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Out-of-time-order correlators (OTOCs) have proven to be a useful tool for studying thermalization in quantum systems. In particular, the exponential growth of OTOCs, or scrambling, is sometimes taken as an indicator of chaos in quantum systems, despite the fact that saddle points in integrable systems can also drive rapid growth in OTOCs. By analyzing the Dicke model and a driven Bose-Hubbard dimer, we demonstrate that the OTOC growth driven by chaos can, nonetheless, be distinguished from that driven by saddle points through the long-term behavior. Besides quantitative differences in the long-term average, the saddle point gives rise to large oscillations not observed in the chaotic case. The differences are also highlighted by entanglement entropy, which in the chaotic-driven dimer matches a Page curve prediction. These results illustrate additional markers that can be used to distinguish chaotic behavior in quantum systems, beyond the initial exponential growth in OTOCs.
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**I. INTRODUCTION**

The dynamics of quantum information provides a link between different areas of physics as is evident in the study of fast scrambling, quantified by the exponential growth of the out-of-time-order correlators (OTOCs) [1–7]. First introduced to describe the dynamics of quantum information in black holes, scrambling has since been used to probe the connections among the dynamics of entanglement, chaos, and thermalization [8–13]. In particular, studies of chaotic models in periodically driven and undriven systems have used a variety of OTOCs, including the fidelity out-of-time-order correlator (FOTOC), to show that in the chaotic phase the OTOC grows, often exponentially, up to an Ehrenfest time after which it saturates to a steady-state value [8–12,14–19]. If the state of an isolated quantum system is sufficiently delocalized in the basis of energy eigenstates, the system is expected to relax towards the “diagonal ensemble” (DE) due to dephasing between energy eigenstates [20]. Consequently, in the absence of an external drive an initial product state will evolve to a finite-temperature thermal state with volume-law entanglement entropy, whereas a periodically driven system will evolve to an infinite-temperature state [11,14,15,17,20].

Although the exponential growth of OTOCs is often associated with chaos, it has been demonstrated recently that, in the absence of chaos, the exponential growth of an OTOC may be driven by an unstable trajectory associated with a hyperbolic fixed point—or saddle point—in the semiclassical phase space [13,16,21–26]. So far, scrambling without chaos has been observed in Ising spin chains with long-range interactions [21], the truncated Lieb-Liniger model [22], the inverted harmonic oscillator [23–26], the Dicke model [16], and the Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) model [13]. Saddle-dominated scrambling in the presence of chaos has been observed in the kicked rotor model, the Feingold-Peres model of coupled tops and elastic manifolds pinned in a random potential [13].

In this paper we study the entanglement dynamics in the two-site Bose-Hubbard model, showing explicit differences between the chaotic and the saddle-point regimes. This model, which could be experimentally implemented in ultracold atoms, allows access to stable, unstable (chaotic), and saddle-point regions in phase space through tuning and modulation of the interwell tunneling rate. We solve exactly the short-time and long-time (times much longer than the Ehrenfest time) dynamics for up to $10^5$ particles. In the chaotic regime, OTOCs grow exponentially until saturating at the infinite temperature prediction, consistent with Floquet thermalization. In contrast, we find that the saddle-point FOTOC exhibits large long-lived oscillations around the diagonal ensemble prediction. These differences can be traced back to distinct differences in the respective diagonal ensembles, reflected quantitatively in the Shannon entropy. We also study the long-time behavior of the von Neumann entanglement entropy and its scaling with subsystem size to highlight the differences between the two regimes and confirm the lack of thermalization at the saddle point.

To demonstrate that these ideas generalize to higher-dimensional phase spaces, we also calculate FOTOC behavior for the well-known Dicke model, which comprises noninteracting spins coupled to a photon mode [27]. By varying the relative strength of the spin-photon coupling, one can tune to chaotic regimes without the need for external driving. Here we find short-term scrambling in the presence of the saddle point, regardless of the extent of the semiclassical chaos. However, it
is again only in the chaos-dominated regime where long-time thermal behavior is evident.

Although much previous work has focused on the short-term dynamics of OTOCs, other authors have also recently turned to the long-term dynamics to provide a less ambiguous probe of chaos in quantum systems. Apart from the issues associated with saddle points that we focus on here, the short-term dynamics can be ambiguous in a mixed phase space with regular and chaotic regions, especially when delocalized initial states are used with the OTOCs. In such cases, the long-term behavior provides a clearer indication of the transition between integrability and chaos as seen, for example, in the quantum kicked rotor [8], Bose-Hubbard systems [9], quantum maps [10,18], and spin chains [18,19].

II. MODELS

In this paper we primarily consider the Bose-Hubbard dimer [28], which is described by the Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H}_B = 2\hbar U S_z^2 - 2\hbar J S_x,$$

where $J$ is the tunneling rate and $U$ is the on-site interaction strength. We use the pseudoangular momentum operators $\hat{S}_\alpha$ with $\alpha = x, y, z$,

$$\begin{align*}
\hat{S}_x &= \frac{\hat{a}^+_x \hat{a}_x + \hat{a}^+_y \hat{a}_y}{2}, \\
\hat{S}_y &= \frac{\hat{a}^+_x \hat{a}_y - \hat{a}^+_y \hat{a}_x}{2i}, \\
\hat{S}_z &= \frac{\hat{a}^+_z \hat{a}_z + \hat{a}^+_\bar{z} \hat{a}_{\bar{z}}}{2},
\end{align*}$$

where $\hat{a}_j, \hat{a}^+_j$ with $j = 1, 2$ are the creation and annihilation operators for the two bosonic modes and $[\hat{S}_\alpha, \hat{S}_\beta] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\hat{S}_\gamma$. The Bose-Hubbard dimer can be mapped to a particular instance of the LMG model, whose saddle-point OTOC dynamics was studied in Refs. [13,16].

The semiclassical phase space of the two-site Bose-Hubbard model is shown in Fig. 1(d) in two different regimes using coordinates $z = \langle \hat{S}_z \rangle$ and $\phi = -\arg (\langle \hat{S}_z \rangle + i\langle \hat{S}_x \rangle)$. For $|NU|/J \leq 1$, the system undergoes Rabi oscillations, and the fixed points are two stable centers [28]. At the critical interaction strength $|NU|/J = 1$, one of the stable centers undergoes a pitchfork bifurcation. For stronger interactions, “self-trapping trajectories” emerge on either side of a hyperbolic fixed point [28] as shown in the upper plot in Fig. 1(d).

The addition of periodic modulation to the tunneling frequency $J(t) = J_0[1 + \mu \cos(\omega t)]$ makes the two-site Bose-Hubbard model chaotic [29] as shown in the lower plot in Fig. 1(d). The extent of the chaos can be finely controlled through modulation of the constants $\mu, \omega$ [17,29,30].

To consider systems with higher-dimensional phase space, we employ the Dicke model, comprising noninteracting spins coupled to a photon mode [27]. Describing the spins collectively through the use of the pseudoangular momentum operators $\hat{S}_\alpha$ and introducing photon annihilation (creation) operators $\hat{b} (\hat{b}^\dagger)$, one can write the Dicke model Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_D = \hbar \omega \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} + \hbar \Delta \hat{S}_z + \frac{2\hbar \gamma}{\sqrt{N}} (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b}) \hat{S}_x,
\end{align*}$$

where $\omega$ is the optical frequency, $\Delta$ is the atomic transition frequency, $\gamma$ is the atom-field coupling, and $N$ is the number of spins. The semiclassical version of the Dicke model is integrable only when one of the three parameters $\omega, \Delta$, and $\gamma$ is zero, and it exhibits widespread chaos in its four-dimensional phase space at high energies [31–33].

For atom-field coupling at or below the critical value of $\gamma_c = \sqrt{\omega \Delta}/2$, the Dicke-model ground state comprises the spin-down Bloch coherent state of the atomic subsystem and the vacuum coherent state of the photonic subsystem. Above the critical value, the spin-down-vacuum state is no longer the...
Dicke ground state, and chaos is widespread in its phase-space energy shell \([31–34]\). The spin-down-vacuum state corresponds to a saddle point in the semiclassical phase space and will hereafter be referred to as the saddle-point state of the Dicke model.

As the Dicke model is nonintegrable in the regimes we study and has an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space due to the photon subspace, we diagonalize the Hamiltonian in a truncated basis. We solve the Dicke model in the so-called “efficient coherent basis” \([35–37]\), which allows calculation of converged energy eigenvalues for a relatively small number of basis modes. We ensure convergence of eigenstates by comparison with the next smallest truncated basis and only retain eigenstates that differ in energy by less than \(10^{-3}\). The basis truncation is chosen such that the normalization of the initial state expressed in the energy eigenbasis differs from unity by no more than \(10^{-5}\).

### III. RESULTS

#### A. FOTOCs

To study the entanglement dynamics, we use the FOTOC given by \(C(t) \equiv 1 - \text{Re}(\langle \hat{W}_S(t) \hat{V}(0) \hat{W}_S(t) \hat{V}(0) \rangle)\), where \(\hat{W}_S\) is an arbitrary rotation with generator \(\hat{S}_u\), and \(\hat{V} = |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0|\) is the projector on initial-state \(|\psi_0\rangle\). In this paper, we use Bloch coherent states as the initial states and choose the generator whose expectation value \(\langle \hat{S}_u \rangle\) is maximized under the initial state.

We choose to work with Bloch coherent states since as symmetric minimum-uncertainty states, they provide a somewhat localized probe of phase space. They are also relatively easy to generate experimentally, corresponding to a rotation of the lowest-eigenvalue eigenstate of \(\hat{J}_z\) to arbitrary coordinates \((z, \phi)\) on the Bloch sphere \([38]\).

We work with sufficiently small \(\delta \ll 1\) such that the FOTOC simplifies to the variance of the generator \(\hat{S}_u\) as \(C(t) \approx \delta^2 \text{var}[\hat{S}_u(t)] + O(\delta^3)\) \([11,39]\). The predicted value of the FOTOC for the infinite-temperature uniform diagonal ensemble (UDE), \(\hat{\rho} = 1/(N+1)\) in the Bose-Hubbard dimer is \([17]\)

\[
C_{\text{UDE}} = \delta^2 \left[ \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_u^2) - \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_u)^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \delta^2 \left[ \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_u^2)/(N+1) - \text{Tr}(\hat{S}_u)^2/(N+1)^2 \right]
\]

\[
= \delta^2 N(N+2)/12. \tag{4}
\]

#### B. Driven-dimer FOTOC dynamics

Figure 1(a) shows the dynamics of the FOTOC in the saddle-point and chaotic regimes. In contrast to the behavior near a stable fixed point where the FOTOC remains small and bounded, here the FOTOCs grow exponentially until they approach the respective values predicted by the diagonal ensemble. Semiclassical arguments indicate that the growth rate \(\lambda_Q\) of this FOTOC should be related to the classical Lyapunov exponent as \(\lambda_Q = 4\lambda\) \([40]\). Here, we numerically determine the saddle-point FOTOC growth rate to be \(\lambda_Q = 4 \times 1.90(5)\), consistent with the saddle-point exponent \(\lambda = 2\), determined via linear stability analysis. The exponential growth is arrested at an Ehrenfest time \(t_E\). For the saddle-driven scrambling \(t_E \approx 2.0\), which is consistent with the prediction given by \(t_E \approx 20.1 \ln (N) \approx 1.73\).

For the driven chaotic case, the pre-Ehrenfest dynamics is a little more complicated with the exponential growth preceded by a short time of slow growth (seen clearly in, e.g., Fig. 2(d) of Ref. \([17]\)). Furthermore, the numerically determined growth rate during the exponential phase \(\lambda_Q = 4 \times 0.92(2)\) is approximately four times higher than what would be expected from the corresponding classical Lyapunov exponent \(\lambda \approx 0.2094\) \([41,42]\).

Despite both the saddle-point and the chaotic regimes showing scrambling behavior in the short-time dynamics, there is a marked difference in the long-time behaviors. The saddle-point FOTOCs exhibit considerable variability around the diagonal-ensemble predictions. This variability is especially marked for an initial state centered directly on the saddle point where it is manifest in large oscillations. These oscillations occurred for all system sizes we simulated \((N = 10^4, N = 10^5, N = 10^5)\) and are comparable to the maximum values of the FOTOC. As we discuss further below, these oscillations are associated with (near) revivals of the initial state. In contrast, in the chaotic regime the FOTOC does not exhibit large oscillations but saturates to the uniform diagonal ensemble \([43]\) prediction with only small-amplitude fluctuations for sufficiently large \(N\) as predicted by the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis \([44]\).

#### C. Entanglement entropy

The differences between the chaotic and the saddle-point regimes are even more marked in the dynamics of the entanglement entropy. Here we calculate the von Neumann entropy of the reduced density-matrix \(\hat{\rho}_s\) obtained after tracing out \(N-s\) particles \([45]\): \(S_E(\hat{\rho}_s) = -\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_s \ln (\hat{\rho}_s)]\). Figure 1(b) shows the half-system \((s = N/2)\) entanglement entropy as a function of time. In the chaotic regime, the entanglement entropy grows almost monotonically and shows very little variation once it saturates at the Page curve prediction. In contrast, the saddle-point entanglement entropy exhibits markedly periodic oscillations with distinct revivals. The size of the oscillations means that the system periodically almost completely disentangles. These disentangling points coincide with time at which \(C(t) \approx 0\), illustrating the close connection between the dynamics of entanglement entropy and the FOTOCs previously observed in Ref. \([11]\).

The lack of fluctuations in the entanglement entropy after the Ehrenfest time means that its long-time value is very well defined, which allows us to test its scaling with system size. To obtain the results in Fig. 1(c), we time average the entropy after saturation over the period \(20 \leq \Delta t \leq 200\). The vertical lines indicate the uncertainty. For small subsystems, the chaotic post-Ehrenfest entanglement entropy matches that of the infinite-temperature uniform diagonal ensemble but diverges for larger subsystems. The volume law scaling of the chaotic state entanglement entropy matches the Page curve, the average entanglement entropy of a subsystem given the whole system is in a random pure state \([46]\). For a bipartite system with subsystems \(A, B\) of Hilbert space dimensions \(d_A, d_B\).
satisfying $d_A \leq d_B$, this quantity is

$$
\langle S_D(\tilde{\rho}_n) \rangle = \Psi(d_A d_B + 1) - \Psi(d_B + 1) - \frac{d_A - 1}{2d_B},
$$

(5)

where $\Psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ is the digamma function. In the dimer case, $d_A = s + 1$ and $d_B = N - s + 1$, where $s \in [0, N/2]$ is the number of particles in subsystem $A$.

D. Diagonal distribution and thermalization

The qualitative differences in the long-time dynamics of the two regimes can be traced back to the eigenstructure, which we probe through the diagonal entropy [20], defined as the von Neumann entropy of the diagonal ensemble,

$$
S_D(\psi) = \sum_n |\langle \psi | \Phi_n \rangle|^2 \ln (|\langle \psi | \Phi_n \rangle|^2),
$$

(6)

with initial coherent state $|\psi\rangle$ and eigenstates $|\Phi_n\rangle$. The diagonal entropy quantifies the delocalization of state $|\psi\rangle$ in the basis of energy eigenstates or Floquet modes in the case of a Floquet system. The Gaussian orthogonal ensemble (GOE) of random matrices gives a prediction of $S_{D_{\text{GOE}}} \approx \ln [0.48(N + 1)]$ [47] for fully thermalizing states under the Bose-Hubbard Hamiltonian (1), which is real and symmetric.

The diagonal distributions of the states used in the chaotic and saddle-point regimes are shown in Fig. 2(a) with the corresponding diagonal entropies in Fig. 2(c). The chaotic state matches the GOE prediction, indicating that a large number of eigenstates participate in the FOTOC dynamics and that the state will thermalize. In contrast, the diagonal entropy of the saddle-point state is much smaller than the GOE prediction, indicating that relatively few eigenstates participate in the FOTOC dynamics and that the state will not thermalize. The three dominant eigenstates are illustrated in Fig. 2(d) and are all highly localized around the saddle point. The saddle-perturbed state has intermediate diagonal entropy and, thus, exhibits small amplitude FOTOC oscillations without distinct revivals or saturation. The diagonal distribution of a state centered on a stable fixed point is almost a $\delta$ distribution ($S_D \approx 0$) and, therefore, the state’s FOTOC and entanglement entropy are predicted to be nearly time independent, which matches our observations.

The connection among the diagonal ensemble, the FOTOC, and entanglement dynamics is clearly revealed in the Fourier spectra of these quantities, which effectively functions as a probe of chaotic behavior [18]. As evident in Fig. 3(a), the saddle-point FOTOC spectrum displays distinct peaks at frequencies corresponding to the transition energies between eigenstates that dominate the diagonal ensemble. These eigenstates can be identified by their distinctly high overlaps with the evolving state, shown in Fig. 2(b).

The Fourier spectrum of the entanglement entropy displays many of the same features as that of the FOTOC for $N = 100$. The Fourier spectrum of the saddle-point entropy has few sharp peaks, whereas those of the chaotic phase space and saddle-perturbed state exhibit no distinct peaks. The appearance of beating in the saddle-point entanglement entropy dynamics is evident in the double-peaked Fourier spectrum, giving oscillation period $T_o \approx 106/J_0$. The beat period can be interpreted as the partial revival timescale. Periodic partial revivals are similarly evident in the long-time dynamics of the $N = 1000$ saddle-point FOTOC in Fig. 1(a).

FIG. 2. (a) Diagonal distributions of coherent states in the driven-dimer chaotic phase space (blue bars), on the saddle point (red bars), and slightly perturbed from the saddle point (green staircase) as in Fig. 1. The distributions are ordered by energy or quasienergy magnitude and indexed by $n$. (b) Closeup of driven-dimer saddle-point diagonal distribution with selected high-overlap energy eigenstate transitions labeled by black markers, corresponding to frequencies identified in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b). (c) Diagonal entropies of the initial coherent states used in the driven-dimer simulations, relative to the GOE prediction. (d) Driven-dimer saddle-point eigenstate $Q$ distributions for $N = 100$, labeled by $n$. The three $Q$ distributions shown are those with the largest overlap with the saddle-point coherent state. Parameters are as in Fig. 1.

That so few eigenstates participate in the saddle-dominated dynamics is the reason why these regular revivals are so substantial. The revival dynamics is illustrated qualitatively in the phase-space $Q$ distribution, shown in Fig. 3(c). The coherent state, initially located on the saddle point, is periodically sheared along the separatrix and extends around the Bloch sphere before the separate $Q$ distribution arms recombine at the saddle point, leading to a fairly complete reconstruction of the initial coherent state. This periodic dividing and recombining is the source of the oscillatory FOTOC behavior.

E. Semiclassical simulations for large $N$

We have, thus far, solved the driven-dimer dynamics exactly, using exact diagonalization. For larger system sizes, we make use of the truncated Wigner method, an approximate
is demonstrably reliable, we see from Fig. 4 that the interference.

accurately sampled with the truncated Wigner method due to superpositions of macroscopically distinct states cannot be oscillations are due to beating between a few eigenstates:

Wigner method is consistent with the idea that these persistent fluctuations seen in the long-time dynamics. Thus, reliance FOTOC and some transient oscillations immediately after the

method correctly predicts the rapid exponential growth of the Wigner simulations can be benchmarked against the exact simulations for small $N$. As shown in Fig. 4, the Wigner method correctly predicts the rapid exponential growth of the FOTOC and some transient oscillations immediately after the Ehrenfest time. However, it fails to reproduce the persistent fluctuations seen in the long-time dynamics. Thus, reliance on the Wigner method alone could give a false prediction of thermalization after the Ehrenfest time. The failure of the Wigner method is consistent with the idea that these persistent oscillations are due to beating between a few eigenstates: superpositions of macroscopically distinct states cannot be accurately sampled with the truncated Wigner method due to the nonpositive fringes in a Wigner function that arise from transitions.

For short times, when the truncated Wigner method is demonstrably reliable, we see from Fig. 4 that the OTOC continues to grow exponentially until it reaches the diagonal-ensemble prediction, which increases with $N$. The corresponding Ehrenfest time, thus, also increases with $N$, consistent with the logarithmic dependence given in Sec. III B.

F. Dicke FOTOC dynamics

Finally, we use the Dicke model to explore whether the characteristic differences between saddle-driven and chaos-driven scrambling described above survive in a system with higher-dimensional phase space and without the need for driving to induce chaos. We consider the Dicke model in two parameter regimes: a weakly coupled regime with $\gamma / \gamma_c \approx 1.1$ and a strongly coupled regime with $\gamma / \gamma_c \approx 2.3$.

The weakly coupled regime is just above the critical value of atom-field coupling, and the phase-space energy shell of the saddle-point features no discernible chaos. The strongly coupled regime is well above the critical value of atom-field coupling and features widespread chaos in the saddle-point energy shell. As in the Dicke model, chaos in the semiclassical system is reflected in delocalized eigenstates in the quantum system: The diagonal entropy of a coherent state localized at the saddle point in the Dicke model is $S_D \approx 4.0$ for the strongly coupled regime and $S_D \approx 1.7$ for the weakly coupled regime [52].

The dynamics of FOTOCs for the Dicke model saddle point in the strongly and weakly coupled regimes are shown in Fig. 5. The FOTOCs for both regimes grow exponentially before fluctuating about their respective diagonal ensemble prediction. Just as in the driven-dimer case, there is a substantial quantitative difference in the diagonal ensemble predictions between the chaos-dominated and the saddle-dominated regime: $C_{DE} / C_{UDE} \approx 0.54$ in the case of strong coupling and $C_{DE} / C_{UDE} \approx 0.027$ for weak coupling where for convenience we have normalized by the value for the uniform diagonal ensemble. We note in passing that the diagonal ensemble results are similar to the respective microcanonical predictions for the FOTOCs, particularly, in the strongly coupled case. The microcanonical ensemble FOTOCs, calculated by averaging FOTOC predictions over eigenstates within one standard deviation of the saddle-point state energy, are $C_{ME} / C_{UDE} \approx 0.55$ (252 eigenstates) and $C_{ME} / C_{UDE} \approx 0.021$
FIG. 5. (a) FOTOCs for the Dicke-model saddle point for
strong (blue dotted line) and weak (red solid line) atom-field
 couplings, scaled by their respective diagonal ensemble predic-
tions; $C_{DE}/C_{UDE} \approx 0.54$ and $C_{DE}/C_{UDE} \approx 0.027$ for the strongly
and weakly coupled regimes, respectively. The strongly coupled
regime corresponds to $\Delta = 0.5$ ($\gamma/\gamma_c \approx 2.3$), and the weakly
coupled regime corresponds to $\Delta = 3$ ($\gamma/\gamma_c \approx 1.1$). In both regimes
$N = 200$, $\gamma = 0.66$, and $\omega = 0.5$.

(38 eigenstates) for the strongly and weakly coupled regimes,
respectively.

In contrast to the driven-dimer FOTOC, the qualitative
features of the long-time dynamics are not so clear-cut in
the Dicke model: The persistent oscillations in the saddle-
dominated FOTOC are not as regular as in the dimer case,
and the chaos-dominated FOTOC has persistent fluctuations
beyond the Ehrenfest time. The latter suggests that the chaotic
system does not thermalize completely over the relatively long
timescales we simulated.

Nevertheless, it is the case that, in the chaos-dominated
strongly coupled regime, the FOTOC exhibits relatively
smaller oscillations than in the weakly coupled regime as
predicted by its larger diagonal entropy.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We studied the long-time dynamics of the fidelity out-of-
time-order correlator and entanglement entropy for simple
systems of ultracold atoms in the presence of chaos and in the
vicinity of a saddle point. The main conclusion to be drawn is
that, although saddle points and semiclassical chaos both drive
exponential growth of OTOCs, these two causes of scrambling
can lead to very different long-time behavior. In particular,
we find that, without chaos, a state located on or very near
to the saddle point fails to thermalize. The Bose-Hubbard
dimer saddle-point FOTOC and entanglement entropy dyna-
nics were distinctly periodic with dominant frequencies
given by transition energies between eigenstates with high
overlap on the evolving state. In the Dicke model and in
the driven-dimer displaced slightly from the saddle point, the
FOTOC and entanglement entropy dynamics were aperiodic
but, nevertheless, failed to thermalize completely, exhibiting
persistent oscillations. In any case, the diagonal entropy of the
initial state correctly predicted the degree of thermalization
regardless of short-time FOTOC behavior, suggesting that it
is the degree of localization in the energy eigenbasis that
determines the long-time behavior.

In order to distinguish clearly the different character-
istics of the chaos-induced thermalization versus saddle-
point-driven scrambling, we have chosen regimes for the
Bose-Hubbard dimer where there is either a saddle point
or chaos in the semiclassical phase space but not both. For
regimes where both are present, such as in the Dicke model,
the OTOC growth may reflect an interplay of the two such
that the long-term thermal behavior arises from the chaotic
core of the system, but where the initial rapid growth in
correlations is determined by the saddle point.
[40] That the FOTOC corresponds to a variance var(\hat{S}_x) gives rise to one factor of 2 [11]. Additionally, the initial phase-space trajectories are orthogonal to the \langle \hat{S}_x \rangle axis. The change in \langle \hat{S}_x \rangle is, thus, a second-order effect, which gives rise to a second factor of 2.
[43] We note some regularly spaced “pulses” in the OTOC over intermediate timescales. The timing of this transient feature seems to correlate with the driving period.
[52] We quote un-normalized values since there is no upper bound to the diagonal entropy in the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space of the Dicke model.