



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

The relation between implicit learning and spelling ability in adults

an individual differences approach

van Witteloostuijn, M.T.G.; Lammertink, I.L.; Davalos, Tena; Boersma, P.P.G.; Wijnen, Frank; Rispens, J.E.

Publication date
2016

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

van Witteloostuijn, M. T. G., Lammertink, I. L., Davalos, T., Boersma, P. P. G., Wijnen, F., & Rispens, J. E. (2016). *The relation between implicit learning and spelling ability in adults: an individual differences approach*. Poster session presented at Fifth Implicit Learning Seminar, .

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

The relation between implicit learning and spelling ability in adults: an individual differences approach

Keywords: (A)SRT, visual statistical learning, spelling, individual differences

The ability to implicitly detect statistical regularities in orthography has been hypothesized to partly subserve spelling ability [1]. The theorized relationship between implicit learning and spelling has been substantiated by findings that the degree of success in artificial orthographic rule learning correlates with English and Spanish spelling ability [2; 3]. Implicit non-orthographic sequence learning, as tested with an alternating serial reaction time (ASRT) task, also correlates with English spelling [4]. Despite these promising findings, the link between implicit learning and spelling ability is not fully understood. Outstanding questions are 1) whether implicit learning of non-orthographic sequences, as measured by the serial reaction time (SRT) and visual statistical learning (VSL) task, is associated with spelling and 2) whether the relation between spelling and implicit non-orthographic learning is also visible in a semi-transparent orthography like Dutch.

This study addresses these issues by investigating the relation between Dutch spelling and implicit learning. We administered three implicit learning tasks in two experiments: a version of the SRT task [5], an ASRT task, and a VSL task [6]. Twenty-five adult participants (age 18 – 55 years) performed the SRT, the ASRT and a spelling task comprising real Dutch words and nonwords. The results showed significant correlations between real word spelling and both the SRT ($r = .48, p = .015$) and ASRT ($r = .40, p = .045$). Another twenty-eight Dutch native speakers (age 21 – 35 years) completed the VSL and spelling task. The VSL results correlated significantly with spelling (both real words and nonwords, $r = .53, p = .004$). The outcomes of the combined studies underline the association between spelling and implicit learning. The results furthermore show that spelling ability is associated with implicit learning of sequences tested in three different paradigms, varying in complexity (first-order (SRT) versus second-order sequences (ASRT)) and nature (visuo-motoric versus visual statistical learning). Finally they confirm that this relationship also exists in a semi-transparent orthography.

References

- [1] Deacon, S. H., Conrad, N., & Pacton, S. (2008). A statistical learning perspective on children's learning about graphotactic and morphological regularities in spelling. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne*, *49*(2), 118-124.
- [2] Steffler, D. J. (2004). An investigation of Grade 5 children's knowledge of the doubling rule in spelling. *Journal of Research in Reading*, *27*(3), 248-264.
- [3] Nigro, L., Jiménez-Fernández, G., Simpson, I. C., & Defior Citoler, S. (2015). Implicit learning of non-linguistic and linguistic regularities in children with dyslexia. *Annals of Dyslexia*, 1–17.
- [4] Howard, J. H., Howard, D. V., Japikse, K. C., & Eden, G. F. (2006). Dyslexics are impaired on implicit higher-order sequence learning, but not on implicit spatial context learning. *Neuropsychologia*, *44*(7), 1131-1144.
- [5] Nissen, M. J., & Bullemer, P. (1987). Attentional requirements of learning: Evidence from performance measures. *Cognitive Psychology*, *19*, 1–32.
- [6] Arciuli, J., & Simpson, I. C. (2012). Statistical Learning Is Related to Reading Ability in Children and Adults. *Cognitive Science*, *36*(2), 286–304.

Word count: 500