

Supplementary Information: Emergence of protests during the COVID-19 pandemic: quantitative models to explore the contributions of societal conditions

Koen van der Zwet¹²³⁴ Ana I. Barros²³⁵ Tom M. van Engers²⁶ Peter M.A. Sloot²⁷⁸

S1 Descriptive statistics

Variable	Statistic				
	Count	Mean	Std	Min	Max
Excess deaths (/100k citizens)	7726	0.27	0.52	-0.84	3.52
Population (100k)	7726	364.41	642.90	6.26	3283.01
Protest	7726	6.36	23.02	0.00	725.00
Riot	7726	0.42	2.18	0.00	96.00
Repression	7726	0.00	0.04	0.00	2.00
Protest COVID-19	7726	1.59	5.58	0.00	134.00
Riot COVID-19	7726	0.09	0.67	0.00	22.00
Repression COVID-19	7726	0.00	0.02	0.00	1.00
Unemployment	7726	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.21
GDP/capita (/10k)	7726	4.76	1.96	1.65	12.43
Factionalized elites	7726	0.38	0.18	0.11	0.76
Group grievance	7726	0.45	0.19	0.09	0.86
Economic inequality	7726	0.25	0.14	0.05	0.65
State legitimacy	7726	0.27	0.21	0.05	0.72
Containment measures	7726	0.53	0.17	0.00	0.82
Economic support	7726	0.59	0.30	0.00	1.00
Health care support	7726	0.52	0.17	0.00	0.84
Stringency index	7726	0.55	0.22	0.00	0.94
Public information campaign	7726	0.95	0.20	0.00	1.00
Retail change	7726	-0.23	0.26	-0.96	0.54
Grocery change	7726	-0.06	0.19	-0.94	1.62
Parks change	7726	0.41	0.75	-0.91	5.17
Transit stations change	7726	-0.29	0.22	-0.92	0.31
Workplaces change	7726	-0.25	0.20	-0.92	0.80
Residential change	7726	0.08	0.09	-0.14	0.46
Civil liberties	7726	0.89	0.10	0.58	1.00
Political rights	7726	0.91	0.09	0.68	1.00
Youth bulge	7726	0.17	0.03	0.14	0.26
Urbanization	7726	0.77	0.11	0.54	0.98

Table S1: Descriptive statistics for data proxies

¹Computational Science Lab, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Institute for Advanced Study, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam 1012 GC, The Netherlands

³TNO Defense, Safety and Security, The Hague, The Netherlands

⁵Police Academy of the Netherlands, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands

⁶Leibniz Institute, University of Amsterdam/TNO, The Netherlands

⁷National Center for Cognitive Research, ITMO University, St. Petersburg, Russian Federation

⁸Leibniz Institute, University of Amsterdam/TNO, The Netherlands

⁴corresponding author, email: k.vanderzwet@uva.nl

S2 Distribution of COVID-19 related protests per day




Figure S1: Distribution of protest per day

S3 ZINB models for fast variables

Four zero-inflated negative binomial regression models with the dependent variable of daily number of protests events related to COVID-19. The model variables are accompanied by regression coefficients with according significance, and standard error terms between parentheses. The variables are a rolling average of a week (r). All models include standard intercept and error terms. Furthermore all models include the excess deaths variable to measure the severity of the pandemic. Models are estimated using iterative maximum-likelihood-estimation (MLE). The model accuracy is evaluated with the Akaike information criterion (AIC) score.

MODEL:	<i>Dependent variable: Protest covid</i>			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Grievances	Opportunity	Mobilization	Optimized
Intercept	-0.460*** (0.085)	-1.393*** (0.242)	0.267*** (0.051)	-0.900*** (0.219)
Excess deaths per 100k r7	0.677*** (0.099)	0.308** (0.124)	0.764*** (0.103)	0.325*** (0.107)
Containment measures r7		6.141*** (0.565)		5.032*** (0.523)
Economic support r7		-2.363*** (0.217)		-2.661*** (0.253)
Residential change r7		-14.076*** (1.972)		-11.589*** (1.172)
Retail change r7		-0.433 (0.542)		
Repression covid r7			6.759 (4.180)	
Unemployment	8.874*** (0.944)			6.205*** (1.172)
Workplaces change r7		-4.874*** (0.585)		-3.847*** (0.581)
inflate Containment measures r7		-15.854*** (0.964)		-16.360*** (0.905)
inflate Economic support r7		2.752*** (0.406)		3.117*** (0.406)
inflate Excess deaths per 100k r7	-23.491*** (3.445)	0.762*** (0.203)	-17.205*** (2.732)	0.662*** (0.184)
inflate Intercept	-2.328*** (0.469)	4.281*** (0.359)	-3.008*** (0.479)	5.563*** (0.344)
inflate Residential change r7		-21.201*** (4.061)		-17.369*** (2.207)
inflate Retail change r7		-1.340 (1.029)		
inflate Suppression covid r7			0.490 (125.424)	
inflate Unemployment	-20.378*** (6.614)			-15.784*** (2.315)
inflate Workplaces change r7		-13.210*** (1.162)		-13.330*** (1.055)
alpha	6.396*** (0.210)	2.952*** (0.155)	6.740*** (0.226)	2.801*** (0.136)
Observations	6,238	6,111	6,208	6,177
Log Likelihood	-7843.3	-7345.1	-7918.4	-7312.3
AIC	15692.60	14824.11	15842.83	14638.69

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table S2: ZINB models for fast variables

S4 Comparison between ZINB and NBM

Comparison of zero-inflated negative binomial regression model with a regular negative binomial regression model based on AIC value. Both model 5 and 6 are based on the same variables as model 4. The better accuracy of model 5 points to different processes that trigger protests related to COVID-19 and variables that determine the severity of the protests.

	<i>Dependent variable: Protest covid</i>	
	(5)	(6)
Intercept	-0.945*** (0.232)	-4.656*** (0.172)
Excess deaths per 100k r7	0.439*** (0.102)	-0.207*** (0.072)
Containment measures r7	5.354*** (0.568)	12.969*** (0.433)
Economic support r7	-3.124*** (0.270)	-3.861*** (0.177)
Residential change r7	-13.476*** (1.151)	-0.598 (0.899)
Unemployment	8.739*** (1.341)	10.967*** (0.844)
Workplaces change r7	-3.993*** (0.647)	3.487*** (0.437)
inflate Containment measures r7	-16.202*** (0.942)	
inflate Economic support r7	2.355*** (0.526)	
inflate Excess deaths per 100k r7	1.139*** (0.228)	
inflate Intercept	5.660*** (0.357)	
inflate Residential change r7	-24.001*** (3.467)	
inflate Unemployment	-13.122*** (2.633)	
inflate Workplaces change r7	-14.391*** (1.136)	
alpha	2.935*** (0.152)	
Observations	6,194	6,231
Log Likelihood	-7220.7	-7521.8
AIC	14555.4	15057.5

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table S3: Comparison between ZINB and NBM

S5 NBM models for slow variables

Four negative binomial regression models with the dependent variable of daily number of protests events related to COVID-19. The model results of the three models are specified for variables accompanied by regression coefficients with according significance, and standard error terms between parentheses. The models include variables for demographics and economic factors, failed state index, freedom house index, all corrected for population. The models are optimized using Iteratively reweighted least squares (IRLS).

<i>Dependent variable: Protest covid</i>				
MODEL:	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	Grievances	Opportunity	Mobilization	Optimized
Intercept	-1.751*** (0.075)	-5.737*** (0.391)	-0.696*** (0.190)	-2.088*** (0.517)
Population 100k	0.002*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)
Civil liberties		-6.023*** (0.671)		
Group Grievance	-0.276* (0.147)			
Political rights		10.180*** (0.779)		1.798*** (0.444)
State Legitimacy		2.162*** (0.157)		1.909*** (0.180)
Unemployment	9.978*** (0.595)			2.587*** (0.730)
Urbanization			1.596*** (0.247)	
Youth bulge			-9.439*** (0.913)	-8.453*** (0.974)
Observations	6,242	6,155	6,205	6,203
Log Likelihood	-7535.2	-7275.8	-7733.9	-6916.6
AIC	15080.49	14563.64	15477.79	13947.12

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table S4: NBM models for slow variables

S6 Optimization

Our SDM model inherits six parameters that enable to calibrate the behaviour of the model to our data set. In the optimization of our model we search for optimal values for these parameters for a specific country. We do so by fitting the model to the data about the number of protests in that particular country. In order to smoothen the burstiness effect of the number protests, we fit the model to a rolling average of the protests over 7 days. This also allows to correct the effect for specific weekdays (e.g. more protests on Saturday), which is not further investigated.

In the SDM, the number of is a function of the number of activists. The number of citizens, potential activists, and activists are modelled as 'stocks' of persons, and are a function of difference equations. The optimization parameters determine the velocity and thresholds of the transitions of persons towards one state to another. In order to optimize the model, we compare the outcome of our model with the number of protests in a given country. For the optimization we create a vector of the six optimization parameters and create a payoff function using equation 10, which computes the number of protests in the SDM. A parameter space is set, based on the character of the equations (e.g. not more than 100% of the population can engage in the protests). This configuration for the first optimization step is given in Table 5.

The optimization of the estimated parameters follows a two-step procedure. In the first step, the Powell direction search method is implemented. This method minimizes the payoff function using a bi-directional search heuristic¹. The method escapes from some local optima as it restarts the optimization process from various random points in the feasible parameter space. The Powell method is simple as it uses linear searches along the search vectors. The method is runs 100 iterations, in order to account for the possibility of multiple local optima. The search algorithm identified 3 to 6 local optima. The unique local peaks of the search method are stored for the next step. In the second step, a Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method is implemented in order to global optimization and better quantify uncertainty. In order to minimize the influence of the curse of dimensionality, the parameter values of the local optima of step one are used for the MCMC. The MCMC applies differential evolution and randomized subspace sampling to simulate the distribution of the log likelihood payoff surface². The algorithm performs a random walk on the likelihood surface of the payoff function in order to find minimum values. The Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSFR) is used to assess the convergence of the model. The PSFR should be close to 1, and not exceed 1.2. Lastly, the optimizing parameters are varied for 50% under and above the identified optimized value, whilst keeping other parameters constant. This enables to test the sensitivity of the model.

Variable	Min	Max
Tension Threshold	0	1
Tension Velocity	0	50
Pressure Velocity	0	1
Mobilization Threshold	0	1
Mobilization Tendency	0	2
Fatigue Velocity	0	10

Table S5: Parameter space for Powell Search Method

Country	Tension Threshold	Tension Velocity	Pressure Velocity	Mobilization Threshold	Mobilization Tendency	Fatigue Velocity	PSFR
Spain	0.0202	10.15	0.00008	0.015	1.079	0.7521	1.197
USA	0.1449	49.86	0.05870	0.312	1.977	0.0434	2.733
Italy	0.0108	15.74	0.00004	0.215	0.971	0.5059	2.455
the Netherlands	0.0131	12.10	0.00003	0.012	1.107	0.4375	1.159

Table S6: Optimization results for each country

¹Vetterling, William T., et al. Numerical recipes: example book C. Cambridge University Press, 1992.

²Vrugt, Jasper A., et al. "Accelerating Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation by differential evolution with self-adaptive randomized subspace sampling." International journal of nonlinear sciences and numerical simulation 10.3 (2009): 273-290.

S7 Optimization plot




Figure S2: Fit of the model to the data for the Netherlands. Red line is a 7 day rolling average for the actual number of protests, the blue line is the simulated data for the optimized parameter setting.

S8 Sensitivity plot




Figure S3: Sensitivity plot of the model calibrated to data of the Netherlands (red) with confidence intervals; 50% (yellow), 75% (green), 95% (blue), 100% (grey).