



## UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

### Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency

Andone, C.

**Publication date**  
2010

[Link to publication](#)

#### **Citation for published version (APA):**

Andone, C. (2010). *Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency*. SicSat.

#### **General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

#### **Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

## List of figures

<b>2.1</b>	Basic dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single dispute	<b>25</b>
<b>2.2</b>	The first four turns of a dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single non-mixed dispute in which the opposite standpoint is reduced to doubt	<b>28</b>
<b>2.3</b>	The first four turns of a dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single mixed dispute	<b>28</b>
<b>3.1</b>	Argumentative characterization of the activity type of a political interview	<b>56</b>
<b>4.1</b>	The protagonist's possibilities for responding to criticism by retracting a standpoint in the confrontation stage	<b>60</b>
<b>4.2</b>	List of indicative commitments obtained when retraction is carried out and argumentative commitments obtained when the retraction involves the withdrawal of a standpoint in response to an accusation of inconsistency	<b>65</b>
<b>4.3</b>	Dialectical profile of the confrontation stage in which a modified version of the protagonist's standpoint is included	<b>67</b>
<b>4.4</b>	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Hague	<b>72</b>
<b>4.5</b>	Hague's argumentation	<b>73</b>
<b>4.6</b>	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Cooper	<b>81</b>
<b>4.7</b>	Cooper's argumentation	<b>82</b>
<b>4.8</b>	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Duncan	<b>86</b>
<b>4.9</b>	Duncan's argumentation	<b>87</b>