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A direct search for the standard model Higgs boson decaying to a pair of charm quarks is presented. Associated production of the Higgs and Z bosons, in the decay mode $ZH \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- c \bar{c}$ is studied. A data set with an integrated luminosity of 36.1 fb$^{-1}$ of $pp$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS experiment at the LHC is used. The $H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$ signature is identified using charm-tagging algorithms. The observed (expected) upper limit on $\sigma(pp \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow c \bar{c})$ is 2.7 (3.9) fb at the 95% confidence level for a Higgs boson mass of 125 GeV, while the standard model value is 26 fb.
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In July 2012, the ATLAS and CMS collaborations announced the discovery of a new particle with a mass of approximately 125 GeV [1,2] in searches for the standard model (SM) Higgs boson at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [3]. Subsequent measurements indicate that this particle is consistent with the SM Higgs boson [4–10]. Direct evidence for the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs boson to the top [11] and bottom [12,13] quarks was recently obtained. Measurements of the Yukawa coupling of the Higgs boson to quarks in generations other than the third are difficult at hadron colliders, due to small branching fractions, large backgrounds, and challenges in jet flavor identification [14,15]. This Letter presents a direct search by the ATLAS experiment for the decay of the Higgs boson to a pair of charm (c) quarks. This search targets the production of the Higgs boson in association with a Z boson decaying to charged leptons: $Z(\ell^+ \ell^-)H(c \bar{c})$, where $\ell = e, \mu$.

The SM branching fraction for a Higgs boson with a mass of 125 GeV to decay to a pair of charm quarks is predicted to be 2.9% [16]. The inclusive cross section for $\sigma(pp \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow c \bar{c})$ is 26 fb at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [17]. Rare exclusive decays of the Higgs boson to a light vector meson or quarkonium state and a photon can also probe the couplings of the second-generation quarks to the Higgs boson [18–21]. Previously, the ATLAS Collaboration presented an indirect search for the decay of the Higgs boson to $c$ quarks via the decay to $J/\psi\gamma$, obtaining a branching fraction limit of $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ at the 95% confidence level (C.L.), which approximately corresponds to a limit of 540 times the SM branching fraction prediction [14,20]. Bounds on the Higgs boson branching fractions to unobserved final states and fits to global rates constrain $B(H \rightarrow c \bar{c}) < 20\%$ at the 95% C.L., assuming SM production cross sections [22]. These limits can still accommodate large modifications to the Higgs boson coupling to charm quarks from new physics [22]. In this Letter, a new approach is introduced to investigate the coupling of the Higgs boson to charm quarks.

The search is performed using $pp$ collision data recorded in 2015 and 2016 with the ATLAS detector [23] at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The ATLAS detector at the LHC covers nearly the entire solid angle around the collision point [24]. It consists of an inner tracking detector surrounded by a thin superconducting solenoid, electromagnetic and hadronic calorimeters, and a muon spectrometer incorporating three large superconducting toroidal magnets. An additional pixel layer was installed for the $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV running period [25]. After the application of beam, detector, and data-quality requirements, the integrated luminosity corresponds to 36.1 ± 0.8 fb$^{-1}$, measured following Ref. [26]. Events are required to contain exactly two same-flavor leptons with an invariant mass consistent with that of the Z boson, and at least two jets of which one or two are identified as charm jets ($c$ jets). In this Letter, lepton refers to only electrons or muons. The analysis procedure is validated by measuring the yield of $ZW$ and $ZZ$ production, where the sample is enriched in $W \rightarrow cs, cd$ and $Z \rightarrow c \bar{c}$ decays. Further details can be found in Ref. [12].

Monte Carlo (MC) simulated samples were produced for signal and background processes using the full ATLAS detector simulation [27] using GEANT4 [28]. Table I provides details of the event generators used for each signal and background sample. Signal events were produced at next-to-leading order (NLO) for the $q\bar{q} \rightarrow ZH$ process and at leading order (LO) for the $gg \rightarrow ZH$ process with POWHEG-BOX v2 [32]. The dominant $Z + \text{jets}$ background and the resonant diboson $ZW$ and $ZZ$ processes were generated using SHERPA 2.2.1 [54]. The $t\bar{t}$ background was
generated using POWHEG-BOX v2. Backgrounds from single top and multijet production and the contribution from Higgs decays other than $bb$ and $cc$ are assessed to be negligible and not considered further. The Higgs boson mass is set to $m_H = 125$ GeV and the top-quark mass is set to 172.5 GeV.

Events are required to have at least one reconstructed primary vertex. Electron candidates are reconstructed from energy clusters in the electromagnetic calorimeter that are associated with charged-particle tracks reconstructed in the inner detector [56,57]. Muon candidates are reconstructed by combining inner detector tracks with muon spectrometer tracks or energy deposits in the calorimeters consistent with the passage of minimum-ionizing particles [58]. For data recorded in 2015, the single-electron (muon) trigger required a candidate with $p_T > 24(20)$ GeV; in 2016 the lepton $p_T$ threshold was raised to 26 GeV. Events are required to contain a pair of same-flavor leptons, both satisfying $p_T > 7$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. At least one lepton must have $p_T > 27$ GeV and correspond to a lepton that passed the trigger. The two leptons are required to satisfy loose track-isolation criteria with an efficiency greater than 99%. They are required to have opposite charge in dimuon events, but not in dielectron events due to the non-negligible charge misidentification rate of electrons. The invariant mass of the dilepton system is required to be consistent with the mass of the $Z$ boson: $81$ GeV $< m_{\ell\ell} < 101$ GeV.

Jets are reconstructed from topological energy clusters in the calorimeters [59,60] using the anti-$k_T$ algorithm [61] with a radius parameter of 0.4 implemented in the FASTJET package [62]. The jet energy is corrected using a jet-area-based technique [63,64] and calibrated [65,66] using $p_T$- and $\eta$-dependent correction factors determined from simulation, with residual corrections from internal jet properties. Further corrections from in situ measurements are applied to data. Selected jets must have $p_T > 20$ GeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. Events are required to contain at least two jets. If a muon is found within a jet, its momentum is added to the selected jet. An overlap removal procedure resolves cases in which the same physical object is reconstructed multiple times, e.g. an electron also reconstructed as a jet.
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**FIG. 1.** The $c$-jet-tagging efficiency (colored scale) as a function of the $b$ jet and $l$ jet rejection as obtained from simulated $t\bar{t}$ events. The cross, labeled as working point, WP, denotes the selection criterion used in this analysis. The solid and dotted black lines indicate the contours in rejection space for the fixed $c$-tagging efficiency used in the analysis and two alternatives.
Jets in simulated events are labeled according to the presence of a heavy-flavor hadron with \( p_T > 5 \text{ GeV} \) within \( \Delta R = 0.3 \) from the jet axis. If a \( b \) hadron is found the jet is labeled as a \( b \) jet. If no \( b \) hadron is found, but a \( c \) hadron is present, then the jet is labeled as a \( c \) jet. Otherwise the jet is labeled as a light-flavor jet (l jet).

Flavor-tagging algorithms exploit the different lifetimes of \( b, c \), and light-flavor hadrons. A \( c \)-tagging algorithm is used to identify \( c \) jets. Charm jets are particularly challenging to tag because \( c \) hadrons have shorter lifetimes and decay to fewer charged particles than \( b \) hadrons. Boosted decision trees are trained to obtain two multivariate discriminants: to separate \( c \) jets from \( l \) jets and \( c \) jets from \( b \) jets. The same variables used for \( b \)-tagging are used. Figure 1 shows the selection criteria applied in the two-dimensional multivariate discriminant space, to obtain an efficiency of 41% for \( c \) jets and rejection factors of 4.0 and 20 for \( b \) jets and \( l \) jets. The efficiencies are calibrated to data using \( b \) quarks from \( t \to Wb \) and \( c \) quarks from \( W \to cs \), \( cd \) with methods identical to the \( b \)-tagging algorithms [67]. Statistical uncertainties in the simulation are reduced, by weighting events according to the tagging efficiencies of their jets, parametrized as a function of jet flavor, \( p_T \), \( \eta \) and the angular separation between jets, rather than imposing a direct requirement on the \( c \)-tagging discriminants.

Data are analyzed in four categories with different expected signal purities. The dijet invariant mass, \( m_{c\bar{c}} \), constructed using the two highest-\( p_T \) jets, is the discriminating variable in each category. Categories are defined using the transverse momentum of the reconstructed \( Z \) boson, \( p_T^Z \) (75 GeV \( \leq p_T^Z < 150 \text{ GeV} \) and \( p_T^Z \geq 150 \text{ GeV} \) and the number of \( c \) tags amongst the leading jets (either one or two). The \( p_T^Z \) requirements exploit the harder \( p_T^Z \) distribution in \( ZH \) compared to \( Z + \text{jets} \) production. Background events are rejected by requiring the angular separation between the two jets constituting the dijet system, \( \Delta R_{c\bar{c}} \), to be less than 2.2, 1.5, or 1.3 for events satisfying 75 \( \leq p_T^Z < 150 \text{ GeV} \), 150 \( \leq p_T^Z < 200 \text{ GeV} \), or \( p_T^Z \geq 200 \text{ GeV} \). The signal acceptance ranges from 0.5% to 3.4% depending on the category. A joint binned maximum-probability-likelihood fit to \( m_{c\bar{c}} \) in the categories is used to extract the signal yield and the \( Z + \text{jets} \) background normalization. The fit uses 15 bins in each category within the range of 50 GeV \( < m_{c\bar{c}} < 200 \text{ GeV} \), with a bin width of 10 GeV. The parameter of interest, \( \mu \), common to all categories, is the signal strength, defined as the ratio of the measured signal yield to the SM prediction.

Systematic uncertainties affecting the signal and background predictions include theoretical uncertainties in the signal and background modeling and experimental uncertainties. Table II shows their relative impact on the fitted value of \( \mu \). Uncertainties in the \( m_{c\bar{c}} \) shape of the backgrounds are assessed by comparisons between nominal and alternative event generators as indicated in Table I.

Systematic uncertainties are incorporated within the statistical model through nuisance parameters that modify the shape and/or normalization of the distributions. Statistical uncertainties in the simulation samples are accounted for. The \( Z + \text{jets} \) background is normalized from the data through the inclusion of an unconstrained normalization parameter for each category. The fitted
The dominant contributions to the uncertainty in \( \mu \) are the efficiency of the tagging algorithms, the jet energy scale and resolution, and the background modeling. The largest uncertainty is due to the normalization of the dominant \( Z + \) jets background. The typical uncertainty in the tagging efficiency is 25% for \( c \) jets, 5% for \( b \) jets, and 20% for \( l \) jets.

Table III shows the fitted signal and background yields. The \( m_{c\bar{c}} \) distributions in the 2 \( c \) tag categories are shown in Fig. 2 with the background shapes and normalizations according to the result of the fit. Good agreement is observed between the postfit shapes of the distributions and the data.

The analysis procedure is validated by measuring the yield of \( ZV \) production, where \( V \) denotes a \( W \) or \( Z \) boson, with the same event selection. The fraction of the \( ZZ \) yield from \( Z \rightarrow c\bar{c} \) decays is \( \sim 55\% \) (20\%) in the 2 \( c \) tag (1 \( c \) tag) category, while the fraction of the \( ZW \) yield from \( W \rightarrow c\bar{s} \), \( cd \) is \( \sim 65\% \) for both the 2 and 1 \( c \) tag categories. Contributions of Higgs boson decays to \( c\bar{c} \) and \( b\bar{b} \) are treated as background and constrained to the SM predictions within its theoretical uncertainties. The diboson signal strength is measured to be \( \mu_{ZV} = 0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.1} \) with an observed (expected) significance of 1.4 (2.2) standard deviations.

The best-fit value for the \( ZH(c\bar{c}) \) signal strength is \( \mu_{ZH} = -69 \pm 101 \). By assuming a signal with the kinematics of the SM Higgs boson, model-dependent corrections are made to extrapolate to the inclusive phase space. Hence, an upper limit on \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow c\bar{c}) \) is computed using a modified frequentist CL\(_s\) method [69,70] with the profile likelihood ratio as the test statistic. The observed (expected) upper limit is found to be 2.7 (3.9^{+2.4}_{-1.1}) pb at the 95\% C.L. This corresponds to an observed (expected) upper limit on \( \mu \) at the 95\% C.L. of 110 (150^{+80}_{-40}) . The uncertainties in the expected limits correspond to the \( \pm 1\sigma \) interval of background-only pseudoexperiments. With the current sensitivity, the result depends weakly on the assumption of the SM rate for \( H \rightarrow b\bar{b} \). The observed limit remains within 5\% of the nominal value when the assumed value for normalization of the \( ZH(b\bar{b}) \) background is varied from zero to twice the SM prediction.

A search for the decay of the Higgs boson to charm quarks has been performed using 36.1 \( fb^{-1} \) of data collected with the ATLAS detector in \( pp \) collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 13 \) TeV at the LHC. No significant excess of \( ZH(c\bar{c}) \) production is observed over the SM background expectation. The observed upper limit on \( \sigma(pp \rightarrow ZH) \times B(H \rightarrow c\bar{c}) \) is 2.7 pb at the 95\% C.L. The corresponding expected upper limit is 3.9^{+2.4}_{-1.1} \( pb \). This is the most stringent limit to date in direct searches for the inclusive decay of the Higgs boson to charm quarks.
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[24] ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the center of the detector and the z axis along the beam pipe. The x axis points from the IP to the center of the LHC ring, and the y axis points upwards. Cylindrical coordinates (r, $\phi$) are used in the transverse plane, $\phi$ being the azimuthal angle around the z axis. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of
the polar angle $\theta$ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. Angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R \equiv \sqrt{(\Delta \eta)^2 + (\Delta \phi)^2}$.


[60] ATLAS Collaboration, Properties of jets and inputs to jet reconstruction and calibration with the ATLAS detector.


INFN-TIFPA, Trento, Italy
University of Trento, Trento, Italy

TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California, USA

INFN Gruppo Collegato di Udine, Sezione di Trieste, Udine, Italy

ICTP, Trieste, Italy

Dipartimento di Chimica, Fisica e Ambiente, Università di Udine, Udine, Italy

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden

Department of Physics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois, USA

Instituto de Fisica Corpuscular (IFIC), Centro Mixto Universidad de Valencia—CSIC, Spain

Department of Physics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia, Canada

Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

Waseda University, Tokyo, Japan

Department of Particle Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot, Israel

Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

Fakultät für Mathematik und Naturwissenschaften, Fachgruppe Physik, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Wuppertal, Germany

Fakultät für Physik und Astronomie, Julius-Maximilians-Universität, Würzburg, Germany

Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Yerevan Physics Institute, Yerevan, Armenia

Centre de Calcul de l’Institut National de Physique Nucléaire et de Physique des Particules (IN2P3), Villeurbanne, France

Academia Sinica Grid Computing, Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan

Deceased.

Also at Department of Physics, King’s College London, London, United Kingdom.

Also at Institute of Physics, Azerbaijan Academy of Sciences, Baku, Azerbaijan.

Also at Novosibirsk State University, Novosibirsk, Russia.

Also at TRIUMF, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Also at Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA.

Also at Physics Department, An-Najah National University, Nablus, Palestine.

Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Fresno, CA, USA.

Also at Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Fribourg, Switzerland.

Also at II Physikalisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, Germany.

Also at Departament de Fisica de la Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.

Also at Tomsk State University, Tomsk, and Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.

Also at The Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter (CICQM), Beijing, China.

Also at Universita di Napoli Parthenope, Napoli, Italy.

Also at Institute of Particle Physics (IPP), Canada.

Also at Horia Hulubei National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania.

Also at CPPM, Aix-Marseille Université and CNRS/IN2P3, Marseille, France.

Also at Department of Physics, St. Petersburg State Polytechnical University, St. Petersburg, Russia.

Also at Borough of Manhattan Community College, City University of New York, New York City, NY, USA.

Also at Department of Financial and Management Engineering, University of the Aegean, Chios, Greece.

Also at Centre for High Performance Computing, CSIR Campus, Rosebank, Cape Town, South Africa.

Also at Louisiana Tech University, Ruston, LA, USA.

Also at Institucio Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avancats, ICREA, Barcelona, Spain.

Also at Department of Physics, The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA.

Also at LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, Orsay, France.

Also at Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan.

Also at Fakultät für Mathematik und Physik, Albert-Ludwigs-Universität, Freiburg, Germany.

Also at Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Radboud University Nijmegen/Nikhef, Nijmegen, Netherlands.

Also at Institute of Theoretical Physics, Ilia State University, Tbilisi, Georgia.

Also at CERN, Geneva, Switzerland.

Also at Georgian Technical University (GTU), Tbilisi, Georgia.

Also at Ochadai Academic Production, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan.
Also at Manhattan College, New York, NY, USA.
b Also at Hellenic Open University, Patras, Greece.
c Also at The City College of New York, New York, NY, USA.
d Also at Departamento de Física Teórica y del Cosmos, Universidad de Granada, Granada, Portugal.
e Also at Department of Physics, California State University, Sacramento, CA, USA.
f Also at Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology State University, Dolgoprudny, Russia.
g Also at Departement de Physique Nucleaire et Corpusculaire, Université de Genève, Geneva, Switzerland.
h Also at Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA.
i Also at Departament de Física d’Altres Energies (IFAE), The Barcelona Institute of Science and Technology, Barcelona, Spain.
j Also at School of Physics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, China.
k Also at Institute for Nuclear Research and Nuclear Energy (INRNE) of the Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria.
l Also at Faculty of Physics, M.V.Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russia.
m Also at National Research Nuclear University MEPhI, Moscow, Russia.
n Also at Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA.
o Also at Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics, Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Budapest, Hungary.
p Also at Giresun University, Faculty of Engineering, Turkey.
q Also at Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan.
r Also at University of Malaya, Department of Physics, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
s Also at Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russia.