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Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Tagalog

Tagalog

pag-Pred ('gerund')

Functional distribution: Flex: Pred Head?, Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives) Himmelmann (2005: 372): "As with all Tagalog content words, gerunds can be used in any syntactic function, provided their meaning fits."

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No voice/mood marking, aspect can be expressed.

Nominal categories: Combines with the same phrase-marking function words as all other content items. (Pred Head function is marked by sentence-initial position.)

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT³

Examples:

Pred Head:

[pag-la-luto? ng pagkin] ang trababs niyá

GER - RDP - cook GEN food SPEC work 3SG.Poss

'This/her job is cooking food.' (Himmelmann 2005: 372)

Ref Head:

[pag-bawal-an mo ang bata-ŋ iyó sa [pag-la-laró? sa lansangan]

SF - FORBIDDEN - LV 2SG.POSS SPEC child - LV DIST LOC NMLZ - RDP - PLAY LOC street

'Forbid that child to play in the street.' (Himmelmann 2005: 373)

Ref Mod: No example available

Pred Mod:

[pag-datíng naming doón in-iwan namin don ang bangka]

CONV - ARRIVAL 1PL.EXCL.POSS DIST.LOC REAL.LV ABANDON 1PL.EXCL.POSS DIST.LOC SPEC boat

'When we arrived there we abandoned the boat, ….' (Himmelmann 2005: 373)

na/-ng/⟨kung⟩ + clause (=Ø)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: All retained

Nominal categories: Combines with the same phrase-marking function words as all other content items. (Pred Head is marked by sentence-initial position.)

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:

Sinabi [kung maganda si Maria]

tell -LK? beautiful NOM Maria.

'I said that Maria was beautiful.' (Schachter & Otanes 1972: 173)

Gusto ni Pepito na [sagip-in ang dabon]

liking PN.POSS Pepito LK salvage-PV SPEC leaf

'Pepito wanted to catch the leaf.' (Himmelmann 2005: 364)

Nahita ni Pedro-ŋ/MANuel na [puno na ang bus]

see NOM Pedro - LK / Manuel LK full LK RM bus

'Pedro / Manuel saw that the bus was already full.' (Schachter & Otanes 1972:177)

Ref Mod:

Sa mga lalaki na [maN-ibig nung karyá-ŋ anáh]

LOC PL man LK AV-Love DIST GEN.LK 3SGDAT-LK child

'So he held a contest) between the men who courted his child.' (Himmelmann 2005: 368)

¹ The following symbols are used in this appendix: '=' means 'same functional possibilities as a PoS class in the same language. This PoS class is added between brackets. ≠' means 'different functional possibilities than any PoS class in the same language'. The relevant PoS classes, i.e. those that express the function(s) in which the DC is used, are added between brackets. When there is no lexical class available for the relevant function(s) this is also indicated. For the meaning of other abbreviations concerning the functions, the expression, and the classification of the DCs, see Chapters 3 and 6.

² As Koptjevskaja-Tamm (1993: 119-120) explains, the SENT classification of coding of the second argument is not entirely straightforward, because there is no difference between the marking of the second argument of an actor-voice predicate and the possessor in Tagalog; both are marked by ng. However, there is a second type of possessive construction in which the possessor is expressed as a sa-phrase. Since the first argument in a gerund construction can be both a sa and a ng-phrase (just like possessors), while the second argument can only be a ng-phrase, Koptjevskaja-Tamm argues in favour of SENT expression of the second argument.

³ The status of kung is unclear: it may be a combination of –ng with some other element.
Pred Mod:

\[
\begin{align*}
[\text{Biglá} & \text{ siyá} - \text{ng} \text{ nagbangon} \\
\text{sudden} & \text{ 3sg-lk} \text{ real-av} \text{ rising}
\end{align*}
\]

‘She got up quickly’ (Himmelmann 2005: 360)

**Kharia**

**Pred-Ø/RDP** *(“Freestanding form/maudar”)*

Functional distribution: Flex: Pred Head (HAB), Ref Head, Ref Mod, (+ case) Pred Mod = PoS (contentives)

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No voice/tense marking and no Person agreement; retains valency-related marking such as causative and passive/reflexive marking. In Pred Head function obligatorily combined with the middle voice, indicating habituality.

Nominal categories: May take case and number

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT, occasionally also POSS - POSS

**Examples:**

**Pred Head:**

\[
\begin{align*}
[\text{in} & \text{ ñe?} \text{ bi|ki|ki}=\text{ki}=\text{p} \\
\text{1sg} & \text{ water} \text{ pour.out-RDP}=\text{m.pst}=\text{1sg}
\end{align*}
\]

‘I used to pour water out.’ (i.e. that was my job). (Peterson 2006: 74)

**Ref Head:**

\[
\begin{align*}
[\text{O}=\text{ya} & \text{ ñ=ta} \\
\text{house}=\text{gen} & \text{ build-RDP} \text{ neg}=\text{1sg} \text{ like}=\text{m.prs}
\end{align*}
\]

‘I don’t like (the act of) building houses.’ (Peterson 2006: 73)

**Ref Mod:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{1s}=\text{gen} & \text{ door-obl open-RDP key}
\end{align*}
\]

‘The key I open the door with.’ (Peterson 2006: 73)

**Pred Mod, without case-marking (with reduplication):**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ra} & \text{ t} \text{a}=\text{te} \text{ [ɖ} \text{oko} \text{ɖ} \text{oko] leme} \text{ Ɂɖ la}=\text{ki}
\end{align*}
\]

‘while he was seated, Rata became tired.’ (Peterson 2006: 249)

**Pred Mod, with oblique case-marker:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{khõ} & \text{ ɖ} \text{e} \text{ Ɂ}=\text{ko} \text{ ɖ} \text{oko] } \text{=te} \text{ ga mukum}
\end{align*}
\]

‘Sitting for a while, he dozed off (…’) (Peterson 2006: 295)

**Pred=na** *(“Infinitive”)*

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, (+case/postposition) Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives).

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No Voice/Tense/Person agreement

Nominal categories: Case

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT or Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{in} & \text{ [u} \text{ iku} Ɂɖ \text{ sundar konthe} \text{ Ɂɖ} =\text{ki=te} \text{ bajhay=kon}
\end{align*}
\]

‘I don’t want to trap and torment these beautiful birds.’ (Peterson 2006: 259)

**Ref Mod:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ho}=\text{ka} & \text{ ɽ} \text{ [kho} \text{ ɽ} \text{i} \text{ buli=na] modhe buŋ kha=ya? Ɂjha=ya=ki=ya} Ɂ\text{ jha=ya=ki=ya}
\end{align*}
\]

‘She had learned by wandering through the village (= through the in the village wandering means) all of the mannerisms of the Kharia inside out. (Peterson 2006: 306-307)

**Pred Mod, without case marking (with reduplication):**

\[
\begin{align*}
…\text{lekin} & \text{ [lam=na lamna] sou}=\text{te} \text{ ikuq jughay Ɂq-piyas ba}=\text{ki}
\end{align*}
\]

‘But searching and searching, [they] all became very thirsty.’ (Peterson 2006: 248)
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Pred Mod, with oblique case-marking (and reduplication):

[Aw=na awna]=te khariya=ki bie=te stay=INF RDP=OBL Kharia=PL that=OBL (=there) again house
dura bay=kon ikud=ga memon jou aw=ki=may door build=SEQ.CONV very=FOC year up.to stay=M.PST=3PL

'Staying, the Kharia stayed there for several years, again building homes (houses and doors).' (Peterson 2006: 249)

Pred=na-wala ('participle')
Remark: Borrowed from Hindi. Structural coding consists of the infinitive =na followed by =wala.

Pred-al ('participle')
Remark: The suffix attaches only to lexical predicates of Sadani origin which end in -a or -ay.

Pred-ker(r)/-kon/-kan ('converb')
Remark: "The first two of these markers are direct borrowings from Sadani. [...] These markers denote, among other things, that the two or more (sub-)predicates are portrayed by the speaker as being directly related to one another in some way, combining to form a larger, more complex event. [...] =kon [...] appears to be a calque from the Sadani form =ker. Like the cognate form =kar in Hindi, =ker in Sadani appears to derive from the root kar 'do'. The sequential converbal marker =kon in Kharia apparently derives from a similar lexeme, i.e., ikon 'make, do'. The core function of these forms is to denote the completion of one action before another begins. [But they] are also often used to denote the manner in which an action is carried out. In these cases, the action denoted by the converb is generally a more exact specification of that of the morphologically finite predicate." (Peterson 2006: 243-244)

Pred-ge = RDP ('(imperfective) converb')
Remark: The =ge form is primarily a focus marker.

Example:
Ref Mod:
[raksin o ɖo Ɂjughay khisay=ta ...ro gone keb=kon] gam=te...
witch even more angry=M.PRS ...and tooth grind=conv say=ACT.PRS
'The witch grows even angrier and ... grinding her teeth, says:…' (Peterson 2006: 244)
Example:
Pred Mod
ro be kuda khol=#a? daru sambles=te \( \text{\texttt{[}jnam=ga [nanga] goj jem=ta} \) and that millet bread=GEN tree base=OBL cry=CONV RDP die \( \text{\texttt{AP=MPR}} \)
‘And crying and crying, she just died at the base of that millet bread tree.’ (Peterson in prep: 248)

\textbf{Pred=ta (‘imperfective converb’)}
\( \text{\texttt{=ta}} \) is homophonous with the general imperfective middle marker.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod \( \neq \) PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Voice/Tense/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: \( \emptyset \) - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
\( \text{\texttt{ele [am=pe=te go=ta}} \) \( \text{\texttt{go=ta}} \) \( \text{\texttt{han=ti}} \) \( \text{\texttt{u=t}} \) \( \text{\texttt{ja=le}} \) \( \text{\texttt{1pl.excl.2pl=obl. carry.on.shOULDERS=CONV RDP that=side this.side take=ACT.IRR=3PL.EXCL}} \)
‘We will carry you around on our shoulders.’ \( (= \text{we will take you, carrying you on our shoulders}) \) (Peterson 2006: 248)

\textbf{no/\( \emptyset \) + clause}
Remark: Used for object complement clauses, especially with utterance predicates.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head \( \neq \) PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
\( \text{\texttt{ap=pham rat=ne remakh-a? ro gam-a? [no baba musa ip kimir}} \)
father=3ross Rata=OBL call=ACT.PST and say=ACT.PST comp child today 1sg forest
\( \text{\texttt{oon=um=ip pal=ir}} \)
\( \text{\texttt{go=inf neg=1sg be.able=ACT.IRR}} \)
‘His father called Rata and said “child, to day I will be unable to go to the forest.’ (Peterson 2006: 298)

\textbf{gam=kon + clause}
Remark: The form \( \text{\texttt{gam=kon}} \) is the sequential converb of \( \text{\texttt{gam}} \) ‘to say’. It is occasionally found instead of \( \text{\texttt{no}} \) as a kind of quotative form.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head \( \neq \) PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
\( \text{\texttt{je janwar tar=e=ki ho janwar=ya? ro gam=a? [nani babu musa ip kimir}} \)
\( \text{\texttt{cr animal kill=ACT.IRR=pl that animal=GEN meat take=ACT.IRR quot say=ACT.PST}} \)
‘Whatever animal they kill, that animal’s meat they should bring, he said.’ (Peterson 2006: 299)

\textbf{Correlative construction}
Remark: There are two types of correlative constructions:
(i) With \( j- \) class markers: all correlative forms begin with \( j- \) and have been borrowed from Indo-Aryan.
(ii) With \( a/\text{i}/\text{other question particle-class markers: all correlative forms are homophonous with interrogatives. This construction is not borrowed from Indo-Aryan, although it could be an older calque of the Indo-Aryan correlative construction, using purely language-internal means.}}}
In both construction types, the head is usually repeated in the main clause, preceded by a demonstrative. Alternatively, the head is not repeated and only the demonstrative is there.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod \( \neq \) PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT (optional gapping)
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Examples:
Ref Mod:
(j-se)
…a ḍi[bhere] eŋ=ki se[bhere] adinya paŋ=te soneŋ kui=ki
anaph CR time return=m.pst dem time anaph=gen bundle=obl stone find=m.pst
‘Which time he returned, (at) that time he found a stone in the bundle.’ (Peterson 2006: 302)

(a/i-class)
[=bo=t-e pəjaŋəb karay=na aw=ki,] bo bo=t-e q=xm=ke, ….
q-place=obl sacrifice do=inf cop=m.pst dem place=obl arrive=seq.conv
‘Having arrived at the place where the sacrifice was to be done …’ (Peterson 2006: 302)

Unmarked gapped relative clauses with a (partially) finite predicate
Remark: This construction is balanced, except that person marking may be lost on the dependent predicate (see second example).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø / Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[iɲ yo=yoɁ j]lebu=ki iɲ=aɁ ho=t-e aw=ta=ki
1sg see=act.pst person=pl live=m.prs = pl
‘The people I saw live in my hotel.’ (Peterson 2006. 303)

[iɲ =te yo=yoɁ] lebu=ki ula Ɂlikha=yoɁ =ki
1s=obl see=act.pst person=pl letter write=act.pst = pl
‘The people who saw me wrote a letter.’ (Peterson 2006. 303)

Kambera
Pa-derranked clause
Remark: In combination with the prepositional verb wàngu ‘use’ this construction can be used as an adverbial clause with an interpretation of simultaneity or immediate sequence (see Pred Mod example below).
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (same-subject), Ref Mod (object of DC), (+ prep/prepositional verb: Pred Mod) ≠ PoS minus Pred Head (contentives)
Structural type: 2/3 (D-SENT/D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No aspect, no mood marking. (Kambera has no tense marking.)
Nominal categories: DET + number agreement (in Ref Mod function)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT / POSS - Ø In Ref Head function the subject remains unexpressed under co-referentiality; the object is SENT and cross-referenced on the dependent predicate, in the DAT form. In Ref Mod function the subject is POSS, and the object is gapped, but remains cross-referenced on the dependent predicate in the DAT form.

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ta-pakiring [pə-tinu-nya na lau] haromu
1pl.nom-start comp-weave-3sg.dat art sarong tomorrow
We will start to weave the sarong tomorrow.’ (Klamer 1998: 338)

Ref Mod:
Ta-pakiri-nda da lau [pə-tinu-nda]
1pl.nom-start-3sg.dat art sarong rel-weave-1pl.dat
‘We start (with) (them) the sarongs woven by us.’ (Klamer 1998: 338)

na kalembi na [pa-kei wə-nggu-nya]
art shirt art rel-buy use-1sg.gen-3sg.dat
‘the shirt that I bought’ (Klamer 1998: 326)

Pred Mod:
Patiang ana mandài-ndài [wàngu pə-buta ana rumba]
wait dim rop-belong use comp-pick dim grass
‘(We) wait a while weeding some grass in the meantime.’ (Klamer 1998: 240)

ma-derranked clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (subject/possessor clauses) ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No aspect, no mood marking. (Kambera has no tense marking.)
Nominal categories: DET + number agreement (in Ref Mod function)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Na-meti-ka na tau na [ma-piti-ya na kabela-nggu]
3SG.NOM-die-PPV ART person ART REL-take-3SG.ACC ART machete-1SG.GEN
‘The person that took my machete died already.’ (Klamer 1998: 315)

Ita-nggu-nya na tau na [ma-meti kuru uma-na].
see-1SG.GEN-3SG.DAT ART person ART REL-die wife-3SG.GEN
‘I saw the man whose wife died.’ (Klamer 1998: 320)

Unmarked nominalized clause
Remark: Nominal clauses can be dependent or independent. In combination with a conjunction, the construction can apparently also be used in adverbial function as a simultaneity clause (see Pred Mod examples below).
Functional distribution: Flex?: Pred Head/main clause, Ref Head (+ CONJ also Pred Mod, simultaneity).
Structural type: 3 (ALT-SENT)
Verbal categories: (Some) aspect and mood marking is retained (also lexically). (Kambera has no tense-marking).
Nominal categories: DET, when functioning as such construction is cross-referenced on the main predicate as an object, with a DAT form.
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT
The subject is expressed through a genitive pronominal enclitic.

Examples:
Pred Head:
[Na apu-mu, katuda-na] la pino bolak-ka una
art grandmother-2SG.GEN sleep-3SG.GEN loc top mattress-PPV EMPH.3SG
‘Your granny, she will sleep on a mattress.’ (Lit. ‘Your grandmother’s sleeping is on a mattress.’ (Klamer 1998: 97)

Ref Head:
Nda ku-pí-anggau [na ngàndi-mu rá kuta]
NEG 1SG.NOM-know-MOD-2SG.DAT ART take-2SG.GEN leaf pepper plant
‘I didn’t know that you would bring kuta.’ (lit.: I didn’t know (of ) your bringing kuta.’) (Klamer 1998: 97)

Nda ku-mbuti-nya [na taka-mu]
NEG 1SG.NOM-expect-3SG.DAT ART arrive-2SG.GEN
‘I did not expect your coming.’ (Klamer 1998: 315)

Pred Mod:
[Ba meu-meu-na,] ba na-imbu-ya
conj RDF-roar-2SG.GEN conj 3SG.NOM-search-3SG.ACC
‘And it roared (a tiger), while it went after him.’ (Klamer 1998: 100)

[bà jiap-a-ma na banggapi-na-nya dá]
conj continuously-EMPH ART clasp-3SG.GEN-3SG.DAT inside
‘while he kept clasping it inside…’ (Klamer 1998: 97)

wà + clause
Remark: Quotative construction.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Ka [na-ngàndi-yu na mbuku] wà-nggu-nya látì
conj 3SG.NOM-take-3SG.ACC ART book say-1SG.GEN-3SG.DAT in fact
‘In fact, I told him that he should take the book.’ (Klamer 1998: 347)

Samoan
Pred-va
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
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Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: DET/CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT (occasionally also POSS - POSS)

Examples:
Ref Head:

A le faalavelave le tupu i [le ai-ga]
pst neg trouble art king ld art cat-nmlz
ape ma moli a le pipili ma le tauasa]
apple and citrus poss art lame and art blind
'The king was not troubled that the lame and the blind ate the apples and oranges.'

Ae na oo lava in moumou malie atu le pisa
But pst reach emph conj disappear gentle dir art noise
o [le sapini-ga o Pale ma Maria e o lu Tina]
poss art whip-nmlz poss Pale and Maria erg poss 3du mother
'But finally the noise of the whipping of Pale and Maria by their mothers gently faded away.'
(Mosel 1992: 279)

Unmarked nominalized clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: DET/CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:

E lelei [l-a-u tunu ia]
genr good art-poss-2sg roast fish
'Your fish roasting is good.' (Mosel 1992: 267)

ona/ina + deranked clause
Remark: Ona is used for core-arguments, ina for adjuncts.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:

ua taga [ona inu ava malosi tatou]
ppfv allowed comp drink ‘kava’ strong 1.incl.pl
'It is allowed that we drink alcohol.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 599)

-ε + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping) Gap can be filled with anaphoric element.

Example:
Ref Mod:

'O luo 'o le tama’ita’i l-[i na tafou o 'i ai.]
pres that pres art woman art-rel pst 1.incl.pl go(pl) ld anaph
'She is the woman we went to find.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 635)

Unmarked clause
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT


Examples:

Ref Head:

Na  ioe  e  Tigilau  [via saw  Sina]

Past  know  erg  Tigilau  pfv  comes  Sina

'T knew that S had come.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 589)

Ref Mod:

Ua  tu  le  alii  lea  [na  ua  e  Popi]

Pfv  stand.up  art  man  that  pfst  bite  erg  Popi

'The man who was bitten by Popi stood up.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 635)

Guaraní

Clause + ha/hagué/O

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:

Rey-anú  [šé  še-ras ɨ̀  há]

you-hear  I  I-be.sick  comp

'You heard that I was sick.' (Gregores & Suárez 1967:158)

ai-kwaá  la  [n  o-ù  mo Ɂã́ ́i  há]

I-know  def(det)  neg  he-go  mod  neg  comp

'I know that he does not intend to go.' (Gregores & Suárez 1967:158)

Rei-moñá  [še-tavi]

You-think  I-be.silly

'You think that I am silly.' (Gregores & Suárez 1967: 157)

Pred-va + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping)

The relativized item is gapped, but there is a person prefix on the dependent predicate.

Example:

Ref Mod:

A-hechal  a  karai  [o-jagua-va-ekue  ka  angu’a].

I-see  def  man  3-buy-rel-pst  def  mortar

'I saw the man who bought the mortar.' (Velázquez-Castillo 2002: 162)

Clause + eve

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Pred Mod:

H-išé  [š-e  eve]

she-cry  she-go.out  adv

'She goes out crying.' (Gregores & Suárez 1967: 180)

Santali

Unmarked clause; pred without -a (IND)

Remark: In Ref Head subject function, the construction shows no subject marking, while middle voice markers and TAM can be expressed. In Ref Head object function subject marking and TAM are lost, while object markers are retained. Only with verbs of perception subject marking and TAM can be expressed. In Ref Mod function, subject pronominals are omitted, but all TAM suffixes can be expressed.

* The status of the relativizer is not entirely clear: it does not seem to change the internal syntax of the dependent clause, but does attach to the predicate, preceding the tense marker.
The relativized element is gapped. In Pred Mod function, with case-marking, there is neither person marking (subject/object) nor TAM marking, but middle voice can be expressed. In combination with a postposition (with simulative semantics), all verbal categories are expressed, except for the indicative marker.

**Functional distribution:** Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (+ case (LOC/INSTR)/postposition also Pred Mod) ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbal categories:** Variable (see above)

**Nominal categories:** CASE

**Argument encoding:** SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality/gapping)

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

**Subject:**

\[\text{ber} \ hə \ sur\-kate \ ḍ \ era-k' \-d ɔ\]

\[baŋ bes-a\]

'it is not good to camp after sunset.' (Neukom 2001: 181)

**Object:**

\[onko ə\]

\[mana-ko-m\]

those:

\[bring-3pl.obj \ forbid-3pl.obj-2sg.sbj\]

'Forbid them to bring those.' (Neukom 2001: 182)

**Perception predicate:**

\[mɔ̃ṛɛ̃-g ɔṭɛ\]

\[im ɪ \ dak' \ lo=ko \ hij-uk'kan-e \ɲel - g ɔt'-ket'-ko-a\]

five-cl

\[woman \ fetch-3pl.sbj \ come-m-iffy-3sg.sbj \ see-v-pst:act-3pl.obj-ind\]

'H he saw five women come to fetch water.' (Neukom 2001: 183)

**Ref Mod:**

\[uni-y- \ [e \ [bujh ə u-ɲɔ̃ k'-ket' h ɔṛ-e s ə \ rat-g ɔt'-ad-e-a.\]

that- (anim) y-3 sg.sbj understand.-little-pst:act person-3sg.sbj beckon-v2-appl:pst:act-3sg.obj-ind

'H he beckoned the man who had understood a little.' (Neukom 2001: 197)

**Pred Mod:**

\[\text{Case-marked:} \ [\text{cala-k'-calak'-te} \ mit'-tay \ toyo-ko \ pel-tiok'-ked-e-a\]

\[\text{go-m-rdp-instr one-cl} \ jackal-3pl.sbj \ see-reach-pst:act-3sg.obj-ind\]

'While they were walking along, they caught sight of a jackal.' (Neukom 2001: 187)

\[uni \ gidr-da \ [budis-ge-y-e \ tahikan-re\]

\[that \ child-top \ small-foc-y-3sg.sbj \ cop:pst:loc \ go-m-iffy-3sg.sbj \ die-pst:um-ind\]

'While the child was (still quite) young, his mother died.' (Neukom 2001: 189)

**With postposition (simulative):**

\[\text{that-y-3sg.sbj} \ say-appl:pst:act-3pl.obj} \ like-foc all person-3pl.sbj \ go-m-iffy-3ind\]

'They all went along as he had told him.' (Neukom 2001: 195)

**Pred-kate:**

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbal categories:** No Tense/Mood, no Person', voice can be expressed

**Nominal categories:** CASE

**Argument encoding:** Ø - SENT

**Example:**

**Pred Mod:**

\[[\text{nonka} \ hudii-kate] \ hebhorsa-kate-ko] \ cala-k'-kan-a\]

\[like\this \ think-conv be.hopeless-conv-3pl \ go-m-iffy-3ind\]

'Thinking so and being hopeless, they walked on.' (Neukom 2001: 186)

---

1 This construction is described as a *converb* but its status is not completely unambiguous: ‘-kate occurs elsewhere in isolated position as ‘then’ or together with deictic elements such a nit ‘now’ (cf. nit-kate ‘nowadays’) or ona ‘that’ (cf. ona-kate ‘thereupon’), or in combination with numerals, e.g. ponea-kate ‘(give them) four each.' (Neukom 2001: 185)

6 Note that the subject pronominal is nevertheless retained in the second converbal form of the example.
Correlative construction
Remark: Correlative constructions are probably an influence from Indo-Aryan languages. These constructions make use of various types of pronouns: interrogative, indefinite, demonstrative. The dependent predicate often lacks the indicative marker -a, but the pronominal subject clitic is always present.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Allmost all retained (see above)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Mod:
\[
\text{ona} \quad \text{dare} \quad \text{[oka-m mak-akat']}
\]
that(inanim) tree which-2sg.sbj cut-pfv:act
'the three which you have cut' (Neukom 2001: 200)

Clause + mente
Remark: The complementizer/quotative is a lexicalized instrumental case-marked form of ‘to say’.
Used for complements of predicates of utterance, thought, and mental perception.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
\[
\text{Ba-kin} \quad \text{pel-thik-e-kan-a, nui-d\textsuperscript{3} neg-3SG see-correct-3SG.OBJ-IPFV-IND this(AN)-TOP}
\]
\[
\text{[skin-ren apa-t kan-a-e mente]} \quad \text{they(dual) father-3poss COP-IND-3SG.SBJ COMP/QUOT}
\]
'They did not recognize that he was their father.' (Neukom 2001: 183)

WARAO
Pred-kitane (‘infinitive’):
Remark: According to Romero-Figeroa (1997) this construction is used for same-subject complements and for purpose-clauses, but no example is available of the former use.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Ref Mod:
\[
\text{Ima-ya domu [nari-te kotai mi-kitane nao-kotu}
\]
night-ALL bird fly-N.PST REL see-INF come-2PL.IMP
'You all, come to see the bird that flies at night.' (Romero-Figeroa 1997: 42)

TURKISH
Pred-DIK/-(y)AcAK
Remark: In combination with the postposition gib\textsubscript{i} this construction can be used as a simulative adverbial clause.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (non-subject/possessor clauses), (+ postposition also Pred Mod, simulative). ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No Aspect and Mood marking, (relative) tense is expressed by the choice of marker: -DIK for past and present, -(y)AcAK for future.
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT/Ø - POSS
(In Ref Mod function, the relativized element is gapped; the subject is POSS.)
Examples

Ref Head:

(ben)  [Ahmed-in öl-düğ-ün]-i duy-du-m
'I heard that Ahmed died.' (Kornfilt 1997: 50)

Orhan- gen anything do-NEG-NMLZ-3G.POSS it was obvious
'It was obvious that Orhan wouldn't do/wasn't going to do anything.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 423)

Ref Mod:

[adam-in git-tığ-i] okul
man-gen go-PTC-3G school
'the school that the man goes/went to' (Kornfilt 1997: 50)

[Fatma-ın yarın gör-ecek-i] film
Fatma-gen tomorrow see-PTC-3G.POSS film
'the film that Fatma is going to/will be seeing tomorrow' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 442)

Pred Mod:

Pastays [anne-m-in analat-tığ-i] yapmaya çağıtım
mother-1SG.POSS-GEN describe-NMLZ-3G.POSS like
'I tried to make the cake [as my mother had described].' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 477)

Pred-mAK
Remark: "The crucial difference between -mAK clauses and those with -mA is that -mA clauses in the majority of cases contain their own subject, whereas -mAK clauses do not." (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 413)

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM and Person agreement
Nominal categories: CASE (usually when functioning as a direct object complement, except with the verb iste- 'to want', see third example)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:

[Lütfen pencere-yi aç-mag]-i unut-ma
please window-ACC open-INF-ACC forget-NEG
'Please, don’t forget to open the window!' (Kornfilt 1997: 51)

[beni Ahmed-a käş-mag]-a zarla-dr-m
I Ahmed-ACC flee-INF-DAT force-PST-1SG
'I forced Ahmet to flee.' (Kornfilt 1997: 51)

[Şokaşık pik-mak] isti-yir-sum
go out-INF want-IPFV-1SG
'I want to go out.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 413)

Pred-mD
Remark: In general terms noun clauses formed with -mD are less abstract in meaning than those formed with -mAK.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM and Person agreement
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:

[Kerkes-in birier kikaye anlat-ma-s] iste-n-tyor-muş
everyone-GEN one.each story tell-NMLZ-3G.POSS want-PASS-IPFV-EV.COP
'It seems they want [everyone to tell a story].' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 420)

Ahmed-e [ben-i bekle-me-sin]-i siple-dr-m
a-DAT I-ACC wait-NMLZ-3G.POSS-ACC tell-PST-1SG
'I told Ahmet to wait for me.' (Kornfilt 1997: 53)

Pred-An
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod (subject/possessor clauses) ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no Person Agreement (verbal)
Nominal categories: None.
Argument encoding: Ø – SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[burada sat-ɩ-ʌn] kitap-lar
here sell-PASS-PTC book-PL
‘the books that are sold here’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

[şogretmen ol-ʌn] haydar
teacher be-PTC Haydar
‘Haydar, who is a teacher’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

[araba-ɩs ɩal-ɩn-ʌn] komys-muz
car-3SG.Poss steal-PASS-PTC neighbour-1PL.Poss
‘our neighbour, whose car was stolen’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

Pred-(y)ArAK
Remark: Normally, the subject is unexpressed under co-referentiality.
Functional distribution: Rg: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø – SENT (co-referentiality)
Example:
Pred Mod:
Ben [etraf-im-a bak-arak] yü-ɩ-ʌm
I around-1SG-DAT look-CONV(manner) walk-AOR-1SG
‘I walk looking around (myself).’ (Kornfilt 1997: 73)

Pred-(y)A… Pred-(y)A
Remark: “This construction occurs either with identical verb stems or with different ones. Its use is less widespread than that of -(y)ArAK, and its meaning is more emphatic, stressing the continuous or repeated nature of the action it expresses. The forms involving two different verb stems are for the most part lexicalized items.” (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)
Functional distribution: Rg: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø – Ø (/Ø – SENT?)

Pred Mod:
Genç kad ɩ [agala-ya agala-ya] hikayesiini anlatt
The young woman told her story [continuously weeping]’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)

Adam [it-e kak-a] öne geçmeye ɩal-ɩyordu
man [pushing shoving] was trying to get to the front
‘[Pushing and shoving,] the man was trying to get to the front.’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)

ki + clause
Remarks: This construction is borrowed from Persian.
In relative clause function, the construction is mostly non-restrictive (the head is almost always the subject of the main clause, and 3rd person singular or plural).
Normally, the relativized item is gapped, but under certain circumstances, it may or must be reiterated in the dependent clause, by means of a resumptive pronoun.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø – SENT/Ø (gapping in Ref Mod function)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Isti-yor-um [ki yarin ben-imle sinema-ya gel-ein]
want-PRES.PROGR.1SG comp tomorrow I-GEN-with cinema-DAT come-sg.opt
‘I want you to come to the movies with me tomorrow.’ (Kornfilt 1997: 46)
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Sançorum [ki ij-in-i brak-mak isti-yor]
I think COMP job-sg.POSS-ACC leave-NMLZ want-IPFV
‘I think that s/he wants to quit his/her job.’ (Gökşel & Kerslake 2005: 409)

Ref Mod:
Bir adam [ki şıırak lar-in sev-me-z] yuluz yașa-malı-dir
a man REL child-pl..3SG-ACC love-NEG-AOR alone live-NEG-EP.COP
‘A man who does not love his children must live alone.’ (Kornfilt 1997: 60)

bi ahş [ki baklava yap-mey-ɩ bil-me-sin]
a cook REL baklava make-NMLZ-ACC know-NEG-3SG.OPT
‘a cook who doesn’t know how to make baklava’ (Gökşel & Kerslake 2005: 459)

Clause + diye
Remark: diye is the converbal (-y)A form of the verb de ‘to say’. The construction is used for complements of predicates of speech other than de, and for complements of predicates of cognition, perception, and emotion.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Meral [Turgut onu Selim ile görür-se diye] korkuyor-du
Meral Turgut with Selim see-aor.CON.COP COMP/QUOT be.afraid-IPFV-PST.COP
‘Meral was afraid that Turgut would see her with Selim.’ (Gökşel & Kerslake 2005: 409)

Unmarked clause
Remark: Used for complements of de ‘to say’, and for complements of predicates of believe and desire.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Herkes [sen sinema-ya git-ti] san-yor
everybody you(nom) cinema-DAT go-PST-2SG believe-PRS.PROGR
‘Everybody believes that you went to the movies.’ (Kornfilt 1997: 47)

Kayardild
Pred-n(-marri) (‘plain/privative nominalization’)
Remark: Active plain nominalizations may, apart from their dependent uses, function as main clauses describing ongoing, uncompleted actions (Evans 1995: 472).
In Ref Head function, the construction can occur only as the complement of a perception predicate.
In Ref Mod function, it is used when the subject of the dependent clause is relativized. This subject is gapped.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (perception complements), Ref Mod (subject clauses), Pred Mod = PoS (non-verbs).
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: All overt arguments take the associating oblique case (A.OBL) or proprietary/locative modal case (MPROP/MLOC).

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ngada kurri-ja [ki l-saan-ji dailwani-n-ki thawal-urrk]
1sg.NOM see-ACT 2-pl-POSS-MLOC dig.up-NMLZ-MLOC yam-MLOC:A.OBL
‘I saw you digging up yams.’ (Evans 1995: 472)

Ngada kurri-ja [nisaan-ji buddi-n-marri]
1sg.NOM see-ACT 3sg.POSS-MLOC run-NMLZ-PRIV
‘I saw that he was not running.’ (Evans 1995: 476)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

Ref Mod:

Nga-ka-l-da [wirr-n-ka] dangka-cew kurri-ju
1-INC-PL-NOM dance-NMLZ-MPROP man-MPROP see-POT

‘We will watch the dancing man.’ (Evans 1995: 474)

Pred Mod:

[Bilaangka-nuru kari-i-n-da] ngada warra-j
blanket-ASSOC coverM-NMLZ-NOM 1SG.NOM go-ACT

‘I went along, covering myself in a blanket.’ (Evans 1995: 474)

Diya-ja wuran-ki [kina-n-marri]
eat-ACT food-M.LOC tell-NMLZ-PRIV

‘(He) eats food without telling (anyone).’ (Evans 1995: 475)

Pred-Thirri-n (resultative nominalization)

Remark: This construction can also be used as a main clause, and as an adverbial clause expressing
temporal sequence.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: Ergative alignment: Objects of transitive verbs and subjects of intransitives (S and P)
take nominative case; (demoted) subjects of transitive verbs (A) take oblique case.

Examples:

Ref Mod:

Bath-in-ki bal-umban-ji
west-from-MLOC west-orig-MLOC 2SG.POSS-DU-ABL-MLOC

jibarna-yarrng-ginaa-ya bidiru-thirri-n-ji
uncle.in.law-du-abl-MLOC miss-RES-NMLZ-MLOC

‘One coming from the west, that had been missed by his two uncles-in-law.’ (Evans 1995: 480)

Nyingka kada buru-tharra [wungi-jirri-n-jina] mala-na
2SG.NOM again get-PST steal-RES-NMLZ-ABL beer-M.ABL

‘Did you get some stolen beer again?’ (Evans 1995: 479)

Pred-n-ngarrba (consequential nominalization)

Remark: The construction is rarely used as a main clause describing actions preceding the temporal
reference point. (Evans 1995: 481) All arguments are marked with a consequential suffix. This kind of
marking resembles so-called ‘complete concord’ (all elements of a constituent are marked for case; see
Dench 2006). This means that the consequential form looks like a case-marker with the function of a
complementizer. Therefore, the construction is classified as a D-SENT, rather than a D-ALT construction.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: Resultative case (see above)
Argument encoding: Resultative case (see above)

Example:

Ref Mod:

Nyinka kamburi-ja dathin-a dangka-a
2SG.NOM speak-IMP that-NOM man-NOM snake-CONS brown.snake-CONS bite-M-NMLZ-CONS

‘You speak to that man who was bitten by a brown snake!’ (Evans 1995: 481)

Clause + -ntha (oblique complementizer case)

Remarks: This construction closely resembles normal finite clauses, permitting almost the full range of
verb inflections. (…) Commonly a Complementizing Oblique or Locative case appears after all other
inflections, usually on all constituents.” (Evans 1995: 488)

In Ref Head function, this construction is used for complements of predicates of perception,

1 There are no examples available of the privative nominalization in Ref Mod function, but according to Evans (p.c.) this is
possible: “I’m sure you can say it, but it’s a gap – probably accidental – in my data.”

2 The tense-system deviates slightly from independent clauses:
Independent clause: - ACT(tual), which covers present, past and immediate future, the
latter two of which can be marked if extra precision is desired.
- POT(ential)
Dependent clause: - PAST
- IMMED (= present and immediate past)
- POT(ential)
- ACT can not be expressed
Modal case marking is the same in independent and dependent clauses.
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knowledge and speech.
The morpheme -(u)rrka is a special portmanteau for LOC + C.OBL. It is used for locative complement clauses (Evans, p.c.).
In Ref Mod function, this construction is used only when the relativized item is not the subject of both clauses.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod = PoS (non-verbs, adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Largely retained (see above)
Nominal categories: Complementizer case (see above)
Argument encoding: Complementizer case (see above)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ngada mungurru [(ngijjewa) kada-thaa-thaa-nth]
1sg.nom know.nom 1sg.c.subj.c obl again-c obl repeat-pst-c obl
'I know that I will come back again.' (Evans 1995: 490/491)

Ngada kamburri-ja niwan-ji [katha-tha daatin-inja barji-njarr-nta]
1sg.nom say-act 3sg-m.loc raft-c obl that-c obl capsize-appr-c obl
'I told him the raft would capsize.' (Evans: 516)

Ngada marin-marri-i-jarr [dathin-kurrka thungal-urrka kamburri-jurrk]
1sg.nom self-hear-m-pst that-c obl thing-c obl self-hear-immed:c obl
'I heard myself speaking on that thing (the radio).’ (Evans 1995: 491)

Ref Mod:
yinka kurri-jarra dathin-kina dangka-na
2sg.nom see-pst that-m abl man-m abl
[thawurr-inaa-tha raaj-jarra-tha niwan-jinaa-tha]
throat-m abl -c obl spear-pst-c obl that-c obl put-caus-pst-c obl
'Did you see the man whom (he) speared in the throat?' (Evans 1995: 490)

Unmarked clause
Remark: This construction is used in Ref Mod function, in cases where no complementizer case appears, i.e. when the relativized element is the subject of the relative clause. Usually, the relativized element is gapped, but it may also be retained.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Largely retained (see above)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT/Ø - SENT (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:
Jina-a dathin-a dangk-a, [dan-kina yii-jarrma-tharra wangal-kina]
where-nom that-nom man-nom, here-m abl put-caus-pst-boomerang-m abl
'Where is the man, who left the boomerang here?' (Evans 1995: 489)

Paiwan
tu(a)/tai + clause
Remark: The oblique marker tu(a)/tai is also used for non-clausal arguments. Semantically, it is used for patients, beneficiaries, instruments, goals, objects of comparison etc. With DCs it marks "less integrated" complements.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
ma`reykutj ti-naju [tu laq`laq-en ni-a-maju]
fear foc-he obl tickle-pat defnoc-ag-pl-he
'He is afraid that she will tickle him.' (Egli 1990: 177)

na ma`aru a rhavats [tu rigu ti Yohan],
pfv believe lk very obl prophet foc John
'He believed strongly that John was a prophet.' (Egli 1990: 192)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

pašelip [tua ini pu'valav-an ni-maju tua qajuvi]
regret obl not marry-loc defoc.ag-he obl snake
'She regretted that she hadn’t married the snake.' (Egli 1990: 202)

*a + clause

Remark: The focus marker/linking element a is also used for non-clausal constituents. This construction is used for subject clauses, and for ‘more integrated’ object complements, such as with modal predicates.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small/derived adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

EXAMPLES:

Ref Head:

nánguag [a ma-ngetjez sun]
good foc pass-come you
'It is good that you have come.' (Egli 1990: 230)

ini'ka maqati [a tja-parb-patsun-en]
not can lk we-one.another-see-pat
'We cannot see one another.' (Egli 1990: 230)

Ref Mod:

qala [a na tem-ker tua vaqa]
stranger lk pfv drink-ag obl wine
'the stranger, who has drunk wine' (Egli 1990: 178)

[a za' a i-vetsik a] kai
foc those lk pfv-write lk word
'the word that I have written' (Egli 1990: 271)

[pin.-atsay an nua culau a] cyay-an
die-pfv loc defoc.ag husband lk woman
'the woman whose husband has died' (Egli 1990: 183)

*a parbu + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

EXAMPLE:

Pred Mod

sa ringul-˙i sun [a parbu qemlev]
and be.around-pron.pat you lk like put.a.cover.on
'And she will be around you as if she would want to cover you.' (Egli 1990: 209)

((-)in- Pred -an + a

Remark: This is a perfective participle construction, formed with the perfective affix (-)in (infix for verbs with a consonant in the Anlaut, prefix for verbs with a vowel in the Anlaut), which is also used with independent verb forms, and the participial suffix -an. Since there is no inflectional verbal morphology, it is hard to say whether this is a deranked construction. However, participles are restricted to the extent that they cannot occur with other tenses (marked with particles), nor with focus markers and they cannot be transitive. The participial form must be combined with the linker a.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod + PoS (small/derived adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Restricted tense

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø (gapping, intransitive)

Paiwan also has a present participle form, with -an and without the perfective marker, but this form is hardly ever used. Like the perfective participle, it can be used as a modifier in a referential phrase. In addition, it can be used for manner expressions, i.e. as a modifier in a predicate phrase:

rhonakeť-an a masengseng
to.do.all.day.long-ptc lk work
'to work steadily.' (Egli 1990: 124)
Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

**Imbabura Quechua**

*Pred-ji/-shka/-na*

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (different-subject), Ref Mod = PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: The different forms indicate different relative tense values: -j for present, -shka for past, -na for future. Progressive aspect is retained. No subject agreement.

Nominal categories: CASE in Ref Head function; in Ref Mod function only when the relative clause is extraposed.

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping in Ref Mod function) The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

**Examples**:

**Ref Head:**

Marya nin-n [Juzi jatun wasi-ta chari-f]-ta
María say-3 José big house-acc have-nmlz:prs:acc
'María says that José has a big house.' (Cole 1982: 14)

José-ka [Juzi kulki-ta kara-na]-ta kri-n
José-shef I tomorrow sheep-acc buy-nmlz:fut:acc believe-3
'José believes that I will buy a sheep tomorrow.' (Cole 1982: 37)

**Ref Mod:**

[Marya riku-f] runa
María see-pte:prs man
'the man whom María sees' (Cole 1982: 47)

[Marya riku-shka] runa
María see-pte:prs man
'the man whom María saw' (Cole 1982: 47)

[Juzi kulki-ta kara-na] warmi
José silver-acc give-pte:fut woman
'the woman to whom José gave money' (Cole 1982: 54)

**Extraposed:**

Kuwisa-ta juya-ni [Juan-wan tubu-shka ka-shka]-ta
girl-acc love-1 Juan-with dance-pte:pst be-pte:pst:acc
'I love the girl who had danced with Juan.' (Cole 1982: 51)

*Pred-ngapaj* (subjunctive)

Remark: Subjunctive forms are used for the complements of manipulative and desiderative predicates; ngapaj is used for same subject.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, same subject ≠ PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense and subject agreement. Aspect can be retained.

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (coreferentiality)

The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

**Example:**

Ref Head, same subject:

Muna-y-man [ñuka mama-ta riku-ngapaj]
want-1-cond my mother-acc see-sbjv
'I want to see my mother.' (Cole 1982: 37)
Pred-_chun_ (subjunctive)
Remark: Subjunctive forms are used for the complements of manipulative and desiderative predicates; _-chun_ is used for different subject.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, different subject ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense and subject agreement. Aspect can be retained.
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
_muna-ni_ [Juzi pay-paj mama-ta _rika-_chun]
want-1 José he-poss mother-acc see-sbjv
'I want that José sees his mother/I want José to see his mother.' (Cole 1982: 37)

Pred-_y_
Remark: In Pred Mod function, the form is reduplicated.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nominals, small manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/subject agreement
Nominal categories: CASE (in Ref Head function)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (coreferentiality) The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

Examples:
Ref Head:
_Juzi-ka_ [llama-ta/Ø randi-_y]-ta _usha-n_
José-top sheep-acc/-Ø buy-inf-acc can-3
'José is able to buy sheep.' (Cole 1982: 40)

_maña-ka_ [šuji ahi wagra-ta-mi randi-_y]-ta _muna-ni_
I-top one good cow-acc-val buy-inf-acc want-1sg
'I want to buy a good cow.' (Cole 1982: 40)

Pred Mod:
[Kanda-_y kanda-_y] _shamu-rka-ni_
sing-inf sing-inf come-pst-1
'I came singing.' (Cole 1982: 62)

Pred-_shpa_
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS: small manner adverbs
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/subject agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (coreferentiality)

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Kanda-_shpa-mi] _shamu-rka-ni_
sing-conv-val come-pst-1
'I came singing.' (Cole 1982: 62)

Ma’di
Pred-_ĩ_
Remarks: Apart from the subordinating suffixes _ĩi, _kā, _ði, _ði, and _ɔz, Ma’di dependent predicates can only take a low-tone prefix, which in independent clauses expresses non-past tense. It is not clear whether the prefix on dependent predicates is the same, since it is compatible with any tense interpretation (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 192).
In Ref Head function this construction is used for complements of desiderative predicates. The subject is unexpressed under co-referentiality; the object is SENT.
In Ref Mod function this construction is used for object relative clauses. The object is either gapped, or expressed with the postposition _nā_, meaning ‘aforementioned’ (AFR), and interpreted as the possession of the modified noun. The subject is either left unexpressed, or expressed with the possessive postposition.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (desiderative), Ref Mod (object) = PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 2/3 (D-SENT/D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/POSS - Ø/POSS - OBL
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Examples:

Ref Head:
Má lí-á [ɛ̀ ɬ-ɛ̀-rā] rá
1sg (n)want-obj fish n-cat-nmlz aff
‘I certainly want to eat fish.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 202)

Ref Mod:
àràb [ɔ́ pɨ́ Ɂà Ɂ ɹ̄ Ɂ ā] ɹī lɨ̀ pā Ɂ dɪ́ ɹ
car Ópɨ poss (n)-take-ptc def leg afr deflate aff
‘The car which Opi took certainly has a flat tyre.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

ílí [ŋɔ̀-lɛ́ rɨ̀ lɔ́ ŋtʃɨ̄]
knife (n)-break-ptc def sharp
‘The knife which was broken is sharp.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 201)

ág [tín āɗ Ɂà ā kā nà Ɂ ā gù-ɹ]
man cow afr child that poss (n)-steal-ptc def
‘The man whose cow that child stole…’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 201)

Remarks: In Ref Head function this construction is used for the complements of phrasal predicates. The subject remains unexpressed under co-referentiality. In Ref Mod function this construction is used for relative clauses, in which the relativized element is a source. This relativized argument is gapped; the subject is either unexpressed or possessive, and the object is SENT.

Verbal categories: No tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT / POSS - SENT

Predicate—dʒə

Examples:
Ref Head:
ɔ́ pɨ́ Ɂ sì ɹ̄ Ɂ dʒə rā
Opi start [n-build-nmlz] aff
‘Opi has certainly started to build (with) it.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22 / 207)

Ref Mod:
bélè ág rɨ̀ pɨ̄ Ɂ ɹ̄ Ɂ mgb ā-ɹ dʒə ɹī dɨ̀ Ɂɨ̄
stick [man def plpron n-beat-ptc] def this foc
‘The stick with which the man and his associates was beaten is this one.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

Pred—lə

Examples:
Ref Mod:
má ðù mā-kā kərɨ́
1sg try [(n)-go-nmlz] neg(pst)
‘I have not tried/did not try to go/going.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

Pred—rə/nə

Remarks: nə is the plural equivalent of ā Only in non-active cases can an overt subject appear, which is then marked with a postposition (see second example).

Verbal categories: No tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT / Ø - SENT
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Mod:

\[
\text{águé} \quad \text{ám-ā} \quad \text{áį́} \quad \text{ni} \quad \text{iį́-bā} \quad \text{rį́}
\]

\[
\text{man} \quad [\text{1PL-poss} \quad \text{house} \quad \text{SPEC} \quad \text{N-build-PTC(PL)} \quad \text{DEF}]
\]

‘one of the men who built/are building our house’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 193)

\[
\text{áti} \quad \text{ágréń́} \quad \text{ná} \quad \text{ēgwé-rį́} \quad \text{dį́} \quad \text{rį́} \quad \text{ñṓ}
\]

\[
\text{this man} \quad [\text{cow} \quad \text{AFR} \quad (\text{N-get-lost-PTC}) \quad \text{COM} \quad \text{DEF} \quad \text{FOC}]
\]

‘This is the man whose cow got lost.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 195)

Unmarked clause
Remark: Used for complements of utterance, propositional attitude, knowledge, manipulatives and desideratives.
Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:

\[
\text{ni} \quad \text{fṓ} \quad \text{k-ē-mū} \quad \text{ñṓ}
\]

\[
\text{2sg} \quad \text{say} \quad [\text{dir-ve-go} \quad \text{tomorrow}]
\]

‘You said that she should come tomorrow.’/‘You told her to come tomorrow.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 21)

Clause with \(z\̄ + s\)ī
Remark: This construction is marked by the grammatical verb \(z\̄\) and the source propositiona \(s\)ī. It expresses simultaneous action.
The subject is co-referential but overtly expressed (SENT). (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 421).
Functional distribution: Ríg: Pred Mod = PoS (small and derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: CASE (postposition)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:

\[
\text{kā́} \quad \text{śį́} \quad \text{pā́} \quad \text{mindrā} \quad \text{nā} \quad \text{kâ- Biał̄ə́} \quad \text{rā́} \quad \text{sī́}
\]

\[
\text{3 pepper (n)eat} \quad [\text{tears} \quad \text{AFR} \quad \text{3-N-SIM} \quad \text{leak}] \quad \text{SR}
\]

‘She was eating pepper as her eyes were (continuing) running.’
(Blackings & Fabb 2003: 440)

Hungarian

Pred-\(ni\)
Remarks: When this construction has subject function, agreement (in person and number) with the subject is optionally retained. In object function, subject agreement is always lost. Only one argument can be overtly expressed. If the subject is overt, it takes DAT; if the object is overt, it is SENT.
Functional distribution: Ríg: Pred Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood. Causative and frequentative affixes can be expressed. Agreement is sometimes retained (see above).
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/DAT - Ø

Examples:
Ref Head:

\[
\text{Fontos} \quad \text{volt} \quad [\text{Peter-nek} \quad \text{olvas-ní-\(ni\)-\(al\)}]
\]

\[
\text{important was Peter-DAT read-INF(-3sg)}
\]

‘It was important for Peter to read.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 35)

\[
\text{Anna} \quad \text{most akar} \quad [\text{olvas-\(ni\)}]
\]

\[
\text{Anna now wants read-INF}
\]

‘Anna wants to read now.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 33)

\[
\text{Anna} \quad \text{meg-próbál-t-a} \quad [\text{meg-tanul-\(ni\} \quad a \quad \text{verset}]
\]

\[
\text{Anna pref-pref-pst-def.3sg pref-learn-inf the poem,ACC}
\]

‘Anna tried to learn the poem.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 33)
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**Pred-ás / -és**

Remark: The subject of a transitive nominalized verb is optionally expressed as an attributive adjectival phrase involving the adjectival *általi* form of the postposition *által* 'by', or involving the postposition *által* and the active participial form *való* of *van* 'to be'. The subject of an intransitive predicate and the object of a transitive predicate trigger nominal agreement on the dependent predicate.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL – POSS

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

*János [a kincs el-rejt-és-é] -t javasol-t-a*

'John suggested to hide the treasure.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 207)

*Örülök [Pál váratlan meg-érkez-és-é] -nek*

'I am happy about Paul’s unexpected arrival.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 207)

**Pred-ó** *(active present participle)*:

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (subject clauses) ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

*A [könyv-et a fiú-nak gyorsan olvas-ó] lány itt van*

'the book-ACC the boy-DAT fast read-PRS.PTC girl here is'

'Here is the girl who reads the book to the boy fast.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 45)

**Pred-ótt** *(passive, past participle)*

Remark: Used in prenominal relative clauses where the relativized item is the undergoer / patient of the DC. This element is gapped; the agent is marked OBL with the agentive postposition *által* 'by'.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (patient clauses) ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: OBL - Ø

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

*Az [Anna által tegnap olvas-ót] könyv*

'the book (that was) read by Anna yesterday.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 46)

**Pred-vá / -vé** *(simple converb)*

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

*a [el-jöv-vé] kor*

'the age to come' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 319)

*a [ki-javít-vá] dolgozat-ók*

'the papers to be corrected' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 320)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
A gyerek-wk [kiablal-va] szalad-t-ak végigg az utad-n.
the child-pl shout-conv run-pst-indef.3pl along the street-superess

‘The children ran down the street shouting.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 320)

Pred-ver (‘perfective converb’):
Remark: This form is very infrequent in spoken Hungarian; it is used only in formal and ceremonious style in writing. The perfective converb has historically been used to refer to an action preceding that of the finite verb. Nowadays it is sometimes used synonymously with the simple converb.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
Ez-t mond-t-a nek-em [az asztalf ű-véén].
this-acc say-pst-def.3sg dat-1sg the table.head-superess sit-pfv.conv

‘Sitting at the head of the table s/he said this to me.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 321)

Clause + hogy
Remarks: The construction can be combined with the expletive pronominal az, which takes case according to the function of the DC. In nominative and accusative function case can be omitted; in other functions it cannot. When functioning as the complement of a manipulative or evaluative predicate, the dependent predicate takes the subjunctive marker -j- and a prefix meg-. In the case of a manipulative predicate the complementizer can be omitted; in the case of an evaluative predicate it cannot.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained (SBJV)
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Anna elmondta nekünk (azt),
[that] Peter sick was

‘Anna told us that Peter had been sick.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 31)

Anna azt mondta, [(hogy) tanul-já-d meg a verset]
Anna it.acc said.def comp learn-imp/sbjv-def.2sg pref the poem.acc

‘Anna told you to learn the poem.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 32)

Nem szükséges, [*[(hogy)] Péter meg-tanul-j-a a verset]
Not necessary comp Peter prefix-learn-sbjv-def the poem-acc

‘It is not necessary for Peter to learn the poem.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 32)

Clause őgy + hogy
Remark: őgy is the adverbial form of the pronominal az in the main clause (most often ‘thus’).
Optionally a relative pro-adverb is attached to the subordinator hogy.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Examples:
Pred Mod:
Péter őgy akludt el, [(hogy olvasott)]
Peter adp.pron slept pref subj read.3sg

‘Peter fell asleep in such a manner that he was reading,’ 2 ‘Peter fell asleep reading.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 50)
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**Péter úgy aludt, a-hogy gyerekkorában szokott.**

‘Peter fell asleep the way he used to in his childhood.’ (Kenesei et al. 1998: 50)

**REL.PRON + clause**

Remarks: The relative pronoun takes case-marking according to the function of the head noun in the dependent clause. Optionally, the construction can be combined with a clause-initial demonstrative along with the lexical head noun.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

(Az) a könyv, amely-et Anna olvas-ott, érdekes volt 'The book that Anna was reading was interesting.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 38)

Itt van a fiú, aki-nek Anna felolvasta a könyvet 'Here's the boy to whom Anna read the book.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 42)

**Japanese**

Clause + no, mono, koto, wake, yoo

Remarks: The ‘nominalizers’ are in fact case-marked nouns meaning ‘thing’. The dependent predicate is tensed, but the subject can optionally appear in the genitive. Therefore this construction has a double classification 1/3.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)/3 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: Tense retained
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS-SENT/SENT-SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head:

[Ano hito ga/ no hon o kai-ta koto ga] yoku sira-re-te iru 'It is well known that that person wrote a book.' (the fact that that person wrote a book is well known.) (Lombardi Vallaubri 1997: 497)

**Unmarked REL clause**

Remark: There is no relative marker, but resumptive pronouns (in the form of demonstrative, personal, or reflexive pronouns) can be optionally used.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Tense retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT-SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT-Ø (gapping)

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

Dakara, tamago motte-ru hito mo ita shi, so eggs hold-pst person too were and [juusu mo motte-ru hito mo ita shi… juice too hold-pst person too was and 'So, there were people holding eggs, and people holding juice, and…' (Hinds 1986: 59)

With resumptive pronoun:

[Same ma ni kuruma ga tomatte-iru] mise dem front dir car nom stopped shop 'the shop in front of which a car is parked' (Hinds 1986: 61)

**Pred-te/-de/-ite**

Remark: Overt subjects can take the topic marker in stead of the nominative.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Pred Mod (see for more instances the next example):
Son san wa [hoka no nihonjin sutaffu o kun-de] shigoto o shi-te i-ru
Song Mr. top other attr Japanese staff obj unite-conv job obj do-conv be-prs
'Mr Song is working together (in a united manner) with other Japanese staff.'
(Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 469)

Different subject:
Yasuko wa juugoroku no koro ibiki no kuse ga
Yasuko top fifteen:sixteen attr time snore attr habit subj
at-te, oya wa kyosei ni kushin shi-ta-soo da
be-conv parents top correction lobj efforts do-pst-likely cop.prs
'They say that Yasuko snored when [she was] fifteen or sixteen [and her] parents did their best to get rid [of this habit].'
(Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 469)

Pred-i-/Ø ('infinitive')
Remark: Overt subjects can take the topic marker in stead of the nominative.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Pred Mod:
Same subject:
Ogata Shingo wa [sukoshi mayu o yose-Ø] [sukoshi kuchi o
Ogatta Shingo top slightly eyebrow obj moved.together-inf slightly mouth obj
ake-te] [nanila kangue-te] i-ru fuu datta
open-conv something think-conv be-prs look cop.pst
'Ogata Shingo looked as if he was thinking (about) something, bringing his eyebrows slightly together and slightly opening his mouth.'
(Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 468)

Different subject:
Shingo wa kao o shikame-Ø, Shuuichi wa yoi ga same-ta daroo
Shingo top face do obj drunkenness subj abate-pst tent
'Shingo frowned, [and] it seemed that Shuuichi got sober.'
(Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 468)

Hmong Njua
qheo + clause
Remarks: This construction can be a complement clause or a nominalization, depending on the scope of its structural coding, as shown by the position of the marker, which may either precede the dependent predicate, or the whole DC. Since there is no difference in terms of verbal/nominal categories and/or argument encoding, this construction is classified as a balanced one only.
This construction is used for subject clauses.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Qheo cov zev zog tsiw tacom wa kvo zoo suk
comp cl neighbour move out do 1sg happy
'It makes me happy that the neighbour moves out.'
(Harriehausen 1990: 200)

kuam/(has)tas + clause
Remarks: The choice between the two forms depends on the type of matrix predicate. Kuam is used with complements of desideratives and manipulatives; (has)tas with complements of perception, knowledge, propositional attitude, and utterance. Both forms are used for object complements only.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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Key Examples

Examples:
Ref Head: 'Peter wants Paul to buy a car.' (Harriehausen 1990: 220)

Kuv paula [bas tas nuq yuv nuag]  
1sg know comp 3sg buy flowers  
'I know that he has bought flowers.' (Harriehausen 1990: 22)

Unmarked clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)  
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)  
Verbal categories: None  
Nominal categories: None  
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:  
Kuv sava [kuv muog tieu sai]  
1sg hope 1sg go house soon  
'I hope to go home soon.' (Harriehausen 1990: 221)

kwo (REL) + clause:
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)  
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)  
Verbal categories: None  
Nominal categories: None  
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:  
Tag tieu neeji [kws kuv saib sab]  
cl man rel 1sg see big  
'The man that I saw was tall.' (Harriehausen 1990:141)

Lango
Pred-(kk)b (‘infinitive/nominalization’)  
Remarks: Overt subjects are marked as attributive modifiers.  
The construction is used for complements of phasal, modal, desiderative, and achievement predicates.  
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)  
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)  
Verbal categories: No aspect; no subject agreement. Object agreement, voice and (benefactive/ventive) valency retained.  
Nominal categories: None  
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/POSS - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:  
ámttob [gnep yõ dõ]  
1sg.want.progr kick.inf ball  
'I want to kick the ball.' (Noonan 1992: 213)

ápsuq bititowá à céek [bërr à kwám]  
teacher 3sg.tell.pfv.1pl attr.prt little.bit good.nmlz attr.prt read-inf  
'The teacher told us briefly about the benefits of reading.' (The teacher told us briefly the being good of reading.) (Noonan 1992: 213)

[Ne-kkõg wôkk à dákõ] rác  
kill-nmlz dog attr.prt woman 3sg-bad-hab  
The killing of a dog by a woman is bad.' (Noonan 1992: 214)

mi + clause
Remark: The dependent predicate is indicative when there is independent time reference and subjunctive when there is dependent time reference.  
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)  
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)  
Verbal categories: Retained (see remark)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Àŋé [ni lócá dágô tíc] 1sg-know-HAB comp man 3sg-hate-HAB work
'I know that the man hates work.' (Noonan 1992: 191)

'I want the woman to buy the child a book.' (Noonan 1992: 191)

ámé + clause
Remark: The marker ámé is a combination of the attributive particle à and the relative particle mé. The relativized item is gapped. A resumptive pronoun must be used when the relativized item is a benefactive, associative, or object of preposition.
Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (see remark)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT / SENT - Ø / Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Lócá [ámé máró gwÔk] man REL-ATTR.PRT 3sg-like-HAB dog
'The man that likes the dog.' (Noonan 1992: 215)

'The child for whom the woman bought a book.' (Noonan 1992: 215)

Ket
Bare infinitive
Remarks: The bare form is used in Ref Head function for the complements of phasal and modal (ability) predicates. The object, if expressed, is incorporated. For the complement of desiderative or modal (necessity) predicates, the infinitive is marked for translative case.
The bare infinitive is also used in Ref Mod function, but this may involve lexical derivation, since the infinitive apparently cannot take any arguments.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, modifiers, small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: None (i.e. no tense/mood, no subject-object agreement)
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: Ø - INC/POSS - INC

Examples:
Ref Head:
[ség-nà gür-bi₇] bínìt pl-anm.pl.gen tent-making-INF it.ended
'We finished making the tent.' (Lit: ‘Our tent-making ended.’) (Vajda 2004: 78).

[át i₇] tìpàram 1sg sing,INF L know
'I know how to sing/I can sing.' (Vajda 2004: 78)

[Àh-ṣàp ñànano-ntàt] mámá 1sg-DAT hunt,INF-TRL need
'I need to hunt' (Vajda 2004: 77)

Ref Mod:
òjë sàgëli find boot
'A boot that is found' (Vajda 2004: 79)

Unmarked clause
Remark: This construction is apparently infrequent. Werner (1997: 355): “It happens in Ket that a finite verb stands before a noun in attributive position.” (emphasis added, EvL)
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, modifiers, small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

EXAMPLES:

Ref Head:
dótám-báám týócidè [bìsnìmin bìgìtnaŋtonog]
dotam-old.woman she.heard brother.pl orphans.they.became
‘Old Dotam Woman (a forest witch) heard that the brothers had become orphans.’ (Vajda 2004: 93)

Ref Mod:
Túr; [ital‘em] kēŋ
dem he.has.knowledge person
‘This knowledgeable person’ (lit: this person who has knowledge) (Werner 1997: 355)

Clause + eta go’ra

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod
Tajʊm, [eta go’ra ke’eta].
It has become cold, as if it cuts
‘It has become piercingly cold.’ (Werner 1997: 348)

Clause + ásqà

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod
bů tɔp̥n dildàq bìldè [dɛŋ dɔlìn ásqà].
3masc so he.lived all people they.lived like
‘He lived like everyone (else) lived.’ (Vajda 2004: 87)

Clause + PROS(ecutive) CASE

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
ˈá-k hɪp [dùrèn-bes] tìstì
2sg.-gen son he.cries-PROS he.sits

REL PRON + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: Class/number agreement
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Mod:
ˈá ɡɪm diyɔr̥ [ɡɪ-r̥ stɔŋ dər̥ɔŋ]
1sg woman I.see.her who-FEM there she.lives
‘I am looking at the woman who lives there.’ (Vajda 2004: 30)
All the people who lived here have died. (Vajda 2004: 30)

### Pred-s/-bes clause

Remark: The -s suffix, and its allomorph -bes (used when the object of the dependent clause stands between the dependent predicate and the head noun) are called 'nominalizers' (and glossed as such), but they appear on finite predicates, and arguments remain SENT.

**Functional distribution:** Rgl: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbual categories:** All retained

**Nominal categories:** Class/number agreement

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

[mámül dóbì-x] dìì
milk he.drink.it-NMLZ child

'a child who drinks milk' (Vajda 2004: 79)

[dóbì-bes mámül] dìì
he.drink.it-NMLZ milk child

'a child who drinks milk' (Vajda 2004: 79)

### Itelmen

**Infinitives (various forms)**

**Functional distribution:** Rgl: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbual categories:** No agreement

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Examples:**

**Pred-s** (INF I) (Used for complements of modal, phasal and manipulative predicates.)

Ref Head:

Utu-z-en [ŋǒgqa bolse jowale-s.]
can.not-PRs-3.sg something more say-INF.I

'He cannot say anything anymore.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 168)

Komma k-iti-çen [p'è-ch no-ka-s.]
1.sg 1.sg -force-3.sg.pat child-DIM eat-CCM-INF.I

'I forced the child to eat.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 169)

**Pred-kilh-kalh/kila’n/-kala’n** (PL) (INF II) (Used for complements of direct perception predicates.)

Ref Head:

Truk śin ‘agxov k-efku-ùn [t’it’im ñi-kalh.]
suddenly S. INF.III-see-INF.III smoke come.out-INF.II

'Suddenly S. saw that smoke was coming out.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 173)

**Pred-ki/ka** (INF V); (Used for complements of phasal and modal predicates and some manipulative predicates. Applies only to those verbs that take -k in Infinitive I.)

Ref Head:

A Sin’agevot-n nita ñogar-kit k-uzu-knen [ŋorr-ki.]
INTERJ s.-poss soul was-CAUS INF.II-START-INF.III hurt-INF.V

For some reason S. became sad. (lit.: S's soul began to hurt.) (Georg & Volodin 1999: 180)

**Pred-l** (INF VI) (Same function as Infinitive V, but it is used with those verbs that do NOT take k- in Infinitive I.)

Ref Head:

T-utu-r-en [Ememqut met’el-ì.]
1.sg.can.not-PRs-3.sg.pat e. kill-INF.IV

'I cannot kill E.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 182)

### Unmarked clause

Remark: Used for subject clauses and sometimes for object complements of perception predicates.
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**min + gapped clause**
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
`t-çi-kiçen  nu Nwilwejen [min k-çi-knen kulaka-ını].
1sg-find-1sg DEM N. REL INFH1-choose-INFH1 Kulak-LOC
'I found this Nwilwejen, whom the Kulaken had chosen.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 203)

**qatx + clause**
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/SENT

**Example:**
Pred Mod:
Ewun fçora celoj q½ h [qatx k-nig-ını] t-lax-i-k.
yesterday whole day as.if INFH1-loaded-INFH1 1sg-go-PRE-1SG
'Thus I went around all day, heavily loaded.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 213)

**Koasati**

'Nominalizations' (various forms)
Remarks: For all verb classes, except one (‘class 2A’), the nominalization is formed form the 1st person plural affirmative, without phrase-terminal markers. Other nominalizations are formed by replacing the element -li with the element -ka. (Kimball 1991: 273-274). Nominalization cannot take any other verbal morphology than categories related to voice/valency (reciprocal, reflexive, locative and instrumental prefixes).

**Examples:**
Ref Head:
[Lo sammici-t inkab-635] vehâyi-l
deer do:how-CONN to:shoot&hit-NMLZ know-1SG.SBJ
'I know how to shoot deer.' (Kimball 1991: 280)

[Raybacîka a'wad-ka] ca-baw-ka-V
law ruin-NMLZ 1SG.SBJ.stat-want-NEG-PHTM
'I don’t want to break the law.' (Kimball 1991: 275)

**Participles** (various forms)
Remark: Participles can express subject/object cross-reference, but apparently with special forms. (Kimball 1991: 288): "It seems likely that the participle suffixes are added to an already nominalized verb. This supposition is strengthened by the fact that all the participial suffixes (with the exception of the future participle, which is transparently derived from the present participle) also appear as article suffixes on nouns."
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker; only voice/valency can be retained.
Nominal categories: CASE agreement (ACC is zero-marked)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø (gapping)

**Pred-**sáya (**'Present participle'**) (Requires the focus form of the subject and object markers.)

Ref Mod:

Yilahá [ípa-lí-sáya-ok] hán-dó:xi-V
orange eat-1SS-PRS.PTC-SS.FOC be.good-ADV-L.PST
'The orange that I am eating is very good.' (Kimball 1991: 289)

Yilahá [ám-bíška-sáy-on] ípa-li-t
orange give.to.me-2SG.SBJ-PRS.PTC-OBJ.FOC eat-1SG.SBJ-PST
'I ate the orange that you just gave me.' (Kimball 1991: 289)

**Pred-**yólí (**'Habitual participle'**)  
Remark: Subjects of these participles are usually stripped of their case marking. The focus forms are used for subject/object marking.

Ref Mod:

Akkó átí [ípa-yólí-ok] níhá:ho:si
that person eat-hab-PTC-SS.FOC be.fat-ADV
'A person who eats all the time is very fat.' (Kimball 1991: 290)

**Pred-**ka (**'Past participle'**)  
Remark: Focus forms are used for subject/object marking.

Ref mod:

Yilahá [nihtá-k-on am-bíška-k-on] ípa-lí-t
orange day-ART-OBJ.FOC give.to.me-2SG.SBJ-PST.PTC-OBJ.FOC eat-1SG.SBJ-PST
'I ate the orange that you gave me yesterday.' (Kimball 1991: 291)

**Pred-**kítta (**'Imperfective participle'**)  
Remark: Focus forms are used for subject/object marking.

Ref Mod:

person see-1SG.SBJ-IPFV.PTC-TOP see-1SG.SBJ-PST
'I saw the person that I used to see.' (Kimball 1991: 292)

**Pred-**laho:li:sáya (**'Future participle'**)  
Remark: This formation is a combination of the present participial suffix -sáya with the verbal suffixes -laho- (IRR), and -:li-, a hearsay suffix with the meaning 'deduction' (Kimball 1991: 292):

Ref Mod:

orange give.to.me-2SG.SBJ-FUT.PTC-OBJ.FOC eat-1SG.SBJ-IRR-PHTM.
'I intend to eat the orange that you will give me.' (Kimball 1991: 292)

**Pred (-o:si / -s:si)-n**  
Remark: -n is the different-subject switch-reference (SW) marker. It can be combined with the diminutive/intensive suffix -o:si / -:si.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT

Examples:

Pred Mod:

[íyyí-k atákka-n] wáyka-Vhi
foot-SBJ hang(PL)-SW fly(SC)-PROGR
'It flies with its legs hanging down.' Lit.: 'Its legs hang down and it flies.' (Kimball 1991: 488)

[Tálásha-ci-n] kíyí-li-t
be.thin-DIM-SW cut(PL)-1SG.SBJ-PST
'I cut it up thinly.' (as thinly as possible) (Kimball 1991: 488)

**Pred-t**  
Remark: The suffix is a connector. This construction is used when the verb modifier can be considered as
an action that takes place at the same time as the action of the matrix verb (= simultaneity clause).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**

Pred Mod:

[Fololohká-ci-] cokkío:li-n hí:ca-li-:p  im-ca-ma ɬátli-V́ hco-k
be.coiled.up-conn sit(3C)-sw see-1ss-sbjv 3STAT.OBJ-1SBJ,3STAT-be.afraid(3C)-HAB-ss

‘If I see one sitting coiled up, I am afraid of it.’ (Kimball 1991: 489)

**Pred-4**

Remark: The suffix is a same-subject marker

This construction is used when the adverbial action can be applied as much to the subject of the sentence as to the verb (i.e. secondary predication).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**

Pred Mod:

[Wayóhka-] ho-pálki-palámmi-n
fly(PL)-ss distr-be.fast-ADV-sw

‘They all fly very fast.’ (Kimball 1991: 490)

**Thai**

Unmarked clause

Remarks: This construction is used for subject clauses and for object complements of desiderative and achievement predicates. Under special conditions it also occurs in Ref Mod function, namely expressing a subject relative clause that gives a general description of the head noun. In such cases, the relativized item is gapped.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod = PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

[3ak-kamlaŋ thák wan] dìi tɔ̄ɔ ràap-kàaŋ
every day good towards body

‘Doing exercise every day is good for your body.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 253)

Khàw yàak [ɔ̀ɔ būu kʰà]
3 want pierce ear PRF

‘She wants to have her ears pierced.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 231)

Ref Mod:

ēk  pen dèk  [rìaan kʰàŋ]
Ek cop child study well

‘Ek is a child who studies well.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 250)

**thìi + clause:**

Remark: This construction is used in Ref Head function, as the complement of predicates expressing evaluation and emotion, and sometimes (optionally) of desiderative predicates. In Ref Mod function it is used in combination with a gapping strategy or a resumptive pronoun.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod = PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø (gapping)
Examples:
Ref Head:
tæ-wàa dìi nà [thîi máy mii khay pen alay]
but good PRT COMP NEG have who COP what
‘But it was good that no one was hurt.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 255)

Ref Mod:
Khon [thîi duulée] ni pen pen acaan b3
person REL take.care PRT COP COP teacher Q
‘Is the person who takes care [of the students] a teacher?’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 243)

Khon [thîi khàw pay yiu kan taam râyran]
person REL RESP go PRT cop cop school
‘people who want to stay at school’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 245)

(thîi+) wàa cà + clause
Remark: In some cases this construction combines with the flexible subordinator thîi, while in other cases this element is optional and only wàa (‘say’) and/or the ‘challengeable marker’ (CM) cà remain.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
khít [wàa cà hâa pàam tham thîi-nil]
think say/COMP CM look.for work do here
‘I think that I will look for work here.’ (Iwasaki & Preeya Ingkaphirom 2005: 262)

Basque
Pred-t(ze)
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense, mood and agreement
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Damu dut [zuri gezzura osa-te]-a
regret have youl.DAT lie say-NMLZ-DET
‘I regret telling you a lie.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 656)

[bauvek etxean liburuak sari irakur-tze]-a
children.erg home.LOC books often read-NMLZ-DET
‘children's often reading books at home’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 666)

Pred-tu-du/-i/-Ø (‘perfective participle’)
Remark: this is the perfective counterpart of the nominalization with -tze (see above). In combination with instrumental or partitive case10, or with a postposition such as gabe ‘without’, this construction can also be used in Pred Mod function.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense, mood and agreement
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Damu dut [zu irain-du]-a
regret aux you offend-PPV.NMLZ-DET
‘I regret having offended you.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 668)

10 In Eastern dialects, in stead of –rik, the morpheme –ta is used, which is probably related to the conjunction eta and as such seems to form a dedicated adverbial construction in combination with the participial form (see Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 745-746). In the classification of Chapter 6, this is not taken into account as a separate coding strategy.
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‘children’s having often read books at home’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 666)

‘I need/want to buy a house in Bilboa.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 694)

‘I continued forward without turning his head.’ (Saltarelli 1988: 55)

‘I wanted to speak about matters that I don’t know.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 763)

‘I lost the money that Peter brought.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003:764)

[baurrek etxean liburuk sari irakur]-i-a children.erg home.loc books often read-pfyv.nmlz-det

[Bilboen etxe bat erosi]-i behar/nahi dut Bilbloc loc house one buy-pfyv.nmlz need/want aux

‘I need/want to buy a house in Bilboa.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 694)
Clause + -en bezala
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Zeuk esan didaz] -en bezala egin dut lana
you.EMPH say AUX.ADV do AUX job
'I did my job the way you told me.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 722)

(subjunctive) clause -(e)la
Remarks: With desiderative and manipulative main predicates, the auxiliary in the dependent clause takes subjunctive form. -(e)la can be used in Pred Mod function for adverbial manner clauses, either by itself or combination with the partitive case -riak. In its basic form -(e)la has a modal/temporal (simultaneous) meaning11. The combination with -riak is a dialectal variant, separating the Bizkaian and Gipuzkoan area from the eastern dialects.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod (+ partitive case) ≠ PoS (nouns, derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
batzuek uste dute [hauk oro kazeten eta kartzetako egitekoak dir]-ela
some.ERG think AUX these all journals.GEN and journal-makers.GEN duties are-COMP
'Some think that all these are duties of journals and journalists.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 635)

Unibertsitateak [agiri guztiak euskara edo gaztelaniaz egin daitz]-ela
University.GEN document all.DEF.PL Basque.INSTR and Spanish.INSTR do AUX(SUB)-COMP
'The University has demanded that all documents be written in Basque and Spanish.'
(Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 461)

Pred Mod:
[Zer egin ez neki]-ela geratu nintzen
what do not knew-ADV stay AUX
'I stood there not knowing what to do.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 712)

Jaikitsen da, [jauzi egiten da]-ela-riak
rise.IPFV AUX, jump do.IPFV AUX-ADV-PART
'(S)he gets up, jumping.'(Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 713)

bait-clause
Remark: The conjunction bait can be used in Ref Head function, but this is uncommon. In Ref Mod function it is used frequently, namely for extraposed relative clauses. In this function, a resumptive pronoun can optionally be added.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Hau da haren abanrailik handiena [ez baitu aintz xahutzen]
this is this advantage.PART biggest.det not CONJ.AUX much spend.IPFV
'That's the main advantage, that he doesn't spend much.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2004: 648)

Ref mod:
Landibarren badira lau kartier.
Landibar.LOC ba.are four neighbourhood those(REP) CONJ-are
Behaune Dona Martine, Donoztia eta Azkonbegi
Behaune Dona Martine, Donoztia and Azkonbegi
'There are in Landiburri four neighbourhoods, which are Behaune, Dona Martine, Donoztia and Azkonbegi.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2004: 816)

Abun
do/Ø + clause
Functional distribution: Ref: Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
An jam [do an karowa ne nde]
3sg know comp 3sg close.to there neg
'He knew not to [go] close to there.' (Berry & Berry 1999: 189)

An syogat pa [jogru san].
3sg order child take.off clothes
'He ordered the girl to take off (her) clothes.' (Berry & Berry 1999: 187)

Gato + clause
Remark: The relative clause can be followed by a determiner.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Men mu gu ye [gato man siri su men bi nggon].
1pl go kill person rel do wrong with 1pl poss woman
'We will go and kill the person who committed adultery with our (clans) woman.' (Berry & Berry 1999: 146)

Men mu dw syur mo syur wak [gato nje ben] ne
1pl go go.in water at water hole rel people make det
'We went and washed at the well that people had made.' (Berry & Berry 1999: 146)

Sa gato + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: none
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
An da ben mó sarewo an yo ben kete
3sg actual do exist however 3sg neg do too.much
bado yo teker [sa gato nyim ne nde re.]
maybe neg too.much adv earlier det neg pfv
'Although she does [these things] she does not do [them] very much, I mean, not like [she did them]
before.' (Berry & Berry 1999: 158)

Bambara
Clause + ka
Remark: The exact coding details are unclear; the literal translation suggests that this is a balanced construction.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained?
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT
Examples:
Ref Head:
*Mb’a fi i ka taga*
I want that you go → I want you to go.

*Mb’a fi ak’a ka foro cikè*
I want that you cultivate your field → I want you to cultivate your field. (Brauner 1974: 80)

Pred-*le/-ne* (*perfective participle*):
Remark: Apparently, the dependent predicate is non-finite and the relativized item is gapped.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/Ø?

Example:
Ref Mod:
*Mk’o* [pasa-le]
person loose.weight-PFV.PTC
‘a thin person’ (‘a person who has lost weight’) (Brauner 1974: 73)

**min(u)/man(u) + clause**
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:
*Donan* [min nana Kalikoro] eye tubahu ye
Stranger rel come K. cop European cop
‘The stranger who has come to K is a European.’ (Brauner 1974: 82)

Pred-*tò* (*present participle* → *converb*)
Remark: Apparently, the dependent predicate is non-finite, and the co-referential subject is coded twice.
It is not clear how other argument(s) are coded.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
[A kasi-tò sègina] a ka dugu
3sg cry-PRS.PTC, go.back.? 3sg PST? place/village
‘He went back to his village, crying.’ (Brauner 1974: 72)

Georgian
Pred-*a*:
Remark: Argument coding is in ergative alignment: subjects of intransitive and objects of transitive verbs (S and P) are POSS. Transitive subjects (A) are typically OBL: accompanied by *mier ‘by’* (or -*gan ‘from, by’*) (Hewitt 1995: 542).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood/person-number agreement; aspect is retained.
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL - POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
*minda* [st’u’iis ti’er-a]
1.3.want.PRS article.gen write-NMLZ(-NOM)
‘I want to write an article.’ (Vamling 1989: 35)
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1.3. prefer. prs this-gen do-nmlz-dat
'I prefer to do this.' (Vanlim 1989: 99)

[president-gen prez-stand.down-ths-nmlz]
'the standing down of the president' (Hewitt 1995: 542)

[moskalaka-ta mier upleb-eb-is ga-ma-gen-eb-a]
citizen-pl(gen) by rights-pl gen prev-prev-use-ths-nmlz
'the making use of their rights by the citizens' (Hewitt 1995: 542)

m-pred(-a/)-el/|m-pred-ul/|il (active participle):
Remarks: Indicates the actor of the relative clause predicate. The negative (privative) counterpart of this participle is formed with the prefix u-.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 3 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood/person-number agreement
Nominal categories: Case agreement
Argument encoding: Ø - POSS (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Ševardnaje-m [svitmprinav-is ga-m-t’ac-el-i] axalgazrd-el-i
‘Shevarnadze had the young ones, who hijacked the plane executed.’ (Hewitt 1995: 608-609).

Privative:
[k’ont’rol-s da-k’u-kvemdebar-el-i] birtvul-i energia control-dat prev-subordinate-ths-priv.ptc-nom nuclear-agr energy(nom)
‘Nuclear energy, which is subordinate to no control...’ (Hewitt 1995: 609)

Pred-ul/il/m-pred-ar/al (past participle)
Remark: When derived from a transitive verb, this construction has a passive interpretation; the agent is marked oblique and the patient is gapped. When derived from an intransitive verb, the constructions has perfective interpretation and the relativized argument is gapped.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 3 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood/person-number agreement
Nominal categories: Case agreement
Argument encoding: POSS - Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod, transitive:
Sakartvelo [ara-eb-is mier da-p’q’r-ob-il-i] kveq’ana i-q’o
Georgia(nom) Arab-pl gen by prev-grab-ths-pst.ptc-nom country(nom) sv-cop(3.aor)
‘Georgia was a country (that had been) occupied by the Arabs.’ (Hewitt 1995: 609)

[razik’ashvil-is ca-c’er-il-i] varian’i Razikashvili-gen prev-record-pst-ptc-agr variant-nom
‘the variant recorded by Razikashvili’ (Hewitt 1996: 611-612)

Intransitive:
[oro-s czer-i da-k’ac’ir-eb-al-i] legend-eb-i
gold-gen dam-dat prev-connect-ths-pst.ptc-agr legend-pl-nom
‘legends linked to the golden ram’ (Hewitt 1996: 609)

sa-pred(-el/-al/)-el (future participle)
Remark: The meaning of this construction is ‘that which is to be V-ed’. The agent is demoted and as remains unexpressed; the patient is gapped. It is not clear whether this construction can take an oblique agent argument.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood/person-number agreement
Nominal categories: Case agreement
Argument encoding: Ø - Ø (gapping)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

\[\text{Ager} \quad m-i-k'\text{-}i-a \quad [xel-mo-\text{-}a\text{-}\text{'er}]-i \quad \text{haggald-eb-i} \quad \text{here} \quad 1\text{-}ov\text{-}hold\text{-}prs\text{-}stat\text{-}3 \quad \text{hand\text{-}prev\text{-}fut\text{-}ptc\text{-}sign}\text{-}nom \quad \text{paper\text{-}pl\text{-}nom}\]

'I am holding here the papers which are to be signed.' (Hewitt 1995: 609)

**rom** + (subjunctive) clause

**Remarks:** In Ref Head function, the strategy can be combined with a suitable correlative in the main clause. This is obligatory when the clause is dependent on a postposition or functions obligatorily (i.e. follows a verb that governs instrumental case). (Hewitt 1987: 218)

Complements of modal and desiderative predicates combine with the subjunctive mood (optative when the matrix verb is present or future tense and pluperfect when the matrix verb is past). The complementizer is often omitted with subjunctive complements, whereas it is usually obligatory with indicative complements (Vamling 1989: 32/33). With subjunctives, the complementizer rom may also be replaced by titko(s) or any of its synonyms vitom(c) and vitom(c)da. (Hewitt 1995: 623)

In Ref Mod function either the head or the co-referential noun can be deleted, or both may be retained. (Hewitt 1995: 606-607) When the co-referential NP is deleted and it does not function as a subject or direct object in the DC, a resumptive pronoun is often used. In the Ref Mod function, the relativizer itself avoids clause-initial position and usually appears between the first constituent and the verb. (Hewitt 1987: 187)

**Functional distribution:** Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained

**Nominal categories:** Case agreement on the REL.PRON

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT (gapping)

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

\(\text{Minda} \quad [\text{gamovatsxo} \quad \text{namtsxvari}]\)

1.3.want.1.3.bake-cake.

'I want to bake a cake.' (Vamling 1989: 33)

Ref Mod:

\([\text{q'icela} \quad [\text{cinem-in} \quad \text{rel} \quad \text{rsp\text{-}dat} \quad \text{ipfv\text{-}speak.to-ths} \quad \text{ipfv\text{-}ind} \quad \text{that} \quad \text{Mingrelian\text{-}nom} \quad \text{where} \quad \text{ga-i-can-i}] \quad \text{prev\text{-}sv\text{-}get.to.know-aor\text{-}ind}\]

'Where did you get to know that Mingrelian to whom you were speaking in the cinema?' (Hewitt 1995: 607)

**REL PRON + clause**

**Remark:** The relative pronouns are cin-c ‘who’, na-c ‘which’, and ra-\(m\)-c ‘who, which’. (Hewitt 1995: 607)

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained

**Nominal categories:** Case agreement on the REL.PRON

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT (gapping)

Ref Mod:

\([\text{q'icela} \quad [\text{cinema-in} \quad \text{rel} \quad \text{rsp\text{-}dat} \quad \text{ipfv\text{-}speak.to-ths}\text{-}ipfv\text{-}ind} \quad \text{that} \quad \text{Mingrelian\text{-}nom} \quad \text{where} \quad \text{ga-i-can-i}] \quad \text{prev\text{-}sv\text{-}get.to.know-aor\text{-}ind}\]

'Where did you get to know that Mingrelian to whom you were speaking in the cinema?' (Hewitt 1995: 607)
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Avt'or-I    [romel-is c'ign-s(a-c) c'v-av-en…]
Author-nom who-gen book-dat(-rel) burn-ths-they
‘the author, whose books they are burning…’ (Hewitt 1995: 600)

**ra in clause**

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Examples:**
Pred Mod:
[e-xmar-eb-i-an ra saxalxp armi-is iet’evu-s]
ce-help-ths-prs-they ADV people’s army-gen attack-dat
ov-attack-ths-they ADV
partisan-pl-nom NV-strengthen-ths-they(prs)
‘Helping the people’s army to attack, the partisans strengthen …’ (Hewitt 1995: 600)

\[mo-b-q’av-s ra ssxvadasxva mk’devar-ta\]
prev-he-bring-3(prs) ADV different investigator-pl(gen)
axx-eb-i, marr-i a-sk’vn-i-s.. opinion-pl-nom Marr-nom NV-conclude-ths-he
‘Adducing the opinions of different investigators, Marr concludes…’ (Hewitt 1995: 600)

**Regore (‘as, like’) + clause**

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**
Pred Mod:
[Roreg ten g-e-p’rian-eb-a, ie mo-i-kce-i]
act-as the-fancy-takes-you. you-prs-appeal-ths-it so prev-pass-act-aor.ind(.imp)
‘Act as the fancy takes you.’ (Act as you are appealed to.’) (Hewitt 1995: 589)

Bukiyip
Unmarked clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT / Ø - SENT

**Examples:**
Ref Head:
Énan n-a-kli [yek i-wich umu énaniny moul]
He he-real-say I irr-enter ben his work
‘He said that I would have his job.’ (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 179)

ch-a-kli [ch-e-geik mamawegasibel]
pl.mix-real-say/want pl.mix-irr-build parent.wood.fence
‘They wanted to build a parent type (= very strong) fence. (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 182)

**Clause + (a)li**

Remark: The relativized item is gapped, but it is cross-referenced (with a prefix denoting class and number) on the dependent predicate.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
Example:
Ref Mod:
H-a-gab-we-yagú        agú-dak
3PL-MASC.SUBJ-REAL-fix-CL3.SG-OBJ  cl3.SG.DEM-this
nehe-gali   trag [g-a-lahbe-mu      bhensin uli]
large-CL3.SG  truck cl3.SG.SUBJ-REAL-travel-BEN  gasoline REL
‘They repaired this big truck which transports gasoline.’ (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 103)

bwidouk + clause + -(u)mu
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Bwidouk  ecech  ch-a-kli-mu]
like  they.MIX  3PL.MIX.SUBJ-REAL-say-like
‘Like they said’ (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 968)

Abkhaz
Pred-ra
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/agreement
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL - POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Ara  iz-g’a-zau-ra]         (Ø-)-iz-em-we-ye’t'
here  1SG-Poss-be-this-NMLZ  it-2PL-know-DYN-FIN
‘They know that I was here.’ (Hewitt 1979: 31)

[darg  rà-la     wac˚’ Čerkèssk’-qá  hà-it-ra]         (Ø-)já-s-i-o-ye’t'
them  them-by(instr) today Čerkessk-to  our-send-NMLZ  it-prev-1SG-be.surprised.at-FIN
‘I am surprised at their sending us to Čerkessk today.’ (Hewitt 1979: 31)

Pred-N.FIN
Remark: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
[d-zaa-wa]         (Ø-)zà-zi-r’a-wa-ye’t’.
he-come-DYN(N.FIN.PRS)  it-head.in-I-put-DYN-FIN(PRS)
‘I believe that he will come.’ (Hewitt 1987: 238)

REL.PRON + Pred-N.FIN
Remarks: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
The person affix that is used in independent clauses is replaced with one of two relative affixes. -y(ə) is used in stead of all person affix of the first declination, regardless of the person, class and number of the head noun, whereas -ɀ(ə) performs this function for all person affixes of declinations 2 and 3. (Hewitt 1987: 200)
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: Class agreement of REL.PRON
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
Examples:
Ref Mod:
\[
[\{g\}a^-\text{phs} \quad d\text{-}z\text{-}\text{tə}\quad a^-\text{xə} \quad d\text{-}a\text{-}\text{y}'\]
det-woman her-who(REL)-kill-N.FIN(PST.INDF) det-man he-come-FIN
'The man who killed the woman came.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)
\[
[r^-\text{c}'a^-\text{y}^-\text{s} \quad y\text{a^-q}'a^-\text{u} \quad a^-\text{phs} \quad d\text{-}\text{y}^-\text{dワ}-\text{wa}\text{-y}'
\]
teacher=ADV who(REL)-be-N.FIN the-woman her-he-know-DYN-FIN
'He knows the woman who is a teacher.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)
\[
[a^-\text{xə}'a \quad y\text{a^-bəf(kə^-)}^-\text{z} \quad a^-\text{h}^-\text{a} \quad (\emptyset)-z\text{-}\text{dワ}-\text{wa}\text{-y}'
\]
the-man whom(REL)-he-see-pl-N.FIN the-women them-I-know-DYN-FIN
'I know the women whom the man saw.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)
\[
\text{ś}-\text{Pred-N.FIN}
\]
Remark: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
\[
[\{c\}Ye^-\text{s}^-\text{w}^-\text{a}^-\text{s} \quad \text{y}^-\text{a}^-\text{xə}' \quad \text{q}'a^-\text{a}^-\text{c}'\quad \text{y}'
\]
it=ADV you-to-I-say-NFIN(PST.INDF) it=PREV-do.IMP
'Do it as I told you!' (Hewitt 1987: 120)

Polish
Pred-nie
Remark: Occurs with A-argument unexpressed under co-referentiality and P-argument SENT, but also with an A-argument oblique A and a P-argument POSS.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/agreement
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: OBL - POSS/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
\[
\text{Proszę o } [\text{zwoln}^-\text{nienie } z \text{ pracy } \text{ dwie } \text{ pracownice}]
\]
request:1sg about dismiss-NMLZ:LOC from work:GEN two:ACC woman:worker:ACC.PL
'I request the dismissal from work of the two women workers.' (Comrie 1976: 191)
\[
[kupowa^-\text{nienie } \text{ mies}^-\text{a } \text{ prez } \text{ Hanke}]
\]
buy-NMLZ meat:GEN by Hanika
'the buying of meat by Hanka' (Koptjevskaja-Tamm: 293)

Pred-INF (various forms)
Remark: There is a number of different infinitival endings:
\[-a\acute{c} \quad -\acute{a}c\]
\[-e\acute{c} \quad -\acute{a}c\]
\[-i\acute{c} \quad -\acute{c}\]
\[-i\acute{c} \quad -\acute{c}\]
\[-y\acute{c} \quad -\acute{u}wa\acute{c}\]
\[-u\acute{c} \quad -\acute{u}wa\acute{c}\]
\[-\acute{c} \quad -\acute{u}wa\acute{c}\]
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Ref Head:
\[
\text{Postanowiłem } [\text{kupić } \text{ dom}].
\]
I decided buy:INF house
'I decided to buy a house.' (Bielec 1998: 19)
**Pred-** (present active participle)
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, valency, person marking
Nominal categories: Adjectival agreement (number, gender, and case)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
Widzę  chłopą [stuchaj+c--go  radio]  
'I see a boy (who is) listening to the radio.' (Bielec 1998: 170)

**Pred-**/any/-ana/-ane (SG)/-anel/-an(P) (present passive participle)
Remarks: Used with imperfective verbs only. Can possibly be regarded as derived adjectives, since there are no overt arguments: The relativized item is gapped, and the demoted agent argument remains unexpressed.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, valency, person marking
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - Ø (gapping)

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
Odziaż [sprzedaw-ana]  tam  jest  tania.  
'Clothes (being) sold there are cheap.' (Bielec 1998: 171)

**Pred-PASS.PST.PTC** (various forms)
Remarks: There are several formation strategies, depending on the form of the infinitive.
This construction is used with perfective verbs only.
These participles can possibly be regarded as derived adjectives, since they take no overt arguments: the relativized item is gapped, and the demoted agent argument remains unexpressed.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, valency, person marking
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - Ø (gapping)

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
Mikołaj ma [złamaną]  nogę  
'Nicolas has a broken leg.' (Bielec 1998: 171)

**Pred-**/PRs.3dPL + -c (adverbial participle)
Remark: The (present) converb (called adverbial participle in Bielec 1998) is formed by adding the suffix -c to the 3rd person plural of the present tense.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, person marking
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**
Pred Mod
[Słuchaj+c]  ubratem  się  
'Listening to music, I got dressed.' (Bielec 1998: 71)

ze + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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**Example:**
Ref Head:
Myśle, [że ona jest mężatką].
‘I think that she is married.’ (Bielec 1998: 239)

**kktóry/która/które/co** (REL.PRON) + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: Adjectival declination of relative pronoun
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
Chata, [gdzie mieszkał,] była mała, [= w której]
Cottage [in which they lived] be small
‘The cottage in which they lived was small.’ (Bielec 1998: 155)

**Jak ( gdyby) + clause**
Functional distribution: Rig: PredMod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**
Pred Mod:
Agata spojrzała na mnie, [jak gdyby chciała o coś zapytać].
Agatha looked at me as if she wanted to say something,’ (Bielec 1998: 238)

**Burushaski**
Pred-(á)as (INF)
Remarks: Used as the complement of modal, phasal, desiderative, and manipulative predicates. With phasal and desiderative predicates, the dependent verb form it is declined like a regular noun. When combined with an inherent (dative, inessive, adessive, superessive or locative) case marker, this construction can be used as an adverbial simultaneity clause.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, + CASE also Pred Mod. ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Tense, mood and subject agreement are lost. Aspect and object-agreement are retained.
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT

**Examples:**
Ref Head:
but muškíl bilá [gí-šir-as]
very difficult be.IV 2-show-INF
‘It is very difficult to show (it) to you.’ (Anderson 2002: 545)

Ref Mod:
[Chá-aṭe oó-o-rv-as] bok
post-superess neg-sit-INF dog
‘a dog which doesn’t sit at its post’ (Anderson 2002: 545/Berger 1998: 171)

Pred Mod:
[šir- as]-ar
say-INF-dat
‘when he said’ (Anderson 2002: 547/Berger 1998: 190)

[du-ús-as]-ulb
subject.vers-come.out-INF-INESS
‘when he came out’ (Anderson 2002: 547/Berger 1998: 190)

Pred-im/-um/-am (aorist participle)
Remark: In combination with a dative, inessive, adessive or superessive (➔ privative) case marker this construction can be used as an adverbial simultaneity clause. The durative form of the aorist participle can also function adverbially, in combination with locative or superessive case, or with the comitative marker káa and the genitive case. These constructions seem to have ‘true’ manner semantics.
Examples:

Ref Mod:

\[ i-ŋ \quad burúm-man-úm \quad mapéer-an \]

he-heard white be(come)-aor.ptc elder-sg.art

'An old man with a white beard.' (Anderson 2002: 546 / Berger 1998: 166)

Pred Mod:

\[ yuliz \quad bay-a-m]-ulu \quad K’udé-če-re \quad duá \quad et-a-m \]

ill be-1-aor.ptc-INESS God-obl-dat prayer aux-tr-1-aor.ptc

'When I was ill I prayed to God.' (Anderson 2002: 549)

\[ së-ar-um]-ate \quad NEG-cry-aor.ptc-superess \]


Khos chigír-an-e \[ [i-súmal \quad phíphil \quad éc]-e \quad a-yár \quad man-im-I \]

This.III goat-sg.art-erg III-tail wag aux.tr.dur-aor.ptc-loc 1-side become-aor-III

'The goat came before me wagging its tail.' (Anderson 2002: 550/ Berger 1998: 171)

\[ dáró \quad ay-č-um-e \quad káa \quad girát-im-i \]

work NEG-aor.ptc-loc


n-Pred-(a)n (RDP)

Remark: This is the only primary converbal form, i.e. one that is not derived from another non-finite form by case-marking. It normally expresses anteriority but it may also express manner of action or an accompanying circumstance, in which case the conjunctive participle is often reduplicated.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: All retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT / Ø - SENT

Examples:

Pred Mod:

\[ Aya \quad máma \quad [já-ar \quad taklíiff \quad n-á-čí-n] \quad uyók-ko \quad u-yú-ar \]

father(erg) mother(erg) 1-dat trouble conv-1sg.io-give-conv big-pl 3cl.poss-son(pl).dat

's father and mother arranged good marriages for their big sons, giving me a lot of trouble.' (Tikkanen 1995: 502)

\[ Číya \quad n-čí-an \quad n-čí-an \quad wazíir-e \quad sén-im-i \]


'Going on talking and talking, the vizier spoke.' (Tikkanen 1995: 502)

Clause + ke/ki

Remark: This construction is borrowed from Persian/Urdu. It can be used as the object complement of utterance and cognition predicates (no example available), as a relative clause (no example available), and as a simultaneity clause.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref mod (Simultaneity clause) ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small/derived manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: All retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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Example simultaneity clause:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Zungating-} & \quad \text{girdím-} & \quad \text{astúr-} & \quad \text{íg-} \\
\text{village-ill} & \quad \text{flower-PL} & \quad \text{PREP-3CL.SG-} & \quad \text{3CL.SG} \\
\text{he} & \quad \text{ban} & \quad \text{dastúr-an} & \quad \text{b-ill-úm}
\end{align*}
\]

'She arrived at the village of Zungating, when the flowers came to the village of Zungating, there was a custom’ (Tikkanen 1998: 498)

Clause + -sén / -ét (QUOT)

Remark: The quotative marker takes the form of the anterior converb of the quotative verb sén- ‘to say’ or ét- ‘to do/to speak’. The construction can be used in Ref Head function for complements of utterance and cognition predicates. No examples.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Lavukaleve

Pred-e/-í

Remark: The so-called “Agreement Suffix” (which is lost in this construction) marks gender and number of a core argument (which argument that is depends on various factors, such as focus). The possessive paradigm is identical to the verbal subject prefix paradigm except for one form: the first person singular subject prefix is a-, while the possessive form is nga-. However, the fact that nominalizations take a- is taken as evidence that the other forms are also subject prefixes rather than possessive markers. The construction can take a determiner and can also be dependent on a postposition.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no Agreement Suffix
Nominal categories: (CASE / DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Ngai} & \quad \text{[nga-bó'rea} & \quad \text{la} & \quad \text{o-} & \quad \text{ma-e]}
\end{align*}
\]

1sg 1sg.Poss-arrow sg.FEM.ART 3sg.FEM.OBJ-take-NMLZ
e-lili-re 3sg.neut.obj want-N.FIN just just 1sg.subj-exist

‘No! I just want to take my arrow.’ (Terrill 2003: 352)

Clause + AGR + DET

Remark: The Agreement Suffix is obligatorily used on the (final) dependent predicate to cross-reference the head of the construction, which can be the subject, the object, or a postpositional object. Relative clauses are internally headed.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: DET (+ adjectival gender and number agreement)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Mod:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[Ali} & \quad \text{nga-fó'sal} & \quad \text{a-u-m} & \quad \text{na}] & \quad \text{a-le-m} & \quad \text{fin.}
\end{align*}
\]

man 1sg.Poss-fish 3sg.MASC.OBJ-eat-SG.MASC ART 1sg.SUBJ-see-SG.MASC 3sg.MASC.FOC

‘I saw the man who ate my fish.’ (Terrill 2003: 442)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{[Ali} & \quad \text{a-} & \quad \text{so-oko-ne} & \quad \text{a-ngooa-m} & \quad \text{na}] & \quad \text{o-foe}
\end{align*}
\]

man 3sg.MASC.OBJ-in RDP-laugh-IPFV 1sg.SUBJ-stay-SG.MASC SG.MASC.ART 3sg.Poss-pig

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{na} & \quad \text{o-lufu-m}
\end{align*}
\]

sg.MASC.ART 3sg.SUBJ-leave-SG.MASC

‘The man who I laughed at had lost his pig.’ (Terrill 2003: 448)

Alamblak

Pred-nef

Remark: The subject of intransitives may be incorporated or POSS. With transitive predicates, either the subject or the object can be POSS.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (ALT-SENT)
Verbal categories: No agreement (actor and undergoer)
Nominal categories: Gender and number marking on predicate
Argument encoding: INC/POSS/SENT - SENT/POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
Yifem pëthawonmëanr nan-bo yi-nef-t
father talk.try.I.him [I-GEN go-nmlz-3sg.fem]
‘I tried talking to Father (about) my going.’ (Bruce 1984: 124)

Yifem pëthawonmëanr [vir-bo yak-ni-nef-t na]
father talk.try.I.him he-GEN get-go-nmlz-3sg.fem me
‘I tried talking to Father (about) his taking me.’ (Bruce 1984: 125)

abjërafëwahn [yima-m bupa-r-oh yak-nef-t]
do.not.talk.forbid.you person-3pl water-3-gen.pl get-nmlz-3sf.fem
‘Don’t forbid the men(s) getting of water.’ (Bruce: 125)

Pred-/kfët (INF)
Remark: Unlike the nominalization in -nef, the infinitive does not take gender/number markers.
Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (ALT-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/agreement (actor and undergoer)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: INC/POSS - INC/POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
Na iñji wañf ɨ nahmëanr [baripat rhu-haku-t]
1sg thus hear.arrive.rem.pst.1sg.3sg.fem lake.only remain-always-INF
‘Thus I have heard it up to now (that) it is always only a lake.’ (Bruce 1984: 284)

nanbo warat kfiwst [yamkop ɨ ntho nayay-kfët], nayayrhwa
1sg.gen foot speak.prs.3sg.fem Amongabi.gen come-INF come.fut.1sg
‘(if/when) my foot speaks (= when I like) to come to Amongabi, I will come.’ (Bruce 1984: 284)

(ɨnd –Pred) + clause
Remark: Relative clauses are optionally marked with ɨnd, but mostly unmarked. The function of the head
noun is not marked, except when a possessor is relativized.
Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Aspect and mood are retained; agreement (subject and object) is lost
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[met-t maroba-hayme] yima-r
woman-3sg.fem money-gave person-3sg.masc
‘a man (who) gave money to a woman / a man (to) whom a woman gave money’ (Bruce 1984: 106)

[Ø na yawyr ɨnd-tat-më] mnj-t
- I dog dem-hit-rem.pst stick-3sg.fem
’a stick (with) which I hit a dog’ (Bruce 1984: 111)

[Ø yimar kuit bingmu-mê-r-be] met-t
- man house build-rem.pst-3sg.masc-gen woman-3sg.fem
‘a woman whose man built a house’ (Bruce 1984: 111)

ɨnd habbi kmi [na ɨnd-kfëmî-t]
dem small place I rel-said-3sg.fem
‘the small place (about) which I spoke’ (Bruce 1984: 108)

Pipil
ka(h) + clause
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (occasionally) ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT
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Examples:

Ref Head:

ya klaroh k-ia-k [kab wici ne siua-t],
he clear it-see-pret comp come the woman-abs

‘He saw clearly that the woman (was) coming.’ (Campbell 1985: 126)

teu ki-muti katka [ka ne i-siua-w se bruchah],
neg it-know before comp his-wife-poss a witch

‘(He) didn’t know before that his wife (was) a witch.’ (Campbell 1985: 126)

Ref Mod:

Ni-k-miktih ne mistun [ka ki-kwah ne tuctu-t],
I-it-killed the cat rel it-ate the bird

‘I killed the cat which ate the bird.’ (Campbell 1985: 129)

kunih absi-ke-t i-chan ne ta:ka-t [kab ki-kutamin-ki],
then arrive-pret-pl his-house the man-abs rel it-throw-pret

‘Then they arrived (at) the house of the man who threw it down.’ (Campbell 1985: 129)

ne (REL. PRON) + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Mod:

Naha ni-k-ita-k ne siua-t [ne ki-pa:k ne kwah-kwa:ch-ti],
I I-see-her the woman-abs rel it-washed the pl-clothes

‘I saw the woman who washed the clothes.’ (Campbell 1985: 129)

ke (REL) + clause

Remark: This construction is borrowed from Spanish (que).

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Mod:

Kunih ne taka-t [ke ki-kutamin] k-its-ki ne chumpipi k-wi:ka ka i-chan,
then the man-abs rel it-throw it-grab-pret the turkey it-take to his-house

‘Then the man who threw it down grabbed the turkey (and) took it to his house.’ (Campbell 198: 129)

ken-aken (‘just as’) + clause

Remark: This construction has simulative semantics.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Pred Mod:

Ah, ni-paxa:lwa [ken-aken taba ti-kiv-tak ti-paxa:lwa],
Oh I-walk just-as you you-leave-pfv you-walk

‘Oh, I’m taking a walk just as you have come out to take a walk.’ (Campbell 1985: 289)
Example:

Pred Mod:

Kemen yaha yavvi katka dereāō ne kayēh, yah kiski i-xpan siwa:nawat
when he go before straight the street then leave-pret his-before Siganaba
‘When he was going (down) the street, he came out in front of (the) Shaunaba.’ (Campbell 1985: 131)

Wambron

‘-e (CONN) + clause’

Remark: The connector ‘-e’ links all preverbal NPs, irrespective of their functional or structural specification, so this includes object clauses.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT/Ø - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:

Keno beta-khe-n-[e] lassa-trmpo…
and see-3sg.prs-tr-CONN trap-3sg.pst
‘And he saw that he had trapped it (…)’ (De Vries 1986: 29)

‘-a + clause’-o

Remark: The suffix ‘-a’ links all types of pre-nominal modifiers to the head noun, including relative clauses, which are additionally marked by the relativizer ‘-o’, which delineates the relative clause by cliticizing to its first constituent. Relative clauses can also be head-final. If that case, the construction is not pre-nominal, so the connector ‘-o’ does not appear.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø/SENT - Ø/SENT

Examples:

Ref Mod:

Nuk [a-y a temke-n-a] kev-e beta-khe-n-ndrep
I pig-rel shoot-3sg.prs-tr-CONN man-CONN see-NEG-1sg.prs
‘I do not see the man who shoots the pig.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 56)

[Aliv-a ndu-n-e takhima-lepo-n-eve] seteleg-khe
yesterday-rel sago-tr-CONN buy-1sg.pst-tr-top delicious-superl-3sg.prs
‘The sago I bought yesterday is delicious.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 57)

Pred stem-mo

Remark: This is a “medial verb form”, which takes switch-reference marking for same subject (SS). The interpretation is temporally neutral (but conceptually close).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, mood, and subject agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:

Pred Mod:

Jakhov-e [matet-mo] ka-lembom
they-conn good-ss go-3pl.pst
‘Did they travel without problems?’ (lit.: ‘Did they go well?”) (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 19)

Pred-o

Remark: This is also a “medial verb form” with same-subject switch reference marking. The interpretation is not temporally neutral: it expresses simultaneity.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, mood, and subject agreement. Transitivity markers can be retained.
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)
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Example:
Pred Mod: 
Jakbes-e
[ko-n-o] nggeom li-knde
they-conn go-TRSS song sing-3PL-FRS
‘While travelling they are singing.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992:20)

clause-ka
Remark: The suffix -ka (and its allomorphs) is a circumstantial suffix that also occurs with inanimate NPs that specify the circumstances of the event denoted by the verb, including time, location, instrument, and manner. Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
[Nukh-e ande-lepo-ngga] ev-o kav-e nde-tmbo
I-conn cut-1SG.PST-ADV that-conn man-conn come-3SG.PST
‘When I ate, that man came.’ (de Vries 1986: 41)

Dhaasanac
Clause (+DEM) + DET
Remarks: Used for the complements of desiderative and perception predicates, and for all types of relative clauses. With subject relative clauses the subject is repeated in the matrix clause with a pronoun. The construction further takes the determiner ka or its clitic form =a; in the Ref Mod function often preceded by a deictic.
There is only one difference with independent clauses: for constructions in non-past tense, imperfective aspect is excluded; instead, the dependent form must be used.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, (small) adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: Ø / SENT - Ø / SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Yú [kúun kosolonni=a] ku wargi
I you laugh.pfv=DET you.obj see.pfv
I saw that you were laughing.’ (Tosco 2001: 287)

yú [kúun hi foida=a] fedê
I [you 3(verb pron) open.dep=DET want.pfv
‘I want you to open it.’ (Tosco 2001: 288)

Ref Mod:
Máa [ɗu ɗu tòa=tí=a] ye ñôg
Man trees dig.RDP.DEF=that=DET 3.SUBJ me know
‘That man who is digging trees (he) knows me.’ (Tosco 2001: 283)

Pred Mod:
Yú [ɗu gfi ká] máa pigep=attia du seôl
I cattle have.pfv DET person young=that=DET upon go.pfv
‘I, having the cattle, went to the boy.’ (2001: 286)

Pred-ɲo/-an
Remarks: The suffix -ɲ is used for the basic and causative/factive paradigms, -an for the middle and inchoative paradigms. The dependent predicate has feminine nominal gender.
The object is unmarked (noun-stripping).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: Tense and aspect are lost
Nominal categories: (DET), gender marking
Argument encoding: Ø/POSS - unmarked

Examples:
Ref Head:
[rûh mümûr-ɲan] bye beyî
sorghum cut.RDP.M-NMLZ 3SUBJ finish.pfv
‘The harvesting of the sorghum is finished.’ (Tosco 2001: 121)
Yú [ʔáŋ ɡáli-ɲ ɕí]-a ʃed:  
I animal skin-NNLZ 1.POSS=DET want.IPV
'I want to skin the animal myself.' (lit. 'I want my animal skinning.') (Tosco 2001: 121)

**Berbice Dutch Creole**

(fu/fi) + deranked clause

Remark: The complementizer can be deleted.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No TAM

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:

Eke  timi  [Ø] tan  hangoŋ  ka  
1sg be.able stand long NEG

'I am not able to stand up for very long.' (Kouwenberg 1994: 249)

Governor  ma  suk-a  
Governor  irr want-IPV comp know how.FOC 2sg get-IPV.1sg

'The governor will want to know how you got me.' (Kouwenberg 1994: 249)

(bi(fi)/(QUOT) (+ datl) (COMP) + clause

Remark: The complementizer can be deleted. Dati-clauses can also be preceded by the quotative marker bi(fi) (from the verb for 'to say'), resulting in a 'double' complementizer sequence.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:

Bat  now  eke  boro  [dat-o  mw-a]  
but now 1sg hear comp-3sg go-IPV

'But now I hear that he is leaving.' (Kouwenberg 1994:244)

Bateni  nim-te  
but.3pl acquire.knowledge.PPV comp-1sg irr come-Mona-LOC

'But they knew that I would come to Mona’s.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 241)

Eke  pan-te  ju  [date  ju  mu  bifi  ka]  
1sg tell-PPV 2sg comp 2sg must speak NEG

'I told you that you must not talk.' (Kouwenberg 1994: 238)

Ek  glof  ka  [(Ø) o  nin-te  masu]  
1sg believe NEG 3sg know-PPV much

'I don’t believe he knows much.' (Kouwenberg 1994: 242)

Eni  pama-te-ke  [bifi  date-ke  ma  mu  danga  ka]  
3pl tell-PPV.1sg QUOT comp-1sg irr go there NEG

'They told me that I should not go there.' (Kouwenberg 1994: 238)

(Wh-word) + clause:

Remark: The Wh-element can be deleted (only in restrictive clauses).

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = (adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:

Ref Mod:

Di  jigu  manj-up  [swat  fol  me  hari]  
the big-big man PL what full with hair

'the giants that are covered with hair' (Kouwenberg 1994: 268)
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Bari

**lāa** + clause:
Remark: The subject of the matrix clause is repeated in the dependent clause as a logophoric pronoun.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**
Ref Head:
Ləmbì ɡì [lāa ɣi ʒədəsi]
Lambi say-pfv that he-l-pron be.sick.progr
‘Lambi said that he was sick.’ (Schaub 1985: 31)

**fáŋ/yúu + clause**
Remarks: In Ref Mod function, if the head noun is the subject of the main clause, it may be reintroduced into the matrix clause with a pronoun after the relative clause, or the head noun may be repeated together with an anaphoric demonstrative after the relative clause (especially after ‘heavy’ ones). If the relativized item is an instrumental, locative, or time adverbial, a resumptive pronoun is obligatory. In subject and object clauses resumptive pronouns are also possible, but usually not expressed.

In Pred Mod function, the construction has simulative semantics.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Mod, Pred Mod (simulative) ≠ PoS (small/derived adjectives, small manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT / Ø - SENT / Ø (gapping)

**Examples:**
Ref Mod:
ŋwə́ sɔ̀ ɔ̀ sɔ̀ [fáŋŋəu (guɔɬ) shəw ŋgi yì]
I see.pfv person that REL he steal.pfv fowl your
‘I have seen the man who has stolen your fowl.’ (Schaub 1985: 32)

Pred Mod:
ɡwə́ sɔ̀ sɔ̀ ɔ̀ [fáŋ nəbi ʃi nāyə ɡi ɡwɔ]
she plant.pfv corn adv mother her tell.pfv to her
‘She has planted the corn like her mother told her.’ (Schaub 1985: 39)

**kī(i)/Ø + clause**
Remark: There are two types of these “simultaneous aspect constructions”: One relating to a preceding verb (anaphoric), and the other relating to a following verb (kataphoric). With stative verbs the anaphoric construction is marked by the simultaneity particle kī. With other verbs, there is no overt coding. In both cases, the verb following the particle can only be in the imperfective aspect. The other type (kataphoric) is used when event B occurs while A is still in process. It is marked by the marker kii in the first verb phrase, which expresses the event in process. The verb with which the marker kii occurs, is in the imperfective aspect, while the verb of the following clause is in the perfective aspect.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Restricted aspect

---

**Di** ɓwai, di en [wari wa siki,] ori ɗo-te esti
The leg the one what pst ill, 3sg die-pfv first
The leg, the one that was ill, it became numb first.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 271)

**Di** sem jermatoko [(Ø) che ɗo-te ju abu]
the same woman.child 1sg tell-pfv 2sg about
‘the same girl I told you about’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 268)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT

Examples:
Pred Mod:
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT

Examples:
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT

Examples:
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT
dependent clause. Tense cannot be expressed in this variant (but aspect is retained).
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No declarative marker, restricted tense/aspect
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Examples:

Pred Mod:
\[ \text{rá-sé-} p \text{ ke kó pó} \]
joying-ADV he left
‘Rejoicing, he left.’ (Hagman 1974: 244)

\[ \text{kó-kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó kó} \]
the-prisoners were transported bound with chains ADV (Hagman 1974: 246)

Hdi

Clause + ká (+ low tone subject pronouns)
Remark: All subject pronouns following the complementizer, except the 3rd person singular a have low tone, whereas subject pronouns in independent clauses, except for the 3rd person plural, have high tone.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (special subject forms)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:
\[ \text{mít-á ráyá Mítaca ká} \]
man-gen hunt Mítsa say-ref
‘Mítsa said that Mítsa is a hunter.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 422)

\[ \text{sná-n-sn-á} \]
hear-3-hear obj
‘I heard them slaughter cattle.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 470) (lit. I heard their slaughtering the cow’s neck?)

Ref Mod:
\[ \text{dé-n-á ráyá xán} \]
PST want-PO-3PL OBJ leave-GEN-1PL.INCL
“They wanted us to leave.’ (lit. They wanted our leaving.) (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 480)

\[ \text{gí-ríy-zá} \]
hear-3-hear OBJ slaughter-PO-3PL.POSS OBJ neck-GEN cow
‘I heard them slaughter cattle.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 470) (lit. I heard their slaughtering the cow’s neck?)

Ref Mod:
\[ \text{hó-ri-rí} \]
hole COM go-DGO-REF assoc in ground
‘a hole that went deep into the ground’

\[ \text{mít-á } \text{mít-á mít-á mít-á mít-á mít-á} \]
east certain chief COM take-up-REF wife two
‘There was once a chief who married two wifes.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 470 406)

tá (IPFV) + verbal root form (+ ka (COMP)-subject pronoun)
Remark: The predicate takes dependent aspect marking, but is otherwise balanced.
This construction is used for (imperfective) object relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (dependent imperfective aspect)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:
Wúyá s kwì [tà klá-ghá-tà-ŋnì, kà-xàŋ]
here thing ipfv take-2sg-ref-1pl.excl comp-3pl
‘Here is the thing that we give you.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 409)

tà (IPFV) + clause with nominalized verb

Remark: Used for subject relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Only imperfective aspect retained, no other affixes indicating role/number of argument(s).
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:
Màmú ts mə́k-xà ɗérí [tà irí ndà tsì]
exist enemy-pl:gen Kderi ipfv envy assoc 3sg
‘There were enemies of Kderi who envied him.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 404)

Pred-a (dependent perfective aspect):

Remark: Used for (perfective) object relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (dependent perfective aspect)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:
Gítà kàm ná dzá’á plá-ghá-m-plá-ŋní tá dá-ghá [dzá’á]
today then dem fut return-2sg-in-return-1pl.excl obj father-2sg kill:dep.pfv-3pl
Today, we will avenge your father whom they have killed. (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 410)

Mandarin Chinese

Unmarked clause

Remarks: In Ref Mod function, the relativized element is gapped; in Pred Mod function it can be left unexpressed under co-referentiality.

In Ref Mod function, this construction is called a "descriptive clause". It is semantically similar to a relative clause marked with de (see below), although according to Li & Thompson it constitutes a separate assertion: “Semantically, a descriptive clause simply adds another assertion to the first one. A relative clause, on the other hand, is a part of the noun phrase naming the item in question, and as such expresses a pre-established class of items with the property it names.” (Li & Thompson 1981: 618)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small adjectives, small manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping/co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Wõ pànwàng [nǐ kuài yìdiàn biye] I hope you soon a.little graduate
‘I hope you’ll graduate a bit sooner.’ (Li & Thompson 1981: 599)

Ref Mod:
wó mái-le yì-jiàn yìfu [tài dà]
I buy-perf one-cl outfit too big
‘I bought an outfit that turned out to be too big.’ (Li & Thompson 1981: 614)
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Examples:

**Pred Mod:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They use their hands.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He has a younger sister who likes to see movies.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 611)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They eat with their hands.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The money we won today goes to pay the rent.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 581)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You run very quickly.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 625)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The small dog ran away wagging its tail.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He goes to class barefooted.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pred-zhe (DUR)**

Remarks: The durative aspect marker -zhe can be used in the first of two clauses to signal that one event provides a durative background for another event.

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The small dog ran away wagging its tail.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 223)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tamil**

**Pred-atu**

Remark: With locative case or postposition poolsa 'like' the construction can be used in Pred Mod function.

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I saw the thief taking the jewels.</td>
<td>(Asher 1982: 20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pred Mod:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>They use their hands.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He has a younger sister who likes to see movies.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 611)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>They eat with their hands.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 597)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The money we won today goes to pay the rent.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 581)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>You run very quickly.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 625)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The small dog ran away wagging its tail.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 223)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>He goes to class barefooted.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 23)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pred-zhe (DUR)**

Remarks: The durative aspect marker -zhe can be used in the first of two clauses to signal that one event provides a durative background for another event.

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The small dog ran away wagging its tail.</td>
<td>(Li &amp; Thompson 1981: 223)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tamil**

**Pred-atu**

Remark: With locative case or postposition poolsa 'like' the construction can be used in Pred Mod function.

Examples:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sentence</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I saw the thief taking the jewels.</td>
<td>(Asher 1982: 20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The children were busy building a sand-house on the beach.' (Asher 1982: 21)

"My wife makes coffee just as my mother makes it." (Asher 1982: 48)

"Raman told me to come to his house." (Asher 1982: 22)

"the washerman whom the carpenter beat" (Asher 1982: 28)

"the washerman to whom the carpenter gave money" (Asher 1982: 228)

"the spoon with which elder sister gave rice to younger sister" (Asher 1982: 228)

"They say and it's no lie, that your people are your people." (Childs 1995: 278)

"He really thinks that if he cries he will be free." (Childs 1995: 280)

"You should tell him (that) he should come quickly!" (Childs 1995: 281)
Unmarked deranked clause:
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:
í ló [kisi pèòkisi].
I stay Kisi study
'I continued to study Kisi.' (Childs 1995: 281)

ò dèmál [sàá wàlló tòsàl] kpìì
he fail Saa work do ideoph
'He failed completely to do the work for Saa.' (Childs 1995: 281)

Noun class pronoun + clause (+ RSP) + noun class suffix
Remarks: The head noun loses its noun class suffix, which is supplanted by a noun class pronoun (unless the noun belongs to the o-class) and the noun class suffix appears at the end of the relative clause. The relativized item is gapped, but when the syntactic role of the head noun is oblique, or when the head noun is distant, a resumptive pronoun can appear in the relative clause.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
mèng mà [è kòf]-àìg mà nìg lè
water pron he drink-suff pron good neg
'The water that he drank wasn't good.' (Childs 1995: 286)
When the head noun belongs to the o-class, there is no pronoun, only a suffix:
Wàndà [tòff ciòg]-ò bù có lè hùnà
person inspect towns-suff pron aux again come
'The person who inspects towns will return.' (Childs 1995: 286)

Wàlì [ò có bòò tòsà]-ò tɔmbà ndùò ke nìm tòòlùlá
work you aux rsp do-suff Tamba he-IPFV give you support
'Did Tamba give you any help with this work that you were doing?' (Childs 1995: 288)

Yàú wíl è [yá póó ɲál núm kó ńgó ɲó pùùlùéí n ǐŋ á ǔ Kìsìé n ǐŋ]-ò i tòsà
letter long [I write you that to English in and to Kisi in]-suff I make
hùsùpéò ő piìtè kèzètètè lè ndùò cií pòòhùnà
diu hour pron one ideoph for rsp finish write
'The long letter that I wrote to you in English and Kisi, it took me a whole hour to finish writing it.'
(Childs 1995: 290)

NUNG
Unmarked clause
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small adjectives, no adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping/co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Mùálì [ćiàng sììng vam] dììy lè
you speak two sentences be.able all
'You can speak both sentences.' (Saul & Wilson 1980: 55)

lèò múnhn ca tòp sììng [bebè vai]
then he about again desire do king
'Then he wanted to be king again.' (Saul & Wilson 1980: 52)
Then they saw that there was much gold.' (Saul & Wilson 1980: 111)

Mu’hn və [pi kəo mu’hn ma]
he say older brother his come

‘He said that his older brother came.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 115)

Ref Mod:
mu’hn tə̀ pong pə̀n tə̀ də̀rə̀-lə̀ [də̀rə̀-lə̀ lə̀]
she again become like cl. child-girl good-girl much

‘She then became a very pretty girl.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 33)

Pred Mod:
Tú mà páy [chàhm lə̀]
cl. horse go slow very

‘The horse goes very slowly.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 95)

Mu’hn càng cò [heng lə̀] cá və̀hn
He speak story strong very all day

‘He spoke loudly all day.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 95)

(REL) + gapped clause + DEM/FOC
Remarks: Relative clauses are formed with a demonstrative or a focus marker or both added to the end of the clause and/or a relativizer at the beginning of the clause. When the function of subject/source/locative-directional is relativized, the relativizer is optional. When the object is relativized, the DEM/FOC marking is optional. When the indirect object/beneficiary are relativized, the marker hə̀ ‘to, for’ is added at the end of the relative clause. With all types of relative clauses, an anaphoric mu’hn is often inserted before the main predicate.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Lèo wàng [khi bè tê] chibn də̀ tə̀ bè hía’ kə̀ Hong [khi mà tê]
Then boy ride goat DEM then take cl. goat give man Hong ride horse DEM

‘Then that boy riding the goat gave the goat to that man Hongh riding the horse.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 16)

kə̀ [tî-və̀ tə̀c cə̀m thɨ̀nh tề ni]
man REL lost cl. stone DEM FOC

‘The man who had lost the stone.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 78)

Âhn bə̀n [cə̀u-ní-ə̀-kboi ni]
cl. house I.run.out DEM

‘The house (that) I was running from.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 80)

kə̀ [tî-və̀ cə̀u də̀ sə̀ slú’ hə̀’mi] mu’hn
man REL I.gave cl. book to FOC he

‘The man I have the book to, he …’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 79)

Ông kə̀ [céu slú’ âhn tə̀ hía’ ni]
cl. man I.bought cl. hat for FOC

‘The man for whom I bought the hat.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 79)

kə̀t + clause
Remark: This construction is a simultaneity clause.
The subject is expressed in both the matrix and the dependent clause.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø
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Example:

Pred Mod:
Lēo [mu\'hn băt băhn čăh sl̩'] mu\'hn lào lài then he when see cl. tiger he fear much
‘Then when he saw the tiger he was very afraid.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 110).

Garo
Clause + in-e
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Pir-ga-cha song ni-to-a in-e] ang-a chanchi-a
Pirgacha village beautiful-neut COMP I-NOM think-neut
‘I think Pirgacha village is beautiful.’ (Burling 2004: 318)

[Bi-a sok-ba-ku-ja in-e] ang-a chanchia
he-nom arrive-here-not-yet COMP I-NOM believe
‘I believe that he has not yet arrived here.’ (Burling 2004: 319)

Pred-a
Remark: With the suffix git-a ‘like’, this construction can be used as a simulative clause.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head (+ extra suffix also simulative) = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
ang-a [bi-ni giit ring-\-a]-ko kin-a-a
I-nom he-gen song sing-nmlz-acc hear-neut
‘I hear him singing songs.’ (Burling 2004: 294)

Simulative:
Jal dong-kan, [bi-ni ha-\-rik-a-git\-a].
whatever be.at-Imp he-gen wish-nmlz-like
‘Let (him) be according to his wishes.’ (Burling 2004: 297)

Pred-a-ni
Remark: Even though the suffix –ni is homophonous with the genitive case marker, the construction
takes (a second) case-marker according to function.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: Ø - Ø?

Example:
Ref Head:
[agen-a-ni]-ko seng-ja, an-tang dra-emia kam-ko dak-a
talk-nmlz-gen-acc wait-NEG own force-ADV work-acc do-neut
‘Not waiting for talk (instructions), (he) does the work forcefully himself.’ (Burling 2004: 296)

Pred-na (INF) (+ in-e)
Remark: Used as the same-subject complement of modals, desideratives and predicates of achievement and
emotion. With achievement and emotion predicates, the complementizer in-e can optionally be added.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT (co-referentiality)
Examples:
Ref Head:
Ang-a  [mi cha-na] man-a
I-nom rice eat-inf can-neut
'I can eat rice.' (Burling 2004: 320)

Bi-a  [kat-ang-na] ba-sik-ing-a
he-nom run-away-inf want-progr-neut
'He wants to run away.' (Burling 2004: 320)

Pred-kan/ka-na (IMP-INF) (+ in-e)
Remark: -ka is the 3rd person imperative suffix, used here as a subordinating suffix with manipulative predicates. The complementizer in-e is optional with this construction.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Ref Head:
Nang-ko  [boi bre-kan-na (in-e)] ang-a hit-a
you-acc book buy-comp comp I-nom order
'I order you to buy a book.' (Burling 2004: 322)

Pred-gip-a
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 3 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: Case agreement
Argument encoding: Ø/POSS - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[neh bok] pi-sa-ko nik-gip-a  me-tra
house-loc child-acc see-ptc woman
'the woman who saw the child at the house.' (Burling 2004: 301)

[me/chik-ni skang-o den-gip-a a-bol]
women-gen previously-loc cut-ptc firewood
'firewood that the women chopped previously' (Burling 2004: 299)

Pred-e/-e-ning/-e-min-a
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Pred Mod:
Na-tak-ko  [nom-e]  [ni-e]  cha-ja-ad-de, (…)
fish-acc good-conv watch-conv eat-neg-if
'If you don't watch out well when eating the fish, (…)' (Burling 2004: 314)
lit.: 'If you don't eat the fish in a good and watchful manner, …'

[Klemen-ko rim-jol-e] ba-ti-cha re-ang-a
Clement-acc take-along-conv market-loc go-neut
'(I) go to the market, taking Clement along.' (Burling 2004: 315)
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**Krongo**

** kì̀ (QUOT) - tìŋ + clause**

**Remark:** This construction is used only with utterance verbs.

The quotative marker is part of the matrix clause; the particle tìŋ is suffixed to the first word of the dependent clause.

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head:

\[
g-áa \quad \linewidth{0.37cm} \quad \text{Láwáala tó-} \quad \text{áná} \quad \text{áni}
\]

\[
\text{conn:masc-cop} \quad \text{Lúwáalà inf:} \quad \text{say-tr} \quad \text{dat:3.pl} \quad \text{QUOT}
\]

\[
[k-óc-ììì-\text{tìŋ} \quad \text{ùay} \quad \text{fókkìrì} \quad \text{g-àdélà}] \quad \text{pl-fst-make-prt} \quad \text{you.pl} \quad \text{plan} \quad \text{conn:masc-} \quad \text{ipfv:good}
\]

't-And Luwaala says to them, that they have made a good plan.' (Reh 1985: 354)

**f-)Pred ("nominalization/infinitive")**

**Remark:** For class II verbs, the nominalization and the infinitive have the same form; for class I verbs, the infinitive is formed without the prefix.

The construction (NMLZ and INF) takes case marking when not in object function (the accusative is zero-marked). Infinitives in object function with subject-to-object-raising are locative case-marked. When an overt subject is a full noun, the subject takes a possessive prefix. If not, it is expressed by a dependent person suffix.

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 3 (D-ALT)

**Verbal categories:** No agreement/mood, tense, aspect and valency/voice are retained

**Nominal categories:** CASE

**Argument encoding:** POSS - SENT/Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

\[
n-áttàsà \quad \text{à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ} \quad [\text{t-óshó-ókò-} \quad \text{tù} \quad \text{άamà à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ}]
\]

\[
1 / 2- \quad \text{impf:want} \quad \text{I} \quad \text{nmlz-} \quad \text{ipfv:} \quad \text{cook-} \quad \text{ben-tr} \quad \text{2.sg(dep)}
\]

'1/2-I wanted you to cook something for me.' (I wanted your cooking something for me.) (Reh 1985: 333)

\[
n-áttàsà \quad \text{à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ} \quad [\text{t-imúnô} \quad \text{kà-} \quad \text{Sárrà à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ}]
\]

'1/2-Impfv:want I nmlz-ipv:help poss-Sarah me

'I want Sarah to help me.' (lit. I wanted Sarah's helping me.) (Reh 1985: 333)

\[
\text{Ácáamá} \quad \text{ŋ} \quad \text{káaw à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ} \quad [\text{má-} \quad \text{t-ákákà-} \quad \text{ttí} \quad \text{kí-cáaw à} \quad \text{Tábáa}]
\]

\[
masc:ipfv:speak-ben person dat:1 gen-nmlz-fut-3sg(dep) loc-inf:go dat:Tabanya
\]

'The man says to me that he will go to Tabanya.' (lit. The man speaks me of his (future) going to Tabanya.) (Reh 1985: 334)

\[
n-áttàsà \quad \text{à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ} \quad [\text{akú úudà}]
\]

'1/2-Impfv:want I inf:ch meat 1/2-Impfv:want I

'I want to eat meat.' (Reh 1985: 335)

\[
\text{ù} \quad \text{ʔù} \quad \text{àŋ} \quad [\text{k-áaláañ} \quad \text{à} \quad \text{ʔàŋ} \quad \text{kí-nìinò} \quad \text{mó-di}]
\]

you(acc) loc-inf:teach me loc-language gen-homeland

'I want you to learn me Krongo.' (Reh 1985: 335)

**CONN-Pred (+ RSP)**

**Remarks:** The construction is marked by a connector-prefix, which is fused with morphemes encoding agreement.

In Ref Mod function, if the relativized item is not the subject of the DC, an anaphoric pronoun is used, which takes case according to function.

In Pred Mod function, the DC obligatorily has imperfective aspect.

**Functional distribution:** Flex: Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives, small mAdverbs)

**Structural type:** 2/3 (D-SENT/D-ALT)

**Verbal categories:** No agreement/mood, tense, aspect and valency/voice are retained

**Nominal categories:** Gender and number agreement (+ case agreement of RSP)

**Argument encoding:** POSS - SENT/Ø - SENT
Examples:
Ref Mod:

káaw [m-íísò]
‘the woman, who walks,’ ‘the walking woman’ (Reh 1985)

n-úllà à àng t-àndi [n-úufò ng-nìimò kàti]
1 / 2-impf:love I loc-sc-clothes conn:neut-sew-tr poss-mother my
‘I love the dress that my mother is sewing.’ (Reh 1985:256)

Káaw [m-àasàlàà tì́ àakù]
‘the woman that I looked at.’ (Reh 1985)

nóoni à àng káaw [ŋ-áfàrà kò-níimò kàti m-iīŋ]
know 1 sg(conn):cry poss mother my
‘I know the man about whom my mother cries.’ (Reh 1985: 258)

Pred Mod:

n-óocó-óní à àng [ŋ-ásà ŋkí-tùlùnkwáaná]
1 / 2-impf:laugh-dtr I conn:neut-neg have loc-joy
‘I laugh joylessly.’ (Reh 1985: 302)

Hixkaryana

Pred-n-ɨ/ th-ɨ/ h-ɨ to + -rɨ
Remarks: The construction is formed with the nominalizer-nɨ, followed by the inflectional suffix -rɨ ’possessed item’, glossed as POSSD. For past tense, -nɨ is replaced by -thɨ; for negation, the form -hɨ- is used.

The arguments are marked ergatively: the first argument of a transitive verb (A) is marked by a postpositional phrase with wya (‘to, by’, normally the indirect object marker). The only argument of an intransitive (S) and the second argument of a transitive verb (P) are marked as possessives. Since Hixkaryana is head-marking, the possessive appears on the predicate.

In combination with extra elements, the nominalization construction is used in adverbial functions. The relevant elements are the “de-nominalizing relator” me for manner, and the postposition wyaro ‘like’ for simile constructions.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head (+ extra element me, wyaro ‘like’ also Pred Mod) = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-AL T)

Verbal categories: Aspect is lost; 3 out of 7 tense distinctions (non-past, simple past, remote past) are retained.
Nominal categories: Possessive prefixes
Argument encoding: POSS - OBL

Examples:
Ref Head:

ra-wanota-nɨ-ri
1.poss-sing-NMLZ-POSD
‘my singing’ (Derbyshire 1979: 165)

Amna-y-omok- th-ɨ-ri
1-3.poss-come-PST.NMLZ-POSSD
‘our coming (in the past)’ (Derbyshire 1979: 165)

i-ni-ki-bti-ri-komo
3.poss-sleep-NEG.NMLZ-POSSD-coll
‘their not going to sleep’ (Derbyshire 1979: 166)

Karyhe kamara Ò-to-bti-ri
quickly jaguar 3.poss-go-PST.NMLZ-POSSD
‘the going quickly of the jaguar.’ (Derbyshire 1979: 23)
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Oxhen ɨ hanan ɨ n-
Koseryebaya, [r-eburka-ni-ɨ] bona
I am afraid, 1.poss-fall-nmlz possd to
'I am afraid of falling.' (lit. I am afraid to my falling.) (Derbyshire 1979: 24)

Pred Mod:
[Terryweryero ro-czarosta-ni me] krzeyebaha
loudly my-singing-nmlz itakea a bath
'I take a bath singing loudly.' (Derbyshire 1979: 28)

Uro ehtxemako, [owuba Waraka yahxeman-ti-rɨ uwaro] me treat.with.medicine byyou Waraka treat-pst.nmlz possd like
'Treat me with medicine just like you treat Waraka.' (Derbyshire 1979: 31)

Slave
Clause + nʃ/Ø
With the verbs 'want' and 'say' the complementizer can be omitted.
The construction is used in Ref Mod function when the relativized item expresses a time or location.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Ɂelá tāh ɫa ɨ kodeyihshá yíle]
boat 3.is comp 1sg.knew neg
'I didn’t know that the boat came in.' (Rice 1989: 1245)

Ref Mod:
[Ɂek ɨ̃ Ɂahndeh gha ɗi]
3.father there 1sg.go fut 3.say
'Their dad said that he is going there.' (Rice 1989: 1224)

Clause + gba/gu
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Ɂibalá lits’eɁ gba] kegʃʃa
canvas one.folds comp is.difficult
'It is difficult to fold canvas.' (Rice 1989: 1246)

Clause + i/si/lí
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Ɂelá [híbi r]
boat 3.is.big rel
'the big boat' (Rice 1989: 1309)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

'they who shot the moose' (Rice 1989: 1313)

'the girl who is sleeping' (Rice 1989: 1309)

Clause + gháré

Remark: The meaning of the adverbial subordinator is instrumental 'by means of'.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Pred Mod:

dene [gháts’eyeda gháré] yá gáts’ederetę
person one.watches adv top one.teaches.refl

‘One learns through watching.’ (1063)

Nivkh

Pred–vut / vur (‘retelling converb’):

Used only for the complements of utterance predicates.

The allomorphy is conditioned by person agreement: -t for 1st person (SG/PL), -r for 2nd or 3rd person.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:

If [jimn-ay chi p’e-ny-vur] it-t’
He they-DAT/ACC berry pick-FUT-COMP say-FIN

‘He said [that] they would pick berries.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 57)

Unmarked clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:

Ref Head:

ŋaŋ [čo n’I-d] eska-d
woman fish eat-FIN dislike-FIN.

The woman does not like to eat fish. (Gruzdeva 1998: 49)

[Porf n’aksi p’fy-i-d] n’I j-ajm-d
evening 1-elder.brother come-FUT-FIN I obj-know-FIN

‘I knew (that) my elder brother would come in the evening.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 49)

Pred without FIN SUFFIX (‘participles’)

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained except for FIN suffix

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:

Ref Mod:

[NI – zoŋ] t’ako tyr t’ey p’i-d’
I break:PTC knife table on be-FIN

‘The knife which I have broken is on the table.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 50)
Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Pred -t/-r/-n
Remark: The allomorphy is triggered by person agreement: -t for 1st person (SG/PL) subject/agent, and -r for 2nd or 3rd person. The other variants are dialectological.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (no manner adverb)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/agreement, aspect and mood can be retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Pred Mod:
Haimma t’axkyt [n’ax-y-kis nloblap-d’i-kau-r-r] t’yr-d
Therefore fast-caus-conv:2/3SG descend-ind
‘The old man looked straight, not blinking [his] eyes.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 54)

WEST GREENLANDIC
Pred-niq
Remark: With intransitive predicates, the S takes relational case (RELC) and triggers possessive agreement. With transitive predicates, there are several possibilities. When only the P is present, the dependent predicate can be unmarked or marked with the passive suffix. The A appears in relative case and triggers possessive agreement. When both arguments are present, the dependent predicate is marked with a semi-transitivizing affix (SEMTR). The P appears in the relative case, and the predicate bears the corresponding possessive suffix, while the P is in the instrumental. Thus, the possessive argument in the nominalization always corresponds to the absolutive argument in the corresponding finite clause.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS: nouns
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No mood, person/number agreement. Tense can be retained, but is usually not expressed.
Nominal categories: Case, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: RELC/POSS - Ø/INSTR

Examples:
Ref Head:
[umiauru-up qassi-nut itkin-mi-sa-a] naluungil-ara
ship-rel how-many-all arrive-nmlz-fut-3sg.poss know-1sg.3sg.ind
‘I know when the ship will arrive.’ (Fortescue 1984: 113)

Anguip tuqunnira
man-relc kill:nmlz:3sg.poss
‘the killing of the man’ (i.e. he is killed) (Fortescue 1984: 46)

naulu [qinnuta-ata qanuq naammassi-niqara-nu-t]
not.know-3sg:3sg.indic request-3sg.poss.relc how implement-pass-nmlz-fut-3sg.poss
‘She didn’t know how his request would be implemented.’ (Fortescue 1984: 45)

[tiquttuk-mi-nik tuqut-si-nir-a] tuuar-para
friend-his.refl-instr kill-semt-nmlz-3sg.poss hear-1sg.3pl.ind
‘I heard of his killing his friend.’ (Fortescue 1984: 45)

Pred-ta/sa (passive participle):
Remark: Overt subjects are in relative or ablative case, non-gapped objects remain SENT.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod # PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense, mood, verbal agreement.
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement (number and case)
Argument encoding: RELC/ABL/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
angum-mut [qpaaspaaq naasp-ta-e-nu-t] tumnpi-par
man-all.sg yesterday meet-pass.ptc-1sg.poss-all.sg give-1sg.3sg.ind
‘I gave it to the man I met yesterday.’ (Fortescue 1984: 49)

12 Strictly speaking, therefore, West Greenlandic has no transitive nominalizations. (see Kopijevskaia-Tamm 1993).
angut [aallasa-a tigu-sa-ri] nigur-niar-paa
man gun-his take-PASS.PTC-3POSS.REFL avoid-try-3SG.3SG.IND
‘He tried to avoid the man whose gun he had taken.’ (Fortescue 1984: 53)

**Participial mood clause**

Remarks: The participial mood endings are parallel to the indicative ones, but built up on mood-marker *su* in the intransitive and *gi* in the transitive.

In Ref Head function, the construction is used for different-subject complements. When it functions as such, the dependent clause is morphologically marked as an object.

In Ref Mod function, the construction must be intransitive and can only take 3rd person inflection. Relative clauses that modify the subject of the matrix clause agree in (relative) case with their head.

When marked for instrumental case the participial mood can also be used in Pred Mod function.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, + CASE also Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no lexical strategy for modifier functions)

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

Ilisima-vaa [urni-ssa-giga]
know-3SG.3SG.IND come.to-fut-1SG.3SG.PTC
‘He1 knew I would come to him2.’ (Fortescue 1984: 36)

paasi-nngil-luinnar-para [ilaar-juma-sutit]
understand-not-completely-1SG:3SG.NEGIND come.along-wany-2SG.PTC
‘I didn’t understand at all that you wanted to come along.’ (Fortescue 1984: 36)

Ref Mod:

Niviariaq [kalaallisut itinnia-tir-suq]
girl Greenlandic learn-begin-INTR.PTC
‘the/a girl who has begun learning Greenlandic.’ (Fortescue 1984: 49)

Pred Mod (with case):

[Kulturi-kkut sunniuti-qar-tu]-mik
culture-PROS effect-have-well-INTR.PTC-INTR
‘having considerable cultural effect’ (Fortescue 1984: 56)

**Contemporative mood clause**

Remark: The contemporative or conjunctive mood for same-subject clauses. The contemporative is formed with the mood-marker *lu*/*llu* followed by person markers (1st, 2nd, 4th) or 3rd person object markers.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no lexical strategy for Pred Mod function)

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

Uqar-sinnaa-vunga [tama-asa uuqattaar-sima-llugit]
say-can-1SG.3PL try-PFY-1SG-3PL.CONT
‘I can say that I have tried them all.’ (Fortescue 1984: 40)

Pred Mod:

[Irinir-lunge] iisit-puq
do.hurriedly-1SG.CONT go.in-1SG.IND
‘He entered quickly/in a hurry.’ (Fortescue 1984: 55)

[uqa-ngua-rant] ini-mi-nut iisir-puq
say-little-4SG.NEG.CONT room-his.REFL go.in-3SG.IND
‘He entered his room without even speaking.’ (Fortescue 1984: 62)