



UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Brick is warmer than concrete

Aesthetic anxiety and the making of architecture in Eastern European cities

Halauniova, A.

Publication date

2022

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Halauniova, A. (2022). *Brick is warmer than concrete: Aesthetic anxiety and the making of architecture in Eastern European cities*. [Thesis, fully internal, Universiteit van Amsterdam].

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Bibliography

- Abourahme, N. (2015). Assembling and spilling-over: towards an 'ethnography of cement' in a Palestinian refugee camp. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 39(2), 200-217.
- Aleksėjūnaitė, A., Dykoviėnė, A., & Janauskaitė, D. (2022, March 3). Troliai vėl manipuliuoja rusų korta klaipėdoje? [Trolls are manipulating the Russian card in Klaipėda again?] *Dienraštis „Klaipėda“*. Retrieved from <https://m.klaipeda.diena.lt/naujienos/klaipeda/miesto-pulsas/troliai-vel-manipuliuoja-rusu-korta-klaipedoje-1066664>.
- Alexander, J. C. (2008). Iconic consciousness: The material feeling of meaning. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 26(5), 782-794.
- Anderson, B. (2006). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism*. London: Verso.
- Andrusz, G. D., Harloe, M., & Szelenyi, I. (1996). *Cities after socialism: Urban and regional change and conflict in post-socialist societies*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Angelo, H., & Wachsmuth, D. (2015). Urbanizing urban political ecology: A critique of methodological cityism. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 39(1), 16-27.
- Annus, E. (2017). *Soviet postcolonial studies: a view from the western borderlands*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Archambault, J. S. (2018). 'One beer, one block': concrete aspiration and the stuff of transformation in a Mozambican suburb. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 24(4), 692-708.
- Architektūriniai uostamiesčio akcentai [Architectural Accents of a Harbor]. (1974, April 13). *Tarybinė Klaipėda*, 87 (8054), 3.
- Ayoub, L. (2009). Public air space: Planning and accessing tall buildings in London. In D. Naik & T. Oldfield (Ed.). *Critical Cities: Ideas, Knowledge and Agitation* (pp. 88-97). London: Myrdle Court Press.
- Bach, J. & Murawski, M. (2020). *Re-centring the city: global mutations of socialist modernity*. London: UCL Press.
- Bajoras, V. (1982, May 29). Kurlink pasisuks Klaipėdos architektūra [Which direction will Klaipėda's architecture turn to?]. *Tarybine Lietuva*, 125 (10514), 3.
- Baker, C. (2018). *Race and the Yugoslav region: Postsocialist, post-conflict, postcolonial?*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Bakić-Hayden, M. (1995). Nesting orientalisms: The case of former Yugoslavia. *Slavic review*, 54(4), 917-931.
- Barnett, J. R., Miller, S., & Pearce, E. (2006). Color and art: A brief history of pigments. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 38(4-6), 445-453.

Bartmański, D. (2011). Successful icons of failed time: Rethinking post-communist nostalgia. *Acta sociologica*, 54(3), 213-231.

Bartmański, D. (2015). Modes of seeing, or, iconicity as explanatory notion: Cultural research and criticism after the iconic turn in social sciences. *Sociologica*, 9(1), 0-0.

Bartmański, D., & Alexander, J. C. (2012). Introduction: Materiality and meaning in social life: Toward an iconic turn in cultural sociology. In *Iconic power* (pp. 1-12). New York: Palgrave Macmillan,

Bartmański, D., & Fuller, M. (2018). Reconstructing Berlin: Materiality and meaning in the symbolic politics of urban space. *City*, 22(2), 202-219.

Baudrillard, J. (2001). *Impossible Exchange*. London: Verso.

Beauregard, R. (2015). We blame the building! The architecture of distributed responsibility. *International Journal of Urban and regional research*, 39(3), 533-549.

Behzadi, E. (Forthcoming). Statues of Fraud: Confederate Monuments As Public Nuisances. *Stanford Journal of Civil Rights and Civil Liberties*.

Bell, H. (2011). Listing, 'significance' and practised persuasion at Spa Green housing estate, London. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 12(03), 223-242.

Benjamin, W. (1992) [1935]. *Illuminations*. London: Fontana press.

Benjamin, W. (1999). *The arcades project*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University Press.

Berlant, L. (1991). *The anatomy of national fantasy: Hawthorne, utopia, and everyday life*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Bialasiewicz, L. (2017). 'That which is not a mosque' Disturbing place at the 2015 Venice Biennale. *City*, 21(3-4), 367-387.

Bienek, M. (2015). *The Germans did not come*. Wrocław: ART TRANSPARENT.

Bissell, W. C. (2011). *Urban design, chaos, and colonial power in Zanzibar*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.

Blok, A. (2015). Attachments to the common-place: Pragmatic sociology and the aesthetic cosmopolitics of eco-house design in Kyoto, Japan. *European Journal of Cultural and Political Sociology*, 2(2), 122-145.

Bocharnikova, D., & Kurg, A. (2019). Introduction: urban planning and architecture of late socialism. *The Journal of Architecture*, 24(5), 593-603.

Bodnár, J. (2001). *Fin de millénaire Budapest: Metamorphoses of urban life*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Bogušas, L. (2020, April 7). Klaipėdos piliavietės atkūrimas ir neišlikusios gynybinės sistemos regeneravimo galimybės [Restoration of Klaipėda castle site and possibilities of regeneration of the non-existent defense system]. *Atvira Klaipėda*. Retrieved from <https://www.atviraklaipeda.lt/2020/04/07/klaipedos-pilavietes-atkurimas-ir-neislikusios-gynybines-sistemos-regeneravimo-galimybės/>.

- Boguszewski, R. (2014). *PRL - Doświadczenia, Oceny, Skojarzenia* [PPR - Experiences, Assessments, Associations]. Warszawa: CBOS.
- Boltanski, L., & Thévenot, L. (2006). *On justification economies of worth*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Bonura, C. (2013). Theorizing elsewhere: comparison and topological reasoning in political theory. *Polity*, 45(1), 34-55.
- Borren, M. (2008). Towards an Arendtian politics of in/visibility: On stateless refugees and undocumented aliens. *Ethical Perspectives: Journal of the European Ethics Network*, 15(2), 213-237.
- Boudreau, J. A., & Rondeau, J. (2021). *Youth Urban Worlds: Aesthetic Political Action in Montreal*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.
- Bouzarovski, S. (2009). Building events in inner-city Gdańsk, Poland: exploring the sociospatial construction of agency in built form. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 27(5), 840-858.
- Bradley, A., Hall, T., & Harrison, M. (2002). Selling cities: promoting new images for meetings tourism. *Cities*, 19(1), 61-70.
- Brenner, N. (2003). Stereotypes, archetypes, and prototypes: Three uses of superlatives in contemporary urban studies. *City & Community*, 2(3), 205-216.
- Bridge, G. (2001). Estate agents as interpreters of economic and cultural capital: the gentrification premium in the Sydney housing market. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 25(1), 87-101.
- Bridge, G. (2006). Perspectives on cultural capital and the neighbourhood. *Urban Studies*, 43(4), 719-730.
- Bruner, E. M. (1994). Abraham Lincoln as authentic reproduction: A critique of postmodernism. *American anthropologist*, 96(2), 397-415.
- Buchli, V. (1991). *An Archeology of Socialism*. Oxford/New York: Berg.
- Buchli, V. (2014). *An anthropology of architecture*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Burno, F. (2013). Solpol—niekochany symbol [Solpol—unloved symbol]. *Szum*, 1(1), 32-37.
- Butkus, T., Safronovas, V., & Petrulis, V. (2015). *Klaipėdos urbanistinė raida 1945-1990* [Urban development of Klaipėda 1945-1990]. Vilniaus dailės akademija.
- Carey, H. F., & Raciborski, R. (2004). Postcolonialism: a valid paradigm for the former Sovietized states and Yugoslavia?. *East European Politics and Societies*, 18(2), 191-235.
- Celikkol, Y. (2022, March 17). Calling Ukraine “Relatively Civilized” Invokes a Racist Ranking of Europeanness. *Truthout*. Retrieved from <https://truthout.org/articles/calling-ukraine-relatively-civilized-invokes-a-racist-ranking-of-europeanness/>
- Centrum badania opinii społecznej. (2009). *Od końca lat osiemdziesiątych do dziś oceny zmian w różnych wymiarach życia społecznego i politycznego w Polsce* (BS/28/2009) [From the end of the 1980s to the present

day, the assessment of changes in various dimensions of social and political life in Poland]. CBOS. Retrieved from https://www.cbos.pl/SPISKOM.POL/2009/K_028_09.PDF.

Černiauskas, K. (1953). Apie Klaipėdos architektūrinį apipavidalinimą [About Klaipėda's architectural design]. *Tarybinė Klaipėda*, 10, 21.

Červinková, H. (2012). Postcolonialism, postsocialism and the anthropology of east-central Europe. *Journal of Postcolonial Writing*, 48(2), 155-163.

Červinková, H. (2013). The kidnapping of Wrocław's dwarves: The symbolic politics of neoliberalism in urban East-Central Europe. *East European Politics and Societies*, 27(4), 743-756.

Chari, S., & Verdery, K. (2009). Thinking between the posts: Postcolonialism, postsocialism, and ethnography after the Cold War. *Comparative studies in society and history*, 51(1), 6-34.

Chelcea, L., & Druță, O. (2016). Zombie socialism and the rise of neoliberalism in post-socialist Central and Eastern Europe. *Eurasian Geography and Economics*, 57(4-5), 521-544.

Chmielewski, A. & Zarzycki, R. (2010). Wrocław's Application for the Title of European Capital of Culture 2016. Wrocław.

Choplin, A. (2019). Cementing Africa: cement flows and city-making along the West African corridor (Accra, Lomé, Cotonou, Lagos). *Urban studies*, 57(9), 1977-1993.

Ciarkowski, B. (2017). Architektura wypoczynkowa w służbie polityki–kłopotliwe dziedzictwo ośrodków wypoczynkowych z czasów Polski Ludowej [Recreational architecture in the service of politics - the troublesome heritage of holiday resorts from the times of the People's Republic of Poland]. *Wiadomości Konserwatorskie*, 49, 19-26.

Clemens, E. S. (1997). *The people's lobby: Organizational innovation and the rise of interest group politics in the United States, 1890-1925*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Clemens, E. S. (2007). Toward a historicized sociology: Theorizing events, processes, and emergence. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 33, 527-549.

Cooke, C. (1997). Beauty as a route to 'the Radiant Future': Responses of Soviet architecture. *Journal of Design History*, 10(2), 137-160.

Cosgrove, D. (1982). The myth and the stones of Venice: an historical geography of a symbolic landscape. *Journal of Historical Geography*, 8(2), 145-169.

Cosgrove, D. E. (1998). *Social formation and symbolic landscape*. Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.

Cosgrove, D., & Daniels, S. (2002) [1998]. *The iconography of landscape: Essays on the symbolic representation, design and use of past environments*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Coudroy de Lille, L. (2015). Housing cooperatives in Poland. The origins of a deadlock. *Urban Research & Practice*, 8(1), 17-31.

Cousins, M. (1994). The Ugly. *AA files*, (28), 61-64.

CPSU. (1955, November 4). *Resolution of the CC of the CPSU and the Soviet of the Ministers Concerning the Eradication of Excesses in Building Design and Construction from November 4*. Soviet of the Ministers of the USSR. Moscow

Crang, M. (1996). Envisioning urban histories: Bristol as palimpsest, postcards, and snapshots. *Environment and Planning A*, 28(3), 429-452.

Crowley, D. J. (2003). *Warsaw*. London: Reaktion Books.

Cymer, A. (2019). *Architektura w Polsce 1945–1989* [Architecture in Poland 1945–1989]. Warsaw: Centrum Architektury and Narodowy Instytut Architektury i Urbanistyki

Czaplicka, J. (2006). Contemporary History: The Politics of Architectural History and the Construct of a "New" Europe. *Journal of the Society of Architectural Historians*, 65(1), 12-14.

Czaplicka, J., Gelazis, N. M., & Ruble, B. A. (2009). *Cities after the fall of communism: Reshaping cultural landscapes and European identity*. Washington: Woodrow Wilson Center Press.

Dawdy, S. L. (2011). *Building the devil's empire: French colonial New Orleans*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

De Kloet, J. (2014). Europe as façade. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 17(1), 58-74.

De la Fuente, E. (2007). On the Promise of a Sociological Aesthetics: From Georg Simmel to Michel Maffesoli. *Distinktion (Aarhus)*, 8(2), 91–110. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1600910X.2007.9672948>.

Demertzēs, N. (2020). *The political sociology of emotions: Essays on trauma and resentment*. London: Routledge.

De Zayas, A. M. (2006). *A terrible revenge: The ethnic cleansing of the East European Germans*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Declaration of Dresden. (1982). Reconstruction in the World Heritage Context. Dresden: ICOMOS - International Council on Monuments and Sites. Retrieved from <https://engagingconservationyork.files.wordpress.com/2014/02/reconstruction-in-wh-context-rev.pdf>.

de La Bellacasa, M. P. (2011). Matters of care in technoscience: Assembling neglected things. *Social studies of science*, 41(1), 85-106.

Demetriou, D., & Wingo, A. (2018). The ethics of racist monuments. In D. Boonin (Ed.). *The Palgrave Handbook of Philosophy and Public Policy* (pp. 341-355). New York: Palgrave Macmillan

DeSilvey, C. (2006). Observed decay: Telling stories with mutable things. *Journal of material culture*, 11(3), 318-338.

DeSilvey, C. (2017). *Curated decay: Heritage beyond saving*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

DeSilvey, C., & Harrison, R. (2020). Anticipating loss: rethinking endangerment in heritage futures. *International journal of heritage studies*, 26(1), 1-7.

Dietler, M., & Herbich, I. (1998). Habitus, techniques, style: an integrated approach to the social understanding of material culture and boundaries. *The archaeology of social boundaries*, 232-263.

Dimova, R. (2013). *Ethno-baroque: Materiality, aesthetics, and conflict in modern-day Macedonia*. New York: Berghahn Books.

Dimova, R. (2019). Elusive centres of a Balkan city: Skopje between undesirable and reluctant heritage. *International Journal of Heritage Studies*, 25(9), 958-973.

Domínguez Rubio, F. (2014). Preserving the unpreservable: Docile and unruly objects at MoMA. *Theory and Society*, 43(6), 617-645.

Domínguez Rubio, F. (2016). On the discrepancy between objects and things: An ecological approach. *Journal of material culture*, 21(1), 59-86.

Douglas-Jones, R., Hughes, J. J., Jones, S., & Yarrow, T. (2016). Science, value and material decay in the conservation of historic environments. *Journal of Cultural Heritage*, 21, 823-833.

Douglas, M. (2015). *Purity and danger: An analysis of concepts of pollution and taboo with a new preface by the author*. London: Routledge.

Drėmaitė, M. (2017). *Baltic modernism: Architecture and housing in Soviet Lithuania*. Berlin: Dom

Drėmaitė, M. (n.d.). Modernizacija stagnacijos rėmuose [Modernization within the confines of stagnation]. *MOMuseum*. Retrieved from <http://www.mmcentras.lt/kulturos-istorija/kulturosistorija/architektura/19701979-lietuviskoji-architekturos-mokykla-triumfo-metai/modernizacijastagnacijos-remuose/78541>.

Duda, M. (2016). *Patchwork. The Architecture of Jadwiga Grabowska-Hawrylak*. Wrocław: Museum of Architecture Press.

Duncan, J., & Ley, D. (1997). *Place, culture, representation*. London: Routledge.

Durkheim, E. (1974) *Sociology and Philosophy*. London: Free Press.

Durkheim, E. (1995). *The elementary forms of the religious life: A study in religious sociology*. New York: The Free Press

Dzikowska, J. (2020, November 29). Minister kultury zdecydował: Solpol nie będzie zabytkiem, można go wyburzyć. Ale aktywiści się nie poddają [The Minister of Culture decided: Solpol will not be a monument, it can be demolished. But activists are not giving up]. *Wyborcza.pl*. Retrieved from <https://wroclaw.wyborcza.pl/wroclaw/7,35771,26559023,minister-kultury-zdecydowal-kontrowersyjny-solpol-nie-bedzie.html>.

EC (European Commission). (2005). *Joint Report on Social Inclusion in the 10 New Member States*. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.

Eden, S., Donaldson, A., & Walker, G. (2005). Structuring subjectivities? Using Q methodology in human geography. *Area*, 37(4), 413-422.

Edensor, T. (2011). Entangled agencies, material networks and repair in a building assemblage: The mutable stone of St Ann's Church, Manchester. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 36(2), 238-252.

- Edensor, T. (2013). Vital urban materiality and its multiple absences: The building stone of central Manchester. *Cultural geographies*, 20(4), 447-465.
- EEA (European Environment Agency). (2007). *Sustainable Consumption and Production in the South East Europe and Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia*. Copenhagen: EEA.
- Efimova, A. (1997). To touch on the raw: The aesthetic affections of socialist realism. *Art Journal*, 56(1), 72-80.
- Eiler, R. S. (1959). *Experiencing architecture*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- El-Haj, N. A. (2008). *Facts on the ground: Archaeological practice and territorial self-fashioning in Israeli society*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Erlmann, V. (1998). How beautiful is small? Music, globalization and the aesthetics of the local. *Yearbook for traditional music*, 30, 12-21.
- Ermakoff, I. (2014). Exceptional cases: Epistemic contributions and normative expectations. *European Journal of Sociology/Archives Européennes de Sociologie*, 55(2), 223-243.
- European Capital of Culture Selection Panel (2017). *Selection of the European Capital of Culture for the year 2022 in Lithuania*. The Ministry of Culture of the Republic of Lithuania. Retrieved from https://culture.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/ecoc-2022-lithuania-selection-report_en.pdf.
- European Parliament (2020). *Petition on the refurbishment of the Klaipėda's musical theatre*. https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/PETI-CM-653925_EN.pdf.
- Eyerman, R., & McCormick, L. (2016). *Myth, meaning and performance: Toward a new cultural sociology of the arts*. London: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Fedyk, W., Sołtysik, M., Olearnik, J., Barwicka, K., & Mucha, A. (2020). How overtourism threatens large urban areas: A case study of the city of Wrocław, Poland. *Sustainability*, 12(5), 1783.
- Fehérváry, K. (2009). Goods and states: The political logic of state-socialist material culture. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 51(2), 426-459.
- Fehérváry, K. (2013). *Politics in color and concrete: Socialist materialities and the middle class in Hungary*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Fiore, E., & Plate, L. (2021). Food and white multiculturalism: Racial aesthetics of commercial gentrification in Amsterdam's Javastraat. *Space and culture*, 24(3), 392-407.
- Fleck, L. (1981). *Genesis and development of a scientific fact*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Flick, U. (2009). *An introduction to qualitative research*. London: Sage Publications.
- Forty, A. (2013). *Words and buildings: A vocabulary of modern architecture*. London: Thames & Hudson.
- Franquesa, J. (2013). On keeping and selling: The political economy of heritage making in contemporary Spain. *Current Anthropology*, 54(3), 346-369.

- Freeman, L. (2005). Displacement or succession? Residential mobility in gentrifying neighborhoods. *Urban Affairs Review*, 40(4), 463-491.
- Fyodorova, O. (2011). Poslevoennoe vosstanovlenije Klaipedy i Kaliningrada: sravnenije I otsenki [Postwar reconstructions of Klaipeda and Kaliningrad: comparison and assessment]. In J. Michaylova et al. (Ed.) *Rossija I Baltija* [Russia and the Baltics] (pp. 167-176). Moscow: Institute of History.
- Gagy, A. (2016). "Coloniality of power" in East Central Europe: external penetration as internal force in post-socialist Hungarian politics. *Journal of World-Systems Research*, 22(2), 349-372.
- Gassner, G. (2017). Wrecking London's skyline? A political critique of how the city is viewed. *City*, 21(6), 754-768.
- Gassner, G. (2021a). Aestheticizing the beautiful city: Democratic politics and design review. *Urban Geography*, DOI: 10.1080/02723638.2021.1874742.
- Gassner, G. (2021b). *Ruined skylines: Aesthetics, politics and London's towering cityscape*. London: Routledge.
- Gastrow, C. (2017a). Aesthetic dissent: Urban redevelopment and political belonging in Luanda, Angola. *Antipode*, 49(2), 377-396.
- Gastrow, C. (2017b). Cement citizens: housing, demolition and political belonging in Luanda, Angola. *Citizenship Studies*, 21(2), 224-239.
- Gell, A. (2007). *Art and agency: An anthropological theory*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Ghertner, D. A. (2011a). Gentrifying the state, gentrifying participation: Elite governance programs in Delhi. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 35(3), 504-532.
- Ghertner, D. A. (2011b). Rule by aesthetics: World-Class City Making in Delhi In A., Roy & A. Ong (Ed.) *Worlding Cities: Asian Experiments and the Art of Being Global*, (pp. 279-306). Malden: Wiley Blackwell;
- Ghertner, D. A. (2015). *Rule by aesthetics: World-class city making in Delhi*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Gieryn, T. F. (2002). What buildings do. *Theory and society*, 31(1), 35-74.
- Gille, Z. (2010). Is there a global postsocialist condition? *Global Society*, 24(1), 9-30.
- Glotnis, V. (1969). Gorod I arhitektor [City and the architect]. *Sovetskaja Klaipėda*, 24 (97), 2.
- Göbel, H. K. (2015). *The re-use of urban ruins: Atmospheric inquiries of the city*. London: Routledge.
- Goonewardena, K. (2005). The urban sensorium: Space, ideology and the aestheticization of politics. *Antipode*, 37(1), 46-71.
- Gottdiener, M. (2001), *The Theming of America*. Cambridge, MA: Westview Press.
- Graeber, D. (2016). *Toward an anthropological theory of value: The false coin of our own dreams*. New York: Palgrave;

- Graham, S. (2018). *Vertical: The city from satellites to bunkers*. London: Verso.
- Graham, S., & Thrift, N. (2007). Out of order: Understanding repair and maintenance. *Theory, culture & society*, 24(3), 1-25.
- Grant, B. (2014). The edifice complex: Architecture and the political life of surplus in the new Baku. *Public Culture*, 26(3), 501-528.
- Grasseni, C. (2004). Skilled vision. An apprenticeship in breeding aesthetics. *Social anthropology*, 12(1), 41-55.
- Greenland, F. R. (2016). Color perception in sociology: Materiality and authenticity at the Gods in Color show. *Sociological Theory*, 34(2), 81-105.
- Greenland, F. (2021). *Ruling culture: Art police, tomb robbers, and the rise of cultural power in Italy*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Gregory, D. (1994). *Geographical imagination*. Malden, Massachusetts: Blackwell.
- Gregory, D. (1995). Between the book and the lamp: imaginative geographies of Egypt, 1849-50. *Transactions - Institute of British Geographers*, 20(1), 29-57. <https://doi.org/10.2307/622723>.
- Gregson, N., Watkins, H., & Calestani, M. (2010). Inextinguishable fibres: demolition and the vital materialisms of asbestos. *Environment and Planning A*, 42(5), 1065-1083.
- Grigas, A. (2014). Russia-Baltic Relations after Crimea's Annexation: Reasons for Concern?. *Cicero Foundation Great Debate Paper*, 14(05), 1-15.
- Griswold, W. (1987). A methodological framework for the sociology of culture. *Sociological methodology*, 1-35.
- Groys, B. (2011) [1992]. *The Total Art of Stalinism: Avant-Garde, Aesthetic Dictatorship, and Beyond*. London, New York: Verso Books.
- Grybkauskas, S. (2008). Klaipėdos pramonės plėtra sovietinės lietuvių nomenklatūros interesų kontekste [Development of Klaipėda industry in the context of the interests of the Soviet Lithuanian nomenclature]. *Acta historica universitatis Klaipedensis*, 17, 181-190.
- Grzechnik, M. (2019). The missing second world: on Poland and postcolonial studies. *Interventions*, 21(7), 998-1014.
- Gytis Tiškus. (1978). *Literatūra ir Menas*, 121235.
- Halicka, B. (2015). *Polski Dzik Zachód. Przymusowe migracje i kulturowe oswajanie Nadodrza 1945-1948* [Polish Wild West. Forced migrations and cultural taming of Nadodrza 1945-1948]. Krakow: Universitas.
- Harms, E. (2012). Beauty as control in the new Saigon: Eviction, new urban zones, and atomized dissent in a Southeast Asian city. *American Ethnologist*, 39(4), 735-750.
- Harris, S. E. (2013). *Communism on tomorrow street: Mass housing and everyday life after Stalin*. Washington, DC: Woodrow Wilson Center Press

- Hart, G. (2018). Relational comparison revisited: Marxist postcolonial geographies in practice. *Progress in Human Geography*, 42(3), 371-394.
- Harvey, D. (1986). *Consciousness and the urban experience: Studies in the history and theory of capitalist urbanization*. Baltimore, Md: John Hopkins University Press.
- Harvey, D. (1989). From managerialism to entrepreneurialism: the transformation in urban governance in late capitalism. *Geografiska Annaler: series B, human geography*, 71(1), 3-17.
- Harvey, D. (2006). *Paris, capital of modernity*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Harvey, P. (2010). Cementing relations: the materiality of roads and public spaces in provincial Peru. *Social Analysis*, 54(2), 28-46.
- Hatherley, O. (2016). *Landscapes of communism: A history through buildings*. London: Penguin Books.
- Heinich, N. (2020). A pragmatic redefinition of value(s): Toward a general model of valuation. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 37(5), 75-94.
- Hennion, A. (2007). Those things that hold us together: Taste and sociology. *Cultural sociology*, 1(1), 97-114.
- Hennion, A. (2017a). Attachments, you say?... How a concept collectively emerges in one research group. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 10(1), 112-121.
- Hennion, A. (2017b). From valuation to instauration: On the double pluralism of values. *Valuation Studies*, 5(1), 69-81.
- Herzfeld, M. (1991). *A place in history: Social and monumental time in a Cretan town*. Princeton, N.J: Princeton University Press.
- Herzfeld, M. (2009). *Evicted from eternity: The restructuring of modern Rome*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Hetherington, K., & Cronin, A. M. (2008). *Consuming the entrepreneurial city: Image, memory, spectacle*. New York: Routledge.
- Heuts, F., & Mol, A. (2013). What is a good tomato? A case of valuing in practice. *Valuation Studies*, 1(2), 125-146.
- Hirt, S. (2006). Post-socialist urban forms: notes from Sofia. *Urban Geography*, 27(5), 464-488.
- Hirt, S. (2012). *Iron curtains: Gates, suburbs, and privatization of space in the post-socialist city*. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Hladík, R. (2011). A Theory's Travelogue: Post-Colonial Theory in Post-Socialist Space. *Teorie vědy/Theory of Science*, 33(4).
- Hobsbawm, E. J., & Ranger, T. O. (2016). *The Invention of tradition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Holleran, M. (2021). Concrete Monsters of the Welfare State: Discussions of Brutalist Architecture on Social Media. *Space and Culture*, DOI: 12063312211019384.

- Holleran, M., & Mattioli, F. (2020). From modernism to kitsch: the aesthetics of corruption and nationalism in Bulgaria and Macedonia. *Urban Geography*, 1-20.
- Hommels, A. (2005). Studying obduracy in the city: Toward a productive fusion between technology studies and urban studies. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 30(3), 323-351.
- Horvat, S., & Štiks, I. (2012). Welcome to the Desert of Transition! Post-socialism, the European Union, and a New Left in the Balkans. *Monthly review*, 63(10), 38-48.
- Howes, D., & Classen, C. (2014). *Ways of sensing: Understanding the senses in society*. London: Routledge.
- Huizinga, J. (1998). *The waning of the Middle Ages: A study of the forms of life, thought, and art in France and the Netherlands in the XIVth and XVth centuries*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Humphrey, C. (2002). *The unmaking of Soviet life: Everyday economies after socialism*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press
- Humphrey, C. (2005). Ideology in infrastructure: architecture and Soviet imagination. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 11(1), 39-58.
- Hyde, T. (2019). *Ugliness and judgment: On architecture in the public eye*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Imre, A. (2005). *Whiteness in post-socialist Eastern Europe: The time of the Gypsies, the end of race*. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- In memoriam: Adolfas Tautavičius. (2006, August 10). *Archeologiniai Tyrinėjimai Lietuvoje*. Retrieved from <http://www.atl.lt/2006/556-558.pdf>.
- Ingold, T. (2017). Surface visions. *Theory, Culture & Society*, 34(7-8), 99-108.
- Ingold, T., & Vergunst, J. L. (2016). *Ways of walking: Ethnography and practice on foot*. London: Routledge.
- Isaac, R. K., & Budryte-Ausiejene, L. (2015). Interpreting the emotions of visitors: A study of visitor comment books at the Grūtas Park Museum, Lithuania. *Scandinavian journal of hospitality and tourism*, 15(4), 400-424.
- Jacobs, J. M. (1996). *Edge of empire: Postcolonialism and the city*. London: Routledge.
- Jacobs, J. M. (2006). A geography of big things. *Cultural geographies*, 13(1), 1-27.
- Jacobs, J. M., & Merriman, P. (2011). Practising architectures. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 12(3), 211-222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649365.2011.565884>
- Jerolmack, C., & Khan, S. (2014). Talk is cheap: Ethnography and the attitudinal fallacy. *Sociological methods & research*, 43(2), 178-209.
- Jones, P. (2009). Putting architecture in its social place: a cultural political economy of architecture. *Urban studies*, 46(12), 2519-2536.
- Jones, S., & Yarrow, T. (2013). Crafting authenticity: An ethnography of conservation practice. *Journal of Material Culture*, 18(1), 3-26.

- Jurgaitis, E. (1985, January 26). Vozvrash'enie [Comeback]. *Sovetskaja Klaipėda*, 4.
- Kaika, M. (2006). Dams as symbols of modernization: The urbanization of nature between geographical imagination and materiality. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 96(2), 276-301.
- Kaika, M. (2010). Architecture and crisis: re-inventing the icon, re-imag(in) ing London and re-branding the City. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 35(4), 453-474.
- Kaika, M. (2011). Autistic architecture: the fall of the icon and the rise of the serial object of architecture. *Environment and Planning D: society and space*, 29(6), 968-992.
- Kałużna, J. (2018). Dekomunizacja przestrzeni publicznej w Polsce-zarys problematyki [Decommunization of public space in Poland - an outline of the problem]. *Środkowoeuropejskie Studia Polityczne*, (2), 157-171.
- Keane, W. (2003). Semiotics and the social analysis of material things. *Language & communication*, 23(3-4), 409-425.
- Kelly, C. (2018). The shock of the old: architectural preservation in Soviet Russia. *Nations and Nationalism*, 24(1), 88-109.
- Klaipėda City Municipality (2017). Klaipėda's Application for the European Capital of Culture 2022.
- Klaipėda City Municipality & UAB 'Urbanistika' (2021). *Klaipėdos Miesto Bendrasis Planas*. Klaipėda.
- Klaipėda City Municipality. (2017). Klaipėda 2030: Vision, Development Scenario and Strategic Directions. Klaipėda
- Klaipėda City Municipality. (2017). *Klaipėda-2022: European Capital of Culture*.
- Klaipėdoje tarptautinė konferencija – "Klaipėdos pilies atkūrimo perspektyvos" [Klaipėda International Conference - "Perspectives on Klaipėda Castle Restoration"] (2017, November 8). *Alkas.lt*. Retrieved from <https://alkas.lt/2017/11/08/klaipėdoje-tarptautinė-konferencija-klaipėdos-pilies-atkūrimo-perspektyvos/>
- Klemek, C. (2012). *The transatlantic collapse of urban renewal: Postwar urbanism from New York to Berlin*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.
- Klumbytė, N. (2010). Memory, identity, and citizenship in Lithuania. *Journal of Baltic Studies*, 41(3), 295-313.
- Knapik, B. & Kolisko, P. (2011, May 15). Ugier już w wersji ostatecznej na wieżycze Dworca Głównego [Ochre is already in the final version of the Main Railway Station]. *TuWrocław*. Retrieved from <https://www.tuwroclaw.com/wiadomosci,ugier-juz-wwersji-ostatecznej-na-wiezycze-dworca-glownego,wia5-3266-7850-2.html>
- Koposov, N. (2018). *Memory laws, memory wars: The politics of the past in Europe and Russia*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Koshar, R. (1998). *Germany's transient pasts: Preservation and national memory in the twentieth century*. Chapel Hill, N.C: University of North Carolina Press.

Kotelnikova, M. (2022, April 16). Vosstanovlenije razrushennyh Ukrainских gorodov: pochemu vazhno dumat' ob etom uzhe segodnya? [Reconstruction of destroyed Ukrainian cities: why is it important to think about it today?]. *Odesskaya zshizn'*. Retrieved from <https://odessa-life.od.ua/article/vosstanovlenie-razrushennyh-ukrainskih-gorodov-pochemu-vazhno-dumat-ob-jetom-uzhe-segodnja>

Kowalski, A. (2017). That Banal Object of Nationalism: 'Old Stones' as Heritage in the Early Days of French Public Television. In Z. Zubrzycki (Ed.). *National matters: Materiality, culture, and nationalism*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Kozlov, D. (2001). The historical turn in late Soviet culture: retrospectivism, factography, doubt, 1953–91. *Kritika: Explorations in Russian and Eurasian History*, 2(3), 577-600.

Kraftl, P. (2010). Geographies of architecture: the multiple lives of buildings. *Geography Compass*, 4(5), 402-415.

Krassowska, U. (2016). *Komuna I Demokracja - Ccena PRL I Okresu Po 4 Czerwca 1989 Roku* [Commune and Democracy - Assessment of the People's Republic of Poland in the First Period after June 4, 1989]. Warszawa: TNS OBOP.

Kretinin, G., & Fyodorova, O. (2009). Klaipėdskii kraii posle okonchaniia Vtoroi mirovoi voiny [Klaipėda Region after the End of the Second World War]. *Acta Historica Universitatis Klaipėdensis*, 18, 252-266.

Krivosos, D., & Diatlova, A. (2020). What to Wear for Whiteness? 'Whore' Stigma and the East/West Politics of Race, Sexuality and Gender. *Intersections. East European Journal of Society and Politics*, 6(3).

Kuipers, G. (2015). Beauty and distinction? The evaluation of appearance and cultural capital in five European countries. *Poetics*, 53, 38-51.

Kuipers, G., Franssen, T., & Holla, S. (2019). Clouded judgments? Aesthetics, morality and everyday life in early 21st century culture. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, 22(4), 383-398.

Kuipers, G., Sezneva, O., & Halauniova, A. (2022). Culture beyond words: Using visual Q-methodology to study aesthetic meaning-making. *Poetics*, DOI:101655.

Kusenbach, M. (2003). Street phenomenology: The go-along as ethnographic research tool. *Ethnography*, 4(3), 455-485.

Kusno, A. (2010). *The appearances of memory: Mnemonic practices of architecture and urban form in Indonesia*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.

Kusno, A. (2014). *Behind the postcolonial: Architecture, urban space, and political cultures in Indonesia*. London, New York: Routledge.

Kuus, M. (2004). Europe's eastern expansion and the reinscription of otherness in East-Central Europe. *Progress in human geography*, 28(4), 472-489.

Lamont, M. (1992). *Money, morals, and manners: The culture of the French and the American upper-middle class*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Lamont, M. (2012). Toward a comparative sociology of valuation and evaluation. *Annual review of sociology*, 38, 201-221.

Lamont, M., & Thévenot, L. (2013). *Rethinking comparative cultural sociology: Repertoires of evaluation in France and the United States*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Latour, B. (1994). On technical mediation. *Common knowledge*, 3(2), 29-64

Latour, B. (2000). When things strike back: a possible contribution of 'science studies' to the social sciences. *The British journal of sociology*, 51(1), 107-123.

Latour, B. (2004). Why has critique run out of steam? From matters of fact to matters of concern. *Critical inquiry*, 30(2), 225-248.

Lankauskas, G. (2006). Sensuous (re) collections: The sight and taste of socialism at Grūtas Statue Park, Lithuania. *The Senses and Society*, 1(1), 27-52.

Lankauskas, G. (2014). Missing socialism again? The malaise of nostalgia in post-Soviet Lithuania. *Anthropology and nostalgia*, 35-60.

Lea, T., & Pholeros, P. (2010). This is not a pipe: The treacheries of indigenous housing. *Public Culture*, 22(1), 187-209.

Leatherbarrow, D., & Mostafavi, M. (2005). *Surface architecture*. Cambridge, Mass: MIT Press.

Lebow, K. (2016). *Unfinished utopia: Nowa Huta, stalinism, and Polish society, 1949-56*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Lee, F., & Helgesson, C. F. (2020). Styles of valuation: Algorithms and agency in high-throughput bioscience. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 45(4), 659-685.

Lees, L., Bang Shin, H., & López-Morales, E. (2016). *Planetary gentrification*. Cambridge: Polity.

Lefebvre, H. (2016). *The production of space*. Malden: Blackwell.

Leiserowitz, R. (2015). Population Shifts and Displacement in the Memel Region. In T. Balkelis & V. Davoliūtė (Ed.). *Population displacement in Lithuania in the twentieth century: experiences, identities and legacies* (pp. 112-132). Vilnius: Vilnius Academy of Arts Press.

Lerp, D. (2013). Farmers to the Frontier: Settler Colonialism in the Eastern Prussian Provinces and German Southwest Africa. *The Journal of Imperial and Commonwealth History*, 41(4), 567-583.

Lindner, C., & Sandoval, G. (2021). *Aesthetics of gentrification: Seductive spaces and exclusive communities in the neoliberal city* (p. 296). Amsterdam University Press.

Linek, B. (2001). „De-Germanization“ and „Re-Polonization“ in Upper Silesia. In P. Ther & A. Siljak (Ed.). *Redrawing Nations: Ethnic Cleansing in East-Central Europe, 1944-1948* (pp.121-135). Lanham, Boulder, New York, Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers

Lisiak, A. A. (2010). *Urban cultures in (post)colonial Central Europe*. West Lafayette, Ind: Purdue University Press.

Livet, P. (2002). Emotions, revision, and the explanation of actions. *European Review of Philosophy*, 5, 93-108

Livet, P. (2005). Les diverses formes duraisonnement par cas [The various forms of reasoning]. In J. Passeron & J. Revel (Ed.). *Penser par Cas* (pp. 229-254). Paris: Paris, Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales.

- Logan, W., & Reeves, K. (2009). *Places of pain and shame. Dealing with Difficult Heritage*. New York: Routledge.
- Low, S. M. (1996). Spatializing culture: the social production and social construction of public space in Costa Rica. *American ethnologist*, 23(4), 861-879.
- Lowenthal, D. (1985) *The Past Is a Foreign Country*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lyubchenko, O. (2022, April 30). On the Frontier of Whiteness? Expropriation, War, and Social Reproduction in Ukraine. *LeftEast*. Retrieved from <https://lefteast.org/frontiers-of-whiteness-expropriation-war-social-reproduction-in-ukraine/>.
- Macdonald, S. (2006). Undesirable heritage: Fascist material culture and historical consciousness in Nuremberg. *International journal of heritage studies*, 12(1), 9-28.
- Macdonald, S. (2009). Reassembling Nuremberg, reassembling heritage. *Journal of Cultural Economy*, 2(1-2), 117-134.
- Macdonald, S. (2010). *Difficult heritage: Negotiating the Nazi past in Nuremberg and beyond*. London: Routledge.
- Macdonald, S. (2013). *Memorylands: Heritage and identity in Europe today*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Manyuk, E. S. (2015). Sovetskoe gradostroitel'stvo v byvshej Vostochnoj Prussii (Kaliningrad i Klajpeda v 1945-1950) [Soviet urban planning in the former East Prussia (Kaliningrad and Klaipeda in 1945—1950-ies)]. [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. Baltic Federal University of Immanuel Kant.
- Mark, J., & Betts, P. (2022). *Socialism goes global: The Soviet Union and Eastern Europe in the Age of Decolonisation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Martin, A. (2002). History of a Future Perfect. *Project Russia*, 3, 6-11.
- Martínez, F. & Laviolette, P. (2020). *Repair, brokenness, breakthrough: Ethnographic responses*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Marx, K. (1972). *The eighteenth Brumaire of Louis Bonaparte*. Moscow: Progress Publishers.
- Mauss, M. (1973). Techniques of the Body. *Economy and society*, 2(1), 70-88.
- McDonnell, T. E. (2010). Cultural objects as objects: Materiality, urban space, and the interpretation of AIDS campaigns in Accra, Ghana. *American Journal of Sociology*, 115(6), 1800-1852.
- McFarlane, C. (2011). The city as assemblage: dwelling and urban space. *Environment and Planning D: society and space*, 29(4), 649-671.
- McMichael, P. (1990). Incorporating comparison within a world-historical perspective: An alternative comparative method. *American sociological review*, 385-397.
- Melegh, A. (2006). *On the East-West slope: Globalization, nationalism, racism and discourses on Eastern Europe*. Budapest: Central European University Press.

- Meyer, B. (2009). Introduction: from imagined communities to aesthetic formations: religious mediations, sensational forms, and styles of binding. In B., Meyer (Ed.) *Aesthetic Formations* (pp. 1-28). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Meyer, B. (2010). Aesthetics of persuasion: global Christianity and Pentecostalism's sensational forms. *South Atlantic Quarterly*, 109(4), 741-763.
- Meyer, B., & De Witte, M. (2013). Heritage and the sacred: Introduction. *Material Religion*, 9(3), 274-280.
- Meyer, B., & Port, M. (2018). *Sense and essence: Heritage and the cultural production of the real*. New York: Berghahn Books.
- Mitina, Y. (2011). From German Memel to Soviet Klaipeda (the restoration of the city in the first post-war decade). *Vestnik IKBFU*, (12).
- Mnich, M. (2016). It will be beautiful one day. *Kohqataja*, 9 (12), 13-29.
- Mol, A. (2002). *The body multiple: Ontology in medical practice*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Mol, A. (2010). Care and its values. Good food in the nursing home. In A. Mol, I. Moser, & J. Pols (Ed.). *Care in practice: On tinkering in clinics, homes and farms* (pp. 215-234). Bielefeld: Transcript Verlag.
- Molnár, V. (2013). *Building the state: Architecture, politics, and state formation in post-war central Europe*. London: Routledge.
- Molnár, V. (2010). The cultural production of locality: reclaiming the 'European city' in post-Wall Berlin. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34(2), 281-309.
- Molnár, V. (2016). The power of things: Material culture as political resource. *Qualitative Sociology*, 39(2), 205-210.
- Molnár, V. (2021). The Toolkit of Nationalist Populism in Contemporary Hungary: Symbols, Objects, and Modalities of Circulation. *East European Politics and Societies*, 35(4), 948-974.
- Mookherjee, N. (2011). The aesthetics of nations: anthropological and historical approaches. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 17, S1-S20.
- Moore, D. C. (2001). Is the post-in postcolonial the post-in post-Soviet? Toward a global postcolonial critique. *Pmla*, 116(1), 111-128.
- Morawska, E. (2000). Intended and unintended consequences of forced migrations: A neglected aspect of East Europe's twentieth century history. *international Migration review*, 34(4), 1049-1087.
- Moxey, K. (2001) *The Practice of Persuasion: Paradox and Power in Art History*. Ithaca, NY and London: Cornell University Press.
- Mrduljaš, M. & Kulić, V. (2012). *Unfinished Modernisations: Between Utopia and Pragmatism* [Architecture and Urban Planning in the Former Yugoslavia and the Successor States]. UHA/CCA.
- Muehlebach, A. (2017). The body of solidarity: Heritage, memory, and materiality in post-industrial Italy. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 59(1), 96-126.

- Mukerji, C. (1997). *Territorial ambitions and the gardens of Versailles*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Mukerji, C. (2012). Space and political pedagogy at the gardens of Versailles. *Public Culture*, 24(3 68), 509-534.
- Müller, M. (2020). In search of the global east: Thinking between north and south. *Geopolitics*, 25(3), 734-755.
- Muñoz Viñas, S. (2015). *Contemporary Theory of Conservation*. London: Routledge
- Murawski, M. (2019). *The palace complex: A stalinist skyscraper, capitalist Warsaw, and a City transfixed*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Nelson, R. L. (2010). From Manitoba to the Memel: Max Sering, inner colonization and the German East. *Social History*, 35(4), 439-457.
- New European Bauhaus Info Session (2021). Retrieved from <https://europa.eu/new-european-bauhaus/system/files/2021-02/New-European-Bauhaus-Info-Session-slides.pdf>.
- Ngai, S. (2007). *Ugly feelings*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Ngai, S. (2015). *Our Aesthetic Categories: Zany, Cute, Interesting*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Nikolić, S. (2019). Pastelozza-Refurbishing of the PPR heritage. *Urban Development Issues*, 63, 51-64.
- Nora, P. (1998). *Realms of Memory: Rethinking the French Past: Symbols*. New York: Columbia University Press
- Oushakine, S. A. (2013). Postcolonial Estrangements: Claiming a Space between Stalin and Hitler. In J.A. Buckler (Ed.). *Rites of Place: Public Commemoration in Russia and Eastern Europe* (pp.285-315). Evanston: Northwestern University Press.
- Panagia, D. (2009). *The political life of sensation*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Paperny, V. (1985). Kul'tura "Dva": Sovetskaya Arkhitektura, 1932-1954 [Culture "2": Soviet Architecture, 1932-1954]. *Ann Arbor: Ardis*.
- Patterson, M. (2020). Architecture as performance art: Evaluating "iconic power" in the development of two museums. *American Journal of Cultural Sociology*, 8(2), 158-190.
- Patterson, O. (2014). Making sense of culture. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 40, 1-30.
- Peck, J., Theodore, N., & Brenner, N. (2009). Neoliberal Urbanism. *The SAIS Review of International Affairs*, 29(1), 49-66.
- Petrović, T. (2009) Gnezdenje kolonializmov : novi in stari obrazci izključevanja na obrobju Evrope [Nesting colonialisms: new and old forms of exclusion on the periphery of Europe]. In B. Beznec (Ed.) *Evroorientalizem; (Z)nova medicina* (pp. 68-77). Ljubljana: Študentska založba.
- Petrušis, V. (2007). Alfredas Gytis Tiškus: Klaipėda – tai raudona [Alfredas Gytis Tiškus: Klaipėda is red]. *Archiforma*, 3, 48-55.

Pilo, F., & Jaffe, R. (2020). Introduction: The political materiality of cities. *City & Society*, 32(1), 8-22.

Pivoras, S. (2008). Post-Soviet transformation of bureaucracy in Lithuania: main features and trends. *Baltic Journal of Law and Politics*, 1, 111-131

Popescu, C. (2014). At the periphery of architectural history—looking at Eastern Europe. *Artl@s Bulletin*, 3(1), 2.

Popovici, V., & Pop, O. (2016, February 11). From over here, in the periphery: a decolonial method for Romanian cultural and political discourses. *LeftEast*. Retrieved from <https://lefteast.org/from-over-here-in-the-periphery-a-decolonial-method-for-romania/>.

Port, M. (1998). *Gypsies, wars and other instances of the wild: Civilisation and its discontents in a Serbian town*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.

Pow, C. P. (2009). Neoliberalism and the aestheticization of new middle-class landscapes. *Antipode*, 41(2), 371-390.

Przemysław, F. (2017). *Uzasadnienie od Towarzystwo Upiększania Miasta Wrocławia* [Justification from the Society for the Beautification of the City of Wrocław]. Retrieved from http://tumw.pl/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/20170622_TUMW-DKWZ_Solpol-2.pdf.

Pszczyński, M. (2020). Communist monuments: Cultural heritage or cultural nuisance?. *Gdańskie Studia Międzynarodowe*, 18(1-2), 206-220.

Rabinow, P. (2014). *French modern: Norms and forms of the social environment*. Chicago: Chicago University Press.

Račevskis, K. (2002). Toward a postcolonial perspective on the Baltic states. *Journal of Baltic Studies*, 33(1), 37-56.

Ramanauskienė, M. (2014). *Pastato Danės g. r. 19, Klaipėdoje. Vertinimas paveldosaugos Aspektu* [Pastato Danės g. r. 19, Klaipėda. Assessment of its Heritage Aspect].

Ramlo, S. (2016). Mixed method lessons learned from 80 years of Q methodology. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 10(1), 28-45.

Rancière, J. (2009). *Aesthetics and its Discontents*. Cambridge: Polity.

Reid, S. E. (2018). "Palaces in our hearts": Caring for Khrushchevki. In T. Grossmann & P. Nielsen (ed.) *Architecture, Democracy, and Emotions: The Politics of Feeling since 1945* (pp. 141-174). London: Routledge.

Rindzevičiūtė, E. (2010). Imagining the Grand Duchy of Lithuania: the politics and economics of the rebuilding of Trakai Castle and the 'Palace of Sovereigns' in Vilnius. *Central Europe*, 8(2), 181-203.

Robinson, J. (2015). World cities, or a world of ordinary cities?. In F. Miraftab & N. Kudva (Ed.). *Cities of the Global South Reader* (pp. 66-72). New York: Routledge.

Przybyło, E., & Rodrigues, S. (2018). *On the politics of ugliness*. Cham: Palgrave Macmillan.

Rotbard, S. (2015). *White city, black city: Architecture and war in Tel Aviv and Jaffa*. London: Pluto Press.

- Roy, A. (2013). The 21st Century Metropolis: New Geographies of Theory. *Andamios*, 10(22), 149-182.
- Roy, A., & Ong, A. (2011). *Worlding cities: Asian experiments and the art of being global*. Malden: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Rozena, S. (2022). Displacement on the Lancaster West Estate in London before, during, and after the Grenfell fire. *City*, 26(1), 6-27.
- Rózycki, B. (2017). Renaming urban toponomy as a mean of redefining local identity: the case of street decommunization in Poland. *Open Political Science*, 1(1), 20-31.
- Saethre, E. (2020). *Wastelands. Recycled commodities and the perpetual displacement of Ashkali and Romani scavengers*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
- Safronovas, V. (2012). O postizhenii Vostochno-Prusskogo goroda posle 1945 goda. Sootnosheniye jeho vospiyatij vo vsesojuznoj, natsional'noj, lokal'noj sistemah znachenij na primere Klaipedy [On conquering the city after 1945. Relationships among various perspectives on the city within all-Union, nationa, and local systems of meanings in Klaipeda] *Acta historica universitatis Klaipedensis: Erdvių pasisavinimas Rytų Prūsijoje XX amžiuje*, 24, 230-276.
- Safronovas, V. (2015). Population of the Klaipėda region and the balance of power in the Eastern Baltic region, 1919-1960. In T. Balkelis & V. Davoliūtė (Ed.). *Population displacement in Lithuania in the twentieth century: experiences, identities and legacies* (pp. 91-132). Vilnius: Vilnius Academy of Arts Press
- Safronovas, V. (2016a). Symbolic appropriation of a Baltic City: on the peculiarities of Klaipėda in comparison with Kaliningrad and Olsztyn (1945-1990), In H. Hein-Kirche & I. Misāns (Ed.) *Stadtgeschichte des Baltikums oder baltische Stadtgeschichte?: Annäherungen an ein neues Forschungsfeld zur baltischen Geschichte* (pp. 2017-219). Marburg: Verlag Herder-Institut
- Safronovas, V. (2016b). *The Creation of National Spaces in a Pluricultural Region: The Case of Prussian Lithuania*. Boston: Academic Studies Press.
- Saint, A. (1992). *A Change of Heart: English Architecture Since the War. A Policy for Protection*. London: RCHME.
- Schwenkel, C. (2013). Post/socialist affect: Ruination and reconstruction of the nation in urban Vietnam. *Cultural Anthropology*, 28(2), 252-277.
- Schwenkel, C. (2021). *Building socialism: The afterlife of East German architecture in urban Vietnam*. Durham: Duke University Press.
- Scott, J. C. (2020). *Seeing like a state: How certain schemes to improve the human condition have failed*. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Sezneva, O. (2007). "We have never been German": The economy of digging in Russian Kaliningrad. In C., Calhoun & R., Sennett (Ed.) *Practicing culture* (pp. 23-44). London: Routledge.
- Sezneva, O. (2012). Architecture of descent: Historical reconstructions and the politics of belonging in Kaliningrad, the former Königsberg. *Journal of urban history*, 39(4), 767-787.
- Sezneva, O. (2013). On moral substance and visual obscurity in policies and practice of state expansion. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 31(4), 611-627.

- Sezneva, O., & Halauniova, A. (2021). Ugly and uglier: defining value and politics in architecture. *Journal of Urban Design*, 26(5), 575-590.
- Schmolck, P., & Atkinson, J. (2014). *PQMethod software and manual version 2.35*. Retrieved from <http://schmolck.userweb.mwn.de//qmethod/pqmanual.htm>.
- Sisson, P. (2022, May 3). What Should Ukraine's Reconstruction Look Like? *Bloomberg*. Retrieved from <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2022-05-03/how-to-think-about-rebuilding-ukraine>.
- Sklair, L. (2017). *The icon project. Architecture, cities, and capitalist globalization*. Corby: Oxford University Press.
- Sklair, L., & Gherardi, L. (2012). Iconic architecture as a hegemonic project of the transnational capitalist class. *City*, 16(1-2), 57-73.
- Smith, A. (2012). *Events and urban regeneration: The strategic use of events to revitalise cities*. London: Routledge.
- Smith, N. (1987). Of yuppies and housing: gentrification, social restructuring, and the urban dream. *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space*, 5(2), 151-172.
- Smith, N. (2002). New globalism, new urbanism: gentrification as global urban strategy. *Antipode*, 34(3), 427-450.
- Söderström, O. (2006). Studying cosmopolitan landscapes. *Progress in Human Geography*, 30(5), 553-558.
- Soja, E. W. (1998). Thirdspace: Journeys to Los Angeles and other real-and-imagined places. *Capital & Class*, 22(1), 137-139.
- Springer, F. (2014, September 13). Springer o solpolu. Wyjatkowy pomnik polskiej transformacji [Springer on Solpol. A unique monument to the Polish transformation]. *Gazeta.pl*. Retrieved from <https://weekend.gazeta.pl/weekend/7,152121,16618805,springer-o-solpolu-wyjatkowy-pomnik-polskiej-transformacji.html>.
- Spurytė, V. (2015, March 13). Klaipėdos muzikinis teatras: arba rekonstrukcija, arba likvidacija [Klaipėda Musical Theater: either reconstruction or liquidation]. *Delfi.lt*. Retrieved from <https://www.delfi.lt/news/daily/lithuania/klaipedos-muzikinis-teatras-arba-rekonstrukcija-arba-likvidacija.d?id=67420788>.
- Ssorin-Chaikov, N. (2016). Soviet debris: failure and the poetics of unfinished construction in northern Siberia. *Social Research*, 83(3), 689-721.
- Stanilov, K. (2007). *The post-socialist city: Urban form and space transformations in Central and Eastern Europe after socialism*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Steinmetz, G. (2008). *Devil's Handwriting: Precoloniality and the German Colonial State in Qingdao, Samoa, and Southwest Africa*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Stengers, I. (2011). Comparison as a matter of concern. *Common knowledge*, 17(1), 48-63.
- Stenning, A. (2005). Post-socialism and the changing geographies of the everyday in Poland. *Transactions of the institute of British Geographers*, 30(1), 113-127.

- Stenning, A., Smith, A., Rochovská, A., & Świątek, D. (2010). Credit, debt, and everyday financial practices: low-income households in two postsocialist cities. *Economic geography*, 86(2), 119-145.
- Strebel, I. (2011). The living building: Towards a geography of maintenance work. *Social & Cultural Geography*, 12(03), 243-262.
- Strilchuk, V. (2022, May 9). Dlya vosstanovleniya gorodov voz'mut novye standarty: kak budut zhit' ukraincy posle vojny? [To restore cities new standards will be taken: how Ukrainians will live after the war?]. *Segodnya*. Retrieved from <https://industry.segodnya.ua/industry/budivnytstvo/dlya-vosstanovleniya-gorodov-vozmuto-novye-standarty-kak-budut-zhit-ukraincy-posle-voyny-1619746.html>
- Summers, B. T. (2015). H Street, Main Street, and the neoliberal aesthetics of cool. *Capital dilemma: Growth and inequality in Washington, DC*, 299-314. New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Summers, B. T. (2019). *Black in place: The spatial aesthetics of race in a post-Chocolate City*. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press.
- Sur, M. (2017). The blue urban: colouring and constructing Kolkata. *City*, 21(5), 597-606.
- Swidler, A. (1986). Culture in action: Symbols and strategies. *American sociological review*, 273-286.
- Swidler, A. (2003). *Talk of love: How culture matters*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Taylor, M. A., Stoltz, D. S., & McDonnell, T. E. (2019). Binding significance to form: Cultural objects, neural binding, and cultural change. *Poetics*, 73, 1-16.
- Terray, E. (2004). Headscarf Hysteria. *New Left Review* 26, 118-127.
- The Venice Charter. (1964). International charter for the conservation and restoration of monuments and sites. [ebook] Venice: ICOMOS - International Council on Monuments and sites. Retrieved from https://www.icomos.org/charters/venice_e.pdf.
- Ther, P. (2001). A Century of Forced Migration: The Origins and Consequences of 'Ethnic Cleansing'. In P. Ther & A. Siljak (Ed.). *Redrawing Nations: Ethnic Cleansing in East-Central Europe, 1944-1948* (pp.43-75). Lanham, Boulder, New York, Oxford: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Ther, P., & Siljak, A. (2001). *Redrawing nations: Ethnic cleansing in East-Central Europe, 1944-1948*. Lanham, Md: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Thévenot, L. (2001). Pragmatic regimes governing the engagement with the world. In K. K. Cetina, T. R. Schatzki, & E. von Savigny (Ed.), *The practice turn in contemporary theory* (pp. 56-73). London: Routledge.
- Thoburn, N. (2018). Concrete and council housing: The class architecture of Brutalism 'as found' at Robin Hood Gardens. *City*, 22(5-6), 612-632.
- Thum, G. (2011). *Uprooted - How Breslau Became Wrocław during the Century of Expulsions*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Tiškus, G. (1985, May 17). Kokiais rubais rengsime pastatus [In What Clothes Will We Dress Klaipėda?]. *Tarybine Klaipėda*, 112 (11401), 3.

Tlostanova, M. (2012). Postsocialist≠ postcolonial? On post-Soviet imaginary and global coloniality. *Journal of postcolonial writing*, 48(2), 130-142.

Tlostanova, M. (2017). On decolonizing design. *Design Philosophy Papers*, 15(1), 51-61.

Todorov, V. (1991). Introduction to the political aesthetics of communism. *Textual Practice*, 5(3), 363-382.

Todorova, M. (2019). Balkanism and postcolonialism or on the beauty of the airplane view. In M. Todorova (Ed.), *Scaling the Balkans* (pp. 93-114). Leiden, Boston: Brill.

Todorova, M. N., & Gille, Z. (2012). *Post-communist nostalgia*. New York: Berghahn Books.

Truskauskienė, E. (2017). Klaipėda port in 1945–1990. *Mokslas – Lietuvos Ateitis / Science – Future of Lithuania*, 9(1), 51-61.

Tunbridge, J. E., & Ashworth, G. J. (1996). *Dissonant heritage: The management of the past as a resource in conflict*. Chichester: Wiley.

Tuvikene, T. (2016). Strategies for comparative urbanism: post-socialism as a de-territorialized concept. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 40(1), 132-146.

UAB "EI Projektai" (2008). *Klaipėdos piliavietės atkūrimas ir neišlikusios gynybinės sistemos regeneravimo galimybės* [Restoration of Klaipėda castle site and possibilities of regeneration of the non-existent defense system]. Klaipėdos Miesto Savivaldybės Administracija.

Unikaite-Jakuntaviciene, I. (2014). Eurosceptics in Lithuania: On the margins of politics?. *European quarterly of political attitudes and mentalities*, 3(4), 1.

Urban, F. (2020). Postmodernism and socialist mass housing in Poland. *Planning Perspectives*, 35(1), 27-60.

Vainorius, M. (2017, November 9). Jau galima pasivaikščioti po Klaipėdos pilį [It is already possible to walk around Klaipėda Castle]. *Atvira Klaipėda*. Retrieved from <https://www.atviraklaipeda.lt/2017/11/09/jau-galima-pasivaikscioti-po-klaipedos-pili/>.

Vaišvilaitė, I. (1995). *Baroko pradžia Lietuvoje* [The Beginning of Baroque in Lithuania]. Vilnius: Vilniaus Dailės Akademija.

Valatka, R. & Mazurkevicius, V. (1983). Uostamiesčio kvartalų spalvos [Colours of the quarters of Harbour City]. *Lietuvos žvejys*, 19 (9), 8.

Van de Port, M. (2012). Genuinely made up: camp, baroque, and other denaturalizing aesthetics in the cultural production of the real. *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, 18(4), 864-883.

Van der Hoorn, M. (2009). *Indispensable eyesores: An anthropology of undesired buildings*. New York: Berghahn books.

Verkaaik, O. (2020). The Anticipated Mosque: The Political Affect of a Planned Building. *City & Society*, 32(1), 118-136.

Vilenica, A., Florea, I., Popovici, V., & Pósfai, Z. (2021). Urban struggles and theorising from Eastern European cities. In M. Lancione & C. McFarlane (Ed.) *Global Urbanism* (pp. 306-316). London: Routledge.

Voropaev, A. (1957, May 11). Fasadam domov- ustoichivyye kraski! [To the facades of houses - resistant paints!]. *Sovetskaja Klaipėda*, 92 (2997), 3

Wakiewicz, J. & Bogacz, T. (1997) Strategia rozwoju miasta Wrocławia - założenia, cele, programy realizacji [Development strategy of the city of Wrocław - assumptions, goals, programs implemented]. In T. Domanskiego (ed.) *Marketing Terytorialny. Strategic wyzwania dla miast i regionow* (pp. 139-145). Lodz: University of Lodz.

Weber, B. R. (2010). In desperate need (of a makeover): The neoliberal project, the design expert, and the post-Katrina social body in distress. In *Old and new media after Katrina* (pp. 175-201). New York: Palgrave Macmillan.

While, A. (2006). Modernism vs urban renaissance: Negotiating post-war heritage in English city centres. *Urban Studies*, 43(13), 2399-2419.

Wike, R., Poushter, J., Silver, L., Devlin, K., Fetterolf, J., Castillo, A., & Huang, C. (2019). European Public Opinion Three Decades After the Fall of Communism. *Pew Research Center*. Retrieved from <https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2019/10/15/european-public-opinion-three-decades-after-the-fall-of-communism/>.

Wolff, L. (1994). *Inventing Eastern Europe: The map of civilization on the mind of the Enlightenment*. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Wrocław: Dworzec Główny w kolorze pomarańczowy ugier [Wrocław: Main Railway Station in orange color] (2011, April 20). *Wrocław Naszemiasto*. Retrieved from <https://wroclaw.naszemiasto.pl/wroclaw-dworzec-glownyw-kolorze-pomaranczowy-ugier/ar/c1-872576>.

Wrocław. Solpol is to be demolished, the city agrees. Activist protests. (2021, August 7). *Polish news*. Retrieved from <https://polishnews.co.uk/wroclaw-solpol-is-to-be-demolished-the-city-agrees-activist-protests/>.

Yaneva, A. (2008). How buildings' surprise': The renovation of the Alte Aula in Vienna. *Science & Technology Studies*, 21(1), 8-28.

Yaneva, A. (2017). *Five ways to make architecture political: An introduction to the politics of design practice*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing.

Yaneva, A., & Latour, B. (2008). Give me a gun and I will make all buildings move: An ANT's view of architecture. In *Explorations in architecture: Teaching, design, research* (pp. 80-89). Birkhäuser Verlag Ag.

Yarrow, T. (2019). How conservation matters: Ethnographic explorations of historic building renovation. *Journal of material culture*, 24(1), 3-21.

Yurchak, A. (2011). *Aesthetic politics in Saint Petersburg: Skyline at the heart of political opposition*. National Council for Eurasian and East European Research.

Zaniewski, K. J. (1991). Housing Inequalities Under Socialism: The Case of Poland. *Geoforum: Journal of Physical, Human, and Regional Geosciences*, 22 (1), 39-53.

Zarecor, K. E. (2011). *Manufacturing a socialist modernity: Housing in Czechoslovakia, 1945-1960*. Pittsburgh: University of Pittsburgh Press.

Zarecor, K. E. (2018). What was so socialist about the socialist city? Second world urbanity in Europe. *Journal of Urban History*, 44(1), 95-117.

Zelensky Vows to Rebuild Ukraine And Says Russia Will Pay. (2022, March 3). *Barron's*. Retrieved from <https://www.barrons.com/news/zelensky-vows-to-rebuild-ukraine-and-says-russia-will-pay-01646302507>.

Zubovas, V. (1989, July 28). Vernet' Klaipede ee istoricheskij oblik [Return Klaipėda its historical appearance]. *Sovetskaja Litva*, 172 (13985), 4.

Zubrzycki, G. (2001). " We, the Polish Nation": Ethnic and civic visions of nationhood in Post-Communist constitutional debates. *Theory and Society*, 30(5), 629-668.

Zubrzycki, G. (2009). *The crosses of Auschwitz*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Zubrzycki, G. (2013). Aesthetic revolt and the remaking of national identity in Québec, 1960–1969. *Theory and society*, 42(5), 423-475.

Zubrzycki, G. (Ed.). (2017). *National matters: Materiality, culture, and nationalism*. Stanford, California: Stanford University Press.

Zubrzycki, G. (Forthcoming). *Resurrecting the Jew: Nationalism, Philosemitism, and Poland's Jewish Revival*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Zukin, S. (2009). Changing landscapes of power: Opulence and the urge for authenticity. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 33(2), 543-553.

Zukin, S. (2010). The normalization of luxury: Aesthetics and authenticity in the big city. Keynote presentation at the Association of European Schools of Planning Conference, Helsinki, 7–10 July.

Summary

As numerous projects of beautifying cities worldwide make it clear, the appearance of built environments is gaining more and more relevance. How cities look concerns not only architects and inhabitants, but state officials, investors, and international organizations, all interested in making urban space as aesthetically pleasing as possible. But why do aesthetics matter? The study *Brick is Warmer than Concrete: Aesthetic Anxiety and the Making of Architecture in Eastern European Cities* examines how people come to care about urban appearances so deeply. In two cities that this dissertation addresses, Wrocław in Poland and Klaipėda in Lithuania, these dynamics are especially strong because their built environments and their aesthetics were reshaped multiple times to fit changing historical narratives about the place. Built under German rule, seized and rebuilt under state socialist rule, and later reconstructed as 'European', Wrocław and Klaipėda demonstrate how notions of 'beautiful' and 'ugly' architecture turned into critical terrains where meanings of the past, history, the nation, and the state acquired strong emotional resonances among local inhabitants and came to be experienced as personally relevant to them.

The effects of urban beautification have been well documented—it increases property values, crystallizes a city's 'brand,' and drives the displacement of marginalized populations. Yet, why 'beauty' is so powerful and efficient in asserting particular political projects remains underexplored. To explain how people come to have genuine faith in the aesthetic 'improvement' of built environments, I coin the term *aesthetic anxiety*. This term captures how in particular settings individuals come to see aesthetic 'improvement' as something that can 'fix' political failings and cast 'quality' and 'stability' upon their lives and why even a minor aesthetic transformation sparks fierce debates as to the meanings of the past, the nation, and the state.

Drawing upon archival materials, go-alongs, and more than one hundred interviews with architects, planners, state officials, and activists analyzed with a mixed-method approach called Q-sort, I examine how 'beauty' and 'ugliness' of architecture were understood in both cities in the period from 1945 until today, and how these understandings are practiced nowadays when architectural professionals resolve dilemmas concerning designing, reconstructing, and maintaining buildings of various historical periods. This study bridges cultural sociology, geography, and science and technology

studies to demonstrate how people's emotions and aesthetic feelings about buildings can offer a unique lens into broader processes of nation-building, de-communization, and Europeanization in postsocialist Eastern Europe. Beyond that, my study provides a framework for exploring how people's feelings about the aesthetics of built environments make them care about such notions as 'history,' 'nation,' 'state,' and 'Europeanness' elsewhere. That is especially relevant in places where built environments incite collective discomfort and concern, such as places that have experienced colonial rule, statelessness, a lack of sovereignty, and various forms of historical rupture.

The first part of my dissertation, "**Different beautiful(s) and ugly(s),**" draws on the results of the Q-sort interviews and go-alongs and it focuses upon the dominant ways in which urban professionals judge and justify what architectural aesthetics should be aspired for and what aesthetics must be obviated. The first way in which architectural professionals and inhabitants-turn-activists judge the aesthetics of architecture is what I term *beautiful-as-historical* style of valuation explored in Chapter 1. This is particularly evident in Wrocław. It is prevalent among city officials and can be traced back to state authorities' ideology of conservation and restoration of works of architecture that acquired resonance in the immediate aftermath of Wrocław's annexation in 1945 and which gave special status to the historicity of architecture as a condenser of national feelings. This valuation is likewise related to the postsocialist revival of popular interest in all things German and bourgeois. Nowadays, this approach to aesthetics assumes prioritization of well-renovated, German-period architectural stock (mainly 19th century architecture) in contrast to Soviet-period architecture (especially modernist, mass housing estates) that lacks physical maintenance and financial investments. When valuating aesthetics of architecture in this way, architectural professionals and activists praise the quality of the historicity of built environments and insist on the aesthetic superiority of this quality. Historicity, in their opinion, is materially built into German-period architecture and serves as evidence of that architecture's 'unquestionable' beauty. 'Decorativeness,' 'impressiveness,' a 'nice,' 'friendly' look, and a 'good fit' with its surroundings are the properties of architecture these city officials appreciated the most. At the same time, as they told me, buildings erected during communist rule were devoid of historicity. By defining beautiful architecture as that which is 'historical' and by treating German-period stock as deserving of special care, these individuals mark their emotional investments in the idea of national sovereignty and western bourgeois

development in contrast to domination and socialist statehood. In their view, only the historical period that preceded state socialist ideology in the Polish People's Republic possessing a 'truly' independent and aesthetically alluring character.

In Klaipėda, architects, planners, city officials, and activists similarly valued 'old,' 'historical,' 'well-renovated' German-period architectural stock, which they deemed 'European.' I have termed this the *beautiful-as-European* style of valuation and examine it in Chapter 3. As I have demonstrated, in the current historical period, people value the 'clear,' 'coherent' and 'distinctive' character of 'German' and 'Prussian' architecture when they judge aesthetics in this way. Such architecture, in their opinion, shapes 'the heart of the city,' in the absence of which the inhabitants have no 'stable ground.' Architects, planners, city officials, and activists contrasted 'European' architecture with buildings erected under Soviet rule (particularly, modernist housing estates and public facilities), which they termed 'invading,' 'damaging,' and 'lacking' in quality and character. This way of interacting with aesthetics evinces a sentiment that only well-renovated German-period architecture demonstrates how the city 'was supposed to look,' would look if not for the Soviet Union's occupation of Lithuania. Soviet-period architecture, thus, poses a material 'threat' to the 'settled' urban fabric of what used to be Memel. It is therefore immanently 'ugly.'

Another way in which architectural professionals and activists interact with architectural aesthetics is what I term the *beautiful-as-modern* style of valuation that draws its inspiration from hopes and promises of socialist modernization in the 1950s–1960s, which is prominent in Wrocław. I examine this valuation of architectural aesthetics in Chapter 2. In Wrocław, some architects, planners, city officials, and activists appreciate German-period and socialist-period modernist architecture as examples of 'real' beauty and devalue contemporary constructions for their 'monstrosity' and 'egocentrism.' By prioritizing modernist buildings, these people associate architecture's 'elegance' and 'modest' beauty with 'good' state governance. They single out the architect's political intentions and in doing so express nostalgia for the pre-war public policies and socialist-period welfare state that promised to provide inhabitants 'good living conditions.' This way of handling architectural aesthetics is people's response to their concerns about the nature of urban governance and planning in Poland driven by the principles of 'wild capitalism' and neoliberalism, which Wrocław architectural professionals currently witness.

Finally, some architects, planners, city officials, and activists in Klaipėda handle the aesthetics of architecture in a manner I call the *beautiful-as-authentic* style of valuation, which I examine in Chapter 4. This traces back to the 1970s–1980s, when city inhabitants strove to achieve cultural and national distinction. These days, architectural professionals and activists who encounter aesthetics in this manner appreciate either German-period architectural stock or contemporary stylization of that architecture by caring for its ‘authentic’ materials first and foremost. For them, architectural imitations and stylizations are not inherently ‘bad,’ but can work as efficient means to mend the historical discontinuity imposed upon locals since the city’s annexation. Defining beauty and ugliness in architecture in this manner functions as an aesthetic ‘fix’ for something that many people experience as a profound historical rupture.

In part 2, “**Caring for the beautiful and the ugly,**” I invite the reader to turn the attention toward how aesthetic appearances of architecture are made and maintained. This part foregrounds concrete acts of various groups of professionals that go into sustaining the values of historicity, modernness, Europeanness, and authenticity as those radiate aesthetic aspirations of people in Wrocław and Klaipėda. I demonstrated in Chapter 5, for example, that the surprising discovery of the ‘historical’ color of a German-period building in Wrocław incited passionate and controversial reactions among architectural professionals and inhabitants. While some city officials and conservation professionals insisted on the absolute necessity of reconstituting the Wrocław’s railway station’s ‘true’ historical ochre color during its renovation in 2010–2012, others reacted with ‘shock’ and strong aesthetic dislike for the proposed color scheme. For them, ochre was ‘too colorful,’ ‘cheap,’ and ‘screamy,’ but most importantly, ‘unfamiliar.’ While stratigraphic examinations of the building’s pigments and archival research did not prove sufficient to substantiate the decision, the city conservator argued that using ‘saturated’ and ‘bright’ colors in the urban palette constitutes an accurate representation of how ‘European’ cities looked. Regardless of critical voices, the color ochre was chosen over the more familiar light beige. Though many architectural professionals and activists with whom I spoke had become used to the ochre shade, the color continues to signify concern. They asked: Is ‘historically accurate’ historicity better than familiarity? Discovery of an unfamiliar, saturated color triggered aesthetic anxiety in people and motivated them to debate the nature and goodnesses of historicity in architecture.

But it is not only the discovery of a new material object that gives rise to inhabitants' concerns regarding aesthetics. In Chapter 6, I reveal how local inhabitants' habituated, mundane aesthetic feelings relate to their valuations of particular architecture as 'deserving' or 'undeserving' of particular care. Using the case of the Wrocław Manhattan estate built in 1972–1978 and renovated in 2015–2016, I followed architectural professionals' and inhabitants' commitments to 'modernize' the estate as a result of the 'bad quality' and 'unaesthetic appeal' of its concrete. This chapter documents how people's understanding of socialism and socialist architecture as 'deviating' from western European modernity resulted in their treatment of the estate's concrete as a socialist materiality. This was manifest in how the housing cooperative board, architects, and residents attended to the concrete's porosity, propensity for energy loss, and degradation as qualities that result from socialism's flaws as a political regime: its perceived lack of care and competence. However, after the 'modernized' plastered concrete of the renovated estate became covered in bird excrement, many residents and architectural professionals questioned the value of the new design. The estate's residents were able to test how 'modernized' concrete changes as a result of everyday use, under different weather conditions, and at different times of the year. As a result, plaster covered in bird excrement appeared 'cheap' and 'untrustworthy.' Under these new circumstances, the 1970s concrete seemed again to be good quality and, therefore, deserved 'better quality' maintenance. This chapter foregrounds people's anxious quest to inhabit architecture devoid of neglect and 'outdatedness' and the role of aesthetics in their search for 'modern' and 'good quality' housing.

The aesthetic anxiety local inhabitants experience in both cities resides not only in the design choices that they make, but also in the institutional frameworks that allow for some changes and prevent others. In Chapter 7, I explore how various architectural professionals aimed to secure protected status for the destroyed Klaipėda castle (former Memelburg) in the last decades of state socialist rule and after the regime's dissolution. I examined how archeologists, historians, and architects ensured the 'Europeanness' of the nonexistent castle by aligning their aesthetic aspirations with limitations that existing institutional frameworks in the city imposed. Some did so by drawing on the criteria of 'documentary evidence'—prioritizing any form of historic evidentiary facts, such as images, unearthed artefacts, speeches, and memoranda that potentially hinted at the value of the castle for the city and its history. Others, in a slightly divergent manner, drew on the criteria of 'aesthetic

completeness' when trying to justify the necessity of reconstructing the castle. These architectural professionals, activists, and archeologists insisted that Klaipėda is profoundly incomplete without the reconstructed castle. People tried to make both criteria 'convincing' to the state apparatus in order to secure funding and heritage status for the project, and 'Europeanness' became the unifying frame according which architecture was acknowledged as having high aesthetic status. I demonstrated that professionals associated the 'Europeanness' of the building itself with the 'Europeanness' of the conservation and restoration procedures. In their view, one can secure the value of the 'lost' building only by investing diligent and careful work in its reconstruction. Such extra-attentiveness to institutional frameworks and regulations was understood by them as a sign of a 'superior,' 'European' bureaucracy in contrast to the 'inferior,' 'not based on the evidence,' Soviet one. This chapter outlines how aesthetic anxiety over what constitutes 'Europeanness' in architecture resides in the practices of people who labor to align their aesthetic desires with changing institutional frameworks.

All these aspects—discovery, mundane aesthetic feelings, and alignment—matter in how aesthetic anxiety manifests itself in the daily practices of architectural professionals, activists, and inhabitants in both cities. In Chapter 8, I trace how the abovementioned aspects come together. To illustrate my framework, I took the red clay brick used in Memel and Klaipėda as an example. I revealed how red clay brick and construction materials aesthetically resembling it came to be understood as the ultimate substratum of beauty in the city. In this chapter, I explore how the settlers forcedly relocated to Klaipėda came to be infatuated by the red clay brick of German-period architecture, how a similar material was produced and used by the Lithuanian architects during Soviet rule, and how the degradation of the 'Soviet' red clay brick resulted in inhabitants' collective disappointment with Soviet socialism and socialist aesthetics. I demonstrate that people interpreted the brick's failings as inherent flaws in the Soviet regime. Finally, I disclose that the disappointment with red clay brick's 'Soviet-ness' reverberates today when architectural professionals use red tiles and red panels as construction materials that tie contemporary architecture to pre-Soviet Memel. This chapter portrays how people resolve the angst of historical discontinuity by valuing red clay brick.

Nederlandse Samenvatting

Het uiterlijk van de gebouwde omgeving wordt steeds relevanter. Dit blijkt onder andere uit talloze projecten wereldwijd die beogen steden over de hele wereld te verfraaien. Hoe steden eruitzien, is niet alleen het domein van architecten en inwoners, maar ook van staatsfunctionarissen, investeerders en internationale organisaties. Zij zijn allen belanghebbenden bij het verfraaien van de stedelijke ruimte. Maar waarom is esthetiek belangrijk? De studie *Brick is Warmer than Concrete: Aesthetic Anxiety and the Making of Architecture in Eastern European Cities* onderzoekt hoe het komt dat mensen zo veel belang hechten aan het uiterlijk van de stedelijke omgeving. In de twee steden die in dit proefschrift aan de orde komen, Wrocław in Polen en Klaipėda in Litouwen, is deze dynamiek duidelijk zichtbaar. In beide steden werd de gebouwde omgeving en de esthetiek ervan meerdere keren hervormd om te passen bij veranderende historische verhalen over de locaties. Gebouwd onder Duitse heerschappij, in beslag genomen en herbouwd onder staatssocialistische heerschappij en later gereconstrueerd als 'Europees', laten Wrocław en Klaipėda zien hoe noties van 'mooie' en 'lelijke' architectuur cruciaal werden in de betekenisgeving van het verleden, de geschiedenis, de natie en de staat en de persoonlijke relevantie daarvan voor lokale bewoners.

Hoewel de effecten van stedelijke verfraaiing goed zijn gedocumenteerd - het verhoogt de waarde van onroerend goed, kristalliseert het 'merk' van een stad en zorgt voor de gedwongen verplaatsing van gemarginaliseerde bevolkingsgroepen - is de vraag waarom 'schoonheid' zo krachtig en efficiënt is in het bestendigen van bepaalde politieke projecten grotendeels over het hoofd gezien. Om te duiden hoe mensen daadwerkelijk belang hechten aan de waarde van esthetische 'verbetering' van gebouwde omgevingen, gebruik ik de term *esthetische angst*. Deze term geeft weer hoe individuen esthetische 'verbetering' gaan zien als iets dat politieke tekortkomingen kan 'repareren' en 'kwaliteit' en 'stabiliteit' op hun leven kan projecteren. Daarnaast verduidelijkt dit concept waarom in bepaalde situaties zelfs een kleine esthetische transformatie felle debatten op gang brengt over de betekenissen van het 'verleden', de 'natie' en de 'staat'.

Aan de hand van archiefmateriaal, *go-alongs* en meer dan honderd interviews met architecten, planners, staatsfunctionarissen en activisten, die ik heb geanalyseerd met een *mixed-methods* aanpak genaamd Q-sort, onderzoek ik hoe betekenis werd en wordt gegeven aan 'schoonheid' en

'lelijkheid' van architectuur in beide steden in de periode van 1945 tot vandaag, en hoe deze inzichten tegenwoordig worden toegepast wanneer architectuurprofessionals dilemma's oplossen met betrekking tot het ontwerpen, reconstrueren en onderhouden van gebouwen uit verschillende historische perioden. Deze studie slaat een brug tussen cultuursociologie, geografie en *science and technology studies* (STS) om aan te tonen hoe emoties en esthetische gevoelens met betrekking tot gebouwen een unieke lens kunnen bieden voor het analyseren van bredere processen van natievorming, *decommunisation* en Europeanisering in postsocialistisch Oost-Europa. Daarnaast biedt mijn onderzoek een kader om te onderzoeken hoe de gevoelens die mensen hebben ten aanzien van de esthetiek van gebouwde omgevingen ertoe kunnen leiden dat ze zich ook interesseren in noties als 'geschiedenis', 'natie', 'staat' en 'Europees-zijn'. Dat is vooral relevant op plaatsen waar de gebouwde omgeving collectief ongemak en bezorgdheid oproept, zoals plaatsen die koloniale overheersing, staatloosheid, een gebrek aan soevereiniteit en historische disrupties hebben ondergaan.

Het eerste deel van mijn proefschrift, "Verschillende vormen van mooi and lelijk", is gebaseerd op de resultaten van de Q-sort-interviews en *go-alongs* en richt zich op de dominante manieren waarop stedelijke professionals beoordelen en rechtvaardigen welke architecturale esthetiek nastrevenswaardig is en welke esthetiek moet worden vermeden. De eerste manier waarop architectuurprofessionals en bewoners-activisten de esthetiek van architectuur beoordelen, is de evaluatiestijl die ik "*mooi als historisch*" noem. Ik onderzoek deze stijl in hoofdstuk 1. Deze stijl komt vooral naar voren in Wrocław. Het komt veel voor onder stadsambtenaren en is terug te voeren op de ideologie van de staatsautoriteiten waarin conservering en restauratie van architectuurwerken centraal staat. Deze ideologie kreeg weerklank in de onmiddellijke nasleep van de annexatie van Wrocław in 1945 en gaf een speciale status aan de historiciteit van architectuur als gemeenplaats van nationale gevoelens. Deze evaluatiestijl houdt eveneens verband met de postsocialistische heropleving van de brede belangstelling voor alles wat Duits en burgerlijk is. Tegenwoordig veronderstelt deze esthetische benadering een voorkeur voor gerenoveerde architectuur uit de Duitse periode (voornamelijk 19e-eeuwse architectuur) in tegenstelling tot architectuur uit de Sovjetperiode (vooral modernistische, grootschalige woningcomplexen) die fysiek onderhoud en financiële investeringen ontberen. Bij deze manier evalueren van de esthetiek van architectuur, prijzen architectuurprofessionals en activisten de kwaliteit van de historiciteit van gebouwde omgevingen en

benadrukken ze de esthetische superioriteit van deze kwaliteit. Historiciteit is naar hun mening materieel ingebouwd in de architectuur uit de Duitse periode en dient als bewijs van de 'onbetwistbare' schoonheid van die architectuur. 'Decoratief', 'indrukwekkend', een 'mooie', 'vriendelijke' uitstraling en een 'goede fit' met de omgeving zijn de eigenschappen van architectuur die deze stadsambtenaren het meest waardeerden. Tegelijkertijd, zoals ze me vertelden, waren gebouwen die tijdens het communistische bewind waren opgetrokken, verstoken van historiciteit. Door prachtige architectuur te definiëren als dat wat 'historisch' is en door architectuur uit de Duitse periode te behandelen met speciale zorg, markeren deze individuen hun emotionele investeringen in een idee van nationale soevereiniteit en bourgeois westerse ontwikkeling in tegenstelling tot socialistische staatsoverheersing. Volgens hen heeft alleen de historische periode die voorafging aan de staatsocialistische ideologie in de Poolse Volksrepubliek een 'echt' onafhankelijk en esthetisch aantrekkelijk karakter.

In Klaipėda waardeerden architecten, planners, stadsfunctionarissen en activisten op dezelfde manier 'oude', 'historische', 'goed gerenoveerde' architectuur uit de Duitse periode, die zij als 'Europees' beschouwden. Ik noem deze evaluatiestijl "*mooi als Europees*" en onderzoek deze in hoofdstuk 3. Zoals ik heb aangetoond, waarden mensen in de huidige historische periode het 'heldere', 'samenhangende' en 'onderscheidende' karakter van 'Duitse' en 'Pruisische' architectuur wanneer ze esthetiek beoordelen. Dergelijke architectuur vormt naar hun mening 'het hart van de stad'. Bij het ontbreken daarvan zouden bewoners geen 'stabiele grond' hebben. Architecten, planologen, stadsfunctionarissen en activisten onderscheiden 'Europese' architectuur van gebouwen die tot stand kwamen tijdens het Sovjet bewind (met name modernistische woonwijken en openbare voorzieningen), die ze 'invasief', 'beschadigend' en 'tekortkomend' in kwaliteit en karakter noemden. Deze manier van omgaan met esthetiek getuigt van een sentiment dat alleen goed gerenoveerde architectuur uit de Duitse periode laat zien hoe de stad zoals deze 'er uit zou moeten zien' er werkelijk uit zou hebben gezien, als Litouwen niet door de Sovjet-Unie bezet was geweest. Architectuur uit de Sovjetperiode vormt dus een materiële 'bedreiging' voor het 'gevestigde' stedelijke weefsel van wat vroeger Memel was. Het is daarom per definitie 'lelijk'.

Een andere manier waarop architectuurprofessionals en -activisten omgaan met architecturale esthetiek, is de evaluatiestijl die ik "*mooi als modern*" noem. Deze stijl haalt inspiratie uit de hoop en beloften van

socialistische modernisering in de jaren 1950-1960, die prominent aanwezig is in Wrocław. Ik onderzoek deze waardering van architecturale esthetiek in hoofdstuk 2. In Wrocław waarden sommige architecten, planologen, stadsfunctionarissen en activisten de modernistische architectuur uit de Duitse en socialistische periode als voorbeelden van 'echte' schoonheid en devalueren ze hedendaagse constructies vanwege hun 'monsterlijkheid' en 'egocentrisme'. Door modernistische gebouwen voorop te stellen, associëren deze mensen de 'elegantie' en de 'bescheiden' schoonheid van de architectuur met 'goed' bestuur. Ze benadrukken de politieke bedoelingen van de architect en drukken daarmee heimwee uit naar het vooroorlogse overheidsbeleid en de socialistische welvaartsstaat die de bewoners 'goede levensomstandigheden' beloofden. Dit is een reactie die voortkomt uit zorgen over de aard van stadsbestuur en stadsplanning in Polen, gedreven door de principes van 'wild kapitalisme' en neoliberalisme, waar architectuurprofessionals in Wrocław momenteel getuige van zijn.

Ten slotte evalueren sommige architecten, planologen, stadsfunctionarissen en activisten in Klaipėda de esthetiek van architectuur op een manier die ik "*mooi als authentiek*" noem. Deze evaluatiestijl onderzoek ik in hoofdstuk 4. Deze stijl is te herleiden tot de jaren zeventig en tachtig, toen stadsbewoners streefden naar culturele en nationale onderscheiding. Tegenwoordig waarden architecturale professionals en activisten die op deze manier met esthetiek omgaan architectuur uit de Duitse periode, of hedendaagse stilering van die architectuur, door het belang van het gebruik van 'authentieke' materialen voorop te stellen. Voor hen zijn architecturale imitaties en stileringen niet inherent 'slecht', maar kunnen ze een efficiënt middel zijn om de historische discontinuïteit te herstellen die de lokale bevolking sinds de annexatie van de stad is opgelegd. Het op deze manier definiëren van schoonheid en lelijkheid in architectuur fungeert als een esthetische 'fix' voor iets dat veel mensen ervaren als een diepgaande historische breuk.

In deel 2, "Zorgen voor het mooie en het lelijke", nodig ik de lezer uit om de aandacht te richten op de manier waarop esthetische verschijningsvormen van architectuur worden gemaakt en onderhouden. In dit deel worden concrete daden van verschillende groepen professionals naar voren gebracht die zich inzetten voor het ondersteunen van waarden als historiciteit, moderniteit, Europeesheid en authenticiteit die voortvloeien uit de esthetische ambities van mensen in Wrocław en Klaipėda. Zo toonde ik in hoofdstuk 5 aan dat de verrassende ontdekking van de 'historische' kleur van

een gebouw uit de Duitse periode in Wrocław gepassioneerde en controversiële reacties opriep bij architectuurprofessionals en -bewoners. Terwijl sommige stadsfunctionarissen en natuurbeschermingsprofessionals aandrongen op de absolute noodzaak om de 'echte' historische okerkleur van het treinstation van Wrocław te herstellen tijdens de renovatie in 2010-2012, reageerden anderen met 'shock' en een sterke esthetische afkeer van het voorgestelde kleurenschema. Voor hen was oker 'te kleurrijk', 'goedkoop' en 'schreeuwerig', maar vooral 'niet vertrouwd'. Terwijl stratigrafisch onderzoek van de pigmenten van het gebouw en archiefonderzoek niet voldoende bleek om de beslissing te onderbouwen, betoogde de stadsconservator dat het gebruik van 'verzadigde' en 'heldere' kleuren in het stedelijke palet een nauwkeurige weergave is van hoe 'Europese' steden eruitzagen. Los van kritische stemmen werd de kleur oker gekozen boven het meer bekende lichtbeige. Hoewel veel architectuurprofessionals en activisten met wie ik sprak gewend waren geraakt aan de okertint, blijft de kleur reden tot zorg. Ze vroegen: is historisch correcte historiciteit beter dan vertrouwdheid? De ontdekking van een onbekende, verzadigde kleur veroorzaakte esthetische angst bij mensen en motiveerde hen om te debatteren over de aard en goedheid van historiciteit in de architectuur.

Maar het is niet alleen de ontdekking van een nieuw materieel object dat aanleiding geeft tot bezorgdheid bij de bewoners over esthetiek. In hoofdstuk 6 laat ik zien hoe genormaliseerde alledaagse esthetische gevoelens van lokale bewoners zich verhouden tot hun waardering van bepaalde architectuur als 'het verdienen' of 'niet verdienen' van speciale zorg en onderhoud. Aan de hand van een casus, het vastgoedcomplex Wrocław Manhattan, gebouwd in 1972-1978 en gerenoveerd in 2015-2016, volgde ik de toezeggingen van architectuurprofessionals en bewoners om het complex te 'moderniseren' vanwege de 'slechte kwaliteit' en 'esthetisch afstotelijkheid' van het beton. Dit hoofdstuk documenteert hoe mensen socialisme en socialistische architectuur als 'afwijkend' zagen, ten opzichte van de West-Europese moderniteit en hoe dit resulteerde in hun behandeling van het beton als een socialistisch materiaal. Dit kwam tot uiting in hoe het bestuur, architecten en bewoners van de woningbouwcoöperatie de porositeit van het beton, de neiging tot energieverlies en degradatie als kwaliteiten zagen die het gevolg zijn van het gebrek aan zorg en competentie die inherent zijn aan het socialisme als politiek regime. Nadat het 'gemoderniseerde' gepleisterde beton van het gerenoveerde complex echter onder de vogelpoep kwam te zitten, twijfelden veel bewoners en bouwprofessionals aan de waarde van het nieuwe

ontwerp. De bewoners van het landgoed konden testen hoe ‘gemoderniseerd’ beton verandert door dagelijks gebruik, onder verschillende weersomstandigheden en in verschillende tijden van het jaar. Als gevolg daarvan bleek gips bedekt met vogelpoep 'goedkoop' en 'onbetrouwbaar'. Onder deze nieuwe omstandigheden leek het beton uit de jaren 70 weer van goede kwaliteit en verdiende het daarom 'beter onderhoud'. Dit hoofdstuk belicht de angstige zoektocht van mensen om niet-verwaarloosde en 'verouderde' architectuur te bewonen en de rol van esthetiek in hun zoektocht naar 'moderne' en 'goede' huisvesting.

De esthetische angst die lokale bewoners in beide steden ervaren, heeft niet alleen betrekking op de ontwerpkeuzes die ze maken, maar ook op de institutionele kaders die sommige veranderingen mogelijk maken en andere voorkomen. In hoofdstuk 7 beschrijf ik hoe verschillende architectuurprofessionals in de laatste decennia van staatsocialistische heerschappij en na de ontbinding van het regime, de beschermde status van het verwoeste Klaipėda-kasteel (voormalig Memelburg) probeerden veilig te stellen. Ik onderzoek hoe archeologen, historici en architecten de 'Europeesheid' van het niet-bestaande kasteel verzekerden door hun esthetische ambities af te stemmen op de beperkingen die werden opgelegd door bestaande institutionele kaders. Sommigen deden dit door gebruik te maken van de criteria van 'documentair bewijs' - waarbij prioriteit werd gegeven aan elke vorm van historische bewijs, zoals afbeeldingen, opgegraven artefacten, toespraken en memoranda die mogelijk wezen op de waarde van het kasteel voor de stad en haar geschiedenis. Anderen maakten gebruik van criteria van 'esthetische volledigheid' bij het rechtvaardigen van de noodzaak van reconstructie van het kasteel. Deze architectuurprofessionals, activisten en archeologen hielden vol dat Klaipėda absoluut onvolledig is zonder het gereconstrueerde kasteel. Men probeerde beide criteria 'overtuigend' te maken voor het staatsapparaat om financiering en erfgoedstatus voor het project veilig te stellen, en 'Europeesheid' werd het verenigende kader waarbinnen architectuur esthetisch kon worden erkend. Ik heb laten zien dat professionals de 'Europeesheid' van het gebouw zelf associeerden met de 'Europeesheid' van de conserverings- en restauratieprocedures. Volgens hen kan men de waarde van het 'verloren' gebouw alleen veilig stellen door ijverig en zorgvuldig werk te investeren in de wederopbouw. Een dergelijke extra aandacht voor institutionele kaders en regelgeving werd door hen opgevat als een teken van een 'superieure', 'Europese' bureaucratie in tegenstelling tot de 'inferieure', 'niet gebaseerd op bewijs', regelgeving van de Sovjet-Unie. Dit

hoofdstuk schetst hoe esthetische bezorgdheid over wat 'Europees-zijn' in de architectuur is, aanwezig is in de praktijken van mensen die zich inspannen om hun esthetische verlangens af te stemmen op veranderende institutionele kaders.

Al deze aspecten - ontdekking, alledaagse esthetische gevoelens en afstemming - zijn van belang in hoe esthetische angst zich manifesteert in de dagelijkse praktijk van architecturale professionals, activisten en bewoners in beide steden. In hoofdstuk 8 laat ik zien hoe bovengenoemde aspecten bij elkaar komen. Om mijn raamwerk te illustreren, nam ik de rode baksteen die in Memel en Klaipėda werd gebruikt als voorbeeld. Ik onthulde hoe rode baksteen en bouwmaterialen die er esthetisch op leken, werden begrepen als het ultieme toonbeeld van schoonheid in de stad. In dit hoofdstuk onderzoek ik hoe de kolonisten die gedwongen naar Klaipėda waren verhuisd, verliefd raakten op de rode bakstenen van de Duitse architectuur, hoe een soortgelijk materiaal werd geproduceerd en gebruikt door Litouwse architecten tijdens de Sovjetregering, en hoe de slijtage van de 'Sovjet' rode bakstenen leidde tot een collectieve teleurstelling van de bewoners over het sovjetsocialisme en de socialistische esthetiek. Ik laat zien dat mensen de tekortkomingen van de baksteen interpreteerden als inherente gebreken van het Sovjetregime. Tot slot onthul ik dat de teleurstelling over de 'Sovjet-heid' van rode baksteen vandaag de dag weerklinkt wanneer architectonische professionals rode tegels en rode panelen gebruiken als bouwmaterialen die hedendaagse architectuur verbinden met pre-Sovjet Memel. Dit hoofdstuk laat zien hoe mensen de angst voor historische discontinuïteit oplossen door rode bakstenen te waarderen.

