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### Table S1. Characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study, country, design, (quality assessment score)</th>
<th>Sample (number, gender, age)</th>
<th>Psychosocial correlates (Theoretical framework)</th>
<th>The independent correlations with 95% CI per oral hygiene behaviour outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Aleksejuniene et al. 2012** Lithuania; Cross-sectional study (4 points) | n=235a, mix b aged 12-13 years | 1. Locus of control (internal)  
2. Locus of control (external) | - Plaque score (IQP-index)  
1. 0.02 (-0.11;0.15)  
2. -0.06 (-0.18;0.07)  
- Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. na.  
2. -0.11 (-0.24;0.01) |
| Ayo-Yusuf et al. 2009** South Africa; Prospective study (18 months) (6 points) | n=526; mix mean age(SD) in years=14.4 (1.5) | 1. Sense of coherence  
2. Depression vulnerability**  
3. Attitude  
4. Intention (I-Change Model)  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.02 (-0.07;0.11)  
2. 0.13 (0.05;0.21)  
3. 0.05 (-0.04;0.14)  
4. 0.08 (-0.01;0.16) |
| Cinar et al. 2009** Finland; Cross-sectional study (4 points) | n=338; mix aged 10-12 years | 1. Self-efficacy  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.22 (0.11;0.32) |
| Cinar et al. 2009** Turkey; Cross-sectional study (3 points) | n=611; mix aged 10-12 years | 1. Self-efficacy  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.28 (0.20;0.35) |
| Dorri et al. 2010** Iran; Cross-sectional study (4 points) | n=911; mix Mean age(SD) in years=12.4 (0.8) Range=11-16 years | 1. Sense of coherence (Salutogenic model)  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.09 (0.03;0.15) |
| Freire et al. 2001** Brazil; Cross-sectional study (3 points) | n=664; mix 15 year olds | 1. Sense of coherence (Salutogenic model)  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.01 (-0.07;0.08)  
-Plaque score (index of Silnes & Löe)  
1. 0.03 (-0.05;0.10) |
| Gholami et al. 2014** Iran; Cross-sectional study (4 points) nested within a prospective study (1 month) (6 points) | n=156; F aged 11-15 years mean age(SD) in years=12.5 (1,1) | 1. Intention  
2. Self-efficacy  
3. Action planning  
4. Coping planning (HAPA constructs)  
-Self-reported flossing frequency  
(pros n=89)  
cross (n=156)  
1. 0.65 (0.55;0.73)  
2. 0.72 (0.64;0.80)  
3. -0.09 (-0.24;0.07)  
4. 0.04 (-0.12;0.20) |
| Honkala et al. 2007** Kuwait; Cross-sectional study (3 points) | n=1826; mix; Mean age: 11.9 years (SD ±1.3); range=11-13 years | 1. Self-esteem  
2. Life-satisfaction**  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
1. 0.12 (0.08;0.17)  
2. 0.10 (0.05;0.14)  
-Self-reported flossing frequency  
1. 0.16 (0.12;0.20)  
2. 0.04 (0.00;0.08) |
| Karlss et al. 2006** Sweden; 2 cross-sectional studies within the same study group (4 points) | n=2836; mix mean age in '97 = 14 years mean age in '99 = 16 years | 1. Self-esteem  
2. Attitude  
-Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  
(pros cross n=156)  
cross  
1. 0.02 (-0.12;0.15)  
2. 0.11 (0.06;0.17) |
| Kamalikhah et al. 2015** Iran; Cross-sectional study (4 points) | n=652; mix; Mean age: 16.3 years (SD ±1.02); | 1. Self-efficacy  
2. Attitude  
-Self-reported flossing frequency  
1. 0.48 (0.42;0.54)  
2. 0.24 (0.16;0.31) |
### Psychosocial Correlates of Oral Hygiene Behaviour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Age Range</th>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Correlation Coefficients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Koerber et al. 2006*1</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=575; mix mean age=10.8 years</td>
<td>1. Social influences  2. Self-esteem  3. Self-efficacy (Mixed models - social learning constructs)</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.34 (0.27;0.41)  2. 0.16 (0.08;0.24)  3. 0.12 (0.12;0.28)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macgregor et al. 1997** Study 1</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=18158; f/m range=12-13 years</td>
<td>1. Self-esteem  2. Locus of control</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.03 (0.02;0.05)  2. 0.02 (0.00;0.03)  Self-reported flossing frequency  1. -0.04 (-0.02;0.02)  2. -0.01 (-0.05;0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macgregor et al. 1997** Study 2</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=4736; f/m age range=13-14 years</td>
<td>1. Self-esteem  2. Locus of control</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.06 (0.03;0.08)  2. 0.04 (0.03;0.06)  Self-reported flossing frequency  1. -0.01 (-0.03;0.03)  2. -0.03 (-0.06;0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macgregor et al. 1997** Study 3</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=15492; f/m range=14-15 years</td>
<td>1. Self-esteem  2. Locus of control</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.08 (0.06;0.11)  2. 0.07 (0.03;0.10)  Self-reported flossing frequency  1. -0.05 (-0.08;-0.01)  2. -0.04 (-0.08;0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macgregor et al. 1997** Study 4</td>
<td>England</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=2756; f/m range=15-16 years</td>
<td>1. Self-esteem  2. Locus of control</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.07 (0.03;0.11)  2. 0.07 (0.03;0.10)  Self-reported flossing frequency  1. -0.05 (-0.08;-0.01)  2. -0.04 (-0.08;0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morowatisharifabad et al. 2007***</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=300; mix mean age (SD) in years=17.45±0.54 mean age=17-19 years old</td>
<td>1. Perceived self-efficacy  2. Attitude  3. Social influences (Health Promotion Model)</td>
<td>Self-reported oral health behaviour (Brushing and its quality; brushing after consumption of sweets, flossing; use of fluoride mouthwash, and dental visits.)  1. 0.40 (0.31;0.50)  2. 0.38 (0.27;0.47)  3. 0.28 (0.17;0.34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakpour et al. 2012**</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points) nested within a prospective study (1 month) (6 points)</td>
<td>n=721, mix mean age (SD) in years=15.45 (1.18)</td>
<td>1. Intention  2. Attitude  3. Perceived behavioural control  4. Subjective norm  5. Action planning  6. Coping planning (TPB + HAPA constructs)</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.40 (0.31;0.50)  2. 0.34 (0.27;0.40)  3. 0.53 (0.47;0.58)  4. 0.26 (0.19;0.32)  5. 0.32 (0.25;0.38)  6. 0.51 (0.46;0.57)  Cross 0.50 (0.44;0.55)  0.70 (0.66;0.73)  0.34 (0.27;0.40)  0.46 (0.40;0.51)  0.53 (0.47;0.58)  0.72 (0.68;0.75)  0.26 (0.19;0.32)  0.34 (0.28;0.41)  0.51 (0.46;0.56)  0.71 (0.67;0.74)  Pros 0.56 (0.52;0.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakpour et al. 2012**</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points) nested within a prospective study (1 month) (6 points)</td>
<td>n=961, mix mean age (SD) in years=15.61 (1.19), range=14-18 years old</td>
<td>1. Intention  2. Perceived behavioural control  3. Subjective norm  4. Action planning  5. Coping planning (TPB + HAPA constructs)</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.40 (0.31;0.50)  2. 0.34 (0.28;0.40)  3. 0.37 (0.31;0.42)  4. 0.49 (0.44;0.54)  5. 0.51 (0.46;0.57)  Cross 0.50 (0.44;0.55)  0.70 (0.66;0.73)  0.34 (0.27;0.40)  0.46 (0.40;0.51)  0.53 (0.47;0.58)  0.72 (0.68;0.75)  0.26 (0.19;0.32)  0.34 (0.28;0.41)  0.51 (0.46;0.56)  0.71 (0.67;0.74)  Pros 0.56 (0.52;0.60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polk et al. 2014***</td>
<td>United States of America, prospective study (6 months) (5 points)</td>
<td>n=576, mix aged 9-12 years mean age =10 years</td>
<td>1. intention</td>
<td>Self-reported tooth brushing frequency  1. 0.50 (0.16;0.73)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Country</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Sample Size</td>
<td>Mean Age (Range)</td>
<td>Variables</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poutanen et al. 2005**&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=1464; mix aged 11-12 year old</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude</td>
<td>- Self-reported oral health behaviour (brushing, snacking and xylitol chewing gum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poutanen et al. 2005* &lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=673; mix; aged 11-12 year old</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude</td>
<td>- Self-reported oral health behaviour (brushing, snacking and xylitol chewing gum)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise et al. 1998&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Prospective study (4 weeks)</td>
<td>n=163; mix mean age(SD) in years=15.3 (0.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude 2. Subjective norm 3. Perceived behavioural control 4. Past behaviour 5. Intention (TPB)</td>
<td>- Self-reported flossing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schou et al. 1990&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=4935; f/m 11, 13 &amp; 15 year olds.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Health perception**</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smyth et al. 2007*&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=1105; mix 12 year olds</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude (KAB model)</td>
<td>- Plaque score (index of Silnes &amp; Løe)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tolvanen et al. 2012&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=827; mix 15 &amp; 16 year olds</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude 2. Risk-perception** (KAB model)</td>
<td>- Self-reported oral health behaviour (tooth brushing, fluoride toothpaste, use of dental floss)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tran et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vanuata</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=4474; mix range 11-17 year.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. life-satisfaction</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tran et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Tonga</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=1485; mix range 11-17 year.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. life-satisfaction</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tran et al. 2006&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Pohnpei, FSM</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (3 points)</td>
<td>n=104; mix range 11-17 year.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. life-satisfaction</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vakili et al. 2011&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=300; mix mean age(SD) in years=16.24 (0.8) range=15-18 years</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Self-efficacy 2. Attitude 3. Social influences 4. Intention (Health Promotion Model)</td>
<td>- Self-reported oral health behaviour (brushing and its quality, brushing after consumption of sweets, dental visits, flossing, and use of a fluoride mouth wash)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verrips et al. 1993&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (4 points)</td>
<td>n=518; mix; 11 year old.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Attitude 2. Descriptive norm (parental)</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williams 1972&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>United States of America</td>
<td>Cross-sectional study (5 points)</td>
<td>n=386; f/m Age: na., (9&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; grade students = 14-15 year olds)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1. Locus of control (external)</td>
<td>- Self-reported tooth brushing frequency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** cross: cross-sectional data; pros: prospective data; na.: data not available; AOR: Adjusted Odd Ratio; TPB: theory of planned behaviour; KAB: Knowledge-Attitude-behaviour; HAPA: Health Action Process Approach. <sup>a</sup> Smallest number of participants in relevant analyses; <sup>b</sup> Mix indicates a mixed sample of female (F) and males (M); For the studies denoted by the sign * applies that (additional) data were supplied by author. For variables denoted by the sign ** applies that these variables were excluded from the analysis, since meta-analyses were only performed if data of two or more independent correlations were available (k > 1). The software Comprehensive Meta-Analysis calculated the presented correlations with confidence interval (CI).