



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

European governments should align medicines pricing practices with global transparency norms and legal principles

Perehudoff, K.

DOI

[10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100375](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100375)

Publication date

2022

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Lancet Regional Health Europe

License

CC BY-NC-ND

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Perehudoff, K. (2022). European governments should align medicines pricing practices with global transparency norms and legal principles. *Lancet Regional Health Europe*, 16, Article 100375. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100375>

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (<https://dare.uva.nl>)



European governments should align medicines pricing practices with global transparency norms and legal principles

Katrina Perehudoff^{a,b,c,d,e,f,*}

^aLaw Centre for Health & Life, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, Amsterdam 1018 WV, the Netherlands

^bMedicines Law & Policy, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^cAmsterdam Centre for European Law and Governance, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, Amsterdam 1018 WV, the Netherlands

^dAmsterdam Centre for European Studies, University of Amsterdam, the Netherlands

^eAmsterdam Institute for Global Health and Development, Paasheuvelweg 25, Amsterdam 1105 BP, the Netherlands

^fWHO Collaborating Centre for Governance, Accountability, and Transparency in the Pharmaceutical Sector, University of Toronto, Canada

Secret medicines prices are hotly debated as European health systems struggle to finance medicines for patients. Proponents of secrecy generally argue that confidential purchase prices allow suppliers (usually pharmaceutical companies) to grant discounts and rebates that benefit buyers (i.e. governments or publicly-funded bodies) and ultimately, patients. When there are one or few suppliers, or there is a supply shortage, this model relies on governments' willingness to pay and allows companies to determine appropriate discounts and rebates. This model can result in inequitable price setting and the risk of higher medicines prices.^{1,2} For example, the leaked purchase prices of the Oxford-AstraZeneca Covid-19 vaccine revealed that South Africa paid more than double than the European Union (EU) for the same product.³

Advocates of transparency argue that openness about a medicine's price components (ex. research and development (R&D) costs, production costs, discounts and rebates etc.) is essential to know whether the price is 'fair' to the seller *and* the buyer.⁴ This Comment highlights the normative basis for transparency, and recent initiatives in Europe supporting increased transparency of medicines and medical product price components. Aligning governments' transparency practices with their commitments and legal principles is urgent as cross-country collaborations (for medicines information sharing, and joint assessment and price negotiation) take shape in Europe, and as the European Commission expands its role in centralized medicines procurement.⁵

*Correspondence to: Law Centre for Health & Life, Amsterdam Law School, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, Amsterdam 1018 WV, the Netherlands.

E-mail address: katrina.perehudoff@gmail.com

© 2022 The Author. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Transparency of medicines prices is an emerging global norm and related to human rights

Greater transparency of pharmaceutical markets is an emerging global norm. In 2019, 194 Member States of the WHO adopted the 'Transparency Resolution' (WHA resolution 72.8) that urges Member States to take steps to publicly share information on the net prices of health products (official/list prices less rebates and discounts), and calls on Member States to work collaboratively to improve the reporting by suppliers of sales revenue, prices, units sold, marketing costs, investments and subsidies.⁶ Although not legally binding, this Resolution illustrates a high level of commitment and support from all Member States to achieve the objectives it sets.

Transparency of medicines prices is also related to the human right to access public documents, enshrined in international law (ex. International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, among others) that is binding on 173 states, and in national law. Recent cases in Spain (Kymriah) and Colombia (Covid-19 vaccines) illustrate how the right to access public documents has been applied to medicines purchase prices, criteria, and procurement contracts.⁷ A decision by the Spanish Council of Transparency and Good Governance (Resolution 079/2019) highlighted the societal and legal importance of knowing how public powers make decisions that affect public health and its financing. The Council underscored that the right to access to public information has 'intrinsic value to the concept of democracy'.

Room for more European governments to implement transparency commitments

A recent WHO report shows that there is still scope for other European governments to undertake legal and policy measures to create a supportive environment for price and cost disclosure.⁸ Two European governments (France, Italy) have adopted laws requiring

The Lancet Regional Health - Europe
2022;16: 100375

Published online 21 April 2022

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanepe.2022.100375>

pharmaceutical manufacturers to disclose the public R&D investments in new medicines seeking reimbursement.⁸ Fifteen national governments in the WHO European Region publish the various types of medicines prices in publicly accessible online registries; these prices usually do not reveal the net price, except in Switzerland.⁸ Switzerland is one of the last high-income countries to publish the rebates it negotiates on selected medicines, offering an under-explored ‘laboratory’ to study the dynamics of discounting and disclosure on the pharmaceutical market.⁹ This laboratory is threatened by proposed legal reforms that would legitimize confidential rebates as part of federal health insurance.⁹

Trust is critical for price sharing initiatives involving multiple partners

As government action on price transparency remains wanting, some buyers have taken matters into their own hands. The Dutch Hospital Benchmark Initiative is a demand-side clearing house of net purchase prices of medical products created by hospitals, for hospitals.¹⁰ Most of the price information provided to the clearing house is reasonably thought to be protected by confidentiality clauses that prevent buyers from sharing information. Nevertheless, 50% of Dutch hospitals voluntarily shared this price information with the clearing house. Why? One, the perceived power imbalance between ‘small’ hospital purchasers and large suppliers, and a lack of comparative information available to the former, motivated buyers. Two, the assurance that the buyers providing information would be anonymized, and that access would be restricted to other buyers on a reciprocal basis, was critical for buyers’ trust. In some cases, non-disclosure agreements were signed with a few participating hospitals. Overall, the Dutch Hospital Benchmark Initiative illustrates that buyers’ motivation and trust in the clearinghouse curators appears to be critical for information sharing. Despite what is commonly thought, confidentiality clauses in purchase contracts may not be a definitive factor for sharing net product prices. It would be in the spirit of the WHA Transparency Resolution for public purchasers not to agree to confidentiality clauses in these procurement contracts.

Declaration of interests

KP reports grants from Unitaid and the Flemish Inter-university Council – Belgian Department of

Development Cooperation. KP is a non-remunerated chair of the European members association of Health Action International, and a non-remunerated advisory board member of the Pharmaceutical Accountability Foundation.

Acknowledgements

The author acknowledges Mr. Patrick Durisch (Public Eye), Prof. dr. Mark Van Houdenhoven (Radboud Universiteit/Sint Maartenskliniek), Dr. Anouk den Ambtman (Sint Maartenskliniek) and two anonymous reviewers. A version of this Comment was presented at the November 2021 OECD Roundtable on pharmaceutical price transparency.

References

- 1 Gamba S, Pertile P, Vogler S. The impact of managed entry agreements on pharmaceutical prices. *Health Econ.* 2020;29:47–62.
- 2 Bonetti A, Giuliani J. Implications of drugs with rebate in Europe. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* 2021;3:1–2.
- 3 Sullivan H. South Africa paying more than double EU price for Oxford vaccine. *Guard.* 2021. <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/jan/22/south-africa-paying-more-than-double-eu-price-for-oxford-astrazeneca-vaccine>.
- 4 Moon S, Mariat S, Kamae I, Pedersen HB. Defining the concept of fair pricing for medicines. *BMJ.* 2020;368:l4726. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4726>.
- 5 Boulet P, ‘t Hoen E, Perekhodoff K, Mara K, Tan E. *Advanced Purchase Agreements for Covid-19 Vaccines: Analysis and Comments*. Brussels: The Left in the European Parliament; 2021 <https://left.eu/content/uploads/2021/07/Advanced-purchase-agreements-1.pdf>.
- 6 World Health Assembly. *Improving the Transparency of Markets for Medicines, Vaccines, and Other Health Products*. Geneva: World Health Assembly; 2019. https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA72/A72_R8-en.pdf.
- 7 Fish Hodgson T, Quintero MR, Quijano-Evans A. *Maximum Disclosure: Secrecy in COVID-19 Vaccine Contracts and Other Shortcomings of Colombia’s Vaccine Rollout*. Geneva: International Commission of Jurists; 2021. <https://www.icj.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/08/Columbia-Vaccine-Briefing-Paper-ENG-2021.pdf>.
- 8 Perekhodoff K, Mara K, ‘t Hoen E. *What is the Evidence on Legal Measures to Improve the Transparency of Markets for Medicines, Vaccines and Other Health Products (World Health Assembly resolution WHA72.8)?* Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe; 2021. August (Health Evidence Network (HEN) synthesis report 73). <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/342474/97892289055789-eng.pdf>.
- 9 Carl DL, Vokinger KN. Patients’ access to drugs with rebates in Switzerland—Empirical analysis and policy implications for drug pricing in Europe. *Lancet Reg Health Eur.* 2021;3:100050.
- 10 Den Ambtman A, Knoben J, van den Hurk D, Van Houdenhoven M. Analysing actual prices of medical products: a cross-sectional survey of Dutch hospitals. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(2):e035174. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035174>.