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DLD AND DISTRIBUTIONAL LEARNING

- Studies indicate that children with developmental language disorder (DLD) have difficulties with statistical learning (SL), e.g., Siegelman et al. 2017
- Distributional learning, a type of SL, plays a role in the formation of phonetic categories, e.g., Maye et al., 2002
- Junge et al. (2018) found that distributional learning contributes to the formation of novel visual object categories in infants

Does Condition (1/2) influence stimulus choice continuum et al. 2017

It is unknown whether children with DLD have difficulty with this type of visual distributional learning.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

1. Are children with DLD less sensitive to distributional cues compared to typically developing (TD) children when learning novel visual object categories?
2. Does the ability of visual distributional learning contribute to lexical knowledge in children with DLD?

METHOD: FAMILIARIZATION PHASE

Based on Junge et al. (2018) and Chládkova et al. (2020).

- An 11-step novel object continuum was constructed
- Participants were familiarized with tokens from the continuum (286 tokens in total, duration +/- 8 minutes)
- Two learning conditions: distributional peaks at different positions in the continuum

Predictions

- Eight 2A-FC test questions
  - Does token D1 or D2 look more like token S?
- PPs in Condition 1 will choose token D2 more often than pps in Condition 2
  - Children with DLD will show a weaker effect of Condition than TD children

METHOD: PARTICIPANTS

- 25 children with DLD and 25 TD children (7-9 years old)

RESULTS

A generalized logistic linear mixed effect model in R was constructed to test:

- Is there an interaction between Condition x Group (DLD/TD)?

Children in Condition 1 were significantly more likely to choose stimulus D2 than children in Condition 2: z = 2.758, p = 0.006. However, there was no significant effect of Group: z = 0.007, p = 0.994.

Familiarization condition significantly influences whether viewers prefer the combination S + D1 or S + D2. No evidence for a difference between children with and without DLD.

Linear regression analyses showed no significant relationships between visual distributional learning and lexical knowledge in children with DLD.

DISCUSSION

- Familiarization condition significantly influenced our participants’ preference for the combination of S and token D1 or D2, indicating that distributional properties of the input influence the categorization of visual stimuli
- No evidence for or against a visual distributional learning deficit in children with DLD (see also Lammertink et al., 2020)
- No evidence for or against a relationship between visual distributional learning and lexical knowledge in children with DLD
- There seems to be an inherent preference for the combination S + D1. Perhaps the visual continuum should be changed in future studies
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