Academic language in early childhood interactions: a longitudinal study of 3- to 6-year-old Dutch monolingual children

Henrichs, L.F.

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

UvA-DARE is a service provided by the library of the University of Amsterdam (http://dare.uva.nl)
List of Tables

1 Introduction
Table 1.1: The elements realising context 14
Table 1.2: Overview of the linguistic features that are expected to distinguish the informal register from the academic register 19

2 Design and method of the study
Table 2.1: Measurement points of the longitudinal study (N=25) 26
Table 2.2: Overview of caregiver interview data 30
Table 2.3: Overview of linguistic variables and tasks in which they were coded, and relevant chapters in the dissertation 38
Table 2.4: Inter-coder agreement (kappa) for the linguistic variables 40
Table 2.5: Overview of number of observations for each task in the in-depth study 40

3 The lexical level of academic language
Table 3.1: Means (and standard deviation) of lexical density values for parents and children during structured tasks and mealtime conversation, including task-effect test statistics (N=25) 49
Table 3.2: Means (and standard deviations) of lexical density teacher (school) 50
Table 3.3: Means (and standard deviations) of lexical density of parents and teachers and t-test statistics 51
Table 3.4: Means (and standard deviations) of lexical diversity of parents and children during interaction tasks 56
Table 3.5: Test statistics of simple contrasts within repeated Measures ANOVA (task is within subject factor) 57
Table 3.6: Means (and standard deviations) of lexical diversity values for teachers during book reading and circle time 58
Table 3.7: Comparison of lexical diversity values for teachers and parents during structured and spontaneous activity (N=25) 59
Table 3.8: Correlations between lexical density values during mealtime conversation, N=25 62
Table 3.9: Means (and standard deviations) of children’s scores on the impersonal narrative production task 62
Table 3.10: Correlations between parents’ lexical density and lexical diversity during the interaction tasks and children’s scores on the academic language production tasks (see section 2.4.3) 63
Table 3.11: Correlations across time for children’s receptive vocabulary test scores 64
Table 3.12: Concurrent correlations (diagonal) between child receptive vocabulary score and parents’ lexical diversity ($D_{input}$) during structured tasks, and partial correlations (off-diagonal), 20 ≤ N ≤ 25 65
Table 3.13: Concurrent correlations (diagonal) between child receptive vocabulary score and parents lexical diversity ($D_{input}$) during mealtime conversation, and partial correlations (off-diagonal), 20 ≤ N ≤ 25 66
Table 3.14: Token coverage of teachers language input (Adapted from Van Hout & Vermeer (2007)) 68
Table 3.15: Parents’ scores on MLR index 69
Table 3.16: Correlations between parents’ MLR scores and children’s vocabulary scores (N=25) 71
Table 3.17: Absolute numbers of (all) references to space and proportions of specific references to space per task, home data (parents and children) 75
Table 3.18: Test statistics of contrasts within the structured tasks for parents (proportion of specific references to space)  
Table 3.19: Test statistics of contrasts within the structured tasks for children (proportion of specific references to space)  
Table 3.20: Absolute numbers of (all) references to time and proportions of specific references to time per task, home data (parents and children)  
Table 3.21: Absolute numbers of teachers’ references to space and time and proportions of specific references to space and time  
Table 3.22: Parent data and teacher data for specific references to space and time during book reading  
Table 3.23: Teachers’ proportions (and standard deviations) of references to space and time over time (longitudinal perspective), values entered in ANOVA  

4 The syntactic level of academic language  
Table 4.1: Internal consistency of structured tasks  
Table 4.2: Parents’ and children’s means (and standard deviations) of absolute numbers of multi-clause utterances by parents and by children during the interaction tasks  
Table 4.3: Parents’ and children’s mean proportions (and standard deviations) of multi-clause utterances and proportions of complex multi-clause utterances and Helmert contrast statistics of spontaneous versus structured setting  
Table 4.4: Means (and standard deviations) of teachers’ proportions (complex) multi-clause utterances  
Table 4.5: Means (and standard deviations) of proportions of (complex) multi-clause utterances for parents and teachers  
Table 4.6: Means (and standard deviations) of parents’ and children’s proportions of (complex) multi-clause utterances, including ANOVA repeated measures statistics  
Table 4.7: Correlations between parents’ and children’s use of (complex) multi-clause utterances during the structured tasks (N=25)  
Table 4.8: Correlations between parents’ (complex) multi-clause utterances and children’s performance on the academic language production task (N=25)  
Table 4.9: Correlations between parents’ (complex) multi-clause utterances and children’s performance on the sentence production test (N=25)  
Table 4.10: Examples of coding for clause subjects and objects  
Table 4.11: Scale reliabilities for the proportion of lexical clause subjects and objects  
Table 4.12: Means (and standard deviations) of proportions of lexical clause subjects and objects, planned comparison statistics (N=25)  
Table 4.13: Means (and standard deviations) of teachers’ proportions of lexical clause subjects and teachers  
Table 4.14: Means (and standard deviations) of proportions lexical clause subjects and lexical clause objects by parents and teachers  
Table 4.15: Means (and standard deviations) of parents’ and children’s proportions of lexical clause subjects and objects, including time effect  
Table 4.16: Correlations between parents’ and children’s proportions of lexical clause subjects within the same task (N=25)  

5 The textual level of academic language  
Table 5.1: Examples level of abstraction  
Table 5.2: Means (and standard deviations) of parents’ and children’s number of utterances and percentage of on-task utterances (N=25)  
Table 5.3: Parents’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of four levels of abstraction and composite nonpresent talk, task effect statistics  
Table 5.4: Children’s mean proportions (and standard deviations) of four levels of abstraction and composite nonpresent talk, task effect statistics
Table 5.5: Teachers’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of four levels of abstraction and the composite ‘nonpresent talk’  
Table 5.6: Parents’ and teachers’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) for nonpresent talk during book reading  
Table 5.7: Parents’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of nonpresent talk, main effect of time  
Table 5.8: Children’s mean proportions (and standard deviations) of nonpresent talk, main effect of time  
Table 5.9: Teachers means (and standard deviations) of abstraction levelbook reading during, effect of time  
Table 5.10: Correlations for the proportions of nonpresent talk by parents and by children during the picture description task (N=25)  
Table 5.11: Correlations between parents’ nonpresent talk and children’s score on the academic language composite measure  

6 The sociopragmatic level of academic language  
Table 6.1: Examples speech acts (sociopragmatic level)  
Table 6.2: Means (and standard deviations) of absolute numbers of speech acts by parents and children during the marble slide construction and the mealtime conversation  
Table 6.3: Parents’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of various speech acts, task effect statistics (N=25)  
Table 6.4: Children’s mean proportions (and standard deviations) of various speech acts, task effect statistics (N=25)  
Table 6.5: Teachers’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of speech acts during circle time and crafts lesson  
Table 6.6: Teachers’ and parents’ mean proportions of respective speech acts during an instructional activity and during a spontaneous act  
Table 6.7: Parents’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of speech acts, main effect of time  
Table 6.8: Children’s mean proportions (and standard deviations) of speech acts, main effect of time  
Table 6.9: Correlations between parents’ and children’s speech acts during marble slide construction  
Table 6.10: Correlations between parents’ and children’s speech acts during mealtime conversation  
Table 6.11: Examples intersubjective cooperation (sociopragmatic level)  
Table 6.12: Means (and standard deviations) of parents’ and children’s absolute numbers of expansions, extensions and procedural utterances  
Table 6.13: Mean proportions (and standard deviations) for parents’ and children’s expansions, extensions and procedural utterances during marble slide construction and mealtime conversation  
Table 6.14: Teachers’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) for intersubjective cooperative behaviours  
Table 6.15: Parents’ and teachers’ mean proportions (and standard deviations) of intersubjective cooperation  
Table 6.16: Mean proportions (and standard deviations) of parents’ and children’s expansion, extension and procedural utterances, main effect of time  
Table 6.17: Correlations between expansions and extensions produced by parents and children  

List of tables | xiii