



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency

Andone, C.

Publication date
2010

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Andone, C. (2010). *Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam]. SicSat.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

References

- Alston, W.P. (1991). Searle on Illocutionary Acts. In E. Lepore and R. van Gulick (Eds.), *John Searle and his critics* (pp. 57-80). Oxford: Basil Blackwell.
- An Agreement Between Her Majesty's Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport and the British Broadcasting Corporation*. 2006. UK: Department for Culture, Media and Sport.
http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/about/how_we_govern/charter_and_agreement/index.shtml
- Andone, C. (2009a). Accusing someone of an inconsistency as a confrontational way of strategic manoeuvring. In Eemeren, F.H. van (Ed.), *Examining argumentation in context: Fifteen studies on strategic maneuvering* (pp. 153-169). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Andone, C. (2009b). Confrontational strategic manoeuvring in a political interview: a pragma-dialectical analysis of a response to an accusation of inconsistency. *Studies in Communication Sciences* 9(2), 43-58.
- Andone, C. (2010). Confrontational strategic manoeuvres in a political interview. *Controversia* 7(1), 74-90.
- Austin, J. (1956/1957). A plea for excuses. *Proceedings of the Aristotelian Society* (pp. 1-19). Transcribed into hypertext by Andrew Chrucky, August 23, 2004.
- Bach, K. and Harnish R.M. (1979). *Linguistic Communication and Speech Acts*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Bell, P. and Leeuwen van, T. (2004). *The Media Interview: Confession, Contest, Conversation*. Kensington: University of New South Wales Press.
- Blum-Kulka, S. (1983). The dynamics of political interviews. *Text*, 3(2), 131-153.
- Brabanter, P. de and Dendale, P. (2008). Commitment: the term and the notions. *Belgian Journal of Linguistics*, 22, 1-14.
- Bull, P. (2008). Slipperiness, evasion, and ambiguity. Equivocation and facework in noncommittal political discourse. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 27(4), 333-344.
- Bull, P. and Fetzer, A. (2006). Who are we and who are you? The strategic use of forms of address in political interviews. *Text & Talk*, 26(1), 3-37.
- Bull, P. and Mayer, K.. (1993). How not to answer questions in political interviews. *Political Psychology*, 14(4), 651-666.
- Clayman, S.E. (1991). News interviews openings: Aspects of sequential organization. In P. Scannell (Ed.), *Broadcast talk* (pp. 48-75). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

- Clayman, S.E. (1992). Footing in the achievement of neutrality. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (Eds.), *Talk at work: Interaction in institutional settings* (pp. 163-198). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Clayman, S. and J. Heritage. (2002). *The News Interview. Journalists and Public Figures on the Air*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cohen, L. J. (1995). *An Essay on Belief and Acceptance*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Curtin, D. (2007). Holding (quasi-) autonomous EU administrative actors to public account. *European Law Journal*, 13(4), 523-541.
- Curtin, D. and Nollkaemper, A. (2005). Conceptualizing accountability in international and European Law. *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law*, XXXVI, 3-20.
- Drew, P. (1978). Accusations: the occasioned use of members' knowledge of 'religious geography' in describing events. *The Journal of the British Sociological Association*, 12(1), 1-22.
- Eemeren, F. H. van. (2010). *Strategic Maneuvering in Argumentative Discourse. Extending the Pragma-dialectical Theory of Argumentation*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Eemeren, F.H. van and Garssen, B.J. (2010). In varietate concordia – united in diversity: European parliamentary debate as an argumentative activity type. *Controversia*, 7(1), 19-37.
- Eemeren, F.H. van, Garssen B. J. and Meuffels, H.L.M. (2009). *Fallacies and Judgments of Reasonableness. Empirical Research concerning the Pragma-dialectical Discussion Rules*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and R. Grootendorst. (1982). *Regels voor Redelijke Discussies. Een bijdrage tot de theoretische analyse van argumentatie ter oplossing van geschillen*. Dordrecht: Foris.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and R. Grootendorst. (1984). *Speech Acts in Argumentative Discussions. A Theoretical Model for the Analysis of Discussions Directed towards Solving Conflicts of Opinion*. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and R. Grootendorst. (1992a). *Argumentation, Communication and Fallacies. A Pragma-dialectical Perspective*. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and R. Grootendorst. (1992b). Relevance reviewed: The case of argumentum ad hominem. *Argumentation*, 6(2), 141-159.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and R. Grootendorst. (2004). *A Systematic Theory of Argumentation. The Pragma-Dialectical Approach*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and P. Houtlosser. (2000). Rhetorical analysis within a pragma-dialectical framework: The case of RJ Reynolds. *Argumentation*, 14(3), 293-305.
- Eemeren, F.H. van and P. Houtlosser. (2002). Strategic maneuvering in argumentative discourse: Maintaining a delicate balance. In F.H. van Eemeren and P. Houtlosser (Eds.), *Dialectic and rhetoric. The warp and woof of argumentation analysis* (pp. 131-159). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and P. Houtlosser. (2003). The development of the pragma-dialectical approach to argumentation. *Argumentation*, 17(4), 387-403.
- Eemeren, F.H. van and P. Houtlosser. (2005). Theoretical construction and argumentative reality: An analytic model of critical discussion and conventionalized types of argumentative reality. In D. Hitchcock (Ed.), *The uses of argument: Proceedings of a conference at McMaster University, 18-21 May 2005* (pp. 75-84). Hamilton.
- Eemeren, F. H. van and P. Houtlosser. (2009). Seizing the occasion: Parameters for analyzing ways of strategic manoeuvring. In F. H. van Eemeren and B. J. Garssen

- (Eds.). *Pondering on problems of argumentation. Twenty essays on theoretical issues* (pp. 3-14). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Eemeren, F.H. van, Houtlosser, P. and A.F. Snoeck Henkemans (2007a). *Argumentative Indicators in Discourse. A Pragma-dialectical Study*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Eemeren, F.H. van, P. Houtlosser and A.F. Snoeck Henkemans. (2007b). Dialectical profiles and indicators of argumentative moves. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 40(3), 475-493.
- Emmertsen, S. (2007). Interviewers' challenging questions in British debate interviews. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(3), 570-591.
- Fetzer, A. (2000). Negotiating validity claims in political interviews. *Text*, 20(4), 415-460.
- Fetzer, A. (2007). Well if that had not been true, that would have been perfectly reasonable: Appeals to reasonableness in political interviews. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(8), 1342-1359.
- Fetzer, A. and E. Weizman. (2006). Political discourse as mediated and public discourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38(2), 143-153.
- Fillmore, Ch. J. (1969). Verbs of Judging: An Exercise in Semantic Description. *Papers in Linguistics*, 1, 91-117.
- Grant, R.W. and Keohane, R.O. (2005). Accountability and abuses of power in world politics. *American Political Science Review*, 99(1), 29-43.
- Greatbatch, D. (1986). Some standard uses of supplementary questions in news interviews. In J. Wilson & B. K. Crow (Eds.), *Belfast Working Papers in Language and Linguistics* (pp. 86-123). Jordanstown: University of Ulster.
- Greatbatch, D. (1988). A turn-taking system for British news interviews. *Language in Society*, 17(3), 401-430.
- Greatbatch, D. (1992). On the management of disagreement between news interviewees. In P. Drew and J. Heritage (Eds.), *Talk at work: Interaction in institutional settings* (pp. 268-301). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Gunlogson, C. (2008). A question of commitment. *Belgian Journal of Linguistics*, 22, 101-136.
- Hamblin, C.L. (1970a). The effect of when it's said. *Theoria* 17(3), 249 – 263.
- Hamblin, C.L. (1970b). *Fallacies*. Virginia: Vale Press.
- Hare, R.M. (1970). Meaning and speech acts. *The Philosophical Review*, 70(1), 3-24.
- Harman, G. (1989). *Change in View. Principles of Reasoning*. Cambridge, (Mass.): The MIT Press.
- Harris, S. (1986). Interviewers' questions in broadcast interviews. In Wilson, J. and B.K. Crow (Eds.), *Belfast Working Papers in Language and Linguistics* (pp. 50-85). Jordanstown: University of Ulster.
- Heritage, J. and Greatbatch, D. (1991). On the institutional character of institutional talk: The case of news interviews. In D. Boden and D.H. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Talk and social structure. Studies in ethnomethodology and conversation analysis* (pp. 93-137). Polity Press.
- Heritage, J.C. and Roth, A.L. (1995). Grammar and institution: Questions and questioning in the broadcast news interview. *Research on Language and Social Interaction*, 28(1), 1-60.
- Johansson, M. (2005). Constructing objects of discourse in the broadcast political interview. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38(2), 216-229.
- Jucker, A. H. (1986). *News Interviews: A Pragmalinguistic Analysis*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

- Kauffeld, F.J. (1986). *Accusing, Proposing, and Advising: the Strategic Grounds for Presumption and the Assumption of Probative Responsibilities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press.
- Kauffeld, F.J. (1998). Presumptions and the distribution of argumentative burdens in acts of proposing and accusing. *Argumentation*, 12(2), 245-266.
- Kauffeld, F. J. (2003). The ordinary practice of presuming and presumption with special attention to veracity and the burden of proof. In Eemeren, F.H. van et al. (Eds.), *Anyone who has a view. Theoretical contributions to the study of argumentation*, (pp. 133-146). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Krabbe, E. C. W. (2001). The problem of retraction in critical discussion. *Synthese* 127(1-2), 141-159.
- Lauerbach, G. (2004). Political interviews as a hybrid genre. *Text*, 24(3), 353-397.
- Lewis, D.K. (1977). *Convention: A Philosophical Study*. Cambridge (Mass.): Harvard University Press.
- Moeschler, J. (1982). *Dire et contredire. Pragmatique de la négation et acte de réfutation dans la conversation*. Berne: Peter Lang.
- Mohammed, D. A. M. (2009). 'The Honourable Gentleman Should Make Up his Mind.' *Strategic Manoeuvring with Accusations of Inconsistency in Prime Minister's Question Time*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Amsterdam.
- Mulgan, R. (2000). Accountability: an ever-expanding concept? *Public Administration*, 78(3): 555-573.
- Naess, A. (1966). *Communication and Argument. Elements of Applied Semantics*. Oslo: Allen and Unwin.
- Peetz, V. (1979). Illocutionary negation. *Philosophia*, 8(4), 639-644.
- Perelman, C. and L. Olbrechts-Tyteca. (1969). *Traité de l'argumentation: la nouvelle rhétorique*. Paris: Presses Universitaire de France.
- Piirainen-Marsh, A. (2005). Managing adversarial questioning in broadcast interviews. *Journal of Politeness Research*, 1(2), 193-217.
- Priest, G. (2006). What's so bad about contradictions? In Priest, G., J.C.Beall and B. Armour-Garb (Eds.), *The law of non-contradiction: New philosophical essays* (pp. 23-38). Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Rees, M.A. van (2006). Herformuleringen van standpunten in informele discussies. In F.H. van Eemeren and P. Houtlosser (Eds.). *Variaties op een thema. Opstellen over de rationaliteit van verbale communicatie van M.A. van Rees* (pp. 97-113). Amsterdam: Rozenberg.
- Rogers, A. (1978). Remarks on the analysis of assertion and the conversational role of speech acts. In J. J. Jaeger, A. C. Woodbury, F. Ackerman, C. Chiarello, O. D. Gensler, J. Kingston, E. E. Sweetser, H. Thompson and K. W. Whistler (Eds.). *Proceedings of the Fourth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society, February 18-20, 1978* (pp. 190-201). Berkeley, California: Berkeley Linguistics Society.
- Sbisà, M. (1992). Speech acts, effects and responses. In Searle et al. (Eds.), *(On) Searle on conversation*, (pp. 101-111). Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Scannell, P. (1991). Introduction: The relevance of talk. In P. Scannell (Ed.), *Broadcast talk* (pp. 1-13). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Scannell, P (1998). Media-language-world. In A. Bell and P. Garrett (Eds.), *Approaches to media discourse* (pp. 251-267). Oxford: Blackwell.

- Schedler, A. (1999). Conceptualizing accountability. In A. Schedler, L. Diamond and M. F. Plattner (Eds.), *The Self-restraining state: Power and accountability in new democracies*. Boulder/London: Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Searle, J. (1969). *Speech Acts. An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Searle, J. and Vanderveken, D. (1985). *Foundations of Illocutionary Logic*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Snoeck Henkemans, A.F. (1997). *Analyzing Complex Argumentation. The Reconstruction of Multiple and Coordinatively Compound Argumentation in a Critical Discussion*. Amsterdam: Sic Sat.
- The Ofcom Broadcasting Code 2008*. www.ofcom.org.uk.
- The Royal Charter for the continuance of the British Broadcasting Corporation*. 2006. TSO: Department for Culture, Media and Sport. http://www.bbc.co.uk/bbctrust/about/how_we_govern/charter_and_agreement/index.shtml
- Tonnard, Y. (2010 forthcoming). *Issue-influencing manoeuvres in Dutch Parliamentary Debate: A Pragma-dialectical Study on Presentational Choices of One-issue Politicians in Confrontational Strategic Manoeuvring*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Amsterdam.
- Vanderveken, D. (1990). *Meaning and Speech Acts. Vol. 1 Principles of Language Use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Walton, D. N. and Krabbe, E. (1995). *Commitment in Dialogue. Basic Concepts of Interpersonal Reasoning*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Weizman, E. (2008). *Positioning in Media Dialogue. Negotiating Roles in the News Interviews*. Amsterdam/Philadelphia: John Benjamins.
- Wilson, J. (1990). *Politically Speaking: The Pragmatic Analysis of Political Language*. Basil Blackwell.