



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency

Andone, C.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Andone, C. (2010). Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency. Amsterdam: SicSat.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOREWORD	1
CHAPTER 1	
INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 RESPONDING TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY IN A POLITICAL INTERVIEW	3
1.2 A PRAGMA-DIALECTICAL PERSPECTIVE ON ARGUMENTATION	7
1.3 OBJECTIVES AND METHOD OF THE STUDY.....	11
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THE STUDY	13
CHAPTER 2	
ANALYTICALLY RELEVANT RESPONSES TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY	15
2.1 INTRODUCTION	15
2.2 COMMUNICATIVE AND INTERACTIONAL PURPOSES OF AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY .	16
2.3 RESPONSES TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY.....	21
2.4 CONCLUSION	31
CHAPTER 3	
THE POLITICAL INTERVIEW AS AN ARGUMENTATIVE ACTIVITY TYPE	33
3.1 ARGUMENTATION IN THE POLITICAL INTERVIEW	33
3.2 THE INITIAL SITUATION	37
3.3 STARTING POINTS	43
3.4 ARGUMENTATIVE MEANS	49
3.5 THE POSSIBLE OUTCOME.....	53
3.6 CONCLUSION	55
CHAPTER 4	
STRATEGIC MANEUVERING IN RESPONSE TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY IN A POLITICAL INTERVIEW	57
4.1 ACCUSATIONS OF INCONSISTENCY IN A POLITICAL INTERVIEW.....	57
4.2 RETRACTING A STANDPOINT IN RESPONSE TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY	59
4.3 EXPLOITING COMMITMENTS TO WIN THE DISCUSSION.....	66
4.4 CONCLUSION	88
CHAPTER 5	
THE REASONABLENESS OF RESPONSES TO AN ACCUSATION OF INCONSISTENCY IN A POLITICAL INTERVIEW	91
5.1 THE REASONABLENESS OF CONFRONTATIONAL STRATEGIC MANEUVERING	91
5.2 SOUNDNESS CONDITIONS	95
5.2.1 Soundness condition of openness.....	95
5.2.2 Soundness condition of relevance.....	102
5.2.3 Soundness condition of clarity	108
5.3 CONCLUSION	111
CHAPTER 6	
CONCLUSION	113
6.1 MAIN FINDINGS	113
6.2 IMPLICATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH	117
APPENDIX	123

SAMENVATTING	135
REFERENCES	139
LIST OF FIGURES.....	145
INDEX OF SUBJECTS AND NAMES	146