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ABSTRACT
The X-ray transient IGR J18245–2452 in the globular cluster M28 contains the first neutron star (NS) seen to switch between rotation-powered and accretion-powered pulsations. We analyse its 2013 March–April 25 d long outburst as observed by Swift, which had a peak bolometric luminosity of ∼6 per cent of the Eddington limit (L_{Edd}), and give detailed properties of the thermonuclear burst observed on 2013 April 7. We also present a detailed analysis of new and archival Chandra data, which we use to study quiescent emission from IGR J18245–2452 between 2002 and 2013. Together, these observations cover almost five orders of magnitude in X-ray luminosity (L_X, 0.5–10 keV). The Swift spectrum softens during the outburst decay (photon index Γ from 1.3 above L_X/L_{Edd} = 10^{-2} to ∼2.5 at L_X/L_{Edd} = 10^{-4}), similar to other NS and black hole transients. At even lower luminosities, L_X/L_{Edd} = [10^{-4}–10^{-6}], deep Chandra observations reveal hard (Γ = 1–1.5), purely non-thermal and highly variable X-ray emission in quiescence. We therefore find evidence for a spectral transition at L_X/L_{Edd} ∼ 10^{-4}, where the X-ray spectral softening observed during the outburst decline turns into hardening as the source goes to quiescence. Furthermore, we find a striking variability pattern in the 2008 Chandra light curves: rapid switches between a high-luminosity ‘active’ state (L_X ∼ 3.9 × 10^{33} erg s^{-1}) and a low-luminosity ‘passive’ state (L_X ∼ 5.6 × 10^{32} erg s^{-1}), with no detectable spectral change. We put our results in the context of low-luminosity accretion flows around compact objects and X-ray emission from millisecond radio pulsars. Finally, we discuss possible origins for the observed mode switches in quiescence, and explore a scenario where they are caused by fast transitions between the magnetospheric accretion and pulsar wind shock emission regimes.


1 INTRODUCTION

Globular clusters have proved to be excellent locations for the study of low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs). This is due in part to the dynamical production of LMXBs through stellar interactions, which enhances the number of LMXBs per unit stellar mass by a factor of
escent LMXBs exist in the Galactic globular cluster system, identified in Bahramian et al. 2013, and references therein). Most LMXBs in 4 systems. About half are transients, detectable in outburst of thermonuclear bursts (in 16 systems) or accretion-powered pulsations (in 4 systems). Undergoing little or no accretion. An estimated total of ~200 quiescent LMXBs exist in the Galactic globular cluster system, identified through deep observations with the high-resolution Chandra satellite (Pooley et al. 2003; Heinke, Grindlay & Edmonds 2005). Quiescent NS-LMXBs typically produce blackbody-like thermal emission from their surfaces at $L_X \sim 10^{22} - 10^{23}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Rutledge et al. 2000), although a substantial sub-set of quiescent NS-LMXB spectra are dominated by non-thermal emission of unknown origin, perhaps produced by continued low-level accretion or a pulsar wind shock (Campana et al. 1998; Wijnands et al. 2005). The thermal NS surface emission is understood to be due to the release of heat deposited in the deep crust during accretion outbursts (Brown, Bildsten & Rutledge 1998; Rutledge et al. 2002).

The globular cluster M28 (NGC 6626) is home to 12 known millisecond radio pulsars (MRPs; Begin 2006; Bogdanov et al. 2011a). Numerous other faint X-ray sources in the cluster were identified with deep Chandra Advanced CCD Imaging Spectrometer (ACIS) observations in 2002 and 2008, including a relatively bright source (S26) with a soft spectrum indicative of a quiescent NS-LMXB (Becker et al. 2003; Servillat et al. 2012). An unusual, sub-luminous (~0.02 of the Eddington luminosity) X-ray burst was detected from the direction of M28’s core by ASCA, during a time when no LMXB was obviously active in the cluster, suggesting low-level accretion in quiescence (Gottshel & Kulkarni 1997).

A new X-ray transient was discovered by INTEGRAL on 2013 March 28, from a position consistent with M28, and named IGR J18245–2452 (Eckert et al. 2013). Using Swift X-ray Telescope (XRT) observations, Heinke et al. (2013) confirmed the association of the new transient with the core of M28. Romano et al. (2013) and Barthelmy et al. (2013) reported analyses of the X-ray light curve and spectrum of IGR J18245–2452 near the outburst peak, which triggered the Swift Burst Alert Telescope on three occasions (Romano et al. 2013). A type I (thermonuclear) X-ray burst from IGR J18245–2452 was detected with the Swift-XRT on 2013 April 07 (Linares 2013; Papitto et al. 2013b), identifying the source as an NS-LMXB. Pavan et al. (2013) reported the radio detection and sub-arcsecond position of IGR J18245–2452 in outburst, on 2013 April 05, which is consistent with only one source from Becker et al. (2003): S23. Using the improved radio location a variable likely optical counterpart to IGR J18245–2452 was found in archival Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations (Cohn et al. 2013; Pallanca et al. 2013). A Chandra observation taken on 2013 April 28 (Homan & Pooley 2013) showed no new bright sources in the core of M28, and S23 at a luminosity higher than that measured in 2002.

Papitto et al. (2013a) discovered 254 Hz X-ray pulsations during two XMM observations taken on 2013 April 3 and 13, and identified the new transient NS-LMXB with a previously known MRP in an 11 h orbit: PSR J1824–24521 (Begin 2006). MRPs (Backer et al. 1982) have long been thought to be the evolutionary end point of NS-LMXBs (Alpar et al. 1982). The discovery of accretion-powered millisecond pulsars (AMPs; Wijnands & van der Klis 1998) provided strong evidence for such evolutionary link. While other indirect evidence has been presented (Burderi et al. 2003; Archibald et al. 2009), Papitto et al. (2013a) found IGR J18245–2452 to be the first system directly observed to switch between MRP and AMP phases at different times. IGR J18245–2452 was detected again as an MRP after the 2013 outburst (Papitto et al. 2013c). Burst oscillations were found in the April 07 burst observed by Swift, at the 254 Hz spin frequency (Papitto et al. 2013a; Patruno 2013; Riggio et al. 2013).

We present a detailed analysis of the 2013 March–April outburst of IGR J18245–2452 as observed by Swift, as well as the thermonuclear burst that led to its NS-LMXB classification (Section 3.1). We also study the quiescent properties of IGR J18245–2452, using deep Chandra observations of M28, and we find that it features a hard, purely nonthermal and highly variable quiescent spectrum. We find evidence for a spectral transition around $L_X/L_{Edd} \sim 10^{-2}$ (Section 3.2), and a striking variability pattern in the longest Chandra observations of IGR J18245–2452 in quiescence (Section 3.3). We discuss our results in Section 4, in the context of low-luminosity accretion flows, quiescent emission from NS-LMXBs and X-ray emission from MRPs.

2 DATA ANALYSIS

We give in this section a detailed explanation of the data reduction and analysis procedures. All luminosities, radiated energies and radii in this work use 5.5 kpc, the distance reported by Harris (1996, 2010 revision) based on HST photometry of M28/NGC 6626 (Testa et al. 2001). When normalizing luminosities to the Eddington limit, we use $L_{Edd} = 2.5 \times 10^{38}$ erg s$^{-1}$. Unless otherwise noted, uncertainties are quoted at the 1σ confidence level and fluxes and luminosities are given in the 0.5–10 keV band. Since hard states emit a sizeable fraction of their total luminosity outside the 0.5–10 keV band covered by the Swift-XRT, a bolometric correction $(f_{bol} = 3)$ is applied when estimating mass accretion rates (see, e.g. in’t Zand, Jonker & Markwardt 2007).

2.1 Swift

We analysed all Swift-XRT observations of M28 available in 2013 August, totalling 28 observations and about 37 ks of on-source time, including pre-planned (target numbers 90 442, 32 785 and 32 787) and triggered (triggers 2336, 2369 and 2370) observations. All products were extracted using ftools (v. 6.12). The only Swift observation taken before the discovery outburst of IGR J18245–2452 (on 2010-06-24) shows a blend of very faint unresolved sources in the core of M28 (Becker et al. 2003, see below), and was not included in the rest of the analysis.

One persistent and one background spectrum were extracted per observation (after running xrtpipeline, v. 0.12.6) using pointed data in windowed timing (WT) or photon counting (PC) mode (taking the longest exposure when both modes were present in the same observation). A circular extraction region with a 30 pixel radius centred on the source position (Romano et al. 2013) was used for most observations; an annular region with 5 and 40 pixel radii was used in the brightest PC mode observations in order to correct for pile-up. The X-ray burst was excluded to obtain the persistent spectrum of the corresponding observation. We created ancilliary response files.
using XRTMKARF (v. 0.6.0) and an exposure map for each event file using XRTEXPMAP (v. 0.2.7), thereby correcting for vignetting and the XRT’s point spread function (PSF). After grouping the spectra to a minimum of 15 counts per bin, we fitted them in the 0.5–10 keV band within XSPEC (v. 12.7.1; Arnaud 1996) using the latest response matrices from the calibration data base (0 to 12s6_20070901v011 and 0 to 2s6_20070901v012 for PC and WT mode, respectively) and a simple absorbed power-law model.

Swift-XRT cannot resolve the numerous faint ($L_X \lesssim 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$) X-ray sources often present in the centre of globular clusters. In the case of M28, twelve sources have been detected with Chandra within the 0.24 arcmin core radius (Becker et al. 2003), which is similar to Swift-XRT’s half-power diameter, 0.3 arcmin. The standard background subtraction method, which uses a source free region outside the globular cluster’s core, does not take into account the contribution from nearby faint X-ray sources in the centre of M28, which we refer to as ‘unresolved faint-source background’ (UFB). We investigated whether or not this additional background component affects the flux and spectral parameters from IGR J18245–2452 measured with the XRT, as follows. We extracted a spectrum from the 2002 and 2008 Chandra-ACIS observations of M28 (Section 2.2) using the same (∼1.2 arcmin radius) region used for Swift-XRT extraction but excluding our source of interest, IGR J18245–2452. The resulting UFB spectrum does not change between the 2002 and 2008 observations and can be fitted with an absorbed power-law model with photon index 1.96 ± 0.06 (90 per cent c.l.; $N_H = 2 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$), which yields a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $\sim 4.5 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and an XRT count rate of $\sim 1.8 \times 10^{-2}$ counts s$^{-1}$.

We then repeated the XRT spectral fits of the persistent emission, adding a second power-law component to the model with parameters frozen at the values found for the UFB spectrum (and a multiplicative constant fixed at 0.926 to take into account the absolute flux calibration difference between ACIS-S3 and the XRT, Tsujimoto et al. 2011). Thus, the final model used was phabs*(powerlaw + constant*powerlaw), where the second term is kept fixed and accounts for the UFB. We thereby fit only excess emission above the UFB, i.e. from our source of interest (the only known variable source within the extraction region).

For luminosities above $10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$, we find spectral parameters fully consistent with those found with the standard background subtraction method. When IGR J18245–2452’s luminosity drops below $10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$, however, its flux becomes comparable to that of the UFB and its spectrum cannot be well constrained with Swift-XRT. We therefore excluded those observations taken between April 19 and May 06, when IGR J18245–2452 was too faint to be disentangled from the UFB. We also fitted together three observations taken on consecutive days (April 15–17) in order to improve the spectral constraints on IGR J18245–2452 at the faintest luminosities accessible to Swift (∼$4 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$). Finally, we verified that fixing $N_H$ to the values found with Chandra yields consistent results ($N_H \equiv 2.6 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$) gives photon indices lower by 10 per cent, and a similar softening with decreasing $L_X$, although the fits with this model are worse than those using our preferred free $N_H$ model; Section 3).

For the burst time-resolved spectroscopy, we extracted contiguous spectra in 2 s steps (4 s in the last stages of the burst tail) and used an ∼100 s long interval as background, therefore assuming that the persistent emission remains constant during the burst. As the burst peak count rate was higher than 300 counts s$^{-1}$, above the nominal pile-up limit for WT mode, we used an annular extraction region with radii of 1 and 20 pixels centred on the XRT position (Romano et al. 2013). We fitted the resulting spectra as above, but using this time an absorbed blackbody model with $N_H$ fixed at $4.4 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$, the value reported from XRT spectroscopy of IGR J18245–2452’s persistent emission (Heinke et al. 2013; Romano et al. 2013, we also checked that leaving $N_H$ free gives consistent results).

2.2 Chandra

We analysed all publicly available Chandra-ACIS-S observations of M28, totalling 41 ks in 2002 (July–August; obs. IDs 2684, 2685 and 2683; see Becker et al. 2003) and 199 ks in 2008 (August; obs. IDs 9132 and 9133; see Bogdanov et al. 2011a). Following the discovery of the new transient IGR J18245–2452 (Eckert et al. 2013, Section 1), we obtained a 15 ks Chandra ToO observation of M28 on 2013-04-28 (obs. ID 14166, Homan & Pooley 2013), which we also analyse herein. Table 1 shows a summary of the Chandra-ACIS observations and spectra. We also analysed the two publicly available Chandra High Resolution Camera (HRC-S) observations of M28, taken in 2002 (November, obs. 2797) and 2006 (May, obs. 6769). Even though no spectral information is available in the HRC data, we extracted background-corrected count rates from IGR J18245–2452 using a 1.6 arcsec-radius circular region, in order to constrain the source quiescent luminosity at all possible times. All products were extracted using tools and scripts from the latest available CIAO1 package (v. 4.5; Fruscione et al. 2006).

Fig. 1 shows four smoothed Chandra-ACIS-S images of M28’s core taken in 2002, 2008 during the passive state, 2008 during the active state and 2013 after the outburst of IGR J18245–2452 (see Table 1 for observation IDs and exposure times). See Sections 3.3 and 4.3, respectively, for a definition and discussion of active/passive states. Superposed are the initial Swift-XRT location of IGR J18245–2452 (Romano et al. 2013, green circle), the position of the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) radio counterpart found during its 2013 outburst (Pavan et al. 2013, blue circle) and the nearby faint X-ray sources studied by Becker et al. (2003, red circles). Only source 23 from Becker et al. (2003) is consistent with the ATCA position (as noted by Pavan et al. 2013; Papitto et al. 2013a), which is also consistent with the Chandra-HRC location reported by Papitto et al. (2013a), and marginally consistent with the Swift-XRT location (Romano et al. 2013, which was not ‘enhanced’ with UVOT attitude correction). Hence, we confirm the association of the new transient source IGR J18245–2452 with source 23 of Becker et al. (2003).

Source spectra were extracted from primary event files using circular regions of 2–4 pixel radii and the script SPECEXTRACT (v. 10), which creates the corresponding ancilliary response files corrected for Chandra’s PSF. We grouped the resulting spectra to a minimum of 15 counts per energy bin, and extracted the background spectrum from a 10 pixel circular source-free region. In order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) in the 2002 spectrum of IGR J18245–2452’s quiescent counterpart, we summed the spectra and event files from all three observations using the CIAO scripts COMBINE_SPECTRA and REPROJECT_OBS, respectively.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Outburst evolution and thermonuclear burst

Fig. 2 shows an overview of the 2013 outburst from IGR J18245–2452 including the evolution of the luminosity, photon index, and
Table 1. Observations and best-fitting parameters for the Chandra-ACIS spectra of IGR J18245–2452 in quiescence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epoch</th>
<th>Observation IDs</th>
<th>Date (d/m)</th>
<th>Exp. (ks)</th>
<th>Luminosity$^a$ (erg s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Flux$^b$ (erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\Gamma^b$</th>
<th>$N_H^c$ (10$^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/d.o.f.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>268-4,5,3</td>
<td>04/07/04,11/9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>[2.5 ± 0.8] × 10$^{32}$</td>
<td>[6.6 ± 2.0] × 10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>=2.6</td>
<td>2.6/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002$^d$</td>
<td>268-4,5,3</td>
<td>04/07/04,11/9</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>[2.2 ± 0.4] × 10$^{32}$</td>
<td>[5.4 ± 1.0] × 10$^{-14}$</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>=2.6</td>
<td>294.2/647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>9132(average)</td>
<td>07/8</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>[3.0 ± 0.1] × 10$^{33}$</td>
<td>[7.2 ± 0.3] × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>1.44 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>257.3/249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–A</td>
<td>9132(active)</td>
<td>07/8</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>[3.9 ± 0.1] × 10$^{33}$</td>
<td>[9.2 ± 0.4] × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.04</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td>33.2/27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008–P</td>
<td>9132(passive)</td>
<td>07/8</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>[5.6 ± 1.0] × 10$^{32}$</td>
<td>[1.3 ± 0.2] × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.15</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
<td>29.7/29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>14616</td>
<td>28/4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>[3.8 ± 0.7] × 10$^{33}$</td>
<td>[8.9 ± 1.6] × 10$^{-13}$</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>=2.6</td>
<td>9.5/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Luminosity in the 0.5–10 keV band. Flux in the 0.5–10 keV band, absorbed/observed.

$^b$Power-law photon index (photon flux $\propto E^{-\Gamma}$).

$^c$Absorbing column density; frozen values are noted with a ‘$\equiv$’ symbol.

$^d$Fit to the same 2002 spectrum using Cash’s C-statistic.

The burst was detected about 10 d after the beginning of the outburst (Linares et al. 2013; Papitto et al. 2013b), when the luminosity and inferred mass accretion rate ($\dot{M}$) were a few per cent of the Eddington limit ($\sim$4 per cent $L_{\text{Edd}}$ from the measured 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $3 \times 10^{36}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and using $\dot{M}_{\text{crit}} = 3$). At this $\dot{M}$, close to the boundary between the pure He and mixed H/He ignition regimes, $H$ is expected to burn stably and thus He ignition triggers the bursts (Bildsten 1998). The long decay time-scale, together with the lack of radius expansion, suggest that the burst ignites in a mix of H and He (e.g. Galloway et al. 2008). The total radiated bolometric burst energy that we measure, $3.9 \times 10^{39}$ erg, corresponds to an ignition depth of $\sim 9.6 \times 10^7$ g cm$^{-2}$ (assuming solar-abundance homogeneously distributed fuel releasing 4.4 MeV nucleon$^{-1}$; e.g. Galloway et al. 2008), which can be reached when accreting at 4 per cent of the Eddington rate in only $\sim 0.3$ d. This crude estimate of the expected burst recurrence time suggests that more thermonuclear bursts occurred during the 2013 $\sim 25$ d long outburst, but given that Swift-XRT observed IGR J18245–2452 for a total accumulated exposure of $\sim 0.4$ d, it is not surprising that it only detected one thermonuclear burst.

3.2 Between outburst and quiescence

We find an anticorrelation between the persistent luminosity, $L_X$, and the photon index, $\Gamma$, measured by Swift during the outburst peak and decay when $L_X/L_{\text{Edd}}$ decreased from $10^{-2}$ to $10^{-4}$, $\Gamma$ increases from 1.3 above $L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} = 10^{-2}$ to $\sim 2.5$ at $L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} = 10^{-4}$. This softening during the decay to quiescence (already noticeable in

---

Figure 1. Chandra-ACIS-S archival observations of the central parts of M28 in four epochs (from left to right): 2002, 2008 during the passive state, 2008 during the active state and 2013 after the outburst of IGR J18245–2452 (see the text for details). Image comparison showing the sources from Becker et al. (2003, S23), marginally consistent with the Chandra-ACIS-S X-ray pulsations (Papitto et al. 2013a) locations of the 2013 outburst from IGR J18245–2452 (90 per cent c.l. uncertainty of 3.5 arcsec), lies inside the ATCA position reported by Papitto et al. (2013a). The count rate light curve shows a simple exponential decay with an e-folding time of 26.6 $\pm$ 1.8 s. The blackbody radii that we measure during the active state and 2013 after the outburst of IGR J18245–2452 (see the text for details). Image comparison showing the sources from Becker et al. (2003, S23), marginally consistent with the
Figure 2. Luminosity (0.5–10 keV) and spectral evolution measured by Swift during the 2013 April outburst of IGR J18245–2452. Thick lines across the top axis mark the times of the thermonuclear burst (red arrow labelled ‘XB’; Fig. 3), the two detections of millisecond X-ray pulsations reported by Papitto et al. (2013a, XP) and the first detection of radio pulsations after the outburst found by Papitto et al. (2013c, RP). Open circles show data from our Chandra observation and horizontal lines on the top panel show our quiescent luminosity measurements from previous Chandra observations, as indicated (Table 1).

Table 2. Properties of the type I X-ray burst from IGR J18245–2452 observed by Swift on 2013-04-07.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak time (of max. net rate) (UTC)</td>
<td>22:15:42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rise time (25 per cent to 90 per cent of peak rate) (s)</td>
<td>6.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (until 10 per cent of peak rate) (s)</td>
<td>82.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolometric peak luminosity (10^{38} erg s^{-1})</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolometric radiated energy (10^{39} erg)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persistent L_X (0.5–10 keV; 10^{36} erg s^{-1})</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2, top two panels) can be clearly seen in Fig. 4, where we plot L_X versus $\Gamma$ over five orders of magnitude in L_X (note that special care was taken to avoid background contamination in the Swift spectra; Section 2.1). In order to test the significance of this anticorrelation, we calculate Spearman’s rank-order coefficient ($r$) using all (19) values of [L_X, $\Gamma$] measured with Swift and find $r = -0.80$, which deviates from 0 at the 4.1σ confidence level (the same test using only the brightest Swift-XRT data at L_X > 10^{35} erg s^{-1} gives $r = -0.67$ and a 2.8σ significance). Such softening of the X-ray spectrum from (hard state) outburst to quiescence has been previously seen in both NS and BH transients (Wu & Gu 2008; Armas Padilla et al. 2011; Plotkin, Gallo & Jonker 2013, see discussion in Section 4.1).

3.3 Variable quiescence

All Chandra spectra are well fitted with a simple absorbed power-law model. We present in Table 1 the results of the spectral fits to the Chandra-ACIS quiescent spectra at different epochs, between 2002 and 2013. We show the resulting L_X–$\Gamma$ relation in Fig. 4, which covers the L_X/L_Edd = [10^{-6}–10^{-4}] range, together with the Swift data at higher luminosities, L_X/L_Edd = [10^{-2}–10^{-1}].

Our Chandra analysis shows that IGR J18245–2452 is a hard, purely non-thermal X-ray source in quiescence, with a 0.5–10 keV luminosity that varies by more than one order of magnitude, 2.5 × 10^{32} erg s^{-1} in 2002 and up to 3.8 × 10^{33} erg s^{-1} in 2008, while the photon index $\Gamma$ remained between 1 and 1.5. Soon after
Figure 4. Photon index ($\Gamma$) versus luminosity ($L_X$) for IGR J18245–2452 from outburst to quiescence, spanning five orders of magnitude in $L_X$. The $\Gamma - L$ anticorrelation (‘softening towards quiescence’) at relatively high $L_X$ ($10^{33} - 10^{37}$ erg s$^{-1}$) turns into a positive correlation below $L_X \sim 10^{-4} L_{\text{Edd}}$. The red dotted line connects the 2008 active and passive states (Section 3.3; Figs 5 and 6).

Figure 5. Quiescent Chandra-ACIS-S unfolded spectra from IGR J18245–2452 (S23) at different epochs, as noted (A and P stand for active and passive states within quiescence in 2008, see the text). The 0.5–10 keV luminosity in erg s$^{-1}$, which varies by more than one order of magnitude, is indicated between parenthesis.

its 2013 outburst, during a Chandra observation taken on 2013-04-28 (Homan & Pooley 2013), we detected IGR J18245–2452 with the same luminosity ($3.9 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$) and spectral index ($\Gamma \simeq 1.5$) as those measured in 2008 (during its active state, see below).

We show in Fig. 5 a comparison between the unfolded quiescent spectra from IGR J18245–2452 at different epochs, to illustrate the large luminosity changes that take place with little or no change in the spectral shape. Given that all the spectra taken at luminosities below $10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$ ($L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} < 10^{-4}$), are harder than those measured by Swift in the latest stages of the outburst decay, we define quiescence as $L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} < 10^{-4}$ (Fig. 4). With this definition, and extrapolating the latest flux decay seen by Swift, we estimate that the outburst finished around 2013-04-22 (with an uncertainty of about 4 d) and lasted for 25 d, which implies that both 2013 Chandra observations (Homan & Pooley 2013; Papitto et al. 2013a) were taken when IGR J18245–2452 was in quiescence.

The most stringent limits on the thermal NS component come from the observations where IGR J18245–2452 is the faintest, taken in 2002. We extracted a spectrum from IGR J18245–2452 from the three 2002 ACIS-S observations combined (Section 2.2). The spectrum is well fitted with a simple absorbed power-law model, which yields a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $[2.5 \pm 0.8] \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (Table 1). In order to get upper limits on the NS temperature and luminosity in quiescence, we added an NS atmosphere component to the spectral model (NSATMOS, Heinke et al. 2006, assuming a 1.4 M$_\odot$, 10 km NS with normalization fixed at 1 and a distance of 5.5 kpc). We then fitted the spectrum using chi-squared statistics (binning to 15 counts/bin, which left us with six bins) and using unbinned data and the C-statistic. We obtain consistent constraints from the two methods. We find upper limits (at 90 per cent confidence) on the 0.1–10 keV (gravitationally redshifted) thermal quiescent luminosity $L_{\text{NS}}^{\infty} < 7 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and on the corresponding (intrinsic, redshift-corrected) NS surface effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} < 6.6 \times 10^5$ K.

The two public Chandra HRC-S observations (Section 2.2) show IGR J18245–2452 at slightly fainter levels than the 2002 ACIS observations. We find net source count rates of $(7.5 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-4}$ c s$^{-1}$ and $(7.1 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-4}$ c s$^{-1}$ in the 2002 November and 2006 May observations, respectively. Although these data provide...
that the spectrum does not continue to soften at luminosities the 2002 and 2006 HRC observations. Even though Fig. 6, left), but also three days later in the 55 ks long observation (right). The source switches between active (A) and passive (P) states (defined by the horizontal purple line), with a factor of \( \sim 7 \) change in luminosity and no detectable spectral change (Section 3.3). Vertical blue lines mark orbital phase 0.5 (from the ephemeris given by Papitto et al. 2013a).

Interestingly, comparing our Chandra and Swift results shows that the spectrum does not continue to soften at luminosities \( L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} \ll 10^{-4} \), but it hardens instead (Fig. 4). All four Chandra spectra (taken in 2002, 2008 and 2013) are consistent with \( \Gamma \simeq 1.5 \) (a fit to a constant \( \Gamma \) gives \( \chi^2 = 2.7 \) for 3 d.o.f. and a Spearman rank test yields no significant correlation, although the sample is obviously small and fractional errors on \( \Gamma \) are large). However, including the three lowest-\( L_X \) Swift measurements (at \( L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{-4} \)) yields \( r = 0.93 \) and a positive correlation with a 3σ significance. This strongly suggests that the X-ray spectrum hardens while reaching deep quiescence (\( L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} = 10^{-4} \rightarrow 10^{-6} \)), after softening in the hard state during the outburst decay (\( L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} = 10^{-2} \rightarrow 10^{-4} \), see Section 3.2). More frequent and sensitive observations of the decay to quiescence may allow a better measurement of this spectral transition between outburst and quiescence. We tentatively place the luminosity at which this transition occurs at \( L_X/L_{\text{Edd}} \sim 10^{-4} \) (see Fig. 4).

The 2008 quiescent Chandra-ACIS light curves show striking variability on several time-scales (see Fig. 6). We find two clearly distinguishable states or ‘modes’ in the light curve, at two distinct average count rates, and rapid changes between them (within less than 500 s). We identify a low-luminosity passive state and a high-luminosity active state during quiescence, below and above a Chandra-ACIS 0.5–8 keV count rate of 0.03 c s\(^{-1}\), respectively (dashed purple line in Fig. 6). These are most clearly visible in the 144 ks long observation taken on 2008-08-07 (observation 9132; Fig. 6, left), but also three days later in the 55 ks long observation taken on 2008-08-10 (observation 9133; Fig. 6, right). Even though it was taken when \( L_X \) was similar to the 2008 active state (Table 1), the 2013 Chandra light curve does not show rapid intensity changes. However, we cannot rule out the presence of mode switching during that observation, as the exposure time was shorter (15 ks) and the count rate was lower (1.2 ± 0.4 × 10\(^{-2}\) c s\(^{-1}\)) than those in the 2008 observation.

After \( \sim 9 \) ks in the active state since the beginning of observation 9132, the source switched to the passive state for about 3 ks and after a short (\( \sim 2.5 \) ks) re-flare to the active state, it stayed in the passive state for another \( \sim 35 \) ks (Fig. 6, top). Then IGR J18245–2452 switched back to the active state for \( \sim 85 \) ks, with a few short (\( \sim 1 \) ks) drops in count rate suggestive of short excursions to the passive state. For the last \( \sim 9.5 \) ks the source stayed in the passive state. Three days later, observation 9133 starts with a \( \sim 2.5 \) ks flare to the active state, then after \( 38 \) ks of passive state with two more short (about 2 and 4 ks long) flares the source switches back to the active state for about 27 ks, and switches back to the passive state at the end of the observation. We also find rapid (\( \sim 500–1000 \) s) and less pronounced (factor of \( \sim 2–3 \) changes in count rate) variability in the light curves of both the active and passive states.

The hardness ratio (defined as the ratio of counts in the 2–8 keV and 0.5–2 keV bands, and calculated in 2 ks bins) is consistent with being constant during both 2008 observations. We extracted spectra of the active and passive states, using the above definition and the same procedures explained in Section 2.2. Both are well-fitted with an absorbed power-law model, and the best-fitting \( \Gamma \) and \( N_H \) in the active and passive states are fully consistent (see Table 1). In order to search for small spectral variations, we repeated the fits to the active and passive state spectra keeping \( N_H \) linked or fixed to its average value, and again found \( \Gamma \) values consistent within the reduced (1–5 per cent) fractional errors. We measure a 0.5–10 keV luminosity of \( 3.9 \times 10^{33} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) and \( 5.6 \times 10^{32} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) for the active and passive state, respectively. Thus, when the source switches between active and passive quiescent states the luminosity changes by a factor of \( \sim 7 \) with no detectable change in the spectrum. Fig. 5 shows the active and passive state spectra, as well as the other quiescent spectra of IGR J18245–2452 measured with Chandra in 2002 and 2013. It is perhaps worth noting that the first passive state begins and ends at orbital phase 0.5 (first two vertical lines in Fig. 6, from orbital ephemeris reported by Papitto et al. 2013a), i.e., when the
NS is at the descending node (approaching most rapidly). Even though one of the short dips later in that observation also occurs at orbital phase 0.5, the variability pattern does not recur and in general the source switches between active and passive states at different orbital phases.

4 DISCUSSION

4.1 Underluminous accretion flows and softening to quiescence

We found that when IGR J18245–2452’s luminosity decayed from $L_X/L_{Edd} \sim 10^{-2}$ to $L_X/L_{Edd} \sim 10^{-4}$ the Swift-XRT (0.5–10 keV) spectrum could be described by a simple power law, and the photon index $\Gamma$ increased from 1.3 at the highest luminosities to $\sim 2.5$ at the lowest luminosities (Section 3.2). Similar softening has been seen before in other systems, both NS (Armas Padilla et al. 2011; Degenaar, Wijnands & Miller 2013) and persistent accretors (Armas Padilla, Degenaar & van den Berg 2005) also apply to IGR J18245–2452. Namely, non-thermal and variable X-ray emission has also been seen in the MRPs 47 Tuc W (Bogdanov et al. 2005) and PSR J1023+0038 (Archibald et al. 2010).

We can compare the quiescent X-ray properties of IGR J18245–2452 and PSR J1023+0038 with some more detail, since these are the only two MRPs that have shown evidence for accretion. They show low-luminosity and remarkably hard quiescent spectra, with $L_X \sim 2.5 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $\Gamma \geq 1.2$ and $L_X \sim 9.4 \times 10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $\Gamma \geq 1.26$ for IGR J18245–2452 and PSR J1023+0038, respectively. Archibald et al. (2010) reported a marginal detection of a thermal component in PSR J1023+0038, contributing with 6 per cent of $L_X$. Our upper limit on a blackbody component at the lowest $L_X$ (<3 per cent, Section 3.3) rules out the presence of a similar component in IGR J18245–2452. The same authors found modulations of the X-ray flux from PSR J1023+0038 at the orbital (4.8 h) and spin (1.7 ms) periods. The data presented herein do not allow a search for ms X-ray pulsations at low $L_X$, due to insufficient time resolution. The long-term variability that we find during the 2008 observations is more rich than that found in PSR J1023+0038, and it does not seem to be related with the binary orbit (Sections 3.3 and 4.3).

No thermal component is detected at the lowest luminosities (Section 3.3), corresponding to an upper limit on the bolometric intrinsic thermal luminosity of $1.3 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (for a gravitational redshift of 1.3). We can compare this to estimates of the incandescent thermal emission produced by deep crustal heating (Haensel & Zdunik 1990, 2008; Brown et al. 1998; Degenaar et al. 2013), which should yield a luminosity $\approx Q/m$, where we take $Q \approx 2$ MeV as the total heat deposited per accreted nucleon. $\langle M \rangle$ is the long-term average mass accretion rate on to the NS and $m$ the atomic mass unit. We estimate an average $\langle M \rangle \approx 2 \times 10^{16}$ g s$^{-1}$ in the 2013 outburst from

the NS surface and produce thermal emission down to $L_X/L_{Edd} \sim 10^{-4}$ (see also Armas Padilla et al. 2013). We note, however, that for dipole magnetic fields of $10^8$ G accretion on to the NS surface at such low luminosities may be halted by the propeller mechanism (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975; Campana et al. 1998).
our measured Swift-XRT luminosities (Section 3.1; using $f_{\text{bol}} = 3$ and assuming accretion on to a $1.4 \text{M}_\odot$ mass 10 km radius NS). For a 25 d outburst duration, this implies an outburst recurrence time longer than $\sim 20$ yr in order to produce a luminosity lower than our upper limit. Alternatively, enhanced neutrino cooling may be acting to reduce the NS core temperature in IGR J18245–2452 down to the observed levels ($T_{\text{eff}} < 6.6 \times 10^8$ K, Section 3.3), as reported in other NS transients (see, e.g. Heinke et al. 2007; Wijnands, Degenaar & Page 2013). Given that IGR J18245–2452 is active as a rotation-powered pulsar when it is not accreting (as the MP SR J1824–2452I; Papitto et al. 2013a), we can compare the upper limit on its thermal luminosity with the typical thermal emission from other MRPs. According to most pulsar models, the polar caps can be heated up by relativistic particles generated when the radio pulsar is on, producing thermal pulsed X-ray emission (e.g. Zavlin 2007). Thermal luminosities measured in MRPs are typically in the range $10^{30}$–$10^{31}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is compatible with our upper limit of $7 \times 10^{34}$ erg s$^{-1}$.

When the non-thermal power-law spectral component in quiescent NS-LMXBs is detected and well constrained, the photon index is similarly low, between 1 and 1.5 (we note that the error bars are relatively large). Our results for IGR J18245–2452 are consistent with these typical NS values, which seem to show harder quiescent spectra than black hole (BH) systems, most of which have a photon index of $\sim 2$ in quiescence (Corbel, Tomsimp & Kaaret 2006; Plotkin et al. 2013). Thus, while we find evidence for a spectral hardening below $L_X/L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{-4}$ (with the photon index $\Gamma$ reaching 1–1.5; Section 3.3), in BH transients $\Gamma$ seems to saturate below $L_X/L_{\text{bol}} \sim 10^{-5}$ at a value close to 2 (Plotkin et al. 2013). This suggests that different processes cause the quiescent power-law component in NS and BH X-ray binaries. For BHs this emission is likely due to some form of radiatively inefficient accretion flow but it is possible that in the case of NSs another process is at work. Two emission mechanisms have been proposed for the non-thermal X-rays from NS-LMXBs in quiescence, in order of increasing luminosity: (i) an intrabinary shock between the pulsar wind and the flow of mass transferred/outflowing from the companion star (originally proposed for MRPs, Arons & Tavani 1993; Campagna et al. 1998) and (ii) accretion on to the NS magnetosphere, or magnetospheric accretion (Campagna et al. 1998).

4.3 At the boundary between accretion- and rotation-powered X-rays?

We found striking variability in the 2008 Chandra observations of IGR J18245–2452 (Section 3.3): sharp transitions between two distinct (active and passive) modes, namely, recurrent, large (factor 7) and rapid ($\lesssim 500$ s) changes in $L_X$, in the $[0.6$–$3.9] \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ range. The detected emission was purely non-thermal and the spectra of the active and passive states were consistent within the errors (photon index $\Gamma \sim 1.5$ and no detectable change in absorption; Table 1). Campagna, Stella & Kennea (2008) found that the AMP SAX J1808.4–3658 seems to switch between two states when approaching quiescence. Those states were apparent only in the long-term (100 d long) Swift light curve, they showed clear spectral variability and a smaller change in luminosity ($L_X = [1.5$–$5] \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $\Gamma = [1.7$–$2.7]$). It seems unlikely that they have the same origin as the active and passive states that we report herein. The mode switching that we observe in IGR J18245–2452, on the other hand, might be reminiscent of the correlated X-ray/radio mode switches recently found by Hermsen et al. (2013) in the old isolated pulsar PSR B0943+10. The latter pulsar is obviously not accreting, and the onset of accretion in IGR J18245–2452, confirmed at least at high X-ray luminosities, suggests that the accretion flow plays a role in the state changes presented in this work, and thus that the physical mechanisms responsible for mode switches in these two pulsars are different.

Three of the transitions coincide with orbital phase 0.5 (NS at descending node) but this is not always the case, and in general mode switching occurs at different orbital phases. Changes in absorption due to matter in different regions of the binary system crossing the line of sight would leave an imprint in the spectrum which we do not observe, and hence cannot explain the mode changes. Intrinsic shock emission is expected (Arons & Tavani 1993) and possibly observed in MRPs (Slappers et al. 2003; Bogdanov et al. 2005) to be modulated at the orbital period. Mildly relativistic speeds in the shocked region can lead to Doppler boosted emission and to orbital modulation of the measured non-thermal X-rays. In the case of IGR J18245–2452, however, the large amplitude and aperiodic nature of the observed variability do not support a scenario where the mode switching is due to Doppler boosting in the line of sight changing along the orbit.

The available data thus suggest that stochastic changes between two different non-thermal emission processes (which produce very similar spectra) are taking place. One possible explanation is that the observed variability reflects rapid transitions between magnetospheric accretion at high luminosities ($3.9 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and intrabinary shock emission at low luminosities ($5.6 \times 10^{32}$ erg s$^{-1}$). In this scenario, the magnetospheric radius $r_m$ during the 2008 Chandra observations would be close to the light cylinder radius ($r_L \approx 186$ km for the 254 Hz spin frequency; Papitto et al. 2013a), and fluctuations in the mass accretion rate $M$ would produce the observed transitions. A small drop in $M$ would cause $r_m$ to expand beyond $r_L$, which would turn on the radio pulsar and create an intrabinary shock responsible for the emission in the passive state. An increase in $M$ could bring $r_m$ back inside the light cylinder, turning off the radio pulsar and allowing for magnetospheric accretion to take over in the active state. We stress that this scenario involves a balance between the pulsar wind and the inner accretion flow, likely in the form of a hot optically thin flow given the extremely low $L_X$ and that an optically thick accretion disc may still be present at much larger radii ($r \gg r_m$) (see, e.g. Wang et al. 2009).

The active and passive states of IGR J18245–2452 correspond to bolometric luminosities ($L_{\text{bol}}$) $1.2 \times 10^{30}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{33}$ erg s$^{-1}$, respectively (using again $f_{\text{bol}} = 3$; Section 2). Assuming a typical NS mass of $1.4 \text{M}_\odot$ and radius of 10 km, the magnetospheric radius $r_m$ for spherically symmetric accretion can be expressed as (Lamb, Pethick & Pines 1973; Patruno et al. 2009):

$$r_m = 7.8 \left[ \frac{B}{10^8 \text{G}} \right]^{4/7} \left[ \frac{L_{\text{bol}}}{L_{\text{bol}}^\text{Edd}} \right]^{-2/7} \text{km}.$$  

Thus, for a value of the magnetic field of $B \sim 10^8$ G (typical of all AMPS with a measured $B$ field; see, e.g. table 4 in Patruno & Watts 2012), the magnetospheric radius $r_m$ will move from about 130 km during the active state up to about 230 km during the passive state. Given that the light cylinder radius $r_L \approx 186$ km, the magnetosphere will become devoid of material up to the light cylinder as the accretion rate drops from the active to the passive state. This in turn might activate the radio pulsar mechanism and turn on the radio pulsar during the passive states. A higher value of the $B$ field, above $10^8$ G, would instead give $r_m > r_L$ for the observed luminosities during the active state. This therefore suggests that, if this...
2452 was in during the 2002 and 2006 Chandra J1824.5−2452 is similar to that of other AMPs. A clear prediction of this simple picture is that the radio pulsar should be on during the passive state and off during the active state. Simultaneous high time resolution X-ray and radio observations in quiescence are thus highly desirable, in order to test this prediction and shed more light on to IGR J1824−2452’s unique properties. Measurements of the magnetic field and spin-down rate of PSR J1824−2452I will constrain the pulsar wind energy and may allow a quantitative estimate of the intrabinary shock properties (e.g. Bogdanov et al. 2005).

Our proposed scenario implies that non-thermal magnetospheric emission can be quickly (within less than 8 min) and repeatedly (more than 10 times in 3 d) quenched and reactivated, and that the intrabinary shock may form on similar time-scales. Based on the morphology of the X-ray light curves from the MRP PSR J1023+0038, Bogdanov et al. (2011b) concluded that the intrabinary shock is localized near or at the surface of the companion star, close to the inner Lagrangian point. For IGR J18245−2452, the intrabinary shock in the passive state is probably much closer to the pulsar, where the pulsar wind runs into the inner boundary of the accretion shock during the passive state is probably much closer to the pulsar, where the pulsar wind might sweep the disc away leaving the system in a long-term ‘deep quiescence’ state that resembles the other so-called ‘redback’ radio MSP binaries. This is probably the state IGR J18245−2452 was in during the 2002 and 2006 Chandra observations.
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