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Selective separation of propyne/propadiene mixture to obtain pure propadiene (allene), an essential feedstock for organic synthesis, remains an unsolved challenge in the petrochemical industry, thanks mainly to their similar physicochemical properties. We herein introduce a convenient and energy-efficient physisorptive approach to achieve propyne/propadiene separation using microporous metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). Specifically, HKUST-1, one of the most widely studied high surface area MOFs that is available commercially, is found to exhibit benchmark performance (propadiene production up to 69.6 cm³/g, purity > 99.5%) as verified by dynamic breakthrough experiments. Experimental and modeling studies provide insight into the performance of HKUST-1 and indicate that it can be attributed to a synergy between thermodynamics and kinetics that arises from abundant open metal sites and cage-based molecular traps in HKUST-1.
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Propadiene (CH$_2$ = C = CH$_2$), the parent compound of allenes, is an important feedstock for organic synthesis. For instance, propadiene can serve as a chiral building block or as a useful reagent for cycloaddition reactions to form cyclobutane or trimethylene cyclohexane derivatives. The combination of propadiene with inexpensive and environmentally benign hydroxilanes can serve as a replacement for stoichiometric quantities of allmetal reagents, which are required in most enantioselective ketone allylation reactions. Propadiene dimer (unsaturated four-membered ring hydrocarbons) prepared from propadiene is also a useful and versatile starting material for valuable-added products. At present, pure propadiene is produced via chemical synthesis with low yields, high energy consumption, and undesirable waste by-products. A alternative approach to produce pure propadiene is urgently needed. Hydrocarbon cracking is among the largest-scale chemical processes in operation worldwide, converting over 500 million metric tons of feedstock per year to products such as olefins. Propadiene is a by-product that constitutes 0.3–0.6 mole percent (mol%) of the crude C3 fraction. Propadiene is often available as a mixture with other gases such as propyne, from which it is difficult to separate due to their similar molecular sizes (difference < 0.2 Å) and close boiling points (difference = 0.2 °C). Currently, there is still no effective pathway to purify propadiene, and propadiene-containing mixtures are generally processed as fuel to burn directly in industry which causes a lot of waste.

Physical separation using porous sorbents has been of interest for some time. In principle, sorbents can supercede traditional distillation separation methods and offer low energy consumption with easy operation and excellent safety. In the past two decades, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), also known as porous coordination polymers, have emerged as a promising class of physisorbents for the capture, separation, and purification of light hydrocarbon gas mixtures. Based on the concept of crystal engineering, MOFs can be fine-tuned in terms of pore size and pore chemistry in a manner that is infeasible for traditional porous sorbents such as activated carbon and zeolites. The separation of gas mixtures via physisorption depends on several factors, including polarity, size, and shape of gas molecules. In general, the greater the difference in these factors, the easier it is to achieve efficient separation. The physisorptive separation of industrially gas mixtures such as ethylene/ethane, ethylene/acetylene, propylene/propyne, and propane/propylene exemplify what seemed intractable challenges that have recently been addressed by microporous MOFs with suitable pore size and chemistry to enable selective binding. Propyne/propadiene separation, however, remains unresolved. Whereas thermodynamically driven separation (Fig. 2b) has proven effective for other separations, it is mitigated here by the almost identical polarizability of propyne vs. propadiene (55.5 × 10$^{-25}$ cm$^3$ vs. 56.9 × 10$^{-25}$ cm$^3$). These critical separation requirements cause difficulty for separation propylene/propadiene mixtures.

Here, we address the challenge of propyne/propadiene separation and report a thermodynamic-kinetic dual-drive separation strategy (Fig. 2d) using microporous MOF adsorbents with dense open metal sites (OMSs) and cage-based molecule traps which would offer the needed performance driven by two factors: (i) The C≡C moiety in propyne offers distinct binding to OMSs; (ii) The pore shapes of adsorbents can be adjusted to control gas diffusion to enhance the dynamic screening effect. Our study reveals that microporous MOFs with both a high-density of OMSs and restricted cage-based molecule traps, as exemplified by HKUST-1 and MOF-505, exhibit the best separation performance by comprehensive comparison of a range of candidate sorbent. Importantly, HKUST-1 is one of the few MOFs that has been manufactured at an industrial scale with current production methodology offering a space-time yield of 400,000 kg m$^{-3}$ day$^{-1}$.

### Results and discussion

#### Structure and basic characterizations
We selected a sorbent library comprising traditional porous materials (activated carbon and zeolites) and three types of MOFs (14 different sorbents) classified as follows: (I) MOFs with OMSs, including HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, NKMOF-1-Ni, MIL-100-Cr, MIL-100-Fe and MIL-101-Cr; (II) Hybrid ultramicroporous MOFs with strong binding sites (e.g., SiF$_2^-$ and NbOF$_2^-$), including SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, SIFSIX-3-Ni, UTSA-200 (SIFSIX-14-Cu-i) and ZU-62; (III) MOFs without strong binding sites, including UiO-66,
UiO-67, and ZIF-8 (Supplementary Table 1 for detailed structural parameters). Screening of this library was conducted by dynamic breakthrough tests to find the best performing candidates for propyne/propadiene separation. In addition, the equilibrium adsorption isotherms, simulated gas sorption studies, and kinetic adsorption behavior of the library were evaluated (Fig. 3). Activated carbon and zeolites were obtained via commercial sources. All MOFs samples in this study were prepared according to the previous procedures reported in the literature or using appropriately modified procedures. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), BET surface area measurement, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) data (Supplementary Figs. 1–6) confirmed that all MOFs possessed high crystallinity and the expected sorption parameters.

**The dynamic actual breakthrough experiment.** Dynamic breakthrough experiments are the most direct and reliable way to evaluate sorption separation performance. Breakthrough experiments of propyne/propadiene mixtures that simulate vacuum swing adsorption processes were conducted on a custom-built separation apparatus in which propyne/propadiene (50/50, v/v) mixtures were used as feed gas (Supplementary Fig. 7). The dynamic breakthrough was performed using a packed column of the activated sorbent under a 2.0 mL/min flow of propyne/propadiene (50/50, v/v) at 298 K. Experimental results are presented in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 8. The breakthrough results revealed no separation for activated carbon and zeolites whereas some MOFs with OMSs were effective. As revealed by Fig. 4, propadiene was eluted through the adsorption bed while propyne was retained, affording >99.5% pure propadiene. The retention time of propyne after breakthrough was 87 min/g for HKUST-1 and 51 min/g for MOF-505. Although Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni, other MOFs with a high-density of OMSs, also exhibited separation, retention times were only 15 and 6 min/g (propadiene purity > 99.5%, Fig. 4). Mesoporous MOFs with OMSs (MIL-100-Cr, MIL-100-Fe and MIL-101-Cr) showed no separation effect (Supplementary Fig. 8a–c). Type-II MOFs with
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**Fig. 2 Illustration of adsorption separation mechanisms in physisorbents.** a Molecular sieving. b Thermodynamically driven separation. c Kinetically driven mechanism. d Thermodynamic-kinetic dual-driving mechanism.
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**Fig. 3 Illustration of the assay package used herein for determining propyne/propadiene separation performance.**
strong functional sites, including SIFSIX-2-Cu-i, SIFSIX-3-Ni, UTSA-200, and ZU-62, produced propadiene with lower purity (<95%, Supplementary Fig. 8d–g). Type-III MOFs without strong binding sites (UIO-66, UIO-67, and ZIF-8) were ineffective (Supplementary Fig. 8h–i). Overall, the hierarchy of separation performance was as follows: type-I MOFs with OMSs > type-II MOFs with strong functional sites > type-III MOFs without strong binding sites. The hierarchy of retention time for MOFs with OMSs was determined to be HKUST-1 > MOF-505 > MOF-74 > NKMOF-1-Ni > MIL-100-Cr, MIL-100-Fe, and MIL-101-Cr. HKUST-1 and MOF-505 possessed the best separation performance (Fig. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 8). Propadiene production of HKUST-1 and MOF-505 from the outlet effluent for a given cycle was calculated to be 69.6 and 43.9 cm³/g, far exceeding that of HKUST-1 and MOF-505 from the outlet effluent for a given cycle. As shown in Fig. 4, Type-I microporous MOFs with OMSs exhibited almost overlapping adsorption isotherms for propyne and propadiene from 0.1 to 1 bar, they exhibited preferential adsorption for propyne at 0.1 bar. However, they did not show strong separation performance in breakthrough experiments. As shown in Fig. 5, Type-I microporous MOFs with OMSs adsorbed propyne over propadiene throughout the pressure range tested, correlating with the breakthrough experiments detailed above (Fig. 4).

Isosteric heat of adsorption (Q_st) values reflect the affinity of a sorbent for a sorbate and are an indicator of thermodynamically driven separation. Type-I microporous MOFs with OMSs could be classified into two categories: (i) HKUST-1 and MOF-505 with cage-based molecule trap structure (Supplementary Fig. 11); (ii) Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni with the regular one-dimensional channel. Their Q_st values were calculated using the Clausius–Clapeyron equation through fitting the adsorption isotherm using dual-site Langmuir (DSL) model and dual-site Langmuir–Freundlich (DSL) model equation (Supplementary Figs. 12–15). The initial Q_st values for propyne and propadiene for HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, and NKMOF-1-Ni were 42 and 40, 78 and 58, 53 and 46, 65 and 54 kJ mol⁻¹, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 16). Q_st towards propyne was higher than that of propadiene for all optimized MOFs with OMSs. Massively Parallel MC (MPMC) theoretical calculations also indicated that the binding affinity for propyne was stronger than that for propadiene.

Ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) selectivity can be used to estimate thermodynamic separation performance. The selectivity of propyne/propadiene mixture (gas velocity: 2.0 mL/min).
of microporous MOFs with OMSs was calculated using IAST through fitting gas isotherm at 298 K by the DSL and DSLF equation (Supplementary Figs. 12–15). Considering the context of industrial separations, we calculated based on a propyne/propadiene (50/50, v/v) mixture at 298 K (Fig. 6a). NKMOF-1-Ni exhibited the highest selectivity of 6.0 with a selectivity > 4.8 across the pressure range. The selectivities of HKUST-1 and Mg-MOF-74 were slightly lower than NKMOF-1-Ni in the low-pressure area (<0.01 bar) and tended to be consistent with each other under the remaining pressure range (0.01–1 bar). These selectivity results indicate that microporous MOFs with OMSs materials should possess good separation performance.

In order to further evaluate separation performance, transient breakthrough simulations were conducted for propyne/propadiene feed mixtures (50/50, v/v) at 298 K and 1 bar using the methodology described in earlier publications. Fig. 3b showed the outlet concentrations of propyne exiting in the fixed bed of HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, and NKMOF-1-Ni as a function of the dimensionless time, τ, for propyne/propadiene mixture. Although the IAST selectivity of NKMOF-1-Ni was the highest, the τ break value for NKMOF-1-Ni was not the highest, possibly attributing to its lowest uptake capacity for propyne. The simulated dynamic breakthrough results are related to adsorption selectivity, uptake capacity, and crystal density, etc. The hierarchy of the τ break values in the MOFs with OMSs is HKUST-1 > Mg-MOF-74 > MOF-505 > NKMOF-1-Ni. HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, and NKMOF-1-Ni demonstrated efficient and similar separation performance for propyne/propadiene (50/50, v/v) mixture.

Molecular simulation. For the purpose of gaining insight into the nature of the binding sites and energetics for propyne and
propadiene in microporous MOFs with OMSs, we conducted classical Monte Carlo (MC) simulations (details are provided in Supplementary Notes 5). These simulations revealed that propyne and propadiene adsorb at two main binding sites in HKUST-1: (a) the Cu OMSs and (2) between tetrahedral cages. These are denoted as sites I and II, respectively (Figs. 7a–d). Calculation of the averaged classical potential energy for propyne and propadiene adsorbed at the site I in HKUST-1 afforded values of \(-54.15\) and \(-50.74\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Supplementary Table 6). Notably, there are strong electrostatic and polarizable interactions between the positively charged Cu\(^{2+}\) ions of the copper paddlewheels and the unsaturated C atoms of the adsorbate molecules. The region between the tetrahedral cages is also favorable for propyne and propadiene, mainly due to strong \(\pi-\pi\) interactions between the aromatic rings of BTC linkers and the adsorbates. The averaged classical potential energy for propyne and propadiene adsorbed at site II in HKUST-1 were calculated to be \(-47.37\) and \(-48.85\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Supplementary Table 6). The potential energy for propadiene at site II is slightly higher than that for propyne, presumably because it can make more contacts with the framework within this region due to having two \(\pi\) bonds positioned over three atoms (compared to two \(\pi\) bonds centered on two atoms in propyne). In general, the calculated potential energies for propyne and propadiene about both sites in HKUST-1 are comparable in magnitude to the \(Q_d\) values for the respective adsorbates (Supplementary Fig. 16a). Figure 7e, f shows the most favorable binding site for propyne and propadiene, respectively, in MOF-505 as determined through classical MC simulations. As with HKUST-1, both adsorbates bind to Cu\(^{2+}\) ions of the [\(\text{Cu}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_4\)] units. The averaged classical potential energies for propyne and propadiene about the OMSs were calculated to be \(-89.17\) and \(-43.19\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), respectively (Supplementary Table 6). These values are comparable to the initial \(Q_s\) values calculated for MOF-505 (Supplementary Fig. 16b). The potential energy for propyne is much greater than that for propadiene presumably because its longer length (6.51 vs. 6.13 Å, Fig. 1b) allows for simultaneous interaction between the Cu\(^{2+}\) ions of adjacent copper paddlewheels. In Mg-MOF-74, the exposed Mg\(^{2+}\) ions are preferential binding sites for propyne and propadiene (Fig. 7g, h). Similar to the Cu\(^{2+}\) binding sites in HKUST-1 and MOF-505, favorable interactions occur between positively charged Mg\(^{2+}\) ions and the negatively charged unsaturated C atoms of the adsorbate molecules. The averaged classical potential energy for propyne localized at this site is greater than that for propadiene (\(-78.46\) and \(-60.62\) kJ mol\(^{-1}\), Supplementary Table 6). The calculated potential energy difference between propyne and propadiene in Mg-MOF-74 is similar to the difference in the initial \(Q_d\) values for both adsorbates (Supplementary Fig. 16c). It is known from our previous reports that NKMOF-1-Ni has a stronger affinity for propyne than propadiene\(^{46}\). Moreover, compared with HKUST-1 and MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni have similar simulated breakthrough separation effects (Fig. 6b). But the results of the dynamic breakthrough experiments (Fig. 4) were less than satisfactory as the retained time of Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni is much less than that of HKUST-1 and MOF-505. These results suggest that co-adsorption or lack thereof may play a key role in the separation process.

**Kinetic adsorption study.** Considering the difference between the actual and the simulated breakthrough experiments, we measured the kinetic adsorption behavior of HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, and NKMOF-1-Ni (Fig. 8 and Supplementary Fig. S17). The adsorption rates of propyne in HKUST-1 and MOF-505, which comprised OMSs and microporous cage-based molecule trap structures, were observed to be faster than that of propadiene (Fig. 8a, b). However, for Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni, which comprised OMSs and smooth one-dimensional channels, the kinetic adsorption curves of propyne and propadiene almost overlapped and crossed without noticeable selection difference (Supplementary Fig. 17a, b). The dynamic adsorption differences may be linked to cage-based molecule traps. HKUST-1 and MOF-505 are comprised of two kinds of cages with different sizes and shapes (Supplementary Fig. 11). In this way, the diffusion rate of different gas molecules in the process of adsorption will be affected by the cage windows, thus explaining...
the diffusion rate of propyne vs. propadiene. For Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni, which are comprised of open one-dimensional channels, gas molecules can freely diffuse in the channels. Moreover, whereas both propyne and propadiene strongly with OMSs in the channels of Mg-MOF-74 and NKMOF-1-Ni, their adsorption kinetics were similar. HKUST-1 and MOF-505 both exhibited benchmark separation performance for propyne/propadiene thanks to the synergy between kinetics and thermodynamics.

In summary, our study emphasizes the importance of both kinetics and thermodynamics with respect to the separation performance of propadiene vs. propyne. Dynamic gas mixture breakthrough experiments revealed that MOFs with OMSs and cage-based molecule traps exhibited such synergy to afford benchmark separation performance (propadiene production up to 69.6 cm$^3$/g, purity > 99.5%) whereas traditional porous materials such as activated carbon and zeolites showed no separation effect. Our study offers a design principle for sorbent selection along with a screening protocol that might be broadly applied for sorbent evaluation.

**Methods**

**Preparation of MOF materials and characterization.** All samples were synthesized according to Supplementary Method 2. PXRD test was conducted using microcrystalline samples on a Rigaku Ultima IV diffractometer (40 kV, 40 mA, Cu Kα, 2θ = 1.5418 Å). The measured parameter included a scan speed of 2 (°)/min, a step size of 0.02 (°). All MOFs samples were tested by ASAP 2020 PLUS Analyzer (Micromeritics) with Dewar (liquid N$_2$) homemade (°)/min, a step size of 0.02 (°). All MOFs samples were tested by ASAP 2020 PLUS Analyzer (Micromeritics) with Dewar (liquid N$_2$) and a homemade intelligent temperature control system (0–80 °C). The SEM images were obtained on HITACHI SU3500.

**Breakthrough experiment.** The breakthrough experiments were performed at a flow rate of 2 mL/min (298 K, 1.01 bar) for the propyne/propadiene (50/50, v/v) mixture. The MOF powder was packed into Φ 4 x 150 mm stainless steel column and activated by blowing pure helium (He). The column stacking density and column voidage of all samples tested were controlled in a similar condition. The breakthrough set-up consisted of two same fixed-bed stainless steel columns. One was used as a test sample and the other as a blank control to stabilize the gas flow. Both columns were housed in a temperature control system. The flow rates of all gases were regulated by mass flow controllers. The composition of the efflux gas of the test sample column was monitored by gas chromatography (Shimadzu, GC 2030, FID-Flame Ionization Detector, detection limit 100 ppb).

The propadiene productivity ($q$) was calculated by integration of the breakthrough curves $f(t)$.

$$
q = C_{\text{propadiene}} \times \left( \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t) \, dt \right)
$$

**Kinetic adsorption isotherm test.** The kinetic adsorption behavior of HKUST-1, MOF-505, Mg-MOF-74, and NKMOF-1-Ni was performed on thermodissociation analysis Instruments (Q50). During the test, we controlled the gas flow rate of propyne and propadiene to be 20 cm$^3$/min. The data was collected in the High-Resolution Dynamic mode with a sensitivity of 1.0, a resolution of 4.0, and the weight changes during propyne and propadiene gas adsorption step were monitored under the isothermal condition at 25 °C (298 K).

**Q$_{\text{sat}}$, IAST and transient breakthrough simulation calculation.** The $Q_{\text{sat}}$ was determined from the unary isotherm by use of the Clausius–Clapeyron equation. IAST calculations were carried out for the following mixture 50/50 propyne/propadiene mixture at 298 K. Transient breakthrough simulations were carried out for 50/50 propyne/propadiene feed mixture at 298 K and 100 kPa.
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**Fig. 8 Gravimetric propyne and propadiene sorption kinetics at 298 K.** a HKUST-1. b MOF-505.


Acknowledgements

This work is supported by financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21971126), Science Foundation Ireland (SFI Awards 13/RP/B2549 and 16/IA/4624), National Science Foundation (Award No. DMR-1607989), the Major Research Instrumentation Program (Award No. CHE-1531590), XSEDE grant (No. TG-DMR090028), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2020YFJ0967300). We would like to thank Dr. Shanelle Suepaul for her assistance with making pictures and Ananya Jain for preliminary efforts to parametrize MOF-505 for simulations.

Author contributions

Z.Z. and Y.-L.P conceived the project. Z.Z and M.Z. supervised the project. Y.-L.P designed the experiments. Y.-L.P and T.W performed the synthetic experimental work. Y.-L.P. performed the majority of the structural characterization and data analysis. Y.-L.P. and T.W. collected and analyzed gas sorption data. K.A.F., R.K., T.P., B.S., and W.L. did the modeling study. Y.-L.P., Z.Z. and M.Z. drafted the paper. C.I., C.D., Y.Z., Y.C., and P.C. edited the paper. All authors discussed and revised the paper.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

**Supplementary information** The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-25980-y.

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Zhenjie Zhang.

**Peer review information** *Nature Communications* thanks Feng Luo and the other anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

**Reprints and permission information** is available at [http://www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints).

**Publisher’s note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

---

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

© The Author(s) 2021