. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2012;13:433–54.
11. Laurie G. Genetic databases: assessing the benefits and the impact on human and patient rights -- a WHO report. Eur J Health Law. 2004;11:87-92.

12. Public Welfare 2004 (U.S.A.) 45 C.F.R. § 46.102. [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/CFR-2004-title45-vol1/CFR-2004-title45-vol1-sec46-102/summary
13. Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (Text with EEA relevance). Recital 27. OJ L 119/1. [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://publications.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/3e485e15-11bd-11e6-ba9a-01aa75ed71a1/language-en
14. Personal Data Protection Act (Isikuandmete kaitse seadus) 2018 (EE). s. 9 (1). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.riigiteataja.ee/akt/104012019011
15. Data Protection Act (Databeskyttelsesloven) 2018 (DK). s. 2 (5). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.retsinformation.dk/Forms/R0710.aspx?id=201319
16. Legislative Decree 2018 (Decreto legislative) no. 101 of 10 August 2018 (IT). s. 2 (terdecies). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.gazzettaufficiale.it/eli/gu/2018/09/04/205/sg/pdf
17. Organic Law on Personal Data Protection and Digital Rights Guarantee (Ley Orgánica de Protección de Datos Personales y garantía de los derechos digitales) 3/2018 of 5 December 2018 (ES). s 3. [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.boe.es/eli/es/lo/2018/12/05/3
18. Act on personal data protection (Zákon o ochrane osobných údajov) 18/2018 of 29 November 2017 (SK). s. 78 (7). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.slov-lex.sk/static/pdf/2018/18/ZZ_2018_18_20180525.pdf
19. Act on the right to informational self-determination and on the freedom of information (Törvényaz információs önrendelkezési jogról és az információszabadságról) 112 of 2018 (HU). s. 25. [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://net.jogtar.hu/jogszabaly?docid=A1100112.TV
20. GDPR Implementation Act (Uitvoeringswet Algemene verordening gegevensbescherming) 2018 (NL). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0040940/2018-05-25
21. Act on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data (Wet betreffende de bescherming van natuurlijke personen met betrekking tot de verwerking van persoonsgegevens) 2018 (BE). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.gegevensbeschermingsautoriteit.be/sites/privacycommission/files/documents/Wet_Loi_30_07_2018.pdf
22. Data Protection Act (Dataskyddsförordningen) 2018 (SE). [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://www.datainspektionen.se/lagar--regler/dataskyddsforordningen/dataskyddsforordningen---fulltext/#2
23. Data Protection Act (Tietosuojalaki) 2018 (FI). [cited July 2019]. Available from: http://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/alkup/2018/20181050
24. Ploem MC. Medical Confidentiality after a Patient's Death, with Particular Reference to The Netherlands. Med Law. 2001;20:215-220.
25. Medical Treatment Act (Wet op de geneeskundige behandelingsovereenkomst) 1995 (NL). BW 7 s. 458.1. [cited July 2019]. Available from: https://wetten.overheid.nl/BWBR0005290/2017-10-10#Boek7_Titeldeel7_Afdeling5
26. Ploem MC, Dute JCJ. Wetenschappelijk onderzoek na overlijden. Goed geregeld? [Scientific research after death. Well regulated?]. TvGR. 2016;8:498-512.

27. Tassé AM. The return of results of deceased research participants. J Law Med Ethics. 2011;39:621-30.
28. Chan B, Facio FM, Eidem H, Chandros Hull S, Biesecker LG, Berkman BE. Genomic Inheritances: Disclosing Individual Research Results From Whole-Exome Sequencing to Deceased Participants' Relatives. Am J Bioeth. 2012;12:1-8.
29. Scollon S, Bergstrom K, McCullough LB, McGuire AL, Gutierrez S, Kerstein R, et al. Pediatric Cancer Genetics Research and an Evolving Preventive Ethics Approach for Return of Results after Death of the Subject. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:529-537.
30. Hänold S, Forgó N, Kobeissi D, Nwankwo I. Legal Perspectives on Post-mortem Use of Biomaterial and Data for Research: A Focus on the German Situation. Eur J Health Law. 2017;24:311-327.
31. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. PLoS Med. 2009;6:e1000097.
32. Joanna Briggs Institute Critical Appraisal Tools, 2019. Available at: http://joannabriggs.org/research/critical-appraisal-tools.html. Accessed January 30, 2019.
33. Sexton-Oates A, Dodgshun A, MacGregor D, Ludlow LE, Sullivan M, Saffery R. Evidence of broad-based family support for the use of archival childhood tumour samples in future research. J Med Ethics. 2016;42:460-5.
34. Fernandez CV, Bouffet E, Malkin D, Jabado N, O'Connell C, Avard D, et al. Attitudes of parents toward the return of targeted and incidental genomic research findings in children. Genet Med. 2014;16:633-40.
35. Pawlikowski J, Sak J, Marczewski K. Biobank research and ethics: the problem of informed consent in Polish biobanks. Arch Med Sci. 2011;7:896-901.
36. Sexton AC, Sahhar M, Thorburn DR, Metcalfe SA. Impact of a genetic diagnosis of a mitochondrial disorder 5-17 years after the death of an affected child. J Genet Couns. 2008;17:261-73.
37. Ormondroyd E, Moynihan C, Watson M, Foster C, Davolls S, Ardern-Jones A, et al. Disclosure of genetics research results after the death of the patient participant: a qualitative study of the impact on relatives. J Genet Couns. 2007;16:527-38.
38. Allen NL, Karlson EW, Malspeis S, Lu B, Seidman CE, Lehmann LS. Biobank Participants' Preferences for Disclosure of Genetic Research Results: Perspectives From the OurGenes, OurHealth, OurCommunity Project. Mayo Clin Proc. 2014;89:738-46.
39. Amendola LM, Horike-Pyne M, Trinidad SB, Fullerton SM, Evans BJ, Burke W, et al. Patients' Choices for Return of Exome Sequencing Results to Relatives in the Event of Their Death. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:476-85.
40. Breitkopf CR, Petersen GM, Wolf SM, Chaffee KG, Robinson ME, Gordon DR, et al. Preferences Regarding Return of Genomic Results to Relatives of Research Participants, Including after Participant Death: Empirical Results from a Cancer Biobank. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:464-75.
41. Gordon DR, Breitkopf CR, Robinson M, Petersen WO, Egginton JS, Chaffee KG, et al. Should Researchers Offer Results to Family Members of Cancer Biobank Participants? A Mixed-Methods Study of Proband and Family Preferences. AJOB Empir Bioeth. 2018;1-22.
42. Breitkopf CR, Wolf SM, Chaffee KG, Robinson ME, Lindor NM, Gordon DR, et al. Attitudes Toward Return of Genetic Research Results to Relatives, Including After Death: Comparison of Cancer Probands, Blood Relatives, and Spouse/Partners. J Empir Res Hum Res Ethics. 2018;13:295-304.

43. Fernandez CV, Strahlendorf C, Avard D, Knoppers BM, O'Connel C, Bouffet E, et al. Attitudes of Canadian researchers toward the return to participants of incidental and targeted genomic findings obtained in a pediatric research setting. Genet Med. 2013;15:558-64.
44. Fernandez CV, O'Rourke PP, Beskow LM. Canadian Research Ethics Board Leadership Attitudes to the Return of Genetic Research Results to Individuals and Their Families. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:514-22.
45. Beskow LM, O'Rourke PP. Return of Genetic Research Results to Participants and Families: IRB Perspectives and Roles. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:502-13.
46. Appelbaum PS, Waldman CR, Fyer A, Klitzman R, Parens E, Martinez J, et al. Informed consent for return of incidental findings in genomic research. Genet Med. 2014;16:367-73.
47. Goodman JL, Amendola LM, Horike-Pyne M, Trinidad SB, Fullerton SM, Burke W, et al. Discordance in selected designee for return of genomic findings in the event of participant death and estate executor. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2017;5:172-6.
48. Ormondroyd E, Moynihan C, Ardern-Jones A, Eeles R, Foster C, Davolls S, et al. Communicating genetics research results to families: problems arising when the patient participant is deceased. Psychoonncology. 2008;17:804-11.
49. Husedzinovic A, Ose D, Schickhardt C, Fröhling S, Winkler EC. Stakeholders' perspectives on biobank-based genomic research: systematic review of the literature. Eur J Hum Genet. 2015;23:1607.
50. Wilkinson TM. Last rights: the ethics of research on the dead. J Appl Philos. 2002;19:31-41.
51. Taylor JS. The myth of posthumous harm. Am Philos Q. 2005;42:311-22.
52. Buitelaar JC. Post-mortem privacy and informational self-determination. Ethics Inf Technol. 2017;19:129-142.
53. Boers SN, van Delden JJ, Knoers NV, Bredenoord AL. Postmortem disclosure of genetic information to family members: active or passive? Trends Mol Med. 2015;21:148-53.
54. Wolf SM, Branum R, Koenig BA, Petersen GM, Berry SA, Beskow LM, et al. Returning a Research Participant's Genomic Results to Relatives: Analysis and Recommendations. J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43:440-63.
55. Knoppers BM, Joly Y, Simard J, Durocher F. The emergence of an ethical duty to disclose genetic research results: international perspectives. Eur J Hum Genet. 2006;14:1170-1178.
56. Battistuzzi L, Ciliberti R, Bruno W, Tuchetti D, Varesco L, De Stefano F. Communication of clinically useful next-generation sequencing results to at-risk relatives of deceased research participants: toward active disclosure? J Clin Oncol. 2014;31:4164-5.
57. Gilbar R. Communicating genetic information in the family: the familial relationship as the forgotten factor. J Med Ehics. 2007;33:390-393.
58. Wolf SM, Scholtes E, Koenig BA, Petersen GM, Berry SA, Beskow LM, et al. Pragmatic Tools for Sharing Genomic Research Results with the Relatives of Living and Deceased Research Participants. J Law Med Ethics. 2018;46:87-109.
59. Dalpé G, Thorogood A, Knoppers BM. A Tale of Two Capacities: Including Children and Decisionally Vulnerable Adults in Biomedical Research. Front Genet. 2019;10:289.
60. Pattaro C, Gögele M, Mascalzoni D, Melotti R, Schwienbacher C, De Grandi A, et al. The Cooperative Health Research in South Tyrol (CHRIS) study: rationale, objectives, and preliminary results. J Transl Med. 2015;13:348.
61. Gainotti S, Turner C, Woods S, Kole A, McCormack P, Lochmüller H, et al. Improving the informed consent process in international collaborative rare disease research: effective consent for effective research. Eur J Hum Genet. 2016;24:1248.

62. OECD. Guidelines on Human Biobanks and Genetic Research Databases. Paris: OECD Publishing, 2009.
63. Berg J. Grave secrets: Legal and ethical analysis of postmortem confidentiality. Conn. L. Rev. 2001;34:81.
64. DeRenzo EG, Biesecker LG, Meltzer N. Genetics and the dead: implications for genetics research with samples from deceased persons. Am J Med Genet. 1997;69:332-334.
65. European Society of Human Genetics. Data storage and DNA banking for biomedical research: technical, social and ethical issues. Eur J Hum Genet. 2003;11:906-8.
66. McNally E, Cambon-Thomsen A, Brazeil C, Cassiman JJ, Kent A, Lindpaintner K, et al. 25 Recommendations on the ethical, legal and social implications of genetic testing. European Commission: Directorate-General for Research and Innovation; 2004.
67. Bredenoord AL, van Delden JJ. Disclosing individual genetic research results to deceased participants' relatives by means of a qualified disclosure policy. Am J Bioethics. 2012;12:10-2.
68. Mitchell C, Ploem MC, Hennekam RC, Kaye J. A Duty To Warn Relatives in Clinical Genetics: Arguably 'Fair just and reasonable' in English Law? J Prof Neglig. 2016;32:120-136.
69. Ursin L, Stuifbergen M. Ethics of dead participants: policy recommendations for biobank research. J Med Ethics. 2018;44:695-699.
70. Shaw DM, Gross JV, Erren TC. Data donation after death. Lancet 2015;386:340.
71. Krutzinna J, Taddeo M, Floridi L. Enabling Posthumous Medical Data Donation: An Appeal for the Ethical Utilisation of Personal Health Data. Sci Eng Ethics. 2018:1-31.

Chapter 6. Contextual exceptionalism: on the ethics of post-mortem privacy in health data research

Epigraph: Heidegger M. Being and Time. transl. Macquarrie J and Robinson E. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1962 [1927].

1. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2018;22(1):212.
2. Tassé AM. Biobanking and deceased persons. Hum Genet. 2011;130(3):415-423.
3. Harbinja, E. Posthumous Medical Data Donation: The Case for a Legal Framework. In The Ethics of Medical Data Donation (pp. 97-113). Basel: Springer; 2019.
4. Hirschberg I, Knüppel H, Strech, D. Practice variation across consent templates for biobank research. A survey of German biobanks. Front Genet, 2013;4:240.
5. Jurate S, Zivile V, Eugenijus G. Mirroring 'the Ethics of Biobanking: What Analysis of Consent Documents Can Tell Us?. Sci Eng Ethics. 2014;20(4):1079-1093.
6. Shaw DM, Gross JV, Erren, TC. Data donation after death. The Lancet. 2015;386(9991):340.
7. Krutzinna J, Taddeo M, Floridi L. Enabling posthumous medical data donation: an appeal for the ethical utilisation of personal health data. Science and Engineering Ethics. 2019;25(5):1357-1387.
8. Krutzinna, J, Taddeo M, Floridi L. An Ethical Code for Posthumous Medical Data Donation. In J Krutzinna, L Floridi, The Ethics of Medical Data Donation (pp 181-195). Berlin: Springer; 2019.
9. Jansen TC, Kompanje EJO, Druml C, Menon DK, Wiedermann CJ, Bakker J. Deferred consent in emergency intensive care research: what if the patient dies early? Use the data or not?. Intensive Care Med, 2007;33(5):894-900.

10. Sorbie A. Medical Data Donation, Consent and the Public Interest After Death: A Gateway to Posthumous Data Use. The Ethics of Medical Data Donation, 2019;115-130.
11. Laurie G. Genetic Databases: Assessing the Benefits and the Impact on Human and Patient Rights-a WHO Report. Eur J Health Law. 2004;11:87.
12. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Ateşyürek H, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research: a systematic review. Eur J Hum Genet. 2020;28(4):403-16.
13. Floridi L. The ethics of information. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2013.
14. Floridi L. The ontological interpretation of informational privacy. Ethics and Information Technology. 2005;7(4):185-200.
15. Feinberg, J. The moral limits of the criminal law. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1984.
16. Belliotti RA. Posthumous harm: Why the dead are still vulnerable. Washington: Rowman & Littlefield; 2011.
17. Aristotle. Nicomachean Ethics. London: Penguin books; Transl. 1953.
18. Epicurus. The Art of Happiness. London: Penguin Classics; 2012
19. Partridge E. Posthumous interests and posthumous respect. Ethics. 1981;91(2):243-64.
20. Taylor JS. The myth of posthumous harm. Am Philos Q. 2005;42(4):311-22.
21. Nagel T. Death. Noûs. 1970;1:73-80.
22. Feinberg J. The rights of animals and future generations. Philosophy and environmental crisis W. Blackstone(Eds.). Georgia: University of Georgia Press; 1974
23. Feinberg, J. Harm and self-interest. In HLA Hart, PMS Hacker, J RazLaw (Eds.), morality and society: Essays in honour of HLA Hart (pp. 284-308). Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1977.
24. Parfit D. Reasons and persons. Oxford: Oxford University Press;1984.
25. Glannon W. Persons, lives, and posthumous harms. J Soc Philos, 2001;32(2):127-42.
26. Waluchow, W.J. (1986). Feinberg's Theory of "Preposthumous" Harm. Dialogue: Canadian Philosophical Review/Revue canadienne de philosophie, 25(4), 727-34.
27. Scarre G. Archaeology and Respect for the Dead. J Appl Philos. 2003;20(3):237-49.
28. Sperling D. Posthumous interests: legal and ethical perspectives. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;2008
29. Kant. The Metaphysics of Morals, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. 2017 (original 1797).
30. Feinberg J. Harm to others. Oxford University Press on Demand; 1987.
31. Pitcher G. The misfortunes of the dead. Am Philos Q. 1984 Apr 1;21(2):183-8.
32. Tandy C. Unburying the dead: Posthumous harms and posthumous benefits—A solution to the missing subject problem. Death and Anti-Death. 2003;1:427-30.
33. Catterson TT. Letting the dead bury their own dead: A reply to Palle Yourgrau. In C. Tandy , Death and Anti-Death (pp. 1-413). Michigan: Ria University Press;2003
34. Floridi, L. The Philosophy of Information. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
35. Vayena E, Tasioulas J. "We the scientists": a human right to citizen science. Philos Technol. 2015;28(3):479-485.
36. Bak MAR, Veeken R, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Health data research on sudden cardiac arrest: perspectives of survivors and their next-of-kin. BMC Med Ethics. 2021;22(1):1-15.
37. Ploem MC, Bak MAR, Linthorst GE. Casuïstiek van overleden patiënten: kan die zomaar worden gepubliceerd? [Publishing casuistry of deceased patients: what are the rules?]. NTvG. 2021;165:D5685.

38. Nelkin D, Andrews L. Do the dead have interests-Policy issues for research after life. Am J Law Med. 1998;24:261.
39. Morse T, Birnhack M. The posthumous privacy paradox: Privacy preferences and behavior regarding digital remains. New Media Soc. 2020;1461444820974955.
40. Vezyridis, P., & Timmons, S. Understanding the care. data conundrum: New information flows for economic growth. Big Data Soc. 2017;4(1), 2053951716688490.
41. Tu JV, Willison DJ, Silver FL, Fang J, Richards JA, Laupacis A, et al. Impracticability of informed consent in the Registry of the Canadian Stroke Network. New Eng J Med. 2004;350(14):1414-1421.
42. Jones KH, Laurie G, Stevens L, Dobbs C, Ford D. V, Lea, N. The other side of the coin: Harm due to the non-use of health-related data. Int J Med Inform. 2017 ;97:43–51.
43. Limbaugh DG. The harm of medical disorder as harm in the damage sense. Theor Med Bioethics. 2019;40:1–19.
44. Dworkin, R. Is democracy possible here?. New Jersey: Princeton University Press; 2008
45. Öhman C, Floridi L. The political economy of death in the age of information: A critical approach to the digital afterlife industry. Minds Mach. 2017;27(4):639-662.
46. Floridi L. On human dignity as a foundation for the right to privacy. Philos Technol. 2016;29(4):307-312.
47. Porsdam Mann S, Savulescu J, Sahakian BJ. Facilitating the ethical use of health data for the benefit of society: Electronic health records, consent and the duty of easy rescue. Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2016;374(2083):20160130.
48. Pols J, Pasveer B, Willems D. The particularity of dignity: relational engagement in care at the end of life. Med Health Care Philos. 2018;21(1):89-100.
49. Prainsack B. Personalized medicine: Empowered patients in the 21st century? New York: New York University Press;2017
50. Annas GJ, Glantz LH, Roche PA. Drafting the genetic privacy act: Science, policy, and practical considerations. J Law Med Ethics. 1995;23(4):360–366.
51. Murray TH. Genetic exceptionalism and future diaries: is genetic information different from other medical information?. In: MA Rothstein (Eds.). Genetic Secrets: Protecting Privacy and Confidentiality in the Genetic Era (pp. 60-73). New Haven: Yale University Press;1997
52. McGuire AL, Fisher R, Cusenza P, Hudson K, Rothstein MA, McGraw D, et al. Confidentiality, privacy, and security of genetic and genomic test information in electronic health records: points to consider. Genet Med. 2008;10(7):495-499.
53. Murray TH. Is genetic exceptionalism past its Sell-By date? on genomic diaries, context, and content. Am J Bioeth. 2019;19(1):13-15.
54. Mitchell C, Ordish J, Johnson E, Bridgen T, Hall A. The GDPR and genomic data - the impact of the GDPR and DPA 2018 on genomic healthcare and research. Cambridge: PHG Foundation; 2020.
55. Gymrek M, McGuire AL, Golan D, Halperin E, Erlich Y. Identifying personal genomes by surname inference. Science. 2013;339:321–4.
56. Schneble CO, Elger BS, Shaw D.. The Cambridge Analytica affair and Internet-mediated research. EMBO reports. 2018;19(8):e46579.
57. Featherstone K, Atkinson P, Bharadwaj A, Clarke A. Risky relations: family, kinship and the new genetics. Oxfordshire: Routledge; 2006
58. Rolland JS. Living with anticipatory loss in the new era of genetics: A life cycle perspective. In S. M. Miller, S. H. McDaniel, J. S. Rolland, & S. L. Feetham (Eds.), Individuals, families, and

the new era of genetics: Biopsychosocial perspectives (p. 139–172). New York: W W Norton & Co;2006
59. Prainsack B. Data donation: How to resist the iLeviathan. The ethics of medical data donation. 2019;9-22.
60. Green MJ, Botkin JR. Genetic exceptionalism in medicine: clarifying the differences between genetic and nongenetic tests. Ann Intern Med. 2003;138(7):571-575.
61. Sarkar, S. Genetics and reductionism. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;1998
62. Nelkin D, Lindee MS. The DNA mystique: the gene as a cultural icon. New York: Freeman;1995.
63. Schindler SE, Bateman RJ. Combining blood-based biomarkers to predict risk for Alzheimer's disease dementia. Nat Aging. 2021;1(1):26-28.
64. Price WN, Cohen IG. Privacy in the age of medical big data. Nature Med, 2019;25(1):37-43.
65. Joslin CG. De Facto Parentage and the Modern Family. Fam Advoc. 2017;40:31.
66. Evans JP, Burke W. Genetic exceptionalism. Too much of a good thing?. Genet Med. 2008;10(7):500-1.
67. Gräff J, Mansuy IM.. Epigenetic codes in cognition and behaviour. Behav Brain Res. 2008;192(1):70-87.
68. Quenin P, Kyndt F, Mabo P, Mansourati J, Babuty D., Thollet A, et al.. Clinical yield of familial screening after sudden death in young subjects: the French experience. Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol. 2017;10(9):e005236.
69. Buseh AG, Underwood SM, Stevens PE, Townsend L, Kelber ST. Black African immigrant community leaders' views on participation in genomics research and DNA biobanking. Nurs Outlook. 2013;61(4):196–204.
70. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around anonymity and consent. Privacy, big data, and the public good: Frameworks for engagement. 2014;1:44-75.
71. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L. The ethics of big data: current and foreseeable issues in biomedical contexts. Sci Eng Ethics. 2016;22(2):303-341.
72. Karvonen S, Kestilä LM, Mäki-Opas TE. Who needs the sociology of health and illness? A new agenda for responsive and interdisciplinary sociology of health and medicine. Front Soc. 2018;3:4.
73. Wendler, D. S., & Rid, A. (2015). Genetic research on biospecimens poses minimal risk. Trends Genet, 31(1), 11-15.
74. Csordas TJ. Embodiment as a Paradigm for Anthropology. Ethos. 1990;18(1):5-47.
75. Sahota PC. Body fragmentation: Native American community members' views on specimen disposition in biomedical/genetics research. AJOB Empir Bioeth. 2014;5(3):19-30.
76. Parens E, Chapman AR, Press N, Wrestling with behavioral genetics: science, ethics, and public conversation. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press;2006.
77. Kaufman DJ, Murphy-Bollinger J, Scott J, Hudson KL. Public opinion about the importance of privacy in biobank research. Am J Hum Genet. 2009;5(5):643–654.
78. Global Alliance for Genomics and Health. Your DNA, Your Say. Available from:https://societyandethicsresearchwellcomegenomecampus.org/sites/default/files/media/item/your-dna-your-say-at-the-global-alliance-for-genomics-and-health-plenary/files/20171017-orlando-florida-usa-ga4gh-plenary-video-of-slides.mp4. [Accessed 20 May 2021].
79. Butler, J. The inorganic body in the early Marx. Rad Philos. 2019;2:3.
80. Krüger HP. Persons and their bodies: The Körper/Leib distinction and Helmuth Plessner's theories of ex-centric positionality and homo absconditus. J Spec Philos. 2010;24(3):256-274.
81. Nettleton S. The emergence of e-scaped medicine?. Sociology, 2004;38(4):661-679.

82. Benoit J, Onyeaka H, Keshavan M, Torous J. Systematic Review of Digital Phenotyping and Machine Learning in Psychosis Spectrum Illnesses. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2020;28(5):296-304.
83. Horn R. Kerasidou A. Sharing whilst caring: solidarity and public trust in a data-driven healthcare system. BMC Med Ethics. 2020;21(1):1-7.
84. Waldby, C. (2003). The visible human project: Informatic bodies and posthuman medicine. Routledge.
85. Hänold S, Forgó N, Kobeissi D, Nwankwo I. Legal perspectives on post-mortem use of biomaterial and data for research: a focus on the German situation. Eur J Health Law. 2017;24:311–27.
86. Chow-White PA, García-Sancho M. Bidirectional Shaping and Spaces of Convergence Interactions between Biology and Computing from the First DNA Sequencers to Global Genome Databases. Sci Technol Human Values. 2012;37(1):124–164.
87. Meloni M. From boundary-work to boundary object: how biology left and re-entered the social sciences. Sociol Rev. 2016;64(1_suppl):61-78.
88. Turner BS, Regulating bodies: Essays in medical sociology. Oxfordshire: Routledge;1992
89. Garrison NA, Brothers KB, Goldenberg AJ, Lynch JA. Genomic contextualism: shifting the rhetoric of genetic exceptionalism. Am J Bioeth. 2019;19(1):51-63.
90. Nissenbaum H. Privacy as contextual integrity. Wash L Rev. 2004;79:119.
91. Reardon J, TallBear K. "Your DNA is our history" genomics, anthropology, and the construction of whiteness as property. Curr Anthropol. 2012;53(S5):S233-S245.
92. McQuillan GM., Porter KS, Agelli M, Kington R. Consent for genetic research in a general population: the NHANES experience. Genet Med. 2003;5(1):35-42.
93. Cherrington M, Airehrour D, Lu J, Xu Q, Wade S, Dunn I. Indigenous Big Data Implications in New Zealand. In 2020 30th International Telecommunication Networks and Applications Conference (ITNAC). 2020;1-6.
94. Ploem MC. De regeling inzake het gebruik van patiëntengegevens voor wetenschappelijk onderzoek in de WGBO. TvG. 2006;30(6):348.
95. Kirkpatrick JN, Ghani SN, Burke MC, Knight BP. Postmortem interrogation and retrieval of implantable pacemakers and defibrillators: a survey of morticians and patients. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol. 2007;18(5):478-482.
96. Pitcher D, Soar J, Hogg K, Linker N, Chapman S, Beattie J, et al. Cardiovascular implanted electronic devices in people towards the end of life, during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and after death: guidance from the Resuscitation Council (UK), British Cardiovascular Society and National Council for Palliative Care. Heart. 2016;102(Suppl 7):A1-A17.
97. Budin-Ljøsne I, Teare HJ, KayeJ, Beck S, Bentzen HB, Caenazzo L, et al.. Dynamic consent: a potential solution to some of the challenges of modern biomedical research. BMC Med Ethics, 2017;18(1):1-10.
98. Shaw DM. Defining Data Donation After Death: Metadata, Families, Directives, Guardians and the Route to Big Consent. The Ethics of Medical Data Donation, 2019;151-159.
99. Biller-Andorno N, Biller A. Algorithm-aided prediction of patient preferences-an ethics sneak peek. New Eng J Med. 2019;381(15):1480-1485.
100. Fellmann F, Rial-Sebbag E, Patch C, Hentze S, Stefandottir V, Mendes Á, et al. ESHG PPPC Comments on postmortem use of genetic data for research purposes. Eur J Hum Genet. 2020;28(2):144-146.

Chapter 7. Publishing case reports of deceased patients

Epigraph: van Gogh-Bonger J. Memoir of Vincent van Gogh, Dec 1913. WebExhibits: Van Gogh's Letters. [http://www.webexhibits.org/vangogh/memoir/sisterinlaw/10.html]

1. Voskuil PHA. Het medische dossier van Theo van Gogh. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 1992;136:1777-80.
2. Meekeren E van. De psychiatrische ziektegeschiedenis van Vincent van Gogh. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2000;144:2509-14.
3. KNAW. Gedragscode voor gebruik van persoonsgegevens in wetenschappelijk onderzoek. Advies van de Sociaal-Wetenschappelijke Raad. Amsterdam, 2003:23 (artikel 6 'Publicatie').
4. Leijssen LGJ, Linthorst GE, Geukers VG, Ploem MC. De 'interessante casus' en patiëntprivacy. Omgaan met patiëntgegevens in het medisch onderwijs. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 2015;159:A9458.
5. BMJ. BMJ Case Reports - instructions for authors: BMJ Publishing Group Ltd; 2015. Available from: https://casereports.bmj.com/pages/authors/
6. Gevers JKM. Het beroepsgeheim na overlijden van de patiënt. Ned Tijdschr Geneeskd. 1993;137:533-5
7. Rothmar Herrmann J. Use of the Dead Body in Health Care and Medical Training: Mapping and Balancing the Legal Rights and Values. European Journal of Health Law. 2011;18:277-291.
8. Berg J. Grave secrets: Legal and ethical analysis of postmortem confidentiality. Conn. L. Rev.. 2001;34:81.
9. Neavyn M, Murphy C. Coming to a Consensus on Informed Consent for Case Reports. J Med Toxicol. 2014;10:337-339

Chapter 8. Resuscitation with an AED: putting the data to use

Epigraph: MacFarquhar L. Strangers Drowning. New York: Penguin Random House; 2015.

1. Reanimatie in Nederland [Resuscitation in the Netherlands]. Den Haag: Hartstichting; 2016.
2. Blom MT, Beesems SG, Homma PC, Zijlstra JA, Hulleman M, van Hoeijen DA, et al. Improved survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and use of automated external defibrillators. Circulation. 2014;130:1868-75.
3. Zijlstra JA, Koster RW, Blom MT, Lippert FK, Svensson L, Herlitz J, et al. Different defibrillation strategies in survivors after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Heart. 2018;104:1929-1936.
4. Brief van de Minister van Volksgezondheid, Welzijn en Sport [Letter from the Minister of Health, Welfare and Sport]. Kamerstukken II 2001/02, 28000-XVI, 113.
5. Stieglis R, Zijlstra JA, Riedijk F, Smeekes M, van der Worp WE, Koster RW. AED and text message responders density in residential areas for rapid response in out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2020;150:170-177.
6. Homma PC, de Graaf C, Tan HL, et al. Transfer of essential AED information to treating hospital (TREAT). Resuscitation. 2020;149:47-52.
7. Hulleman M, Blom MT, Bardai A, Tan HL, Koster RW. Atrial fibrillation mimicking ventricular fibrillation confuses an automated external defibrillator. Neth Heart J. 2018;26:281-282.
8. Jones KH, Laurie G, Sevens L, Dobbs C, Ford DV, Lea N. The other side of the coin: Harm due to the non-use of health-related data. Int J Med Inform.2017;97:43-51.

9. Hansen MB, Nielsen AM. ECGs from deployed AEDs: a neglected resource?. Resuscitation. 2014;85:e79-e80.
10. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2018;22:1-10.
11. Wierda E, Eindhoven DC, Schalij MJ, Borleffs CJW, Amoroso G, van Veghel D, et al. Privacy of patient data in quality-of-care registries in cardiology and cardiothoracic surgery: the impact of the new general data protection regulation EU-law. EHJ-QCCOs. 2018;4:239-245.
12. Eindhoven DC, van Staveren LN, van Erkelens JA, et al. Nationwide claims data validated for quality assessments in acute myocardial infarction in the Netherlands. Neth Heart J. 2018;26:13-20.

Chapter 9. Ethics of modeling and simulation in public health: the case of the AED

Epigraph: Daniels N. Just Health. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2007.

1. Tansley S, et al. The fourth paradigm: data-intensive scientific discovery. Redmond, WA: Microsoft research, 2009.
2. Durán JM. A brief overview of the philosophical study of computer simulations. APA Newsletter on Philosophy and Computers. 2013;13(1):38-46.
3. Durán JM. Ethics and Computer simulations. In: Computer Simulations in Science and Engineering. New York: Springer International Publishing; 2018.
4. Morrison M. Reconstructing Reality. Models, Mathematics, and Simulations. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2015.
5. Winsberg E. Science in the Age of Computer Simulation. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 2010.
6. Frigg R, Reiss J. The Philosophy of Simulation: Hot New Issues or Same Old Stew?. Synthese. 2009;3:593-613.
7. Floridi L. Ethics after the information revolution. In: The Cambridge handbook of information and computer ethics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
8. Ören T. 2000. "Responsibility, ethics, and simulation." Transactions 17 (4). 2002. "Rationale for a code of professional ethics for simulationists." In Summer Computer Simulation Conference, 428–433. Society for Computer Simulation International; 1998.
9. Brey P. Virtual Reality and Computer Simulation. In: The handbook of information and computer ethics. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2008.
10. Williamson TJ. Predicting building performance: the ethics of computer simulation. Build Res Inf. 2010;38:401–410.
11. Tolk A, Ören T, eds. The profession of modeling and simulation: discipline, ethics, education, vocation, societies, and economics. Vol. 253. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2017.
12. Durán JM. The ethics of climate simulations: the case of an F3 type tornado. In: Science and art of simulation 2018b. Resch, M., Gehring, P., and Kaminski, A. (eds.) (forthcoming)
13. Tavani H. The Foundationalist Debate in Computer Ethics. In The Cambridge Handbook of Information and Computer Ethics. Edited by Luciano Floridi. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
14. Beauchamp TL & Childress JF. Principles of biomedical ethics. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2001.
15. Traoré MK, Zacharewicz G, Duboz R, et al. Modeling and simulation framework for value-based healthcare systems. Simulation. 2019;95:481-97.

16. Beauchamp DE. Public health as social justice. Inquiry. 1976;13:3-14.
17. Verweij MF, Dawson AJ. Public Health Ethics. In: the International Encyclopedia of Ethics. New York: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.
18. Daniels N. How to achieve fair distribution of arts in 3 by 5: fair process and legitimacy in patient selection. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2004 Jan 26.
19. Gutjahr WJ, Fischer S. Equity and deprivation costs in humanitarian logistics. European Journal of Operational Research. 2018;270:185-197.
20. Dai T, Liao C, Zhao S. Optimizing the spatial assignment of schools through a random mechanism towards equal educational opportunity: A resemblance approach. Comput Environ Urban. 2019;76:24-30.
21. Song Z, Yan T, Ge Y. Spatial Equilibrium Allocation of Urban Large Public General Hospitals Based on the Welfare Maximization Principle: A Case Study of Nanjing, China. Sustainability. 2018;10:3024.
22. Tan C, Tang Y, Wu X. Evaluation of the Equity of Urban Park Green Space Based on Population Data Spatialization: A Case Study of a Central Area of Wuhan, China. Sensors. 2019;19:2929.
23. Boyd d, Crawford K. Critical questions for big data: Provocations for a cultural, technological, and scholarly phenomenon. Inf Commun Soc 2012;15:662-679.
24. Ingolfsson A. EMS planning and management. In: Operations Research and Health Care Policy. New York: Springer; 2013.
25. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, et al. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2018;22:212.
26. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Ateşyürek H, et al. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research: a systematic review. Eur J Hum Genet. 2019;16:1-4.
27. Vayena E, Blassime A, Cohen I, et al. Machine learning in medicine: Addressing ethical challenges. PLOS Med. 2018;15:e1002689.
28. Berdowski J, Berg RA, Tijssen JG, et al. Global incidences of out-of-hospital cardiac arrest and survival rates: systematic review of 67 prospective studies. Resuscitation. 2010;81:1479–87.
29. Chan TCY, Demirtas D; Kwon RH. Optimizing the deployment of public access defibrillators. Manag Sci. 2016;62:3617-3635.
30. Dao THD, et al. Spatio-temporal location modeling in a 3D indoor environment: the case of aeds as emergency medical devices. Int J Geogr Inform Sci. 2012;26:469-494.
31. Chan TCY, Li H, Lebovic G, et al. Identifying locations for public access defibrillators using mathematical optimization. Circulation. 2013;17:1801-1809.
32. Lin BC, Chen CW, Chen CC, et al. Spatial decision on allocating automated external defibrillators (AED) in communities by multi-criterion two-step floating catchment area (MC2SFCA). Int J Health Geogr. 2016;15:17.
33. Sun CL, Demirtas D, Brooks SC, et al. Overcoming spatial and temporal barriers to public access defibrillators via optimization. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2016;68:836-45.
34. Folke F, Lippert FK, Nielsen SL et al. Location of cardiac arrest in a city center: strategic placement of automated external defibrillators in public locations. Circulation. 2009;120:510-7.
35. Tierney NJ, et al. Novel relocation methods for automatic external defibrillator improve out-of-hospital cardiac arrest coverage under limited resources. Resuscitation. 2018;125:83-89.

36. Aufderheide T, Hazinski MF, Nichol G, et al. Community lay rescuer automated external defibrillation programs: key state legislative components and implementation strategies: a summary of a decade of experience for healthcare providers, policymakers, legislators, employers, and community leaders from the American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Committee, Council on Clinical Cardiology, and Office of State Advocacy. Circulation. 2006;113:1260-70.
37. Erkut E, Ingolfsson A, Erdogan G. Ambulance location for maximum survival. Nav Res Logist. 2008;55:42-58.
38. Toro-Díaz H, et al. Reducing disparities in large-scale emergency medical service systems. J Oper Res Soc. 2015;66:1169-1181.
39. Leclerc PD, Mclay LA, Mayorga ME. Modeling equity for allocating public resources. In: Community-based operations research, New York: Springer; 2012.
40. Enayati S et al. Identifying trade-offs in equity and efficiency for simultaneously optimizing location and multipriority dispatch of ambulances. Int Trans Oper Res. 2019;26:415-438.
41. Rawls J. A Theory of Justice, revised edition, Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1999.
42. Daniels N. Health-Care Needs and Distributive Justice. Philos Public Aff. 1981;10.2:146-179.
43. Daniels N. Just health: meeting health needs fairly. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2008.
44. Nussbaum MC. Capabilities as fundamental entitlements: Sen and social justice. Fem Econ. 2003;9:33-59.
45. World Health Organization (WHO). A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health (Discussion paper draft). Geneva: Commission on Social Determinants of Health, World Health Organization. 2007.
46. Felder S; Brinkmann, Henrik. Spatial allocation of emergency medical services: minimising the death rate or providing equal access?. Regional Science and Urban Economics. 2002;32.1:27-45.
47. Lamata MT, Pelta DA, Rosete A, et al. Context-Based Decision and Optimization: The Case of the Maximal Coverage Location Problem. Ininternational Conference on Information Processing and Management of Uncertainty in Knowledge-Based Systems 2018 Jun 11 (pp. 330-341). Springer, Cham.
48. Brey P. Values in technology and disclosive computer ethics. In: The Cambridge handbook of information and computer ethics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
49. Keulartz J, Schermer M, Korthals M, et al. Ethics in technological culture: a programmatic proposal for a pragmatist approach. Sci Technol Hum Values. 2004;29:3-29.
50. Verbeek PP. Design ethics and the morality of technological artifacts. In: Philosophy and design. From engineering to architecture. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2007.
51. Dobson R. The loneliness of the left handed surgeon. BMJ. 2005;330:10.
52. Nussbaum MC. The costs of tragedy: Some moral limits of cost-benefit analysis." J Leg Stud. 2000;29.2:1005-1036.
53. Humphreys P. The philosophical novelty of computer simulation methods. Synthese. 2009;169:615-626.
54. Marsh MT, Schilling DA. Equity measurement in facility location analysis: A review and framework. Eur J Oper Res. 1994;74.1:1-17.
55. Walzer M. Spheres of justice. Oxford: Basil Blackwell;1983.

56. van den Hoven J. The use of normative theories in computer ethics. In: The Cambridge handbook of information and computer ethics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2010.
57. Brey P. The ethics of representation and action in virtual reality. Ethics Inf Tech. 1999;1:5-14.
58. Daniels N, Sabin J. Limits to Health Care: Fair Procedures, Democratic Deliberation, and the Legitimacy Problem for Insurers. Philos Public Aff. 1997;26:303-350
59. Gutjahr WJ, Nolz PC. Multicriteria optimization in humanitarian aid. Eur J Oper Res. 2016;252:351-366.
60. Ortmann LW, Barrett DH, Saenz C, et al. Public health ethics: global cases, practice, and context. In Public Health Ethics: Cases Spanning the Globe. New York: Springer; 2016.
61. Bernheim RG, Nieburg P, Bonnie RJ. Ethics and the practice of public health. Law Public Health Pract.2007:110-35.
62. Molewijk AC, Abma T, Stolper M, et al. Teaching ethics in the clinic. The theory and practice of moral case deliberation. J Med Ethics 2008;34:120-4.
63. Abma TA, Broerse JE. Patient participation as dialogue: setting research agendas. Health Expectations 2010;13:160-73.
64. Pols J. Towards an empirical ethics in care: Relations with technologies in health care. Med Health Care Phil 2015;18:81-90.
65. Owen R, Macnaghten P, Stilgoe J. Responsible research and innovation: From science in society to science for society, with society. Sci Public Policy. 2012;39:751-60.
66. Barlow J. Simplification: ethical implications for modeling and simulation. In: Proceedings of the 18th World IMACS/MODSIM Congress, Cairns, Australia. 2009. P. 432-438.
67. Ashcroft R. Fair process and the redundancy of bioethics: a polemic. Public Health Ethics 2008;1:3-9.
68. Daniels N. "Justice and Access to Health Care", The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy (Winter 2017 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). Available from: https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2017/entries/justice-healthcareaccess/

Chapter 10. Ethical issues in two parallel trials of personalized criteria for an Internal Cardiac Defibrillator

Epigraph: Nussbaum MC. The Fragility of Goodness. 2nd ed. New York: Cambridge University Press; 2001 [1986].

1. Dagres N, Peek N, Leclercq C, Hindricks G. The PROFID project. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(39):3781-2.
2. Kirkfeldt RE, Johansen JB, Nohr EA, Jorgensen OD, Nielsen JC. Complications after cardiac implantable electronic device implantations: an analysis of a complete, nationwide cohort in Denmark. Eur Heart J. 2014;35(18):1186-94.
3. van Barreveld M, Dijkgraaf MGW, Hulleman M, Boersma LVA, Delnoy P, Meine M, et al. Dutch outcome in implantable cardioverter-defibrillator therapy (DO-IT): registry design and baseline characteristics of a prospective observational cohort study to predict appropriate indication for implantable cardioverter-defibrillator. Neth Heart J. 2017;25(10):574-80.
4. Olsen T, Jorgensen OD, Nielsen JC, Thogersen AM, Philbert BT, Johansen JB. Incidence of device-related infection in 97 750 patients: clinical data from the complete Danish device-cohort (1982-2018). Eur Heart J. 2019;40(23):1862-9.

5. Ozcan C, Raunso J, Lamberts M, Kober L, Lindhardt TB, Bruun NE, et al. Infective endocarditis and risk of death after cardiac implantable electronic device implantation: a nationwide cohort study. Europace. 2017;19(6):1007-14.
6. Garattini S, Bertele V. Non-inferiority trials are unethical because they disregard patients' interests. Lancet. 2007;370(9602):1875-7.
7. Nunn AJ, Meredith SK, Spigelman MK, Ginsberg AM, Gillespie SH. The ethics of non-inferiority trials. Lancet. 2008;371(9616):895; author reply 6-7.
8. Hersh AM, Walter RJ, Abberegg SK. Use of Mortality as an Endpoint in Noninferiority Trials May Lead to Ethically Problematic Conclusions. J Gen Intern Med. 2019;34(4):618-23.
9. Agency EM. Guideline on the choice of the non-inferiority margin. London: EMEA; 2005.
10. Doshi P, Hur P, Jones M, Albarmawi H, Jefferson T, Morgan DJ, et al. Informed Consent to Study Purpose in Randomized Clinical Trials of Antibiotics, 1991 Through 2011. JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(10):1452-9.
11. Menikoff J. What Should Patients Be Told About Noninferiority Studies? JAMA Intern Med. 2017;177(10):1459-60.
12. Hicks SC, James RE, Wong N, Tebbutt NC, Wilson K, Australasian Gastro-Intestinal Trials G. A case study evaluation of ethics review systems for multicentre clinical trials. Med J Aust. 2009;191(5):280-2.
13. Jansen LA. Local IRBs, multicenter trials, and the ethics of internal amendments. IRB. 2005;27(4):7-11.
14. EMA. [16122020]. Available from: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/presentation/voluntary-harmonisation-procedure-vhp-assessment-multinational-clinical-trial-applications-dr_en.pdf.

Chapter 11. Towards trust-based governance of health data research: a European case study

Epigraph: Kafka F. The Trial. Richmond: Alma Books LTD; 2018 [1925].

1. Edelstein L. The Hippocratic Oath: Text, Translation, and Interpretation. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press;1943.
2. Sharon T. Towards a phenomenology of technologically mediated moral change: Or, what could Mark Zuckerberg learn from caregivers in the Southern Netherlands?. Found Data Sci. 2017;22(2):425-428.
3. Allen AL. Coercing privacy. Wm Mary L Rev. 1998;40:723.
4. Raghupathi W, Raghupathi V. Big data analytics in healthcare: Promise and potential. Health Inf Sci Syst. 2014;2(3).
5. Mittelstadt BD, Floridi L. The ethics of big data: Current and foreseeable issues in biomedical contexts. Sci Eng Ethics. 2016;22(2):303–341.
6. Prainsack B, Buyx A. Thinking ethical and regulatory frameworks in medicine from the perspective of solidarity on both sides of the Atlantic. Theor Med Bioeth. 2016;37(6):489-501.
7. Christiaans I, Kok TM, van Langen IM, Birnie E, Bonsel GJ, Wilde AAM, et al. Obtaining insurance after DNA diagnostics: A survey among hypertrophic cardiomyopathy mutation carriers. Eur J Hum Genet. 2010;18(2):251-253.

8. Mohammed S, Lim Z, Dean PH, Potts JE, Tang JNC, Etheridge SP, et al. Genetic insurance discrimination in sudden arrhythmia death syndromes: Empirical evidence from a cross-sectional survey in North America. Circ Cardiovasc Genet. 2017;10(1).
9. Reiman JH. Driving to the panopticon: A philosophical exploration of the risks to privacy posed by the highway technology of the future. Santa Clara Comput High Technol Law J. 1995;11(1):27.
10. Al-Shahi R, Warlow C. Using patient-identifiable data for observational research and audit: Overprotection could damage the public interest. BMJ. 2000;321(7268):1031–1032.
11. Anonymous. Data overprotection. Draft European rules governing privacy threaten to hamper medical research. Nature. 2015;522:391–392.
12. Lee D (Trans.). Plato, The Republic, Intro. Melissa Lane. London: Penguin Classics; 2007.
13. Budin-Ljøsne I, Isaeva J, Knoppers BM, Tassé AM, Shen H, McCarthy MI, et al. Data sharing in large research consortia: experiences and recommendations from ENGAGE. Eur J Hum Genet. 2014;22(3):317-321.
14. Bloustein EJ. Privacy as an aspect of human dignity: An answer to Dean Prosser. NY Univ Law Rev. 1964;39:962-1007.
15. Gaille M, Horn R. Solidarity and autonomy: Two conflicting values in English and French health care and bioethics debates?. Theor Med Bioeth. 2016;37(6):441-446.
16. Pellegrino ED, Thomasma DC. The conflict between autonomy and beneficence in medical ethics: proposal for a resolution. J Contemp Health Law Policy. 1987;3(23).
17. Wolpe PR. The triumph of autonomy in American bioethics: A sociological view. Bioethics and Society: Constructing the Ethical Enterprise 38-59. New York: Pearson; 1998.
18. Winickoff DE. Genome and Nation: Iceland's health sector database and its legacy. Innov Technol Gov Glob. 2006;1(2):80-105.
19. McCartney M. Care data doesn't care enough about consent. BMJ. 2014;348.
20. Vayena E, Blasimme A. Biomedical big data: New models of control over access, use and governance. J Bioeth Inq. 2017;14(4):501-513.
21. Horn R, Kerasidou A. Sharing whilst caring: Solidarity and public trust in a data-driven healthcare system. BMC Med Ethics. 2020;21(1):1-7.
22. Zuboff S. The age of surveillance capitalism: The fight for a human future at the new frontier of power. New York City: Public Affairs; 2019.
23. Kamphof I. A modest art: Securing privacy in technologically mediated homecare. Found Sci. 2017;22(2):411-419.
24. Westin AF. Privacy and Freedom. New York: Atheneum; 1967.
25. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big Data's end run around anonymity and consent. In Privacy, Big Data, and the Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement. eds. Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum H, 44–75. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014.
26. Andersen MR, Storm HH. Cancer registration, public health and the reform of the European data protection framework: Abandoning or improving European public health research?. Eur J Cancer. 2015;51(9):1028-1038.
27. Eisenhauer ER, Tait AR, Rieh SY, Arslanian-Engoren CM. Participants' understanding of informed consent for biobanking: A systematic review. Clin Nurs Res. 2019;28(1):30-51.
28. Andrew J, Baker M. The general data protection regulation in the age of surveillance capitalism. J Bus Ethics. 2021;168:565–578.
29. Mostert M, Bredenoord AL, Biesaart MCIH, van Delden JJM. Big Data in medical research and EU data protection law: Challenges to the consent or anonymise approach. Eur J Hum Genet. 2016;24(7):956-960.

30. Boers SN, AL Bredenoord. Consent for governance in the ethical use of organoids. Nat Cell Biol. 2018;l20(6):642-645.
31. Ploem MC. Towards an appropriate privacy regime for medical data research. Eur J Health Law. 2006;13(1):41.
32. KH Jones, Laurie G, Stevens L, Dobbs C, Ford DV, Lea N. The other side of the coin: Harm due to the non-use of health-related data. Int J Med Inf. 2017;97:43-51.
33. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Koster RW, Ploem MC. Resuscitation with an AED: Putting the data to use. Neth Heart J. 2020;29:1-5.
34. Porsdam Mann S, Savulescu J, Sahakian BJ. Facilitating the ethical use of health data for the benefit of society: Electronic health records, consent and the duty of easy rescue. Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2016;374(2083):20160130.
35. Chadwick R. The communitarian turn: Myth or reality?. Camb Q Healthc Ethics. 2011;20(4):546-553.
36. Ogunrin O, Woolfall K, Gabbay M, Frith L. Relative solidarity: Conceptualising communal participation in genomic research among potential research participants in a developing Sub-Saharan African setting. PLOS One. 2018;13(4):e0195171.
37. Couch DL, Robinson P, Komesaroff PA. COVID-19—extending surveillance and the panopticon. J Bioeth Inq. 2020;17(4):809-814.
38. Bruins B. Making data work for health: a question of guaranteed confidence [Letter to Parliament]. https://www.rijksoverheid.nl/documenten/brieven/2018/11/15/data-laten-werken-voor-gezondheid. 2018. Accessed 6 July 2021.
39. Niezen M, Edelenbosch R, van Bodegom L, Verhoef P. Gezondheid centraal: Zorgvuldig data delen in de digitale samenleving [Health at the center – Careful sharing of data in the digital society]. Bericht aan het Parlement. Den Haag: Rathenau Instituut. https://pure.knaw.nl/portal/en/publications/bc319f5d-74eb-4abd-8e64-5bfa8cef3164. 2019. Accessed 6 July 2021.
40. Dawson A, Jennings B. The place of solidarity in public health ethics. Public Health Rev. 2012.34(1):4.
41. Moor JH. Why we need better ethics for emerging technologies. Ethics Inf Technol. 2005;7(3):111-119.
42. Van der Loo HR, Reijen WL, Adriaansens HPM. Paradoxen van modernisering: Een sociaal-wetenschappelijke benadering [Paradoxes of modernisation: Asocial-scientific approach]. Coutinho. 1993.
43. Coughlin SS. How many principles for public health ethics?. Open Public Health J. 2008;1:8-16.
44. Mackenzie C, Stoljar N, eds. Relational autonomy: Feminist perspectives on autonomy, agency, and the social self. New York: Oxford University Press; 2000.
45. Gaille M, Horn R. The role of 'accompagnement'in the end-of-life debate in France: From solidarity to autonomy. Theor Med Bioeth. 2016;37(6):473-487.
46. Bak MAR, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Ethical aspects of sudden cardiac arrest research using observational data: a narrative review. Crit Care. 2018;22(1):1-10.
47. Kon AA. The role of empirical research in bioethics. Am J Bioeth. 2009;9(6-7): 59-65.
48. Pols J. Towards an empirical ethics in care: Relations with technologies in health care. Med Health Care Philos. 2015;18(1):81-90.
49. Aspers P. Empirical phenomenology: A qualitative research approach (The Cologne Seminars). IPJP. 2009;9(2):1-12.

50. Saraga M, Boudreau D, Fuks A. Engagement and practical wisdom in clinical practice: A phenomenological study. Med Health Care Philos. 2019;22(1):41-52.
51. Yin RK. Case study research: Design and methods. 4th edition. Thousand Oaks, Calif: SAGE Publications; 2007.
52. Empana J, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, et al. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest–a European perspective: the ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation. 2018;124:7-13.
53. Tan HL, Dagres N, Böttiger BW, Schwartz PJ, et al. European sudden cardiac arrest network: Towards prevention, education and new effective treatments (ESCAPE-NET) A major European Horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest. Eur Heart J. 2018;39(2):86-88.
54. Eisenhardt KM. Building theories from case study research. Acad Manag Rev. 1988;14(4):532-550.
55. Fylan F. Semi-structured interviewing. In: A handbook of research methods for clinical and health psychology, eds. Miles J, Gilbert P, 65-78. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2005
56. Bayley R, Bennett C, Charlesworth AJ, Clarke R, Warren A, Oppenheim C. Privacy impact assessments: International study of their application and effects. Winslow: UK Information Commissioner's Office; 2007.
57. Ploug T, Holm S. Informed consent and routinisation. J Med Ethics. 2013;39(4):214-218.
58. Jonas ME. The role of practice and habituation in Socrates' theory of ethical development. Br J Hist Philos. 2018;26(6):987-1005.
59. Van Veen E. Observational health research in Europe: Understanding the general data protection regulation and underlying debate. Eur J Cancer. 2018;104:70-80.
60. Nilstun T, Cartwright C, Rurik Löfmark LD, Fischer S, Miccinesi G, Norup M, Van Der Heide A. Access to death certificates: What should research ethics committees require for approval?. Ann Epidemiol. 2006;16(4):281-284.
61. Haneef R, Delnord M, Vernay M, Bauchet E, Gaidelyte R, Van Oyen H, et al. Innovative use of data sources: A cross-sectional study of data linkage and artificial intelligence practices across European countries. Arch Public Health. 2020;78(1):1-11.
62. Bak MAR, Ploem MC, Ateşyürek H, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Stakeholders' perspectives on the post-mortem use of genetic and health-related data for research: A systematic review. Eur J Hum Genet. 2020;28(4):403-416.
63. Vandenberghe PMM. The data protection officers' perception on conducting a data protection impact assessment: A Belgian perspective on the harmonisation goal of the GDPR. Master's Thesis. 2019.
64. De Lange, Dylan W, Guidet B, Andersen FH, Artigas A, Bertolini G, Huge variation in obtaining ethical permission for a non-interventional observational study in Europe. BMC Med Ethics. 2019;20(1):1-7.
65. Bauer S. From administrative Infrastructure to biomedical resource: Danish population registries, the "Scandinavian Laboratory," and the "Epidemiologist's Dream". Sci Context. 2014;27(2):187–213.
66. Kafka F. Description of a struggle, and other stories. Trans. Willa Muir and Edwin Muir. London: Penguin; 1979.
67. Musschenga AW, Meynen G. Moral progress: An introduction. Ethical Theory and Moral Pract. 2017;20(1):3-15.
68. Kant I. The only possible argument in support of a demonstration of the existence of God (1763). In Theoretical Philosophy, ed. David Walford and Ralf Meerbote, 107–110. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press;1992

69. Neurath O. Anti-Spengler. In Empiricism and Sociology. Vienna Circle Collection, eds. Neurath M., and Cohen R.S. Dordrecht: Springer; 1973.
70. Lorenzen P. Constructive philosophy. Amherst: University of Massachusetts Press; 1987.
71. Lynch MP. The internet of us: Knowing more and understanding less in the age of big data. New York: Liveright Publishing Corporation, W.W. Norton & Company; 2016.
72. Luhmann N. Trust and Power: two works by Niklas Luhmann. Trans. Howard Davis, John Raffan, Kathryn Rooney. Chichester: Wiley; 1979.
73. Arendt H. The life of the mind. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich; 1978.
74. Parsons T. The social system. Glencoe: Free Press; 1951.
75. Kraft SA, Cho MK, Gillespie K, Halley M, Varsava N, Ormond KE, et al. Beyond consent: Building trusting relationships with diverse populations in precision medicine research. Am J Bioeth. 2018;18(4):3-20.
76. Damschroder LJ, Pritts JL, Neblo MA, Kalarickal RJ, Creswell JW, Hayward RA. Patients, privacy and trust: Patients' willingness to allow researchers to access their medical records. Soc Sci Med. 2007;64(1):223-235.
77. Wertheimer A. (Why) should we require consent to participation in research?. J Law Biosci. 2014;1(2):137-182.
78. Ballantyne A, Schaefer GO. Consent and the ethical duty to participate in health data research. J Med Ethics. 2018;44(6):392-396.
79. Sheehan M, Friesen P, Balmer A, Cheeks C, Davidson S, Devereux J, et al. Trust, trustworthiness and sharing patient data for research. J Med Ethics. 2020.
80. O'neill O. A question of trust: The BBC Reith Lectures. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2002.
81. Baier A. Trust and antitrust. Ethics. 1986;96(2):231-260.
82. Dasgupta P. Trust as a Commodity. In Trust: Making and Breaking Cooperative Relations, ed. Diego Gambetta, 49-72. Blackwell; 1988.
83. D'Cruz J. Humble trust. Philos Stud 2019;176(4):933-953.
84. Duintjer OD. Rondom regels: Wijsgerige gedachten omtrent regel-geleid gedrag [Around rules: philosophical thoughts about rule-led behaviour]. Boom Koninklijke Uitgevers; 1977.
85. Allen J, Adams C, Flack F. The role of data custodians in establishing and maintaining social licence for health research. Bioethics. 2019;33:502-510.
86. Fukuyama F. Trust: The social virtues and the creation of prosperity. New York: Free Press; 1995.
87. Edie JM. William James and phenomenology. Rev Metaphys. 1970;23(3):481-526.
88. James W. The Will to believe, and other essays in popular philosophy. London: Longmans, Green, and Co.; 1897.
89. Williams R. Tokens of trust: An introduction to Christian belief. London: Canterbury Press Norwich; 2007.
90. Resnik DB. Trust as a Foundation for Research with Human Subjects. In The Ethics of Research with Human Subjects. International Library of Ethics, Law, and the New Medicine 74. Cham: Springer; 2018.
91. Goldberg SC. Trust and Reliance. In The Routledge handbook of trust and philosophy ed. Judith Simon, 97-108. Routledge; 2020.
92. Hawley K. Trust, distrust and commitment. Noûs. 2014;48(1):1-20.
93. Ferretti A, Ienca M, Sheehan M, Blasimme A, Dove ES, Farsides B, et al. Ethics review of big data research: What should stay and what should be reformed?. BMC Med Ethics. 2021;22(1):1-13.

94. Whitbeck C. Truth and trustworthiness in research. Sci Eng Ethics. 1995;1(4):403-416.
95. Glikson E, Woolley AW. Human trust in artificial intelligence: Review of empirical research. Acad Manag Ann. 2020;14(2):627-660.
96. Kass NE, Sugarman J, Faden R, Schoch-Spana M. Trust the fragile foundation of contemporary biomedical research. Hastings Cent Rep 1996;26(5):25-29.
97. Bak MAR, Veeken R, Blom MT, Tan HL, Willems DL. Health data research on sudden cardiac arrest: Perspectives of survivors and their next-of-kin. BMC Med Ethics. 2021;22(1):1-15.
98. Brown PR. The phenomenology of trust: A Schutzian analysis of the social construction of knowledge by gynae-oncology patients. Health Risk Soc. 2009;11(5):391-407.
99. Ford JG, Howerton MW, Lai GY, Gary TL, Bolen S, Gibbons MC, et al. Barriers to recruiting underrepresented populations to cancer clinical trials: A systematic review. Cancer. 2008;112(2):228-242.
100. Möllering G. The nature of trust: From Georg Simmel to a theory of expectation, interpretation and suspension. Sociology. 2001;35(2):403-420.
101. Zaner RM. The phenomenon of trust and the patient-physician relationship. In Ethics, trust, and the professions: Philosophical and cultural aspects, 45–67. eds. Pellegrino ED, Veatch RM, Langan JP. Washington: Georgetown University Press; 1991.
102. Hollis M. Trust within reason. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1998.
103. Jones K. Second-hand moral knowledge. J Philosophy. 1999;96(2):55-78.
104. Nader L. The life of the law-A moving story. Val Ul Rev. 2001;36:655.
105. Singer P. The expanding circle. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1981.
106. Levinas E, Nemo P. Ethics and infinity. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1985.
107. Sheikh Z, Hoeyer K. That is why I have trust: Unpacking what 'trust'means to participants in international genetic research in Pakistan and Denmark. Med Health Care Philos. 2018;21(2):169-179.
108. de Vries J, Williams TN, Bojang K, Kwiatkowski DP, Fitzpatrick R, Parker M. Knowing who to trust: exploring the role of 'ethical metadata' in mediating risk of harm in collaborative genomics research in Africa. BMC Med Ethics. 2014;15(1):1-10.
109. Woolley JP. Towards coherent data policy for biomedical research with ELSI 2.0: orchestrating ethical, legal and social strategies. J Med Ethics. 2017;43(11):741-743.
110. Price WN, Cohen IG. Privacy in the age of medical big data. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):37-43.
111. Van Der Bles AM, van der Linden S, Freeman ALJ, Spiegelhalter DJ. The effects of communicating uncertainty on public trust in facts and numbers. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2020;117(14):7672-7683.
112. Potter NN. How can I be trusted?: A virtue theory of trustworthiness. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers; 2002.
113. Carter P, Laurie GT, Dixon-Woods M. The social licence for research: Why care.data ran into trouble. J Med Ethics. 2015;41(5):404-409.
114. Poortinga W, Pidgeon NF. Exploring the dimensionality of trust in risk regulation. Risk Anal. 2003;23(5):961-972.
115. Kaye J. From single biobanks to international networks: Developing e-governance. Hum Genet. 2011;130(3):377-382.
116. Kerasidou A. The role of trust in global health research collaborations. Bioethics. 2019;33(4):495-501.

117. Ducournau P, Strand R. Trust, distrust and co-production: the relationship between research biobanks and donors. In The Ethics of research biobanking, eds. Solbakk JH, Holm S, Hofmann B, 115-130. Boston: Springer US; 2009.
118. Sterckx S, Rakic 2019, Cockbain J, Borry P. You hoped we would sleep walk into accepting the collection of our data: Controversies surrounding the UK care. data scheme and their wider relevance for biomedical research. Med Health Care Philos. 2016;19(2):177-190.
119. Yarborough M, Fryer-Edwards K, Geller G, Sharp RR. Transforming the culture of biomedical research from compliance to trustworthiness: Insights from nonmedical sectors. Acad Med. 2009;84(4):472-477.
120. Ludvigsson JF, Håberg SE, Knudsen GP, Lafolie P, Zoega H, Sarkkola C, et al. 2015. Ethical aspects of registry-based research in the Nordic countries. Clin Epidemiol. 7:491.
121. Dove ES, Townend D, Meslin EM, Bobrow M, Littler K, Nicol D, et al. Ethics review for international data-intensive research. Science. 2016;351(6280):1399-1400.
122. Wallace SE. What Does Anonymization Mean? DataSHIELD and the Need for Consensus on Anonymization Terminology. Biopreserv Biobank. 2016;14(3);224-230.
123. Thorogood A, Zawati MH. International guidelines for privacy in genomic biobanking (or the unexpected virtue of pluralism). J Law Med Ethics. 2015;43(4):690-702.
124. Van der Burg W. Dynamic ethics. J Value Inq. 2003;37:13-34.

Chapter 12. General Discussion

Epigraph: Carroll L. Alice's Adventures in Wonderland. Princeton: Princeton University Press; 2015 [1865].

1. Empana JP, Blom MT, Böttiger BW, Dagres N, Dekker JM, Gislason G, et al. Determinants of occurrence and survival after sudden cardiac arrest–A European perspective: The ESCAPE-NET project. Resuscitation. 2018;124:7-13.
2. Tan HL, Dagres N, Böttiger BW, Schwartz PJ, ESCAPE-NET Investigators. European Sudden Cardiac Arrest network: towards Prevention, Education and New Effective Treatments (ESCAPE-NET) A major European Horizon 2020 project focused on cardiac arrest. Eur Heart J. 2018 Jan 7;39(2):86-8.
3. Marco CA. Resuscitation research: future directions and ethical issues. Acad Emerg Med. 2001;8(8):839-843.
4. Morrison CA, Horwitz IB, Carrick MM. Ethical and legal issues in emergency research: barriers to conducting prospective randomized trials in an emergency setting. J Surg Res. 2009;157(1):115-122.
5. Docherty AB, Lone NI. Exploiting big data for critical care research. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2015;21:467-472.
6. Badcock D, Kelly AM, Kerr D, Reade T. The quality of medical record review studies in the international emergency medicine literature. Ann Emerg Med. 2005;45:444-447.
7. Kaji AH, Schriger D, Green S. Looking through the retrospectoscope: reducing bias in emergency medicine chart review studies. Ann Emerg Med. 2014;64:292-298.
8. Nishiyama C, Brown SP, May S, Iwami T, Koster RW, Beesems SG, et al. Apples to apples or apples to oranges? International variation in reporting of process and outcome of care for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2014;85:1599-1609.

9. Andersen LW, Grossestreuer AV, Donnino MW. "Resuscitation time bias"—a unique challenge for observational cardiac arrest research. Resuscitation. 2018;125:79-82.
10. Gitelman. 'Raw data' is an oxymoron. Cambridge, MIT Press; 2013, ed.
11. Bolukbasi T, Chang KW, Zou JY, Saligrama V, Kalai AT. Man is to computer programmer as woman is to homemaker? debiasing word embeddings. Adv Neural Inf Process Syst. 2016;29:4349-57.
12. Aufderheide TP, Nolan JP, Jacobs IG, Belle G, Bobrow BJ, Marshall J, et al. Global health and emergency care: a resuscitation research agenda—part 1. Acad Emerg Med, 2013;20:1289-1296.
13. Manrai AK, Funke BH, Rehm HL, Olesen MS, Maron BA, Szolovits P, et al. Genetic misdiagnoses and the potential for health disparities. N Engl J Med, 2016;375:655-665.
14. Boyd KM. Ethnicity and the ethics of data linkage. BMC Public Health. 2007;7:318.
15. Strawson P. Freedom and Resentment. Proceedings of the British Academy, Volume 48. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1962.
16. Nissenbaum H. A contextual approach to privacy online. Daedalus. 2011;140(4):32-48.
17. Walzer M. Spheres of justice: A defense of pluralism and equality. New York: Basic books; 1983.
18. Floridi L. Against digital ontology. Synthese. 2009;168(1):151-178.
19. Negroponte N. Being Digital. New York: Alfred A. Knopf, Inc; 1995.
20. Pols J, Willems D, Aanestad M. Making sense with numbers. Unravelling ethico-psychological subjects in practices of self-quantification. Sociol Health Illn. 2019;41:98-115.
21. Pettitt DA, Raza S, Naughton B, Roscoe A, Ramakrishnan A, Ali A, et al. The limitations of QALY: a literature review. J Stem Cell Res Ther. 2016;6(4).
22. Raad voor de Volksgezondheid & Zorg. Passend bewijs. Ethische vragen bij het gebruik van evidence in het zorgbeleid. Signalering ethiek en gezondheid 2007/4. Den Haag: Centrum voor ethiek en gezondheid, 2007
23. Health Council of the Netherlands. Research that makes you better. A new orientation for umc research. The Hague: Health Council of the Netherlands; 2016, publication no. 2016/14.
24. Rasch, M.. Frictie. Ethiek in tijden van Dataïsme. Amsterdam: Uitgeverij de Bezige Bij bv; 2020
25. Barocas S, Nissenbaum H. Big data's end run around anonymity and consent. In: Lane J, Stodden V, Bender S, Nissenbaum H, eds. Privacy, Big Data, and The Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 2014. p44-75.
26. Kuse Kruse CS, Frederick B, Jacobson T, Monticone DK. Cybersecurity in healthcare: A systematic review of modern threats and trends. Technol Health Care. 2017;25(1):1-10.
27. Ibarra J, Jahankhani H, Kendzierskyj S. Cyber-physical attacks and the value of healthcare data: facing an era of cyber extortion and organised crime. In Blockchain and Clinical Trial (pp. 115-137). Cham: Springer; 2019
28. Hoepman JH. Privacy design strategies. In IFIP International Information Security Conference (pp. 446-459). Berlin: Springer; 2014
29. Spiekermann S, Cranor LF. Engineering privacy. IEEE Trans Haptics. 2008;35(1):67-82.
30. Chairunnanda P, Pham N, Hengartner U. Privacy: Gone with the typing! identifying web users by their typing patterns. In 2011 IEEE Third International Conference on Privacy,

Security, Risk and Trust and 2011 IEEE Third International Conference on Social Computing; 2011 Oct 9 p. 974-980

31. Benoit J, Onyeaka H, Keshavan M, Torous J. Systematic Review of Digital Phenotyping and Machine Learning in Psychosis Spectrum Illnesses. Harv Rev Psychiatry. 2020;28(5):296-304.
32. Mayer-Schönberger Viktor. Generational development of data protection in Europe. In: Agre P, Rotenberg M, editors. Technology and privacy: The new landscape. Cambridge: MIT Press; 1997 p.219–242
33. Winch P. The Idea of Social Science and Its Relation to Philosophy. Oxfordshire: Routledge; 1990 [1958]
34. Porsdam Mann S, Savulescu J, Sahakian BJ. Facilitating the ethical use of health data for the benefit of society: Electronic health records, consent and the duty of easy rescue. Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci. 2016;374(2083):20160130.
35. Levinas E, Nemo P. Ethics and infinity. Pittsburgh: Duquesne University Press; 1985.
36. Emanuel EJ, Wendler D, Grady C. What makes clinical research ethical? JAMA. 2000;283(20):2701–2711.
37. Morris MC, Mechem CC, Berg RA, Bobrow BJ, Burns S, Clark L, et al. Impact of the privacy rule on the study of out-of-hospital pediatric cardiac arrest. Prehosp Emerg Care. 2007;11:272-277.
38. Floridi, L. The Philosophy of Information. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2011.
39. Gillum RF. From papyrus to the electronic tablet: a brief history of the clinical medical record with lessons for the digital age. Am J Med. 2013 Oct 1;126(10):853-7.
40. Resnik DB. Trust as a Foundation for Research with Human Subjects. In The Ethics of Research with Human Subjects. International Library of Ethics, Law, and the New Medicine 74. Cham: Springer; 2018.
41. Tupasela A, Liede S. State responsibility and accountability in managing big data in biobank research: tensions and challenges in the right of access to data. In: Daniel B, Floridi L, editors. The ethics of biomedical big data. Cham: Springer; 2016.
42. Berkman BE, Hull SC. The "right not to know" in the genomic era: time to break from tradition?. Am J Bioeth. 2014 Mar 1;14(3):28-31.
43. Davies B. The right not to know and the obligation to know. J. Med. Ethics. 2020 May 1;46(5):300-3.
44. Fellmann F, Rial-Sebbag E, Patch C, Hentze S, Stefandottir V, Mendes Á, et al. ESHG PPPC Comments on postmortem use of genetic data for research purposes. Eur J Hum Genet. 2020;28:144-6.
45. Taylor L, Floridi L, Van der Sloot B. Group privacy: New challenges of data technologies. In: Philosophical Studies Series. Cham: Springer; 2016.
46. Chanta S, Mayorga ME, McLay LA. Improving emergency service in rural areas: a bi-objective covering location model for EMS systems. Ann Oper Res. 2014 Oct;221(1):133-59.
47. Blom MT, Oving I, Berdowski J, van Valkengoed IG, Bardai A, Tan HL. Women have lower chances than men to be resuscitated and survive out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Eur Heart J. 2019 Dec 14;40(47):3824-34.
48. Zègre-Hemsey JK, Bogle B, Cunningham CJ, Snyder K, Rosamond W. Delivery of automated external defibrillators (AED) by drones: implications for emergency cardiac care. Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep. 2018 Nov;12(11):1-5.

49. Brantingham PJ. The logic of data bias and its impact on place-based predictive policing. Ohio St. J. Crim. L.. 2017;15:473.
50. Prates MOR, Avelar PH, Lamb LC. Assessing gender bias in machine translation--a case study with Google translate. Neural Comput & Applic. 2020;32:6363–6381.
51. Wilkinson D. The self-fulfilling prophecy in intensive care. Theor Med Bioeth. 2009;30(6):401–410.
52. Mertens M, King O. Versterkt kunstmatige intelligentie self fulfilling prophecies bij prognose coma patiënt? [Does AI exacerbate the self-fulfilling prophecies in the prognoses for comatose patients?]. Podium voor Bio-ethiek, 2019;26(4)
53. Siemann C. Curiouser and Curiouser: Law in the Alice Books. Law & Literature. 2012;24(3):430-455.
54. Fox R. The sociology of medicine: A participant observer's view. Colorado: Englewood; 1989.
55. Misselbrook D. Foucault. British Journal of General Practice. 2013 Jun 1;63(611):312. doi: 10.3399/bjgp13X668249. PMID: 23735390; PMCID: PMC3662436.
56. Timmermans S. Sudden Death and the Myth of CPR. Philadelphia: Temple University Press; 1999.
57. Visser-Knijff P, van der Vaart R. Verder leven in de cloud: de illusies van het dataisme. [Living on in the cloud: the illusions of dataism]. Podium voor Bio-ethiek. 2021;28(2):39-43.
58. Glaudemans JJ, Moll van Charante EP, Willems DL. Advance care planning in primary care, only for severely ill patients? A structured review. Family practice. 2015 Feb 1;32(1):16-26.
59. Paniagua D, Lopez-Jimenez F, Londoño JC, Mangione CM, Fleischmann K, Lamas GA. Outcome and cost-effectiveness of cardiopulmonary resuscitation after in-hospital cardiac arrest in octogenarians. Cardiology. 2002;97(1):6-11.
60. Menzel P. The variable value of life and fairness to the already ill. Prevention vs. Treatment: What's the Right Balance. 2012:194-218.
61. Vuijsje H. Mens, erger je niet, privacybescherming en wetenschappelijk onderzoek, den haag: Ministerie van onderwijs en wetenschappen; 1992.
62. Flick U. An introduction to qualitative research. 4th ed. London: Sage; 2009.
63. Musschenga AW. Empirical ethics, context-sensitivity, and contextualism. The Journal of medicine and philosophy. 2005;30(5):467-490.
64. Widdershoven GA. The doctor-patient relationship as a Gadamerian dialogue: A response to Arnason. Medicine, health care, and philosophy. 2000;3(1):25.
65. Wittgenstein L. Philosophical Investigations, 3rd edition, G.E.M. Anscombe (trans.), Oxford: Blackwell; 1953/1958.
66. Widdershoven G, Abma T, Molewijk B. Empirical ethics as dialogical practice. Bioethics. 2009 May;23(4):236-48.
67. Broerse JE, Zweekhorst MB, van Rensen AJ, de Haan MJ. Involving burn survivors in agenda setting on burn research: an added value?. *Burns*. 2010;*36*(2):217-231.
68. Jongsma KR, Bredenoord AL. Ethics parallel research: an approach for (early) ethical guidance of biomedical innovation. BMC medical ethics. 2020;21(1):1-9.
69. Nápoles-Springer AM, Stewart AL. Overview of qualitative methods in research with diverse populations: Making research reflect the population. Medical Care. 2006 Nov 1;44(11):S5-9.
70. Baum F, MacDougall C, Smith D. Participatory action research. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 2006 Oct;60(10):854.

71. Tashakkori A, Teddlie C. Putting the human back in "human research methodology": The researcher in mixed methods research. Journal of Mixed Methods Research. 201;4(4):271-277.
72. Van der Zande A, Klazinga N, Bilo H, Dekker J, de Keizer N, Keuzenkamp H, et al.. Advies commissie governance van kwaliteitsregistraties, bijlage bij Kamerbrief over aanbieding Voortgangsrapportage Ontwikkeling Uitkomstgerichte Zorg 2018-2022. 29 March 2019.
73. Wierda E, Bak MAR, Ploem MC. Bij een intelligente lockdown past een intelligente app. [An intelligent mobile app to match the intelligent lockdown]. Medisch Contact. 2020;19(7):38-39.
74. Piccininni M, Rohmann JL, Logroscino G, Kurth T. Blockchain-Based innovations for population-based registries for rare neurodegenerative diseases. Frontiers in Blockchain. 2020 May 19;3:20.
75. Ploug T, Holm S. Meta consent: A flexible and autonomous way of obtaining informed consent for secondary research. Bmj 2015;350:h2146.
76. Beesems SG, Wittebrood KM, de Haan RJ, Koster RW. Cognitive function and quality of life after successful resuscitation from cardiac arrest. Resuscitation. 2014 Sep 1;85(9):1269-74.
77. Offerman SR, Nishijima DK, Ballard DW, Chetipally UK, Vinson DR, Holmes JF. The use of delayed telephone informed consent for observational emergency medicine research is ethical and effective. Acad Emerg Med. 2013;20:403-407.
78. Hill EM, Turner EL, Martin RM, Donovan JL. 'Let's get the best quality research we can': public awareness and acceptance of consent to use existing data in health research: a systematic review and qualitative study. BMC Med. Res. Methodol. 2013;13:72.
79. Meijer GS, Zielhuis GA, Kuipers EJ. Consultation. Comments by health-RI, COREON, BBMRI-NL, PSI AND NFU on guidelines on consent and transparency (WP259 and WP260). Available from: https://bbmri.nl/news-events/health-ri-coreon-bbmri-nl-psi-and-nfu-have-recently-submitted-their-comments-article-29. [Accessed 27th June 2020].
80. European Data Protection Board. Consent. Available from: https://edpb.europa.eu/node/65. [Accessed 27th June 2020].
81. European Data Protection Board. Guidelines 05/2020 on consent under Regulation 2016/679. Available from: https://edpb.europa.eu/our-work-tools/our-documents/guidelines/guidelines-052020-consent-under-regulation-2016679_en. [Accessed 27th June 2020].
82. Indrakusuma R, Kalkman S, Koelemay MJ, Balm R, Willems DL. Context-Relative Norms Determine the Appropriate Type of Consent in Clinical Biobanks: Towards a Potential Solution for the Discrepancy between the General Data Protection Regulation and the European Data Protection Board on Requirements for Consent. Science and Engineering Ethics. 2020 Dec;26(6):3271-84.
83. Steinsbekk KS, Myskja BK, Solberg B. Broad consent versus dynamic consent in biobank research: Is passive participation an ethical problem?. European Journal of Human Genetics. 2013;21(9):897-902.
84. Pels Rijcken. Rapport inzake patiëntgeheim. Bijlage bij Kamerbrief over advies Landsadvocaat patiëntgeheim. 10 juni 2020.
85. Ploem C, Suurmond J. Registering ethnicity for covid-19 research: is the law an obstacle?. 2020;bmj:370.
86. Laurie G, Jones KH, Stevens L, Dobbs C. A review of evidence relating to harm resulting from uses of health and biomedical data. London: Nuffield Council on Bioethics; 2015.

87. Rasmussen LS, Gisvold SE, Wisborg T. Ethics Committee approval for observational studies. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand 2014;58:1047-8.
88. Orchard J. For debate: should observational clinical studies require ethics committee approval? J Sci Med Sport 2008;11:239-42.
89. Moser B, Röggla G. Should observational clinical studies require ethics committee approval? J Sci Med Sport 2008;11:518
90. Thoral PJ, Peppink JM, Driessen RH, Sijbrands EJ, Kompanje EJ, Kaplan L, et al. Sharing ICU Patient Data Responsibly Under the Society of Critical Care Medicine/European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Joint Data Science Collaboration: The Amsterdam University Medical Centers Database (AmsterdamUMCdb) Example. Critical care medicine. 2021 Jun;49(6):e563.
91. Ienca M, Ferretti A, Hurst S, Puhan M, Lovis C, Vayena E. Considerations for ethics review of big data health research: A scoping review. PloS one. 2018 Oct 11;13(10):e0204937.
92. McLennan S, Shaw D, Celi LA. The challenge of local consent requirements for global critical care databases. Intensive Care Med 2019;45:246-248.
93. Funtowicz SO, Ravetz JR. Science for the post-normal age. Futures 1993;25:739–755.
94. Tan DY, Ter Meulen BC, Molewijk A, Widdershoven G. Moral case deliberation. Practical neurology. 2018 Jun 1;18(3):181-6.
95. Daniels N, Sabin J. Limits to Health Care: Fair Procedures, Democratic Deliberation, and the Legitimacy Problem for Insurers. Philos Public Aff. 1997;26:303-350
96. Gitelman L, Jackson V, Rosenberg D, Williams TD, Brine KR, Poovey M, et al . Data bite man: The work of sustaining a long-term study. In: Gitelman L, editors. "Raw Data" Is an Oxymoron. Cambridge: The MIT Press; 2013.
97. Shah N, Coathup V, Teare H, Forgie I, Giordano GN, Hansen TH, et al. Sharing data for future research—engaging participants' views about data governance beyond the original project: a DIRECT Study. Genetics in Medicine. 2019 May;21(5):1131-8.
98. Sandel MJ. What money can't buy. London: Penguin Books Ltd; 2012
99. Arendt H. The Human Condition. Chicago: Chicago University Press; 2018 [1958].