



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency

Andone, C.

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Andone, C. (2010). Maneuvering strategically in a political interview: analyzing and evaluating responses to an accusation of inconsistency. Amsterdam: SicSat.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

List of figures

2.1	Basic dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single dispute	25
2.2	The first four turns of a dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single non-mixed dispute in which the opposite standpoint is reduced to doubt	28
2.3	The first four turns of a dialectical profile of the confrontation stage of a single mixed dispute	28
3.1	Argumentative characterization of the activity type of a political interview	56
4.1	The protagonist's possibilities for responding to criticism by retracting a standpoint in the confrontation stage	60
4.2	List of indicative commitments obtained when retraction is carried out and argumentative commitments obtained when the retraction involves the withdrawal of a standpoint in response to an accusation of inconsistency	65
4.3	Dialectical profile of the confrontation stage in which a modified version of the protagonist's standpoint is included	67
4.4	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Hague	72
4.5	Hague's argumentation	73
4.6	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Cooper	81
4.7	Cooper's argumentation	82
4.8	Sopel's argumentation in the discussion with Duncan	86
4.9	Duncan's argumentation	87