



**UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)**

**Consequences and detection of invalid exogeneity conditions**

Niemczyk, J.

[Link to publication](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Niemczyk, J. (2009). Consequences and detection of invalid exogeneity conditions Amsterdam: Thela Thesis

**General rights**

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

**Disclaimer/Complaints regulations**

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>1 General Introduction and Overview</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Endogenous regressors . . . . .	1
1.2 Some practical research questions . . . . .	4
1.3 Overview of this thesis . . . . .	5
<b>2 The asymptotic and finite sample (un)conditional distributions of OLS and simple IV in simultaneous equations</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	9
2.2 Model, estimators and standard asymptotics . . . . .	13
2.3 The asymptotic distribution of inconsistent OLS . . . . .	15
2.4 Measures for estimator accuracy . . . . .	21
2.5 Pictured parametrizations . . . . .	25
2.5.1 A basic static IID model . . . . .	26
2.5.2 Actual findings . . . . .	30
2.6 Conclusions . . . . .	34
<b>3 On the limiting and empirical distribution of IV estimators when some of the instruments are invalid</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1 Introduction . . . . .	41
3.2 Model, assumptions and theorems . . . . .	46
3.3 Illustrations . . . . .	55
3.3.1 A simple just identified model . . . . .	57

3.3.2	A simple overidentified model . . . . .	66
3.4	Conclusions . . . . .	71
3.5	Appendix . . . . .	73
<b>4</b>	<b>Evaluating the performance of tests of overidentifying restrictions</b>	<b>97</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	97
4.2	Test statistics for overidentifying restrictions . . . . .	99
4.2.1	GMM statistics . . . . .	99
4.2.2	GEL statistics . . . . .	104
4.3	Bootstrap procedures . . . . .	105
4.3.1	HH type bootstrap . . . . .	106
4.3.2	EL type bootstrap . . . . .	110
4.3.3	Residual type bootstrap . . . . .	111
4.4	Illustrations . . . . .	113
4.4.1	Homoscedastic Example . . . . .	113
4.4.2	Heteroscedastic Example . . . . .	114
4.5	Conclusions . . . . .	122
<b>5</b>	<b>Sequential test procedures for instrumental variable selection</b>	<b>133</b>
5.1	Introduction . . . . .	133
5.2	Notation, assumptions and the test statistic . . . . .	136
5.3	Selection of valid instruments . . . . .	140
5.3.1	Procedure A . . . . .	141
5.3.2	Procedure B . . . . .	142
5.3.3	Procedure C . . . . .	142
5.4	A Monte Carlo Study . . . . .	145
5.5	An Empirical Example . . . . .	154
5.6	Alternative inference and a sensitivity analysis . . . . .	166
5.7	Conclusions . . . . .	172
5.8	Appendix . . . . .	176

<i>CONTENTS</i>	xi
<b>Summary in English</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Samenvatting in het Nederlands</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>189</b>