Parts of speech and dependent clauses: A typological study
van Lier, E.H.

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: http://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
## Contents

Acknowledgments vii
List of Figures xi
List of Tables xiii
List of Abbreviations xix

1 Introduction 1

2 Parts of Speech 7
   2.1 Introduction 7
   2.2 Functional-typological attempts at defining parts of speech 8
   2.3 Hengeveld's syntactic-pragmatic approach 12
      2.3.1 Slots and fillers 12
      2.3.2 The cross-linguistic perspective 15
      2.3.2.1 General introduction 15
      2.3.2.2 The Parts of Speech Hierarchy (Hengeveld 1992) 17
      2.3.2.3 Intermediate systems (Hengeveld, Rijkhoff & Siewierska 2004) 19
      2.3.2.4 More diversity (Hengeveld & Van Lier 2008, forthcoming) 22
      2.3.3 Summary 33
   2.4 Critique on the syntactic approach 33
      2.4.1 Introduction 33
      2.4.2 Croft's Universal-Typological Theory of Parts of Speech 34
2.4.3 Lexical categories within and across languages:
The generality and the subclass problems 37
2.4.4 Summary, outlook 41

2.5 Defining lexical flexibility 42
2.5.1 Introduction 42
2.5.2 The criterion of compositionality: flexibility and semantic shift 42
2.5.2.1 Evans and Osada’s proposal and some alternatives 42
2.5.2.2 An integrative approach to semantics in flexible languages 47
2.5.3 The criterion of exhaustiveness: 59
How flexible should a flexible language be?
2.5.4 The criterion of equivalent combinatorics: Bi-directional flexibility 59
2.5.5 Lexical flexibility: A summary 62

2.6 Summary 62

3 Dependent Clauses 65

3.1 Introduction 65

3.2 Dependent clauses and propositional functions 67
3.2.1 Slots and fillers 67
3.2.2 Structural coding in dependent clauses: 69
Subordinating conjunctions and dependent verb forms
3.2.3 Rigid and flexible dependent clauses 73
3.2.4 Summary 78

3.3 Behavioural potential in dependent clauses:
De-categorization and re-categorization 79
3.3.1 Introduction 79
3.3.2 Verbal and nominal feature hierarchies 80
3.3.2.1 Introduction 80
3.3.2.2 The verbal feature hierarchy 80
3.3.2.3 The nominal feature hierarchy 83
3.3.2.4 Summary, outlook 86
3.3.3 Feature mixing in DCs across languages:
Cross-linguistic generalizations and functional motivations 86
3.3.3.1 Introduction 86
3.3.3.2 The typology of subordination (Cristofaro 2003) 88
3.3.3.3 The typology of nominalization (Malchukov 2004) 91
3.3.4 Summary, outlook 97

3.4 A two-step typology of dependent clauses 98

3.5 Summary 108
6 Dependent Clauses in the Languages of the Sample
  6.1 Introduction
  6.2 DC constructions and propositional functions
     6.2.1 Introduction
     6.2.2 Rigid and flexible DCs: Attested types
        6.2.2.1 Preliminary considerations
        6.2.2.2 Language data
     6.2.3 Discussion
        6.2.3.1 Attested and predicted types
        6.2.3.2 Predicted but not attested types:
          The problem of predicate clauses
     6.2.4 Summary
  6.3 DC constructions and behavioural potential
     6.3.1 Introduction
     6.3.2 Basic Data
        6.3.2.1 Formal parameters
        6.3.2.2 Language data
     6.3.3 A three-way typology of DCs according to behavioural potential
        6.3.3.1 Introduction
        6.3.3.2 Preliminary considerations
        6.3.3.3 Language data
     6.3.4 Behavioural potential of different structural DC types
  6.4 An integrated DC typology: Functional possibilities of three structural DC types
  6.5 Summary, outlook

7 Results
  7.1 Introduction
  7.2 Global functional matches:
     Flexibility versus rigidity in the PoS and DC domains
        7.2.1 Global matching without differentiating for structural DC types
        7.2.1.1 Introduction
        7.2.1.2 Global match for flexible constructions
        7.2.1.3 Global match for rigid constructions
7.2.1.4  Summary  
7.2.2  The parameter of structural DC type  
7.2.2.1  Introduction  
7.2.2.2  Global match for flexible constructions, differentiating for structural DC type  
7.2.2.3  Global match for rigid constructions, differentiating for structural DC type  
7.2.2.4  Summary  
7.2.3  Specific functional matches:  
Types and amounts of flexibility/rigidity in the PoS and DC domains  
7.3.1  Introduction  
7.3.2  Specific matches for flexible constructions  
7.3.2.1  Introduction  
7.3.2.2  Flexible match 1: Lexical contentives/non-verbs and contentive/multi-functional clauses  
7.3.2.3  Flexible match 2: Nominals and nominal clauses  
7.3.2.4  Flexible match 3: Modifiers and modifier clauses  
7.3.2.5  Summary  
7.3.3  Specific matches for rigid constructions  
7.3.3.1  Introduction  
7.3.3.2  Rigid match 1: Nouns and complement clauses  
7.3.3.3  Rigid match 2: Adjectives and relative clauses  
7.3.3.4  Rigid match 3: Manner adverbs and adverbial manner clauses  
7.3.3.5  Summary  
7.3.4  Summary, Conclusion  
7.3.5  Language data  
8  Discussion – Flexibility and Functional Transparency  
8.1  Introduction  
8.2  Theoretical background:  
Trade-off effects between flexible and rigid constructions  
8.3  Applying the complexity hypothesis to PoS and deranked DCs  
8.3.1  Hypothesis  
8.3.2  Results  
8.3.2.1  Distribution of deranked DCs in languages with flexible PoS systems  
8.3.2.2  Distribution of deranked DCs in languages with rigid PoS systems