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Abstract. Meadow enriched ACP process algebras are essentially enrichments of models of the axiom system ACP that concern processes in which data are involved, the mathematical structure of data being a meadow. For all associative operators from the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras, we introduce variable-binding operators as generalizations. These variable-binding operators, which give rise to comprehended terms, have the property that they can always be eliminated. Thus, we obtain a process calculus whose terms can be interpreted in all meadow enriched ACP process algebras. Use of the variable-binding operators that bind variables with a two-valued range can already have a major impact on the size of terms.
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1 Introduction

In [8], we have introduced the notion of a meadow enriched ACP process algebra. Meadow enriched ACP process algebras are essentially enrichments of models of the axiom system ACP that concern processes in which data are involved, the mathematical structure of data being a meadow. The primary mathematical structure for calculations is unquestionably a field, and a meadow differs from a field only in that the multiplicative inverse operation is made total by imposing that the multiplicative inverse of zero is zero. Like with fields, there is a multitude of finite and infinite meadows. For these reasons, we consider the combination of models of the axiom system ACP and meadows made in meadow enriched ACP process algebras a combination with potentially many applications. It is striking that meadows obviate the need for Boolean values and operations on data that yield Boolean values to deal with conditions on data.

In the principal ACP-based formalisms proposed for the description and analysis of processes in which data are involved, to wit µCRL [14, 15] and PSF [20], we find variable-binding operators generalizing associative operators of ACP. In the current paper, our main objective is to determine to what extent such variable-binding operators fit in with meadow enriched ACP process algebras.
For all associative operators from the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras, we introduce in this paper variable-binding operators as generalizations. These variable-binding operators, which give rise to comprehended terms, have the property that they can always be eliminated. That is, for each comprehended term, we can derive from axioms concerning the variable-binding operators that the comprehended term is equal to a term over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras. Those axioms are axioms of a calculus because the distinction between free and bound variables is essential in derivations. The terms of this process calculus are interpreted in meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

Full elimination of all variable-binding operators occurring in a comprehended term can lead to a combinatorial explosion. We show that a combinatorial explosion can be prevented if variable-binding operators that bind variables with a two-valued range are still permitted in the resulting term. We also show that in the latter case the size of the resulting term can be further reduced if we add an identity element for sequential composition to meadow enriched ACP process algebras. Moreover, we demonstrate that we do not need variable-binding operators for all associative operators on processes if we add a sort of process sequences and the right operators on process sequences to meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

In meadow enriched ACP process algebras as introduced in [8], a meadow is taken as the mathematical structure for data. In this paper, a signed meadow, i.e. a meadow expanded with a signum operation, is taken instead. For convenience, the resulting enriched ACP process algebras are still called meadow enriched ACP process algebras. In the presence of a signum operation, the ordering on the elements of a meadow that corresponds with the usual ordering on the elements of a field becomes definable.

This paper is organized as follows. First, we give a brief summary of signed meadows (Section 2). Next, we review the notion of an ACP process algebra (Section 3) and the notion of a meadow enriched ACP process algebra (Section 4). After that, we associate a calculus with meadow enriched ACP process algebras (Section 5) and define the interpretation of terms of this calculus in meadow enriched ACP process algebras (Section 6). Following this, we investigate the consequences of elimination of variable-binding operators from comprehended terms on the size of the resulting terms (Section 7). Then, we investigate the effects of adding an identity element for sequential composition to ACP process algebras (Section 8) and the effects of adding process sequences to ACP process algebras (Section 9). Finally, we make some concluding remarks (Section 10).

2 Signed Meadows

In this paper, the mathematical structure for data is a signed meadow. In this section, we give a brief summary of signed meadows.

A meadow is a field with the multiplicative inverse operation made total by imposing that the multiplicative inverse of zero is zero. A signed meadow is a
Table 1. Axioms for meadows

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((u + v) + w = u + (v + w))</td>
<td>((u \cdot v) \cdot w = u \cdot (v \cdot w))</td>
<td>((u^{-1})^{-1} = u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u + v = v + u)</td>
<td>(u \cdot v = v \cdot u)</td>
<td>(u \cdot (u \cdot u^{-1}) = u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u + 0 = u)</td>
<td>(u \cdot 1 = u)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(u + (-u) = 0)</td>
<td>(u \cdot (v + w) = u \cdot v + u \cdot w)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A meadow expanded with a signum operation. Meadows are defined for the first time in [10] and are investigated in e.g. [5, 9, 11]. The expansion of meadows with a signum operation originates from [9].

The signature of meadows is the same as the signature of fields. It is a one-sorted signature. We make the single sort explicit because we will extend this signature to a two-sorted signature in Section 4. The signature of meadows consists of the sort \(Q\) of quantities and the following constants and operators:

- the constants \(0 : \rightarrow Q\) and \(1 : \rightarrow Q\);
- the binary addition operator \(+ : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q\);
- the binary multiplication operator \(\cdot : Q \times Q \rightarrow Q\);
- the unary additive inverse operator \(- : Q \rightarrow Q\);
- the unary multiplicative inverse operator \(-1 : Q \rightarrow Q\).

We assume that there is a countably infinite set \(\mathcal{U}\) of variables of sort \(Q\), which contains \(u\), \(v\) and \(w\), with and without subscripts. Terms are build as usual. We use infix notation for the binary operators \(+\) and \(\cdot\), prefix notation for the unary operator \(-\), and postfix notation for the unary operator \(-1\). We use the usual precedence convention to reduce the need for parentheses. We introduce subtraction and division as abbreviations: \(p - q\) abbreviates \(p + (-q)\) and \(p/q\) abbreviates \(p \cdot q^{-1}\). For each non-negative natural number \(n\), we write \(n\) for the numeral for \(n\). That is, the term \(n\) is defined by induction on \(n\) as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
0 & = 0 \\
n + 1 & = n + 1.
\end{align*} \]

We also use the notation \(p^n\) for exponentiation with a natural number as exponent. For each term \(p\) over the signature of meadows, the term \(p^n\) is defined by induction on \(n\) as follows:

\[ p^0 = 1 \text{ and } p^{n+1} = p^n \cdot p. \]

The constants and operators from the signature of meadows are adopted from rational arithmetic, which gives an appropriate intuition about these constants and operators.

A meadow is an algebra with the signature of meadows that satisfies the equations given in Table 1. Thus, a meadow is a commutative ring with identity equipped with a multiplicative inverse operation \(-1\) satisfying the reflexivity equation \((u^{-1})^{-1} = u\) and the restricted inverse equation \(u \cdot (u \cdot u^{-1}) = u\). From the equations given in Table 1, the equation \(0^{-1} = 0\) is derivable.

A non-trivial meadow is a meadow that satisfies the separation axiom

\[ 0 \neq 1. \]

A cancellation meadow is a meadow that satisfies the cancellation axiom

\[ u \neq 0 \land u \cdot v = u \cdot w \Rightarrow v = w, \]
Table 2. Additional axioms for signum operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s(u/u) = u/u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s(1 - u/u) = 1 - u/u$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s(-1) = -1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(1 - \frac{s(u) - s(v)}{s(u) - s(v)}) \cdot (s(u + v) - s(u)) = 0$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

or equivalently, the general inverse law

$$u \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \cdot u^{-1} = 1.$$  

In [10], cancellation meadows are called zero-totalized fields. An important property of cancellation meadows is the following: $0/0 = 0$, whereas $u/u = 1$ for $u \neq 0$. Henceforth, we will write $p \triangleleft r \triangleright q$ for $(1 - r/r) \cdot p + (r/r) \cdot q$. For cancellation meadows, $p \triangleleft r \triangleright q$ can be read as follows: if $r$ equals 0 then $p$ else $q$.

A signed meadow is a meadow expanded with a unary signum operation $s$ satisfying the equations given in Table 2. In combination with the cancellation axiom, the last equation in this table is equivalent to the conditional equation $s(u) = s(v) \Rightarrow s(u + v) = s(u)$. In signed meadows, the predicate $<$ is defined as follows:

$$u < v \iff s(u - v) = -1.$$  

In [9], it is shown that the equational theories of signed meadows and signed cancellation meadows are identical.

## 3 ACP Process Algebras

In this section, we introduce the notion of an ACP process algebra. This notion originates from the models of the axiom system ACP, which was first presented in [6]. A comprehensive introduction to ACP can be found in [3, 13].

It is assumed that a fixed but arbitrary finite set $A$ of atomic action names, with $\delta \notin A$, has been given.

The signature of ACP process algebras is a one-sorted signature. We make the single sort explicit because we will extend this signature to a two-sorted signature in Section 4. The signature of ACP process algebras consists of the sort $P$ of processes and the following constants, operators, and predicate symbols:

- the deadlock constant $\delta : P$;
- for each $e \in A$, the atomic action constant $e : P$;
- the binary alternative composition operator $+: P \times P \to P$;
- the binary sequential composition operator $\cdot: P \times P \to P$;
- the binary parallel composition operator $\|: P \times P \to P$;
- the binary left merge operator $\lfloor: P \times P \to P$;
- the binary communication merge operator $\rfloor: P \times P \to P$;
- for each $H \subseteq A$, the unary encapsulation operator $\partial_H : P \to P$;
the unary atomic action predicate symbol $A : P$.

We assume that there is a countably infinite set $X$ of variables of sort $P$, which contains $x$, $y$ and $z$, with and without subscripts. Terms are built as usual. We use infix notation for the binary operators. We use the following precedence conventions to reduce the need for parentheses: the operator $+$ binds weaker than all other binary operators and the operator $\cdot$ binds stronger than all other binary operators.

Let $P$ and $Q$ be closed terms of sort $P$. Intuitively, the constants, operators and predicate symbols introduced above can be explained as follows:

- $\delta$ is not capable of doing anything;
- $e$ is only capable of performing atomic action $e$ and next terminating successfully;
- $P + Q$ behaves either as $P$ or as $Q$, but not both;
- $P \cdot Q$ first behaves as $P$ and on successful termination of $P$ it next behaves as $Q$;
- $P \parallel Q$ behaves as the process that proceeds with $P$ and $Q$ in parallel;
- $P \parallel Q$ behaves the same as $P \parallel Q$, except that it starts with performing an atomic action of $P$;
- $P \mid Q$ behaves the same as $P \parallel Q$, except that it starts with performing an atomic action of $P$ and an atomic action of $Q$ synchronously;
- $\partial H(P)$ behaves the same as $P$, except that atomic actions from $H$ are blocked;
- $A(P)$ holds if $P$ is an atomic action.

In equations between terms of sort $P$, we will use a notational convention which requires the following assumption: there is a countably infinite set $X' \subseteq X$ that contains $a$, $b$ and $c$, with and without subscripts, but does not contain $x$, $y$ and $z$, with and without subscripts. Let $\phi$ be an equation between terms of sort $P$, and let $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$ be the set of all variables from $X'$ that occur in $\phi$. Then we write $\phi$ for $A(x_1) \land \ldots \land A(x_n) \Rightarrow \phi'$, where $\phi'$ is $\phi$ with, for all $i \in [1, n]$, all occurrences of $a_i$ replaced by $x_i$, and $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are variables from $X$ that do not occur in $\phi$.

An ACP process algebra is an algebra with the signature of ACP process algebras that satisfies the formulas given in Table 3. Three formulas in this table are actually schemas of formulas: $e$ is a syntactic variable which stands for an arbitrary constant of sort $P$. A side condition is added to two schemas to restrict the constants for which the syntactic variable stands. The number of proper formulas is still finite because there exists only a finite number of constant of sort $P$.

Because the notational convention introduced above is used, the four equations in Table 3 that are actually conditional equations look the same as their counterpart in the axiom system ACP. It happens that these conditional equations allow for the generalization to meadow enriched ACP process algebras to proceed smoothly. Apart from this, the set of formulas given in Table 3 differs slightly from the axiom system ACP. The differences are discussed in [8].
Table 3. Axioms for ACP process algebras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axiom</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x + y = y + x$</td>
<td>$x \parallel y = (x \parallel y \parallel x) + x \mid y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$</td>
<td>$a \parallel x = a \cdot x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x + x = x$</td>
<td>$a \cdot x \parallel y = a \cdot (x \mid y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(x + y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$</td>
<td>$(x + y) \parallel z = x \parallel z + y \parallel z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(x \cdot y) \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$</td>
<td>$a \mid b \cdot x = (a \mid b) \cdot x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x + \delta = x$</td>
<td>$a \cdot \delta = (a \cdot b) \cdot (x \mid y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta \cdot x = \delta$</td>
<td>$(x + y) \mid z = x \mid z + y \mid z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x \mid y = y \mid x$</td>
<td>$(x \mid y) \mid z = x \mid (y \mid z)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial_H(e) = e$</td>
<td>$\delta \mid x = \delta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial_H(e) = \delta$</td>
<td>$(x + y) \mid z = x \mid z + y \mid z$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial_H(x + y) = \partial_H(x) + \partial_H(y)$</td>
<td>$A(e)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial_H(x \cdot y) = \partial_H(x) \cdot \partial_H(y)$</td>
<td>$A(x) \land A(y) \Rightarrow A(x \mid y)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not all processes in an ACP process algebra have to be interpretations of closed terms, even if all atomic actions are interpretations of closed terms. The processes concerned may be solutions of sets of recursion equations. It is customary to restrict the attention to ACP process algebras satisfying additional axioms by which sets of recursion equations that fulfill a guardedness condition have unique solutions. For an comprehensive treatment of this issue, the reader is referred to [3].

4 Meadow Enriched ACP Process Algebras

In this section, we introduce the notion of a meadow enriched ACP process algebra. This notion is a simple generalization of the notion of an ACP process algebra introduced in Section 3 to processes in which data are involved. The elements of a signed meadow are taken as data.

The signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras is a two-sorted signature. It consists of the sorts, constants and operators from the signatures of ACP process algebras and signed meadows and in addition the following operators:

- for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $e \in A$, the $n$-ary data handling atomic action operator $e : \underbrace{Q \times \cdots \times Q}_{n \text{ times}} \rightarrow P$;

- the binary guarded command operator $:\Rightarrow : Q \times P \rightarrow P$.

We take the variables in $U$ for the variables of sort $Q$ and the variables in $X$ for the variables of sort $P$. We assume that the sets $U$ and $X$ are disjunct. Terms are built as usual for a many-sorted signature (see e.g. [25, 28]). We use
Table 4. Additional axioms for meadow enriched ACP process algebras

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 :\rightarrow x = x$</td>
<td>$u :\rightarrow \delta = \delta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1 :\rightarrow x = \delta$</td>
<td>$u :\rightarrow (x + y) = u :\rightarrow x + u :\rightarrow y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u :\rightarrow x = (u/u) :\rightarrow x$</td>
<td>$u :\rightarrow x \cdot y = (u :\rightarrow x) \cdot y$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u :\rightarrow (v :\rightarrow x) = (1 - (1 - u/u) \cdot (1 - v/v)) :\rightarrow x$</td>
<td>$(u :\rightarrow x) | y = u :\rightarrow (x | y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u :\rightarrow x + v :\rightarrow x = (u/u \cdot v/v) :\rightarrow x$</td>
<td>$(u :\rightarrow x) \parallel y = u :\rightarrow (x \parallel y)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u :\rightarrow x \cdot y = (u :\rightarrow x) \mid y = u :\rightarrow (x \mid y)$</td>
<td>$\partial_H(u :\rightarrow x) = u :\rightarrow \partial_H(x)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ and $p$ be closed terms of sort $Q$ and $P$ be a closed term of sort $P$. Intuitively, the additional operators can be explained as follows:

- $e(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ is only capable of performing data handling atomic action $e(p_1, \ldots, p_n)$ and next terminating successfully;
- $p :\rightarrow P$ behaves as the process $P$ if $p$ equals 0 and is not capable of doing anything otherwise.

The different guarded command operators that have been proposed before in the setting of ACP have one thing in common: their first operand is considered to stand for an element of the domain of a Boolean algebra (see e.g. [7]). In contrast with those guarded command operators, the first operand of the guarded command operator introduced here is considered to stand for an element of the domain of a signed meadow.

A meadow enriched ACP process algebra is an algebra with the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras that satisfies the formulas given in Tables 1–4. Like in Table 3, some formulas in Table 4 are actually schemas of formulas: $e$ and $e'$ are syntactic variables which stand for arbitrary constants of sort $P$ and, in addition, $n$ and $m$ stand for arbitrary natural numbers.

For meadow enriched ACP process algebras that satisfy the cancellation axiom, the first five equations concerning the guarded command operator can easily be understood by taking the view that 0 and 1 represent the Boolean values $T$ and $F$, respectively. In that case, we have that

- $p/p$ models the test that yields $T$ if $p = 0$ and $F$ otherwise;
If both \( p \) and \( q \) are equal to 0 or 1, then \( 1 - p \) models \( \neg p \), \( p \cdot q \) models \( p \lor q \), and consequently \( 1 - (1 - p) \cdot (1 - q) \) models \( p \land q \).

Henceforth, we will write \( P \triangleleft p \triangleright Q \) for \((p/p) :\rightarrow P + (1 - p/p) :\rightarrow Q\). For meadow enriched ACP process algebras that satisfy the cancellation axiom, \( P \triangleleft p \triangleright Q \) can be read as follows: if \( p \) equals 0 then \( P \) else \( Q \).

In subsequent sections, we write \( \Sigma_{mp} \) for the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

5 A Calculus for Meadow Enriched ACP Process Algebras

In this section, we associate a calculus with meadow enriched ACP process algebras. For that, we introduce, for all associative operators from the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras, variable-binding operators as generalizations. To build terms of the calculus, called binding terms, both the constants and operators from the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras and those variable-binding operators are available.

The sets of binding terms of sorts \( Q \) and \( P \), written \( BT_Q \) and \( BT_P \), respectively, are inductively defined by the following formation rules:

- if \( u \in U \), then \( u \in BT_Q \);
- if \( x \in X \), then \( x \in BT_P \);
- if \( c : \rightarrow S \) is a constant from \( \Sigma_{mp} \), then \( c \in BT_S \);
- if \( o : S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n \rightarrow S \) is an operator from \( \Sigma_{mp} \) and \( t_1 \in BT_{S_1}, \ldots, t_n \in BT_{S_n} \), then \( o(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in BT_S \);
- if \( u \in U \) and \( t \in BT_Q \), then, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( \sum^n u t \in BT_Q \) and \( \prod^n u t \in BT_Q \);
- if \( u \in U \) and \( t \in BT_P \), then, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( \sum^n u t \in BT_P \), \( \cdot^n u t \in BT_P \), and \( \parallel^n u t \in BT_P \).

\( \sum^n, \prod^n, +^n, \cdot^n, \) and \( \parallel^n \) are the variable-binding operators mentioned above. They bind variables that range over all quantities that can be denoted by numerals \( k \) where \( 0 \leq k < n \).

A binding term \( t \) is a comprehended term if it is a binding term of the form \( \hat{\diamond}_u t' \), where \( \hat{\diamond}^n \) is a variable-binding operator. Below, we will give the axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras. We have to do with a calculus because the distinction between free and bound variables is essential in applying the axioms concerning comprehended terms.

A variable \( u \in U \) occurs free in a binding term \( t \) if there is an occurrence of \( u \) in \( t \) that is not in a subterm of the form \( \hat{\diamond}_u t' \), where \( \hat{\diamond}^n \) is a variable-binding operator. A binding term \( t \) is closed if it is a binding term in which no variable occurs free.

Substitution of a binding term \( t' \) of sort \( P \) for a variable \( x \in X \) in a binding term \( t \), written \( t[t'/x] \), is defined by induction on the structure of \( t \) as usual:

1 We write \( \mathbb{N}^+ \) for the set \( \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \).

2 The name comprehended term originates from the name comprehended expression introduced in [24].
and 4, the equations in Table 5 are actually schemas of equations: algebras are the formulas given in Tables 1–5. Like some equations in Tables 3 and 4, the equations in Table 5 are actually schemas of equations: $p$ and $P$ are

$$
\sum_{n} p = \sum_{v}^n (p[v/u])
$$

$\sum_{u}^1 p = p[0/u]$

$\sum_{u}^{n+1} p = p[0/u] + \sum_{u}^n (p[u + 1/u])$

$\sum_{u}^n P = \sum_{v}^n (P[v/u])$

$\sum_{u}^1 P = P[0/u]$

$\sum_{u}^{n+1} P = P[0/u] + \sum_{u}^n (P[u + 1/u])$

$\Pi_{u}^n P = \Pi_{v}^n (P[v/u])$

$\Pi_{u}^1 P = P[0/u]$

$\Pi_{u}^{n+1} P = P[0/u] \cdot \Pi_{v}^n (P[u + 1/u])$

$\Pi_{u}^n P = \Pi_{v}^n (P[v/u])$

$\Pi_{u}^1 P = P[0/u]$

$\Pi_{u}^{n+1} P = P[0/u] \cdot \Pi_{v}^n (P[u + 1/u])$

and substitution of a binding term $t'$ of sort $Q$ for a variable $u \in U$ in a binding term $t$, written $t[t'/u]$, is defined by induction on the structure of $t$ as follows:

$v[t'/x] = v$

$y[t'/x] = \begin{cases} t' & \text{if } x \equiv y \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$c[t'/x] = c$

$\alpha(t_1, \ldots, t_n)[t'/x] = \alpha(t_1[t'/x], \ldots, t_n[t'/x])$

$(\Diamond_v^o t')(t'/x) = \Diamond_v^o (t''[t'/x])$

The essentiality of the distinction between free and bound variables in applying the axioms concerning comprehended terms originates from the substitutions involved in applying those axioms.

The axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras are the formulas given in Tables 1–5. Like some equations in Tables 3 and 4, the equations in Table 5 are actually schemas of equations: $p$ and $P$ are
syntactic variables which stand for arbitrary binding terms of sort \( Q \) and sort \( P \), respectively, and \( n \) stands for an arbitrary positive natural number.

The axioms given in Table 5 are called the axioms for comprehended terms. They consist of three axioms, including an \( \alpha \)-conversion axiom, for each of the variable-binding operators of the calculus. For each comprehended term, we can derive from these axioms that the comprehended term is equal to a term over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

**Theorem 1 (Elimination).** For all comprehended terms \( t \), there exists a term \( t' \) over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras such that \( t = t' \) is derivable from the axioms for comprehended terms.

**Proof.** If \( t \) is of the form \( \sum_u^n u \), \( \prod_u^n u \), \( +_u^n u \), \( \cdot_u^n u \), or \( \parallel_u^n u \), where \( t' \) is a term over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras of the right sort, then it is easy to prove by induction on \( n \) that there exists a term \( t' \) over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras such that \( t = t' \) is derivable from the axioms for comprehended terms. Using this fact, the general case is easily proved by induction on the depth of \( t \).

The comprehended terms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras are finitary comprehended terms because the variable-binding operators of the calculus bind variables with a finite range only. This is a prerequisite for elimination of variable-binding operators.

## 6 The Interpretation of Terms of the Calculus

In this section, we define the interpretation of terms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras. We assume that a fixed but arbitrary meadow enriched ACP process algebra \( \mathfrak{A} \) has been given.

We write \( \sigma_\mathfrak{A} \), where \( \sigma \) in \( \Sigma_{mp} \), for the interpretation of \( \sigma \) in \( \mathfrak{A} \). Moreover, we write \( f + 1 \), where \( f : Q_\mathfrak{A} \to Q_\mathfrak{A} \) or \( f : P_\mathfrak{A} \to P_\mathfrak{A} \), for the function \( f' : Q_\mathfrak{A} \to Q_\mathfrak{A} \) or \( f' : P_\mathfrak{A} \to P_\mathfrak{A} \), respectively, defined by \( f'(q) = f(q + 1) \).

The terms of the calculus introduced above can be interpreted in \( \mathfrak{A} \). To achieve that, we associate with each variable-binding operator \( \hat{n} \) of the calculus a function \( \hat{Q}^n_\mathfrak{A} : (Q_\mathfrak{A} \to Q_\mathfrak{A}) \to Q_\mathfrak{A} \) or \( \hat{\parallel}^n_\mathfrak{A} : (Q_\mathfrak{A} \to P_\mathfrak{A}) \to P_\mathfrak{A} \) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum^1_\mathfrak{A}(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) , \\
\sum^{n+1}_\mathfrak{A}(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) +_\mathfrak{A} \sum^n_\mathfrak{A}(f + 1) , \\
\prod^1_\mathfrak{A}(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) , \\
\prod^{n+1}_\mathfrak{A}(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) \cdot_\mathfrak{A} \prod^n_\mathfrak{A}(f + 1) , \\
[_{\mathfrak{A}}^1(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) , \\
[_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n+1}(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) \cdot_\mathfrak{A} [_{\mathfrak{A}}^n(f + 1) , \\
[\mathfrak{A}]_1(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) , \\
[\mathfrak{A}]^{n+1}_1(f) &= f(0_\mathfrak{A}) \! [\mathfrak{A}]_n(f + 1) .
\end{align*}
\]
The interpretation of a term of the calculus in $\mathfrak{A}$ depends on the elements of $Q_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $P_{\mathfrak{A}}$ that are associated with the variables that occur free in it. We model such associations by functions $\rho: (U \cup \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (Q_{\mathfrak{A}} \cup P_{\mathfrak{A}})$ such that $u \in U \Rightarrow \rho(u) \in Q_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X} \Rightarrow \rho(x) \in P_{\mathfrak{A}}$. These functions are called assignments in $\mathfrak{A}$. We write $\mathit{Ass}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ for the set of all assignments in $\mathfrak{A}$. For each assignment $\rho \in \mathit{Ass}_{\mathfrak{A}}$, $u \in U$ and $q \in Q_{\mathfrak{A}}$, we write $\rho(u \rightarrow q)$ for the unique assignment $\rho' \in \mathit{Ass}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ such that $\rho'(v) = \rho(v)$ if $v \neq u$ and $\rho'(u) = q$.

The interpretation of terms of the calculus in a meadow enriched ACP process algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ is given by the function $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}: (BT_{Q} \cup BT_{P}) \rightarrow (\mathit{Ass}_{\mathfrak{A}} \rightarrow (Q_{\mathfrak{A}} \cup P_{\mathfrak{A}}))$ defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\llbracket u \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) &= \rho(u), \\
\llbracket x \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) &= \rho(x), \\
\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) &= c_\mathfrak{A}, \\
\llbracket o(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) &= o_\mathfrak{A}(\llbracket t_1 \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho), \ldots, \llbracket t_n \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho)), \\
\llbracket \bigcirc^n t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) &= \bigcirc^n_\mathfrak{A}(f), \text{ where } f \text{ is defined by } f(q) = \llbracket t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho(u \rightarrow q)).
\end{align*}
\]

The axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras are sound with respect to the interpretation of the terms of the calculus given above.

**Theorem 2 (Soundness).** For all equations $t = t'$ that belong to the axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras, we have that $\llbracket t \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho) = \llbracket t' \rrbracket_{\mathfrak{A}}(\rho)$ for all assignments $\rho \in \mathit{Ass}_{\mathfrak{A}}$.

**Proof.** For all equations $t = t'$ that belong to the axioms for meadow enriched ACP process algebras, the soundness follows immediately from the fact that $\mathfrak{A}$ is a meadow enriched ACP process algebra. For all equations $t = t'$ that belong to the axioms for comprehended terms, the soundness is easily proved by induction on the structure of $t$. \qed

7 The Binary Variable-Binding Operators

Full elimination of all variable-binding operators occurring in a comprehended term can lead to a combinatorial explosion. In this section, we show that no combinatorial explosion takes place if variable-binding operators that bind variables with a two-valued range are still permitted in the resulting term.

We begin by looking at an example. From the axioms for comprehended terms, we easily derive the equation

\[
\sum_{u}^{7} p = p[u/\bar{u}] + \cdots + p[u/\bar{u}] .
\]

This suggests that full elimination of variable-binding operators leads to combinatorial explosions. Using the axioms for comprehended terms as well as other axioms of the calculus, we derive the following:
\[ p[0/u] + \cdots + p[6/u] \]
\[ = p[0/u] + \cdots + p[6/u] + 0 \]
\[ = (0 < 1 - s(u - \tilde{u}) \triangleright p)[0/u] + \cdots + (0 < 1 - s(u - \tilde{u}) \triangleright p)[7/u] \]
\[ = \sum_u^2 (\sum_u^2 (\sum_u^2 ((0 < 1 - s(u - \tilde{u}) \triangleright p)[2 \cdot w + 2^1 \cdot v + 2^0 \cdot u/u]))) \]
\[ = \sum_u^2 (\sum_u^2 (\sum_u^2 ((0 < 1 - s(u - \tilde{u}) \triangleright p)[2 \cdot w + v/v]))) \].

This suggests that elimination of variable-binding operators other than the ones that bind variables with a two-valued range does not have to lead to combinatorial explosions. However, a counterpart of the first step in the derivation above does not exist for comprehended terms of the forms \( {}^n_u p \) and \( \parallel^n_u p \) because identity elements for sequential composition and parallel composition are missing.

Henceforth, we will use the term binary variable-binding operators for the variable-binding operators that bind variables with a two-valued range and the term non-binary variable-binding operators for the other variable-binding operators.

The size of binding terms is given by the function \( \text{size} : (BT_Q \cup BT_P) \to \mathbb{N} \) defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{size}(u) & = 1, \\
\text{size}(x) & = 1, \\
\text{size}(c) & = 1, \\
\text{size}(o(t_1, \ldots, t_n)) & = \text{size}(t_1) + \cdots + \text{size}(t_n) + 1, \\
\text{size}(\Diamond^n_u(t)) & = \text{size}(t) + \log_2(n) + 1. \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

The summand \( \log_2(n) \) occurs in the equation for the size of a term of the form \( \Diamond^n_u(t) \) because having (the cardinality of) the range of \( u \) encoded in the variable-binding operator is an artifice that must be taken into account using the most efficient way in which \( u \) could be represented by a binding term. It follows from Proposition 1 formulated below that the size of this term is of order \( \log_2(n) \).

The important insights relevant to elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators are brought together in the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.** From the axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras, we can derive the equations from Table 6 for each binding term \( p \) of sort \( Q \), binding term \( P \) of sort \( P \), and \( n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \).

**Proof.** It follows immediately from the axioms for comprehended terms that the first two equations for \( \sum^n_u \) are derivable. It is easy to prove by induction on \( n \) that

\[ \sum^n_u p = \sum^n_u (p[2 \cdot u/u]) + \sum^n_u (p[2 \cdot u + 1/u]) \]

is derivable. From this it follows easily that the third equation for \( \sum^n_u \) is derivable. It is easy to prove by induction on \( n \) that

\( \log_2(n) \) is implicitly meant.

\[ \text{size}(\Diamond^n_u(t)) = \text{size}(t) + \log_2(n) + 1. \quad (3) \]

---

\( \text{We use the convention that, whenever we write } \log_2(n) \text{ in a context requiring a natural number, } [\log_2(n)] \text{ is implicitly meant.} \)
is derivable. Using this fact, it is easy to prove by induction on \( n \) that for all \( m \geq n + 1: \)

\[
\sum_{u}^{n+1} p = \sum_{u}^{n}(0 < 1 - s(u - n) > p)
\]

is derivable. From this it follows easily that the fourth equation for \( \sum_{n} \) is derivable. The proofs for the equations for \( \prod_{n} \cdot_{n} \cdot_{n} \cdot_{n} \cdot_{n} \) and \( \parallel_{n} \) go analogously, with the exception of the fourth equation for \( \cdot_{n} \) and \( \parallel_{n} \). It is easy to prove by induction on \( n \) that for all \( m < n: \)

\[
\cdot_{n} P = \cdot_{n} P \cdot_{n} \cdot_{n} \cdot_{n} (P[m + u/u])
\]

is derivable. From this it follows easily that the fourth equation for \( \cdot_{n} \) is derivable. The proof for the fourth equation for \( \parallel_{n} \) goes analogously. \( \Box \)
The axioms for comprehended terms give rise to a corollary about full elimination of all variable-binding operators.

**Corollary 1.** Let $t$ be a comprehended term without comprehended terms as proper subterms, and let $k = \text{size}(t)$. Then there exists a term $t'$ over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras such that $t = t'$ is derivable from the axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras and

- $\text{size}(t') = O(k^3)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$;
- $\text{size}(t') = \Omega(k^2)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$;
- $\text{size}(t') = O(k^3)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$;
- $\text{size}(t') = \Omega(k^2)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$ and the number of times that $u$ occurs free in $t''$ is greater than zero.

**Proof.** Term $t$ is a binding term of the form $\diamondsuit^u_n t''$, where $\diamondsuit^u_n$ is a variable-binding operator. Let $k' = \text{size}(t''')$, let $k''$ be the number of times that $u$ occurs free in $t'''$, and let $l_i$ (0 ≤ i < n) be the size of the smallest term $p$ over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras such that $p = \frac{1}{u}$. Then $\text{size}(t') = n \cdot k' + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (k'' \cdot l_i) + n - 1$. Because $k = k' + \log_2(n) + 1$, we know that $k < k' + \log_2(n) < k$ and $k < 2k''$. Moreover, we know that $k'' < k'$ and $l_i = \Theta(\log_3(i + 1))$. Hence $\text{size}(t') = O(k^3)$.

Proposition 1 gives rise to a corollary about full elimination of all non-binary variable-binding operators.

**Corollary 2.** Let $t$ be a comprehended term without comprehended terms as proper subterms, and let $k = \text{size}(t)$. Then there exists a binding term $t'$ without non-binary variable-binding operators such that $t = t'$ is derivable from the axioms of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras and

- $\text{size}(t') = O(k^3)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$;
- $\text{size}(t') = \Omega(k^2)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$;
- $\text{size}(t') = O(k^3)$ if $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$ and the number of times that $u$ occurs free in $t''$ is greater than zero.

**Proof.** Firstly, we consider the case where $t$ is a term of the form $\sum_u^n t''$, $\prod_u^n t''$ or $\frac{1}{u} t''$. Let $k' = \text{size}(t''')$, let $k''$ be the number of times that $u$ occurs free in $t'''$, and let $l'_u$ be the size of the smallest term $p$ over the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras such that $p = 1 - s(u - u)$. Then $\text{size}(t') = k' + \sum_{i=0}^{\log_2(n)} (k'' \cdot (6 \cdot i)) + \log_2(n) \cdot (\log_2(n) + 1) + 4 \cdot l'_u + 6$. Because $k = 
and treatment in this paper is based on [1]. The first treatment that yields an associative parallel operator can be explained as follows:

In this section, we investigate the effect of adding an identity element for sequential composition, as well as parallel composition. In the setting of ACP, the addition of the empty process constant has been achieved with the binary variable-binding operators presented above.

Corollaries 1 and 2 show that much of the compactness that can be achieved with the variable-binding operators of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras on the result concerning elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators.

In Corollary 2, size(t') is $O(k^4)$ instead of $O(k^3)$ if t is of the form $u^n t''$ or $\parallel_u^n t''$. The origin of this that ACP process algebras do not have identity elements for sequential and parallel composition. In the setting of ACP, the identity element for sequential composition, as well as parallel composition, is known as the empty process.

8 Adding an Identity Element for Sequential Composition

In this section, we investigate the effect of adding an identity element for sequential composition to ACP process algebras on the result concerning elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators presented above.

The signature of these algebras is the signature of ACP process algebras extended with the following:

- the empty process constant $\epsilon : \rightarrow P$;
- the unary termination operator $\sqrt{\cdot} : P \rightarrow P$.

Let P be a closed term of sort P. Intuitively, the additional constant and operator can be explained as follows:

- $\epsilon$ is only capable of terminating successfully;
- $\sqrt{(P)}$ is only capable of terminating successfully if P is capable of terminating successfully and is not capable of doing anything otherwise.

In the setting of ACP, the addition of the empty process constant has been treated in several ways. The treatment in [18] yields a non-associative parallel composition operator. The first treatment that yields an associative parallel composition operator [27] is from 1986, but was not published until 1997. The treatment in this paper is based on [1].
Table 7. Replacing and additional axioms for empty process constant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Equation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$x \cdot \varepsilon = x$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon = \varepsilon$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon \cdot x = x$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon \cdot x = x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x \mid y + \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{x + y} = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x \parallel \varepsilon = x$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{x \cdot y} = \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon \parallel x = \delta$</td>
<td>$\sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y} = \sqrt{y} \cdot \sqrt{x}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\varepsilon \mid x = \delta$</td>
<td>$x + \sqrt{x} = x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\partial_{\iota}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An ACP process algebra with an identity element for sequential composition is an algebra with the signature of ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition that satisfies the formulas given in Table 3 with the exception of $x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x \mid y$ and the formulas given in Table 7.

We could dispense with the equations $a \parallel x = a \cdot x$ and $a \cdot b \cdot x = (a \mid b) \cdot x$ from Table 3 because they have become derivable from the other equations. In spite of the replacement of the equation $x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x \mid y$ by the equation $x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x \mid y + \sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y}$, the equations characterizing ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition constitute a conservative extension of the equations characterizing ACP process algebras. The equation $\sqrt{x} \cdot \sqrt{y} = \sqrt{y} \cdot \sqrt{x}$ is of importance because it makes the equation $(x \parallel y) \parallel z = x \parallel (y \parallel z)$ derivable. The equation $x + \sqrt{x} = x$ is of importance because it makes the equation $x \parallel \varepsilon = x$ derivable.

Meadow enriched ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition are defined like meadow enriched ACP process algebras. We can associate a calculus with meadow enriched ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition like we did before for meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

By the addition of an identity element for sequential composition, the properties of $\cdot^n$ and $\parallel^n$ with respect to elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators become comparable to the properties of $\sum^n$, $\prod^n$ and $\mp^n$ with respect to elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators.

**Proposition 2.** From the axioms of the above-mentioned calculus, we can derive the following equations for each binding term $P$ of sort $P$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$:

\[
\cdot^{n+1}_u P = \cdot^n_u (\varepsilon < 1 - \mathbf{s}(u - w) \triangleright P) \quad \text{if } n + 1 \leq 2^m,
\]

\[
\parallel^{n+1}_u P = \parallel^n_u (\varepsilon < 1 - \mathbf{s}(u - w) \triangleright P) \quad \text{if } n + 1 \leq 2^m.
\]

**Proof.** The proofs for these equations go analogously to the proofs for the last equations for $\sum^n$, $\prod^n$ and $\mp^n$ in the proof of Proposition 1. $\square$

Proposition 2 gives rise to a corollary about full elimination of the non-binary variable-binding operators for sequential and parallel composition in the presence of an identity element for sequential composition.
Corollary 3. Let $t$ be a comprehended term of the form $\cdot^n_u t''$ or $\parallel^n_u t''$ without comprehended terms as proper subterms, and let $k = \text{size}(t)$. Then there exists a binding term $t'$ without non-binary variable-binding operators such that $t = t'$ is derivable from the axioms of the above-mentioned calculus and $\text{size}(t') = \Omega(k^2)$.

Proof. The proof goes analogously to the case where $t$ is of the form $\sum^n_u t''$, $\Pi^n_u t''$ or $\bigoplus^n_u t''$ in the proof of Corollary 2. □

9 Adding Process Sequences

In this section, we introduce process sequences to demonstrate that there is an alternative to introducing variable-binding operators for all associative binary operators on processes.

The signature of ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition and process sequences is the signature of ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition extended with the sort $PS$ of process sequences and the following constants and operators:

- the empty process sequence constant $\langle \rangle : \rightarrow PS$;
- the unary singleton process sequence operator $\langle \_ \rangle : P \rightarrow PS$;
- the binary process sequence concatenation operator $\bowtie : PS \times PS \rightarrow PS$;
- the unary generalized alternative composition operator $\bot : PS \rightarrow P$;
- the unary generalized sequential composition operator $\odot : PS \rightarrow P$;
- the unary generalized parallel composition operator $\parallel : PS \rightarrow P$.

We assume that there is a countably infinite set $V$ of variables of sort $PS$, which contains $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$, with and without subscripts. We use the same notational conventions as before. In addition, we use infix notation for the binary operator $\bowtie$ and mixfix notation for the unary operator $\bot$.

The constant and the first two operators introduced above are the usual ones for sequences, which gives an appropriate intuition about them. The remaining three operators introduced above generalize alternative, sequential and parallel composition to an arbitrary finite number of processes.

An ACP process algebra with an identity element for sequential composition and process sequences is an algebra with the signature of ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition and process sequences that satisfies the formulas given in Table 3 with the exception of $x \parallel y = (x \parallel y + y \parallel x) + x \parallel y$ and the formulas given in Tables 7 and 8.

If we would introduce process sequences in the absence of an identity element for sequential composition, we should consider non-empty process sequences only.

Meadow enriched ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition and process sequences are defined like meadow enriched ACP process algebras. We can associate a calculus with meadow enriched ACP process algebras with an identity element for sequential composition and process sequences like we did before for meadow enriched ACP process algebras. Moreover, we can extend the resulting calculus with variable-binding operators that
Table 8. Additional axioms for process sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Axiom</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \alpha \cdot (\cdot) = \alpha )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) = (\cdot) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) = (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) = (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) \cdot (\cdot) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9. Additional axioms for comprehended terms of sort \( PS \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\circ_n^n S &= \circ_{v^n(S[v/u])}^n S[v/u] \\
\circ_1^n S &= S[0/u] \\
\circ_{n+1}^n S &= S[0/u] \circ \circ_u^n(S[u + 1/u]) 
\end{align*}
\]

generalize the process sequence concatenation operator. For the terms of the extended calculus, we need the following additional formation rule:

- if \( u \in U \) and \( t \in BT_{PS} \), then, for each \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), \( \circ_u^n t \in BT_{PS} \).

The axioms of the extended calculus are the formulas given in Tables 1–5 and 7–9. Like some equations in Tables 3–5, the equations in Table 9 are actually schemas of equations: \( S \) is a syntactic variable which stands for an arbitrary binding term of sort \( PS \), and \( n \) stands for an arbitrary positive natural number.

The properties of \( \circ_u^n \) with respect to elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators are comparable to the properties of \( +_u^n \), \( \cdot_u^n \) and \( \parallel_u^n \) with respect to elimination of non-binary variable-binding operators.

**Proposition 3.** From the axioms of the extended calculus, we can derive the following equations for each binding term \( S \) of sort \( PS \) and \( n, m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\circ_1^n S &= S[0/u] \\
\circ_2^n S &= S[0/u] \circ S[1/u] \\
\circ_{2n+1}^n S &= \circ_{2n}^n (S[2^n \cdot v + u/u]) \\
\circ_{n+1}^n S &= \circ_{2n}^n (\cdot 1 - S(u - n)) S \text{ if } n + 1 \leq 2^n. 
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** The proof goes analogously to the case of the equations for \( \sum_u^n \) in the proof of Proposition 1. \( \square \)

Proposition 3 gives rise to a corollary about full elimination of the non-binary variable-binding operators for process sequence concatenation.

**Corollary 4.** Let \( t \) be a comprehended term of the form \( \circ_u^n t'' \) without comprehended terms as proper subterms, and let \( k = size(t) \). Then there exists a
binding term \( t' \) without non-binary variable-binding operators such that \( t = t' \) is derivable from the axioms of the extended calculus and size\((t') = O(k^3) \) and size\((t') = \Omega(k^2) \).

**Proof.** The proof goes analogously to the case where \( t \) is of the form \( \sum_u t'' \), \( \prod_u t'' \) or \( +_u t'' \) in the proof of Corollary 2. \( \square \)

In the presence of the operators \(+, \cdot, \parallel\) and the variable-binding operator \( \blacklozenge^n \), the variable-binding operators \( +_u^n, \cdot^n, \parallel^n \) are superfluous.

**Proposition 4.** From the axioms of the extended calculus, we can derive the following equations for each binding term \( P \) of sort \( P \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \):

\[
+_u^n P = +\left( \blacklozenge_u^n(P) \right), \quad \cdot_u^n P = \cdot\left( \blacklozenge_u^n(P) \right), \quad \parallel_u^n P = \parallel\left( \blacklozenge_u^n(P) \right).
\]

**Proof.** This is easy to prove by induction on \( n \). \( \square \)

If we would introduce quantity sequences as well, we could get a similar result for the variable-binding operators \( \sum^n \) and \( \prod^n \).

## 10 Conclusions

For all associative operators from the signature of meadow enriched ACP process algebras, we have introduced variable-binding operators as generalizations. Thus, we have obtained a process calculus whose terms can be interpreted in all meadow enriched ACP process algebras. We have shown that the use of variable-binding operators that bind variables with a two-valued range can already have a major impact on the size of terms, and that the impact can be further increased if we add an identity element for sequential composition to meadow enriched ACP process algebras. In addition, we have demonstrated that we do not need variable-binding operators for all associative operators on processes if we add a sort of process sequences and the right operators on process sequences to meadow enriched ACP process algebras.

All variable-binding operators of the calculus associated with meadow enriched ACP process algebras can be eliminated from all terms of the calculus by means of its axioms, and all terms of the calculus can be directly interpreted in meadow enriched ACP process algebras. Therefore, although they yield a calculus, we consider these variable-binding operators to constitute a process algebraic feature. Fitting them in with an algebraic framework does not involve any serious theoretical complication.

Different from the variable-binding operators introduced in this paper, the variable-binding operators from \( \mu \text{CRL} \) and PSF which generalize associative operators of ACP do not give rise to finitary comprehended terms. It is much more difficult to fit the variable-binding operators from those formalisms in with an algebraic framework, see e.g. [19]. This also holds for the integration operator, which is found in extensions of the axiom system ACP concerning timed processes to allow for the alternative composition of a continuum of differently timed processes to be expressed (see e.g. [2]).
We have also attempted to fit variable-binding operators that bind variables with an infinite range in an algebraic framework. We have looked at binding algebras [26], which are second-order algebras of a specific kind that covers variable-binding operators. The problem is that the theory of binding algebras is insufficiently elaborate for our purpose. For example, it is not known whether the important characterization results from the theory of first-order algebras, i.e. Birkhoff’s variety result and Malcev’s quasi-variety result (see e.g. [12, 23]), have generalizations for binding algebras.

It is known that many important results from the theory of first-order algebras, including the above-mentioned ones, have generalizations for higher-order algebras as considered in the theory of general higher-order algebras developed in [21, 17, 22]. Therefore, we have also considered the replacement of variable-binding operators by higher-order operators that give rise to such higher-order algebras. However, owing to the absence of bound variables, additional higher-order operators are needed which serve the same purpose as the combinators of combinatory logic [16]. Thus, this leads to the line taken earlier with combinatory process algebra [4].
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