



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Choice agendas in disability policy and practice: An analysis through the lenses of professional actors

The cases of England and Lombardy in comparison

Pozzoli, F.

Publication date

2021

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Pozzoli, F. (2021). *Choice agendas in disability policy and practice: An analysis through the lenses of professional actors: The cases of England and Lombardy in comparison*.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

*Choice agendas in disability policy and practice:
an analysis through the lenses of professional actors
The cases of England and Lombardy in comparison*

Doctoral dissertation by
Francesca Pozzoli

Choice agendas in disability policy and practice:
an analysis through the lenses of professional actors
The cases of England and Lombardy in comparison

ACADEMISCH PROEFSCHRIFT

ter verkrijging van de graad van doctor
aan de Universiteit van Amsterdam
op gezag van de Rector Magnificus
prof. dr. ir. K.I.J. Maex

ten overstaan van een door het College voor Promoties ingestelde commissie,
in het openbaar te verdedigen in de Agnietenkapel
op vrijdag 3 december 2021, te 13.00 uur

door Francesca Pozzoli
geboren te Cantù

Promotiecommissie

<i>Promotores:</i>	prof. dr. W.G.J. Duyvendak prof. dr. F. Biolcati-Rinaldi	Universiteit van Amsterdam Università degli studi di Milano
<i>Copromotores:</i>	dr. M.D. Cottingham	Universiteit van Amsterdam
<i>Overige leden:</i>	prof. dr. A. Meo prof. dr. L. Bifulco dr. S. Neri prof. dr. M. Kremer prof. dr. A.J. Pols	Università di Torino Università Bicocca University of Milan Universiteit van Amsterdam Universiteit van Amsterdam

Faculteit der Maatschappij- en Gedragwetenschappen

Dit proefschrift is tot stand gekomen binnen een samenwerkingsverband tussen de Universiteit van Amsterdam en de Università degli Studi di Milano met als doel het behalen van een gezamenlijk doctoraat. Het proefschrift is voorbereid in de Faculteit der Maatschappij- en Gedragwetenschappen van de Universiteit van Amsterdam en de Facoltà di Scienze Politiche, Economiche e Sociali van de Università degli Studi di Milano.

This thesis was prepared within the partnership between the University of Amsterdam and the Università degli Studi di Milano with the purpose of obtaining a joint doctorate degree. The thesis was prepared in the Faculty of Social and Behavioural Sciences at the University of Amsterdam and in the Facoltà di Scienze Politiche, Economiche e Sociali at the Università degli Studi di Milano.



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI MILANO

Dipartimento di Scienze Sociali e
Politiche (SPS)



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI TORINO

Dipartimento di Culture,
Politica e Società



UNIVERSITY
OF AMSTERDAM

Amsterdam Institute for Social
Science Research (AISSR)

***Choice agendas in disability policy and practice:
an analysis through the lenses of professional actors.
The cases of England and Lombardy in comparison.***

Doctoral dissertation by

Francesca Pozzoli

Index

Acknowledgments	6
Chapter 1: Introduction	7
• The journey of my engagement with ‘choice agendas’	7
• Beyond my personal experience: the popularity of choice	9
• Three reasons to question the popularity of choice in public policy and services	10
• The object, aim and focus of this research	12
• Structure of the thesis	13
Chapter 2: Choice agendas, a brief history	16
• Choice agendas: a brief history from the Disabled People’s Movement perspective	16
Choice as a demand for social justice (17); Choice and the positive <i>versus</i> negative freedom debate (18); Choice and the capability approach (18).	
• Choice agendas: a brief history from a social policy perspective	19
Choice and policy-making: from New Public Management (NPM) to quasi-markets (20); “ <i>The invisible hand of choice and competition</i> ” (21); Choice agendas: critical voices (22).	
Chapter 3: Research Questions and Theoretical Framework	25
• Research Questions	25
• My theoretical framework for the study of choice agendas	27
Policy actors and policy research (27); Policy actors and policy evaluation (29); Policy actors and Street-Level-Bureaucracy (SLB) Theories (31); Policy actors and policy practice (33); Policy actors, governance and network theories (35).	

Chapter 4: Methodology	38
• Focus and Context of my study	38
Why disability? (38); Why a comparison? (39); Why England and the region of Lombardy? (42).	
• Methods	47
The process of ‘fine-tuning’ my methods to the research context (47); In-depth semi-structured interviews (51); Network ethnography (59).	
• Data analysis	64
• Limitations of the study	66
Chapter 5: Background of choice agendas in the two contexts of my study	69
• Choice agendas: similar policies in different welfare traditions	69
England (69); Lombardy (70).	
• Beyond policy: the politics of choice agendas	72
England (72); Lombardy (74).	
• What does the evidence say?	76
England (76); Lombardy (78).	
• Choice agendas and adult social care: the magnitude of the impact	81
England (81); Lombardy (83).	
Chapter 6: Findings - Choice agendas and social work in England	85
• Introduction: the context of my research	85
• Social work through the lenses of street-level-bureaucracy	89
Resources (90); Demand and supply mechanisms (92); Ambiguity in goals and performance measures (93); Controlling Clients (95).	
• Beyond ‘conditions’ of work and ‘patterns of practice’: structure of work	97
Assessments and support planning (98); Reviews (107); Panels (109); From social workers’ offices to DP, brokerage and commissioning teams (117).	
• A first set of conclusions regarding choice agendas and social work in England	120

Findings - Choice agendas and social work in Lombardy	122
• Introduction: the context of my research	122
• Social work through the lenses of street-level-bureaucracy	127
Resources (128); Demand and supply mechanisms (130); Ambiguity in goals and performance measures (132); Controlling clients (134).	
• Trends	135
The role of Planning Departments (<i>Uffici di Piano</i>) (136); Administration-isation' (141); Work on Projects (144); Working with others or Network work (149).	
• A first set of conclusions regarding choice agendas and social work in Lombardy	152
Chapter 7: Findings - Choice agendas and network actors in England	154
• Introduction	154
Zooming out: a snapshot of the network (155); Zooming in: actors' with 'translating' power (157).	
• The labor of network actors	158
The <i>In Control</i> model (159); The <i>brokerage</i> model (161); <i>Community-based</i> models (163); Some preliminary reflections on new care models (164).	
• Ways and themes of policy steering	165
Focus on attitudes and cultural changes (165); Dichotomy of old-style bureaucracies versus new innovators (167); Fascination with models (169).	
• The connubium of rhetoric and tools	172
The Social Care Future (173).	
• A first set of conclusions regarding choice agendas and network actors in England	175
Findings - Choice agendas and network actors in Lombardy	178
• Introduction	178
Zooming in: a 'swarm' of projects (180); Zooming out: actors with translating power (185).	
• The labor of network actors	186
Independent Living (186); Pathways to autonomy (190); Legal guarantees that people's choices will be respected (192); Personalised plans and personalised budgets (194).	
• Policy steering?	197
From cultural to structural changes (198); A lack of structural change and its risks (200).	
• A first set of conclusions regarding choice agendas and network actors	

in Lombardy	203
Chapter 8: Discussion	206
• Choice agendas and social work practice	206
Spaces of discretion (206); Resources (208); Managerialism (210); Professionalism (213).	
• Choice agendas, networks and network actors	217
Networks and power dynamics (217); Choice agendas steering (222).	
Chapter 9: Conclusions	228
Similar policies, different actors (228); England and Lombardy by comparison: one viewed in the mirror of the other (232).	
List of references	237
List of websites	248
Appendices	249
Summaries	257

Acknowledgements

This project would not have been possible without the help, support, advice, collaboration and presence of many different people. I thank them all and I will now dedicate a special mention to all of them, following the order in which they became involved in this project.

First, a big thanks to all those people whose acquaintance inspired the very idea of this project. In particular, a special thanks to Brenda, Diana, Marylin, Helen and Norman. They opened my eyes to lives made of struggles and joys I had not known before. I hope you are all well and happy.

I would also like to thank all my colleagues and friends from the ‘Redbridge old times’. They too have been a huge source of inspiration and motivation.

Then comes the time of my leaving London behind to start my PhD in Milan. I once went to an exhibition at the Tate Modern where a text was juxtaposed with a painting by Marlene Dumas, kind of going like this: “*what a beautiful journey it has been, to be ending at this beginning*”. It struck me. Later, I felt this text also applied to my return to Italy. Thanks then to all those who I met during this (new) ‘beginning’, starting with all the SOMET ‘colleagues’ and including everybody I met through my PhD journey. Some of these people have become very good friends, and so another very special thanks goes to them.

Many thanks also to all my supervisors. In different ways, they all played a big role in enabling this thesis to take its current shape: thank you for your precious comments, advice and input.

My sincerest thanks then go to all those who took part in this project. They dedicated their time to this research, despite their busy schedules and many commitments; they trusted me and welcomed me into their working lives. I could not keep in contact with all of them. I am glad and grateful, however, that several important collaborations have developed out of these encounters, some of which are still ongoing.

Finally, thanks to all those who have been patient with me, accepting my occasional mood swings, perhaps struggling but nonetheless never abandoning me.

Thank you all.