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Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Tagalog

**pag-Pred (‘gerund’)**

Functional distribution: Flex: Pred Head?, Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives) Himmelmann (2005: 372): “As with all Tagalog content words, gerunds can be used in any syntactic function, provided their meaning fits.”

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No voice/mood marking, aspect can be expressed.

Nominal categories: Combines with the same phrase-marking function words as all other content items. (Pred Head function is marked by sentence-initial position.)

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT^1^.

**Examples:**

Pred Head:

```
[pag-lu-luto? ng pagkain] ang trababs niyá
GER-RDP-cook GEN food SPEC work 3SG.Poss
```

‘His/her job is cooking food.’ (Himmelmann 2005: 372)

Ref Head:

```
pag-bawal-an mo ang bata-ng iyó sa [pag-la-laró? sa lansangan]
sf-forbidden-lv 2SG.Poss SPEC child-LK DIST loc NMLX-RDP-play LOC street
```

‘Forbid that child to play in the street.’ (Himmelmann 2005: 373)

Ref Mod: No example available

Pred Mod:

```
[pag-datíng naming doón] in-iwan namin don ang bangka
conv-arrival 1PL.EXCL.Poss DIST loc REAL.UV-abandon 1PL.EXCL.Poss DIST loc SPEC boat
```

‘When we arrived there we abandoned the boat, …’ (Himmelmann 2005: 373)

**na/-ng (kung)** + clause (=Ø)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: All retained

Nominal categories: Combines with the same phrase-marking function words as all other content items. (Pred Head is marked by sentence-initial position.)

Argument encoding: SENT-SENT.

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

```
Sinabi [kung maganda si Maria] tell -LK? beautiful nom Maria.
```

‘I said that Maria was beautiful.’ (Schachter & Otanes 1972: 173)

Gusto ni Pepito na [sagip-in ang dabon] liking PN.Poss Pepito LK salvage-PV SPEC leaf

‘Pepito wanted to catch the leaf.’ (Himmelmann 2005: 364)

Nakita ni Pedro- ng/Manuel na [puno na ang bus] see NOM Pedro-LK/Manuel LK full LK RM bus

‘Pedro/Manuel saw that the bus was already full.’ (Schachter & Otanes 1972:177)

**Ref Mod:**

```
Sa mga lalaki na [maN-ihig nung kanyá-ng anak]
LOC PL MAN LK AV-love DIST.gen.LK 3SGDAT-LK child
```

‘(So he held a contest) between the men who courted his child.’ (Himmelmann 2005: 368)

^1^ The following symbols are used in this appendix: ‘=’ means ‘same functional possibilities as a PoS class in the same language. This PoS class is added between brackets. ‘≠’ means ‘different functional possibilities than any PoS class in the same language’. The relevant PoS classes, i.e. those that express the function(s) in which the DC is used, are added between brackets. When there is no lexical class available for the relevant function(s) this is also indicated. For the meaning of other abbreviations concerning the functions, the expression, and the classification of the DCs, see Chapters 3 and 6.

^2^ As Koptjevskaja-Tamm (1993: 119-120) explains, the SENT classification of coding of the second argument is not entirely straightforward, because there is no difference between the marking of the second argument of an actor-voice predicate and the possessor in Tagalog: both are marked by ng. However, there is a second type of possessive construction in which the possessor is expressed as a sa-phrase. Since the first argument in a gerund construction can be both a sa and a ng-phrase (just like possessors), while the second argument can only be a ng-phrase, Koptjevskaja-Tamm argues in favour of SENT expression of the second argument.

^3^ The status of kung is unclear: it may be a combination of –ng with some other element.
Pred Mod:

[Bigla nju]-ng nagbangon

sudden 3sg-LK REALAV:rising

‘She got up quickly’ (Himmelmann 2005: 360)

KHARIA

Pred-Ø/RDP ('Freestanding form/masdar')

Functional distribution: Flex; Pred Head (HAB), Ref Head, Ref Mod, (+ case) Pred Mod = PoS (contentives)

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No voice/tense marking and no Person agreement; retains valency-related marking such as causative and passive/reflexive marking. In Pred Head function obligatorily combined with the middle voice, indicating habituality.

Nominal categories: May take case and number

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT, occasionally also POSS - POSS

EXAMPLES:

Pred Head:

[jn dfe bi[kh m=ki=✓=bt=pl.m.pst]

ISG water pour.out-RDP=PL.M.PST=ISG

‘I used to pour water out.’ (i.e. that was my job). (Peterson 2006: 74)

Ref Head:


House=GEN build-RDP NEG=ISG like=PL.FRS

‘I don’t like (the act of) building houses.’ (Peterson 2006: 73)

Ref Mod:

[jn=va? dara=te ru=ru?] kuyi

IS=GEN door-OBL open-RDP key

‘The key I open the door with.’ (Peterson 2006: 73)

Pred Mod, without case-marking (with reduplication):

[raj=te [qfo qfo] lem=ntu lar=ki]

Rat=OBL sit.down RDP sleep EMOT=M.PST

‘while he was seated, Rata became tired.’ (Peterson 2006: 249)

Pred Mod, with oblique case-marker:

[khɔ=ka] [kho=ka] buli=na

A=while=CNTR S=OBL=FOC doze.off=M.PST

‘Sitting for a while, he dozed off (…’) (Peterson 2006: 295)

Pred=na ('Infinitive')

Functional distribution: Flex; Ref Head, Ref Mod, (+ case/postposition) Pred Mod = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives).

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No Voice/Tense/Person agreement

Nominal categories: Case

Argument encoding: POSS - SENT or Ø - SENT

EXAMPLES:

Ref Head:

[in [u ik=na] sundar konthe=k=ki=te bajhay=kon]

ISG this very beautiful bird=PL=OBL trap=SEQ.CONV

sata=na um=in lam=te

torment=INF NEG=ISG WANT-FACTPRS

‘I don’t want to trap and torment these beautiful birds.’ (Peterson 2006: 259)

Ref Mod:

[Hwa=nt] [khɔ=na] buli=na]

mannerisms=OBL from.bottom.to.top know total=PFV=FACTPRS

‘She had learned by wandering through the village (= through the in the village wandering means) all of the mannerisms of the Kharia inside out. (Peterson 2006: 306-307)

Pred Mod, without case marking (with reduplication):

…lekin [lam=na lamna] sou=te ikud jughay qa=piya la=ki.

but search=INF RDP all=OBL very much WATER-thirst EMOT=M.PST

‘But searching and searching, [they] all became very thirsty.’ (Peterson 2006: 248)
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Pred Mod, with oblique case-marking (and reduplication):

\[ \text{[Aw} = \text{na} \ \text{awna} = \text{te} \ \text{khariya=} \text{ki} \ \text{bie=} \text{te} \ \text{ahe} o? \ \text{of} \ \text{stay=} \text{INF} \ \text{RDP=} \text{obl} \ \text{Kharia=} \text{pl} \ \text{that=} \text{obl} (=\text{there'}) \ \text{again} \ \text{house} \ \text{dura} \ \text{bay=} \text{kon} \ \text{ikud=} \text{ga} \ \text{memon} \ \text{jou} \ \text{aw=} \text{ki=} \text{may} \ \text{door} \ \text{build=} \text{seq.conv} \ \text{very=} \text{foc} \ \text{year} \ up.\text{to} \ \text{stay=} \text{m.pst} = 3 \text{pl} \]

'Staying, the Kharia stayed there for several years, again building homes (houses and doors).’ (Peterson 2006: 249)

 Pred = na-wala (‘participle’)
Remark: Borrowed from Hindi. Structural coding consists of the infinitive = na followed by = wala.

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None (no case agreement)
Argument encoding: The relativized argument is gapped, other argument(s) are SENT.

Example:
Ref Mod:

\[ \text{[Jharkan=} \text{ɖ} \ = \text{te} \ \text{aw} = \text{na=} \text{wala} \]
\[ \text{lebu=} \text{ki} \ \text{iku} \ \text{ɖ} \ \text{jughay} \ \text{milansar} \ \text{aw=} \text{ta=} \text{ki} \]

'The people from Jharkhand are very friendly.' (Peterson 2006: 307)

Pred-al (‘participle’):
Remark: The suffix attaches only to lexical predicates of Sadani origin which end in -a or -ay.

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: None (no case agreement)
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT

Example:

\[ \text{Muda} \ \text{mo} \ \text{ɲ} \ \text{Brahman} \ \text{ho=} \text{ko} \ \text{ɽ} \ \text{=a} \ \text{Ɂ} \ \text{daru=} \text{te} \ \text{ʈ} \ \text{a} \ \text{ŋ}-\text{al} \]
\[ \text{janew=} \text{te} \ \text{yo=} \text{yo} \]

'But a Brahman saw the holy thread which he had hung on a tree.' (Peterson 2006: 307)

Pred-kar(r)/-kon/-kan (‘converb’)
Remark: “The first two of these markers are direct borrowings from Sadani. […] These markers denote, among other things, that the two or more (sub-)predicates are portrayed by the speaker as being directly related to one another in some way, combining to form a larger, more complex event. […] =kon […] appears to be a calque from the Sadani form =ker. Like the cognate form =kar in Hindi, =ker in Sadani appears to derive from the root kar ‘do’. The sequential converbal marker =kon in Kharia apparently derives from a similar lexeme, i.e., =kon ‘make, do’. The core function of these forms is to denote the completion of one action before another begins. [But they] are also often used to denote the manner in which an action is carried out. In these cases, the action denoted by the converb is generally a more exact specification of that of the morphologically finite predicate.” (Peterson 2006: 243-244)

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Examples:

Pred Mod:

\[ \text{…[lay} \ \text{koj=} \text{kon} \]
\[ \text{go=} \text{ju} \ \text{ŋ} \ \text{bay=} \text{si} \ \text{may} \]

'… they have built the path by digging an scraping [the dirt away].’ (Peterson 2006: 244)

\[ \text{rak} \ \text{a} \ \text{do} \ \text{jag} \ \text{khia=} \text{ta} \ \text{ro} \ \text{gon} \ \text{keb=} \text{kon} \ \text{gam=} \text{te}…
\[ \text{witch} \ \text{even more} \ \text{angry=} \text{m.prs} \ \text{… and} \ \text{tooth} \ \text{grind=} \text{conv} \ \text{say=} \text{act.prs}.
\[ \text{The witch grows even angrier and … grinding her teeth, says:…’} (Peterson 2006: 244)

Pred-ge + RDP (‘(imperfective) converb’)
Remark: The = ge form is primarily a focus marker.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT
Example:

Pred Mod
ro be kuda kele=a? dara sambha=te [jnam=g*a [nanga] goj jom=ta]
and that millet bread=GEN tree base=OBL cry=CONV RDP die AP=APR

‘And crying and crying, she just died at the base of that millet bread tree.’ (Peterson in prep: 248)

Pred=ta (‘imperfective converb’)
=ta is homophonous with the general imperfective middle marker.

Functional distribution: RIG: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Voice/Tense/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:

Pred Mod:
ele [am=pe=te go=ta] go=ta han=ti j u=t j oɽ=le
1PL.EXCL 2=2PL=OBL carry.on.shouler.conv that=side this.side take=ACT.IRR=1PL.EXCL

‘We will carry you around on our shoulders.’ (we will take you, carrying you on our shoulders) (Peterson 2006: 248)

no/Ø + clause
Remark: Used for object complement clauses, especially with utterance predicates.

Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:
ap=qom rat=t=te remakb-o? ro gam-o? [no babu musa ip kimir]
father=3ross Rata=obl call=ACT.PST and say=ACT.PST comp child today 1SG forest

co=na um=ip pal-ci

go=INF NEG=1SG be.able=ACT.IRR

‘His father called Rata and said “child, to day I will be unable to go to the forest.’ (Peterson 2006: 298)

gam=kon + clause
Remark: The form gam=kon is the sequential converb of gam ‘to say’. It is occasionally found instead of no as a kind of quotative form.

Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:
[je janwar tar=a=ki be janwar=ya? gbo o=r=kic] gam=kon] gam=o?
CR animal kill=ACT.IRR=PL that animal=GEN meat take=ACT.IRR QUOT say=ACT.PST

‘Whatever animal they kill, that animal’s meat they should bring, he said.’ (Peterson 2006: 299)

Correlative construction
Remark: There are two types of correlative constructions:
(i) With je-class markers: all correlative forms begin with j- and have been borrowed from Indo-Aryan.
(ii) With a/i/other question particle-class markers: all correlative forms are homophonous with interrogatives. This construction is not borrowed from Indo-Aryan, although it could be an older calque of the Indo-Aryan correlative construction, using purely language-internal means.

In both construction types, the head is usually repeated in the main clause, preceded by a demonstrative. Alternatively, the head is not repeated and only the demonstrative is there.

Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT (optional gapping)
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Examples:
Ref Mod:
(j-class)
…adj [je bhere] ep=ki se bhere adj=ya pojom=te soriŋ kui=ki
anaph CR time return=M.PST dem time anaph=gen bundle=obl stone find=M.PST
‘Which time he returned, (at) that time he found a stone in the bundle.’ (Peterson 2006: 302)

(a/i-class)
[a=bo=t-e paŋaŋaŋ karay=na aw=ki,] ba bo=t-e qam=ke, …
q=place=obl sacrifice do=inf cop=M.PST dem place=obl arrive=seq.conv
‘Having arrived at the place where the sacrifice was to be done … (Peterson 2006: 302)

Unmarked gapped relative clauses with a (partially) finite predicate
Remark: This construction is balanced, except that person marking may be lost on the dependent predicate (see second example).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø / Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[jŋ yo=yo Ɂ j lebu=ki jŋ a=Ɂ ho t el=te aw=ta=ki
1sg see=act.pst person=pl hotel=obl live=pl.1sg]
‘The people I saw live in my hotel.’ (Peterson 2006: 303)

Kambera
Pa-deranked clause
Remark: In combination with the prepositional verb wàngu ‘use’ this construction can be used as an adverbial clause with an interpretation of simultaneity or immediate sequence (see Pred Mod example below).
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (same-subject), Ref Mod (object of DC), (+ prep/prepositional verb: Pred Mod) = PoS minus Pred Head (contentives)
Structural type: 2/3 (D-SENT / D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No aspect, no mood marking. (Kambera has no tense marking.)
Nominal categories: DET + number agreement (in Ref Mod function)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT / POSS - Ø In Ref Head function the subject remains unexpressed under co-referentiality; the object is SENT and cross-referenced on the dependent predicate, in the DAT form. In Ref Mod function the subject is POSS, and the object is gapped, but remains cross-referenced on the dependent predicate in the DAT form.

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ta-pakiring [pa-tinu-nya na lau haroomu
1pl.nom-start comp-weave-3sg.dat art sarong tomorrow
We will start to weave the sarong tomorrow.’ (Klamer 1998: 338)

Ref Mod:
Ta-pakiri-nda da lau [pa-tinu-nda]
1pl.nom-start-3sg.dat art sarong rel-weave-1pl.dat
‘We start (with) (them) the sarongs woven by us.’ (Klamer 1998: 338)

na kalembi na [pa-ki wá-nggu-nya]
art shirt art rel-buy use-1sg.gen-3sg.dat
‘the shirt that I bought’ (Klamer 1998: 326)

Pred Mod:
Patiang ana mandài-ndài [waŋgu pa-huta ana rumba]
wait dim rel-belong use comp-pick dim grass
‘(We) wait a while weeding some grass in the meantime.’ (Klamer 1998: 240)

ma-deranked clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (subject/possessor clauses) ≠ PoS (contentives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No aspect, no mood marking. (Kambera has no tense marking.)
Nominal categories: DET + number agreement (in Ref Mod function)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Na-meti-ka na tau na [ma-piti-ya na kabela-nggu]
3sg.nom-die-pfv ART person ART rel-take-3sg.acc ART machete-1sg.gen
‘The person that took my machete died already.’ (Klamer 1998: 315)

Iya-nggu-nya na tau na [ma-meti kuru uma-na].
see-1sg.gen-3sg.dat ART person ART rel-die wife-3sg.gen
‘I saw the man whose wife died.’ (Klamer 1998: 320)

Unmarked nominalized clause
Remark: Nominal clauses can be dependent or independent. In combination with a conjunction, the construction
can apparently also be used in adverbial function as a simultaneity clause (see Pred Mod examples below).
Functional distribution: Flex: Pred Head/main clause, Ref Head (+ CONJ also Pred Mod, simultaneity).
Structural type: 3 (ALT SENT)

Examples:
Pred Head:
[Na apu-mu, katuda-na] la pino bolak-ka una
ART grandmother-2sg.gen sleep-3sg.gen loc top mattress-pfv emph.3sg
‘Your granny, she will sleep on a mattress.’ (Lit. ‘Your grandmother’s sleeping is on a mattress.’ (Klamer 1998: 97)

Ref Head:
Nda ku-pí-anggau [na ngàndi-mu rà kuta]
NEG 1sg.nom-know-mod-2sg.dat ART take-2sg.gen leaf pepper plant
‘I didn’t know that you would bring kuta.’ (lit.: I didn’t know (of) your bringing kuta.’) (Klamer 1998: 97)

Nda ku-mbuti-nya [na taka-mu]
NEG 1sg.nom-expect-3sg.dat ART arrive-2sg.gen
‘I did not expect your coming.’ (Klamer 1998: 315)

Pred Mod:
[Ba meu-meu-na,] ba na-imbu-ya
CONJ rdp-roar-2sg.gen CONJ 3sg.nom-search-3sg.acc
‘And it roared (a tiger), while it went after him.’ (Klamer 1998: 100)

[ba jiapa-ma na hanggapit-na-nya dá]
CONJ continuously-emph ART clasp-3sg.gen-3sg.dat inside
‘while he kept clasping it inside…’ (Klamer 1998: 97)

wä + clause
Remark: Quotative construction.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Example:
Ref Head:
Ka [na-ngàndi-ya na mbuku wà-nggu-nya làti
CONJ 3sg.nom-take-3sg.acc ART book say-1sg.gen-3sg.dat in fact
‘In fact, I told him that he should take the book.’ (Klamer 1998: 347)

Samoan
Pred-ga
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
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Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: DET/CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT (occasionally also POSS - POSS)

Examples:

Ref Head:

A le faaloavaio le tupa i le ai-
vest trouble king LD ART eat-NMLZ

apple and citrus ART lame and ART blind

'The king was not troubled that the lame and the blind ate the apples and oranges.'

At na oo lava in moomou malie atu le pisa
But PST reach EMPH conj disappear gentle ART noise

o [e sapini-ga o Pale ma Maria e o la Tina]
poss ART whip-NMLZ poss Pale and Maria erg poss 3DU mother

'But finally the noise of the whipping of Pale and Maria by their mothers gently faded away.'
(Mosel 1992: 279)

Unmarked nominalized clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: DET/CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:

E lelei [t-a-u tunu ia]
genr good ART-poss-2SG roast fish

'Your fish roasting is good.' (Mosel 1992: 267)

ona/ina + deranked clause

Remark: Ona is used for core-arguments, ina for adjuncts.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM marking
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:

ua taga [ona inu ava malosi tatou]
pfv allowed comp drink 'kava' strong 1.INCL.PL

'It is allowed that we drink alcohol.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 599)

-ε + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping) Gap can be filled with anaphoric element.

Example:

Ref Mod:

'O lau o le tama'ita'i l-[t na tatou 6 ai.]
pres that pres ART woman ART-REL PST 1.INCL.PL go(PL) LD ANAPH

'She is the woman we went to find.' (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 635)

Unmarked clause

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
Examples:
Ref Head:
Nā ilea e Tīgīlau [ua sau Sina]
past know erg Tīgīlau pfv comes Sina
‘I knew that S had come.’ (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 589)

Ref Mod:
Ua tu le alii lea [na ua e Popi]
fpv stand.up art man that pst bite erg Popi
‘The man who was bitten by Popi stood up.’ (Mosel & Hovdhaugen 1992: 635)

Guarani
clause + hd/hagwé/O
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Rey-anú [šé še-ras šé]
you-hear I I-be.sick comp
‘You heard that I was sick.’ (Gregores & Suárez 1967:158)

ai-kwá la [v o-ù mošt i šá]
I-know def(det) neg he-go mod neg comp
‘I know that he does not intend to go.’ (Gregores & Suárez 1967:158)

Rei-mošá [šé-tavi]
You-think I-be.silly
‘You think that I am silly.’ (Gregores & Suárez 1967: 157)

Pred-va + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping)
The relativized item is gapped, but there is a person prefix on the dependent predicate.

Example:
Ref Mod:
A-bechal a karai [o-jaguwa-vska ka anguš].
I-see def man 3-buy-rel-pst def mortar
‘I saw the man who bought the mortar.’ (Velázquez-Castillo 2002: 162)

Clause + œ:
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Pred Mod:
H-ausé [s-še œ]
she-cry she-go.out adv
‘She goes out crying.’ (Gregores & Suárez 1967: 180)

Santali
Unmarked clause; pred without -a (IND)
Remark: In Ref Head subject function, the construction shows no subject marking, while middle voice markers and TAM can be expressed. In Ref Head object function subject marking and TAM are lost, while object markers are retained. Only with verbs of perception subject marking and TAM can be expressed. In Ref Mod function, subject pronominals are omitted, but all TAM suffixes can be expressed.

‘The status of the relativizer is not entirely clear: it does not seem to change the internal syntax of the dependent clause, but does attach to the predicate, preceding the tense marker.
The relativized element is gapped. In Pred Mod function, with case-marking, there is neither person marking (subject/object) nor TAM marking, but middle voice can be expressed. In combination with a postposition (with simulative semantics), all verbal categories are expressed, except for the indicative marker.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (+ case (LOC/INSTR)/postposition also Pred Mod)
≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Variable (see above)
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality/gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Subject:
[bay  ber  hə sur-kate  ɖ  era-k’-d  ɔ  baŋ  bes-a]

's it is not good to camp after sunset.' (Neukom 2001: 181)

Object:
[onko  ə gu-ko  mana-ko-m]

'Forbid them to bring those.' (Neukom 2001: 182)

Perception predicate:
[mɔ̃rɛ̃-g  ɔṭɛ  n  ə  im  ɪ  dak’  lo=ko  hij-uk’kan-e  ɲ el-  g  ɔt’-ket’-ko-a]

'He saw five women come to fetch water.' (Neukom 2001: 183)

Ref Mod:
[Uni-y-े  [bujh  ə u-ɲɔ̃  k’-ket’  ɔ  ṛ-ơe     ə  rat-g  ɔ  t’-ad-e-a.]

'that-(anim) y-3 sg.sbj understand.-little pst:act person-3sg.sbj beckon-v2-appl:pst:act-3sg.obj-ind

'He beckoned the man who had understood a little.' (Neukom 2001: 197)

Pred Mod:
[calα-k’-calak’-te  mit’-pag  [toyo-ko  ɲɛ  l-tiok’-ked-e-a]

'While they were walking along, they caught sight of a jackal.' (Neukom 2001: 187)

With postposition (simulative):
[ɔn  gɪdra-دو [budiʒ-ge-y-e  tahɪk-an-re]

'that-y-3 sg.sbj say-appl:pst:act-3pl.obj like-foc all person-3pl.sbj go-m-ipfy-3sg.obj-ind

'They all went along as he had told him.' (Neukom 2001: 195)

Pred-kate5

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense/Mood, no Person, voice can be expressed
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
[[nonka  budiʒ-kate  həbhrəsə-kate-kə]  calα-k’-kan-a]

like.this think-conv be.hopeless-conv-3pl go-m-1pffy-ind

'Thinking so and being hopeless, they walked on.' (Neukom 2001: 186)

5 This construction is described as a converb but its status is not completely unambiguous: “-kate occurs elsewhere in isolated position as ‘then’ or together with deictic elements such a nit’now’ (cf. nit-kate ‘nowadays’) or ona ‘that’ (cf. ona-kate ‘thereupon’), or in combination with numerals, e.g. ponea-kate ‘(give them) four each.’ (Neukom 2001: 185)
6 Note that the subject pronominal is nevertheless retained in the second converbal form of the example.
Correlative construction

Remark: Correlative constructions are probably an influence from Indo-Aryan languages. These constructions make use of various types of pronouns: interrogative, indefinite, demonstrative. The dependent predicate often lacks the indicative marker -a, but the pronominal subject clitic is always present.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained (see above)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Mod:

*ona dare [oka-m mak'-akat']*

that(inanim) tree which-2sg.sbj cut-pfv:act

‘the three which you have cut’ (Neukom 2001: 200)

Clause + mente

Remark: The complementizer/quotative is a lexicalized instrumental case-marked form of ‘to say’.

Used for complements of predicates of utterance, thought, and mental perception.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Head ≠ PoS (contentives, verbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:

*Ba-kin peh-thik-e-kan-a, nui-dɔ neg-3dual.sg see-correct-3sg.obj-1pffv-ind this(an)-top*

[skin-ren apa-t kan-a-e mente]

they(dual) father-3poss cop-ind-3sg.sbj comp/quot

‘They did not recognize that he was their father.’ (Neukom 2001: 183)

WARAO

Pred-kitane (‘infinitive’):

Remark: According to Romero-Figeroa (1997) this construction is used for same-subject complements and for purpose-clauses, but no example is available of the former use.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example: Ref Mod:

*Ima-ya domu [nari-te kotai mi-kitane nas-kotu]*

night-all bird fly-n.pst rel see-inf come-2pl.imp

‘You all, come to see the bird that flies at night.’ (Romero-Figeroa 1997: 42)

TURKISH

Pred-DIK/-ç/ç/çAK

Remark: In combination with the postposition gibri this construction can be used as a simulative adverbial clause.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (non-subject/possessor clauses), (* postposition also Pred Mod, simulative). ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No Aspect and Mood marking, (relative) tense is expressed by the choice of marker: -DIK for past and present, -(ç)ç/çAK for future.
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT/Ø - POSS

(In Ref Mod function, the relativized element is gapped; the subject is POSS.)
Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Examples
Ref Head:

(Ref) [Ahmed-in oöl-düğün]-ü duy-du-m
I Ahmed-gen die-NMLZ-3SG.ACC hear-PST-1SG
'I heard that Ahmed died.' (Kornfilt 1997: 50)

Orhan-gen anything do-NEG-NMLZ-3SG.Poss it.was.obvious
'It was obvious that Orhan wouldn't do/wasn't going to do anything.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 423)

Ref Mod:

[adam-in git-tiq-i] okul
man-gen go-PTC-3SG school
'the school that the man goes/went to' (Kornfilt 1997: 50)

[Fatma-ın yarın gör-eев-i] film
Fatma-gen tomorrow see-PTC-3SG.Poss film
'the film that Fatma is going to/will be seeing tomorrow' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 442)

Pred Mod:

Pastayı [anne-m-in analat-tiq-i] yapmaya çalıștım
mother-1SG.Poss-gen describe-NMLZ-3SG.Poss like
'I tried to make the cake [as my mother had described].'] (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 477)

Pred -mAK
Remark: "The crucial difference between -mAK clauses and those with -mA is that -mA clauses in the majority of cases contain their own subject, whereas -mAK clauses do not." (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 413)

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM and Person agreement
Nominal categories: CASE (usually when functioning as a direct object complement, except with the verb ıste- to want, see third example)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:

[Lütfen pencere-yi aç-mağ]-ı unut-ma
please window-ACC open-INF-ACC forget-NEG
'Please, don’t forget to open the window!' (Kornfilt 1997: 51)

[(ben) Ahmed-a kaç-mağ-a zorla-dı-m
I Ahmed-Acc flee-INF-DAT force-PST-1SG
'I forced Ahmet to flee.' (Kornfilt 1997: 51)

[Şoka şık-mak] ısti-yir-um
go out-INF want-IPFV-1SG
'I want to go out.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 413)

Pred -mA
Remark: In general terms noun clauses formed with -mA are less abstract in meaning than those formed with -mAK.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM and Person agreement
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:

[Kerkes-in birier kikaye anlat-ma-ı] ıste-n-tyor-muş
everyone-gen one.each story tell-NMLZ-3SG.Poss want-PASS-IPFV-EV.COP
'It seems they want [everyone to tell a story].'] (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 420)

Ahmed-e [ben-i bekle-me-sin]-i zyle-di-m
A-dat 1-ACC wait-NMLZ-3SG.Poss-ACC tell-PST-1SG
'I told Ahmet to wait for me.' (Kornfilt 1997: 53)

Pred -An
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod (subject/possessor clauses) ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Examples:
Ref Mod:

[bura da sat-ʌn] kitap-lar
here sell-PASS-Ptc book-pl
‘the books that are sold here’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

[əgretmen ol-ʌn] haydar
teacher be-Ptc Haydar
‘Haydar, who is a teacher’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

[araba-si (sa l-ʌn-an)] komju-muz
car-3sg.Poss steal-PASS-Ptc neighbour-1pl.Poss
‘our neighbour, whose car was stolen’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 440)

Pred-(y)ArAk
Remark: Normally, the subject is unexpressed under co-referentiality.
Functional distribution: Rg: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø – SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Pred Mod:
Ben [ɛtraf-im-a bak-arək] yür-ür-üm
I around-1sg.dat look-conv(manner) walk-aor-1sg
‘I walk looking around (myself)’. (Kornfilt 1997: 73)

Pred-(y)A... Pred-(y)d
Remark: “This construction occurs either with identical verb stems or with different ones. Its use is less widespread than that of -(y)ArAk, and its meaning is more emphatic, stressing the continuous or repeated nature of the action it expresses. The forms involving two different verb stems are for the most part lexicalized items.” (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)
Functional distribution: Rg: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/Person agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø – Ø (/Ø – SENT?)

Pred Mod:
Genç kadın [ağa-la-yə ağala-yə] hikayesini anlattı
The young woman told her story [continuously weeping]’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)

Adam [ir-e kak-a] âne gəmeyə calışyordu
man [pushing shoving] was trying to get to the front
‘[Pushing and shoving,] the man was trying to get to the front.’ (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 476)

ki + clause
Remarks: This construction is borrowed from Persian.
In relative clause function, the construction is mostly non-restrictive (the head is almost always the subject of the main clause, and 3rd person singular or plural).
Normally, the relativized item is gapped, but under certain circumstances, it may or must be reiterated in the dependent clause, by means of a resumptive pronoun.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, derived modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø – SENT/Ø (gapping in Ref Mod function)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Isti-yor-um [ki yarin ben-imle sinema-ya gel-ə-in]
want-pres.progr.1sg comp tomorrow I-gen-with cinema-dat come-sg.opt
‘I want you to come to the movies with me tomorrow.’ (Kornfilt 1997: 46)
Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Sanrıyorum [ki iy-in-i brak-mak isti-yor]
I think: COMP job-sg.poss-acc leave-nmlz want-IPFV
'I think that s/he wants to quit his/her job.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 409)

Ref Mod:
Bir adam [ki şokak-lar-in sev-me-z] yalnız yaya-malı-dir
a man REL child-pl.3sg-acc love-neg-aor alone live-neg-ep.cop
'A man who does not love his children must live alone.' (Kornfilt 1997: 60)

bi ahç [ki baklava yap-mey-ɩ bil-me-sin]
a cook REL baklava make-nmlz-acc know-3sg.opt
'a cook who doesn't know how to make baklava' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 459)

Clause + diye
Remark: diye is the converbal -(y)A form of the verb de 'to say'. The construction is used for complements of predicates of speech other than de, and for complements of predicates of cognition, perception, and emotion.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Meral [Turgut onu Selimle gör-ür-se diye] kork-uyor-du
Meral Turgut with Selim see-aor-con.cop COMP/quot be.afraid-ipfv-pst.cop
'Meral was afraid that Turgut would see her with Selim.' (Göksel & Kerslake 2005: 409)

Unmarked clause
Remark: Used for complements of de 'to say', and for complements of predicates of believe and desire.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (non-verbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Herkes [sen sinema-ya git-ti] san-yor
everybody you(nom) cinema-dat go-pst-2sg believe-prs.progr
'Everybody believes that you went to the movies.' (Kornfilt 1997: 47)

Kayardild
Pred-n(-marri) ('plain/privative nominalization')
Remark: Active plain nominalizations may, apart from their dependent uses, function as main clauses describing ongoing, uncompleted actions (Evans 1995: 472).
In Ref Head function, the construction can occur only as the complement of a perception predicate.
In Ref Mod function, it is used when the subject of the dependent clause is relativized. This subject is gapped.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (perception complements), Ref Mod (subject clauses), Pred Mod = PoS (non-verbs).
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: All overt arguments take the associating oblique case (A.OBL) or proprietive/locative modal case (MPROP/MLOC).

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ngada kurri-ja [ki-l-owan-ji dalwani-n-ki thawal-urrk] 1sg.nom see-act 2-pl.poss-mloc dig.up-nmlz-mloc yam-mloc:a.obl
'I saw you digging up yams.' (Evans 1995: 472)

Ngada kurri-ja [niswan-ji buuli-n-marri] 1sg.nom see-act 3sg.poss-mloc run-nmlz-priv
'I saw that he was not running.' (Evans 1995: 476)
Ref Mod:  
*Nga-ka-l-da  [wirr-n-ka]  dangka-cw  kurri-ju*

1-INC-PL-NOM  dance-NMLZ-MPROP  man-MPROP  see-POT

‘We will watch the dancing man.’  (Evans 1995: 474)

Pred Mod:  
[Bilaangka-nurr  kari-i-n-da]  ngada  warra-j

blanket-ASSOC  cover-M-NMLZ-NOM  1SG.NOM  go-ACT

‘I went along, covering myself in a blanket.’  (Evans 1995: 474)

*Deja-ja  wuran-ki  [kinasi-n-marr]  eat-ACT  food-M.LOC  tell-NMLZ-PRIV*

‘(He) eats food without telling (anyone).’  (Evans 1995: 475)

Pred-Thirri-n (resultative nominalization)

Remark: This construction can also be used as a main clause, and as an adverbial clause expressing
temporal sequence.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)

Structural type:  3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories:  No TAM

Nominal categories:  Nominal agreement

Argument encoding:  Ergative alignment: Objects of transitive verbs and subjects of intransitives (S and P)
take nominative case; (demoted) subjects of transitive verbs (A) take oblique case.

Examples:

Ref Mod:  

*Bath-in-ki  bal-umban-ji  [niwan-njarrng-niaba-ya]*

west-from-M.LOC  west-orig-M.LOC  3SG.PASS-DU-ABL-M.LOC

‘One coming from the west, that had been missed by his two uncles-in-law.’  (Evans 1995: 480)

*Nyingka  kada  buru-tharra  [wungi-jirri-n-jina]*

2SG.NOM  again  get-PST  steal-RES-NMLZ-ABL  beer-M.ABL

‘Did you get some stolen beer again?’  (Evans 1995: 479)

Pred-n-ngarrba (consequential nominalization)

Remark: The construction is rarely used as a main clause describing actions preceding the temporal
reference point.  (Evans 1995: 481) All arguments are marked with a consequential suffix. This kind of
marking resembles so-called ‘complete concord’ (all elements of a constituent are marked for case; see
Dench 2006). This means that the consequential form looks like a case-marker with the function of a
complementizer. Therefore, the construction is classified as a D-SENT, rather than a D-ALT construction.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)

Structural type:  2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories:  No TAM

Nominal categories:  Resultative case (see above)

Argument encoding:  Resultative case (see above)

Example:

Ref Mod:  

*Nyinka  kamburi-ja  dathin-a  dangka-a  [yarbu-ngarrba  balangkali-ngarrba  ba-yii-n-ngarrb]*

2SG.NOM  speak-IMP  that-NOM  man-NOM  snake-CONS  brown.snake-CONS  bite-M-NMLZ-CONS

‘You speak to that man who was bitten by a brown snake!’  (Evans 1995: 481)

Clause  + -ntha (oblique complementizer case)

Remarks: This construction closely resembles normal finite clauses, permitting almost the full range of
verb inflections*.  (…) Commonly a Complementizing Oblique or Locative case appears after all other
inflections, usually on all constituents.”  (Evans 1995: 488)

In Ref Head function, this construction is used for complements of predicates of perception,

1 There are no examples available of the privative nominalization in Ref Mod function, but according to Evans (p.c.) this is
possible: “I’m sure you can say it, but it’s a gap – probably accidental – in my data.”

2 The tense-system deviates slightly from independent clauses:

Independent clause:  -  ACT(tual), which covers present, past and immediate future, the
latter two of which can be marked if extra precision is desired.
  -  POT(ential)

Dependent clause:  -  PAST
  -  IMMED (= present and immediate past)
  -  POT(ential)
  -  ACT can not be expressed

Modal case marking is the same in independent and dependent clauses.
knowledge and speech.
The morpheme -(u)rrka is a special portmanteau for LOC + C.OBL. It is used for locative complement clauses (Evans, p.c.).
In Ref Mod function, this construction is used only when the relativized item is not the subject of both clauses.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (non-verbs, adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Largely retained (see above)
Nominal categories: Complementizer case (see above)
Argument encoding: Complementizer case (see above)

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

Ngada  mungurru  [ngijiwaw]uru  kada-thaa-thuun-thaa
1sg.nom know:nom again-cobl return-pot-cobl
'I know that I will come back again.' (Evans 1995: 490/491)

Ngada  kamburri-ja  niwan-ji  [waalhin-thaa  dathin-inja  baszi-njara-nthaa]
1sg.nom say-act 3sg-mloc raft-cobl that-cobl capsize-appr-cobl
'I told him the raft would capsize.' (Evans: 516)

Ngada  marin-marri-i-jarr  [dathin-kurrka  thungal-urrka  kamburri-jurrk]
1sg.nom self-hear-m-pst that-loc:cobl thing-loc:cobl speak-immed:cobl
'I heard myself speaking on that thing (the radio).' (Evans 1995: 491)

**Ref Mod:**

nyinka  kurri-jarra  dathin-kina  dangka-na
2sg.nom see-pst that.mabl man-mabl
[thawurr-inaa-thaa  raa-jarra-tha  niwan-jinaa-nthaa]
throat-mabl-cobl spear-pst-cobl 3sg-mabl-cobl
'Did you see the man whom (he) speared in the throat?' (Evans 1995: 490)

**Unmarked clause**

Remark: This construction is used in Ref Mod function, in cases where no complementizer case appears, i.e. when the relativized element is the subject of the relative clause. Usually, the relativized element is gapped, but it may also be retained.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Largely retained (see above)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT/Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Example:**

Ref Mod:  

fina-a  dathin-a  dangk-a,  [dan-kina  yii-jarra-thara  wangal-kina]
where-nom that-nom man-nom, here-mabl put-caus-pst boomerang-mabl
'Where is the man, who left the boomerang here?' (Evans 1995: 489)

**Paiwan**

**tu(a)/tai + clause**

Remark: The oblique marker tu(a)/tai is also used for non-clausal arguments. Semantically, it is used for patients, beneficiaries, instruments, goals, objects of comparison etc. With DCs it marks "less integrated" complements.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

Ref Head:  

maivhecaty  ti-naju  [tu  laqlaq-en  ni-a-maju]
fee -he obl tickle-pat defoc-ag-pl-he
'He is afraid that she will tickle him.' (Egli 1990: 177)

na  ma'arau  a  rhabats  [tu  rigu  ti  Yohan].
ppfv believe lk very obl prophet foc John
'He believed strongly that John was a prophet.' (Egli 1990: 192)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

Regret not marry-loc defoc.ag-he obl snake ‘She regretted that she hadn’t married the snake.’ (Egli 1990: 202)

\(a + \) clause

Remark: The focus marker/linking element \(a\) is also used for non-clausal constituents. This construction is used for subject clauses, and for “more integrated” object complements, such as with modal predicates.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small/derived adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:

Ref Head:

\(\text{na}^\gamma \text{nguaq} [a \text{ ma-netjez} \text{ sun}]\)
good foc pass-come you ‘It is good that you have come.’ (Egli 1990: 230)

\(\text{ini}^\gamma \text{ka \text{ ma}^\gamma \text{qati} [a \text{ tja-parh-patsun-en}]\)
not can lk we-one.another-see-pat ‘We cannot see one another.’ (Egli 1990: 230)

Ref Mod:

\(\text{qala} [a \text{ na tem-ker tua vaau}]\)
stranger lk pfv drink-ag obl wine ‘the stranger, who has drunk wine’ (Egli 1990: 178)

\(\text{[a \ zai \ a i- vetiik \ a] kai}\)
foc those lk pfv-write lk word ‘the word that I have written’ (Egli 1990: 271)

\(\text{[pin}^-\text{atsay} \text{ an nua culau a] curay \text{an}}\)
die-pfv loc defoc.ag husband lk woman ‘the woman whose husband has died’ (Egli 1990: 183)

\(a \text{ parhu} + \) clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:

Pred Mod

\(\text{sa} \text{ ringul-}^\gamma \text{ sun} [a \text{ parhu qemlec}]\)
and be.around-pron.pat you lk like put.a.cover.on ‘And she will be around you as if she would want to cover you.’ (Egli 1990: 209)

\((^-\text{jin-} \text{Pred} \text{ -an} + a)\)
Remark: This is a perfective participle construction, formed with the perfective affix \((-\text{jin}\) (infix for verbs with a consonant in the Anlaut, prefix for verbs with a vowel in the Anlaut), which is also used with independent verb forms, and the participial suffix \(-\text{an}\). Since there is no inflectional verbal morphology, it is hard to say whether this is a deranked construction. However, participles are restricted to the extent that they cannot occur with other tenses (marked with particles), nor with focus markers and they cannot be transitive. The participle form must be combined with the linker \(a\).

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Restricted tense

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø (gapping, intransitive)

\(\text{9 Paiwan also has a present participle form, with –an and without the perfective marker, but this form is hardly ever used. Like the perfective participle, it can be used as a modifier in a referential phrase. In addition, it can be used for manner expressions, i.e. as a modifier in a predicate phrase:}\)

\(\text{rhemaketj}^-\text{an} a \text{ masengseng}\)
to.do.all.day.long-pvc lk work ‘to work steadily.’ (Egli 1990: 124)\)
Appendix iii: Dependent Clause Constructions Key Examples

Example:
Ref Mod:  
$k$-in-a'du'i-\textit{$-$an}  \textit{a}  \textit{impits} 
\textit{?-\textit{ffv}}-\textit{point(pass)}-\textit{PTC}  \textit{lk}  \textit{pencil}

‘the pointed pencil’ (Egli 1990: 122)

**Imbabura Quechua**

**Pred-\textit{j/-shka/-na**

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (different-subject), Ref Mod = PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: The different forms indicate different relative tense values: \textit{-j} for present, \textit{-shka} for past, \textit{-na} for future. Progressive aspect is retained. No subject agreement.

Nominal categories: CASE in Ref Head function; in Ref Mod function only when the relative clause is extraposed.

Argument encoding: SENT/\textit{Ø} - SENT/\textit{Ø} (gapping in Ref Mod function) The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Marya nin-\textit{n} \{Juzi jatun wasi-\textit{ta} chari-$j$-\textit{ta\}}}
  \textit{María say-3 José big house-acc have-nmlz:prs-acc ‘Maria says that José has a big house.’ (Cole 1982: 14)}

  \item \textit{ñuka-\textit{ka} \{Juan kay-pi ka-sh\textit{ka}-\textit{ta} ya-ni\}}
  \textit{I-think-I Juan this-in be-nmlz:pas:acc think-I ‘I think that Juan was here.’ (Cole 1982: 33)}

  \item \textit{Juzi-\textit{ka} \{ñuka kaya llama-tar andi-$\textit{na}$-\textit{ta} kri-$\textit{n}$\}}
  \textit{José-believe-3 I tomorrow sheep-acc buy-nmlz:fut:acc believe-3 ‘José believes that I will buy a sheep tomorrow.’ (Cole 1982: 37)}
\end{itemize}

With noun-stripping:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Juzi-\textit{ka} \{ñuka kaya llama \textit{-Ø} andi-$\textit{na}$-\textit{ta} kri-$\textit{n}$\}}
  \textit{José-believe-3 I tomorrow sheep buy-nmlz:fut:acc believe-3 ‘José believes that I will buy a sheep tomorrow.’ (Cole 1982: 37)}
\end{itemize}

**Ref Mod:**

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Marya riku-\textit{f}} \{runa\}
  \textit{María see-\textit{ptc:prs} man ‘the man whom Maria sees’ (Cole 1982: 47)}

  \item \textit{Marya riku-sh\textit{ka} \{runa\}}
  \textit{María see-\textit{ptc:prs} man ‘the man whom Maria saw’ (Cole 1982: 47)}

  \item \textit{Juzi kulki-ta kara-$\textit{na}$-\textit{ta} warmi}
  \textit{José give-\textit{ptc:fut} woman ‘the woman to whom José gave money’ (Cole 1982: 54)}
\end{itemize}

**Extraposed:**

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{Kusita-\textit{ta} jiya-ni \{Juan-wan tushu-sh\textit{ka} ka-sh\textit{ka}-\textit{ta\}}}
  \textit{girl-acc love-1 Juan-with dance-\textit{ptc:pst} be-\textit{ptc:pst} ‘I love the girl who had danced with Juan.’ (Cole 1982: 51)}
\end{itemize}

**Pred-\textit{ngapaj\* (subjunctive\*)**

Remark: Subjunctive forms are used for the complements of manipulative and desiderative predicates; \textit{-ngapaj} is used for same subject.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head, same subject ≠ PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense and subject agreement. Aspect can be retained.

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: \textit{Ø} - SENT (coreferentiality) The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

**Example:**

Ref Head, same subject:

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textit{muna-y-man} \{\textit{nuka} mama-$\textit{ta}$ riku-\textit{ngapaj}\}
  \textit{want-1-cond my mother-acc see-sbjv ‘I want to see my mother.’ (Cole 1982: 37)}
\end{itemize}
**Pred-chun** *(subjunctive)*

Remark: Subjunctive forms are used for the complements of manipulative and desiderative predicates; ~chun is used for different subject.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Head, different subject ≠ PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense and subject agreement. Aspect can be retained.

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head: muna-ni [José pay-paj mama-ta riku-chun]

want-1 José he-poss mother-acc see-sbjv

'I want that José sees his mother / I want José to see his mother.' (Cole 1982: 37)

**Pred-y**

Remark: In Pred Mod function, the form is reduplicated.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nominals, small manner adverbs)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/subject agreement

Nominal categories: CASE (in Ref Head function)

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (coreferentiality) The object can remain without accusative case (noun-stripping).

**Examples:**

Ref Head: Juzi-ka [llama-ta/Ø randi-y]-ta usha-n
José-top sheep-acc/-Ø buy-inf-acc can-3

'José is able to buy sheep.' (Cole 1982: 40)

Ma’di

**Pred-ũ**

Remarks: Apart from the subordinating suffixes ùl, ëk, ët, ëté, and ësà, Ma’di dependent predicates can only take a low-tone prefix, which in independent clauses expresses non-past tense. It is not clear whether the prefix on dependent predicates is the same, since it is compatible with any tense interpretation (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 192).

In Ref Head function this construction is used for complements of desiderative predicates. The subject is unexpressed under co-referentiality; the object is SENT.

In Ref Mod function this construction is used for object relative clauses. The object is either gapped, or expressed with the postposition na, meaning ‘aforementioned’ (AFR), and interpreted as the possession of the modified noun. The subject is either left unexpressed, or expressed with the possessive postposition.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head (desiderative), Ref Mod (object) = PoS (nominals)

Structural type: 2/3 (D-SENT/D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No tense

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/POSS - Ø/POSS - OBL
Examples:
Ref Head:
Má lè-à [èbì ̀ñà-lè] rá
1sg (n)want-subj fish n-cat-nmlz aff
‘I certainly want to eat fish.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 202)

Ref Mod:
àràb [ɔ́ pɨ́ Ɂ à d Ʒɨ̄-l ɛ́] rɨ̀ pɨ̀ n Ɂ àd ɹá.
car Òpi poss (n)-take-PTC def leg afr deflate aff
‘The car which Òpi took certainly has a flat tyre.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

àgò [ti nà bàrà nā ŋà Ɂê-tê] rɨ̀ pɨ́ á
knife (n)-break-PTC def sharp
take ‘The knife which was broken is sharp.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 201)

Remarks: In Ref Head function this construction is used for the complements of phrasal predicates.
The subject remains unexpressed under co-referentiality. In Ref Mod function this construction is used for
relative clauses, in which the relativized element is a source. This relativized argument is gapped;
the subject is either unexpressed or possessive, and the object is SENT.

Examples:
Ref Head:
ɔ́ pɨ́ Ɂ ɔ̄s Ɂ ɹsɨ̄ ɹá
Òpi start [n-build-nmlz] aff
‘Òpi has certainly started to build (with) it.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22/207)

Ref Mod:
bélè ágò rɨ̀ pɨ̄ Ɂ ɹmgbā-ɹá rɨ̀ rɨ̀
stick [man def plpron n-beat-PTC def this foc
‘The stick with which the man and his associates was beaten is this one.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

Remarks: In Pred-ðɔ̀ function this construction is used for the complements of phrasal predicates.
The subject remains unexpressed under co-referentiality. In Ref Mod function this construction is used for
relative clauses, in which the relativized element is a source. This relativized argument is gapped;
the subject is either unexpressed or possessive, and the object is SENT.

Examples:
Ref Mod:
áwà ̀nã-ɹá ɹə̀ kù námì
1sg try [(n)-go-nmlz] neg(pst)
‘I have not tried/did not try to go/going.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 22)

Má ndrè ̀nà ɹi yā ɛ́-dè-kà rá
1sg see [bird def poss (n)-ve-fall-nmlz] aff
‘I saw the bird’s falling/fall.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 21)

Remarks: ñ is the plural equivalent of -r Only in non-active cases can an overt subject appear, which is
then marked with a postposition (see second example).

Examples:
Ref Mod:
ùbì Ɂ ñà-ɹá ɹə̀ kù Ɂ ɹā
IND-want pì [cigarette (n)-smoke-nmlz] here neg
‘Smoking is not permitted here.’ (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 213)
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Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Mod:
ág ə́m-à ə́ñ́ ə́i-bá ə́r
man [1PL-poss house SPEC PRON N-build-PTC(PL)] DEF 'one of the men who built/are building our house' (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 193)

də déf ə́ti nà ęgwé-rí dí ə́ ri ə́n
this man [cow AFR (n)-get.lost-PTC COM] DEF FOC 'This is the man whose cow got lost.' (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 195)

Unmarked clause
Remark: Used for complements of utterance, propositional attitude, knowledge, manipulatives and desideratives.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
ńi jó k-ə-mú ə́ño
2SG say [dir-VE-GO tomorrow]
'You said that she should come tomorrow.' / 'You told her to come tomorrow.' (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 21)

Clause with ə́i + ə́
Remark: This construction is marked by the grammatical verb ə́i and the source propositiona ə́. It expresses simultaneous action.
The subject is co-referential but overtly expressed (SENT). (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 421).
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small and derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: CASE (postposition)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
ká ə́tú pá ə́mindr ná kò-ə́i ə́ sí
3 pepper (n)eat [tears AFR 3-N-SIM leak] SR
'She was eating pepper as her eyes were (continuing) running.' (Blackings & Fabb 2003: 440)

Hungarian
Pred-ni
Remarks: When this construction has subject function, agreement (in person and number) with the subject is optionally retained. In object function, subject agreement is always lost. Only one argument can be overtly expressed. If the subject is overt, it takes DAT; if the object is overt, it is SENT.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood. Causative and frequentative affixes can be expressed. Agreement is sometimes retained (see above).
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/DAT - Ø

Examples:
Ref Head:
Fontos volt [Péter-nek olvas-níf-ə́]
important was Peter-DAT read-INF(-3SG)
'It was important for Peter to read.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 35)
Anna ə́st akar [olvas-ní]
Anna now wants read-INF
'Anna wants to read now.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 33)

Anna ə́ meg-próbál-ı-t-ə [meg-çanal-ní a corte]
Anna pref-TRY-PST-DEF.3SG pref-learn-INF the poem.ACC
'Anna tried to learn the poem.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 33)
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**Pred-ás/-és**
Remark: The subject of a transitive nominalized verb is optionally expressed as an attributive adjectival phrase involving the adjectival 
\( \text{általi} \) form of the postposition \( \text{által}' by', or involving the postposition \( \text{által} \) and the active participial form \( \text{való}' to be'. The subject of an intransitive predicate and the object of a transitive predicate trigger nominal agreement on the dependent predicate.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: CASE, nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL - POSS

**Examples:**
Ref Head:
\[ \text{János [\[a \text{kincs} \text{el-rejt-és-nl}\]-t javasol-t-a} \]
John the treasure pref-nmlz-poss.3sg-acc suggest-pst-def.3sg
'John suggested to hide the treasure.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 207)

\[ \text{Örül-ök [Paul váratlan meg-érkezés-és-nl]-nkl} \]
be.happy-indef.1sg Paul unexpected pref-nmlz-poss.3sg-dat
'I am happy about Paul's unexpected arrival.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 207)

**Pred-ó (‘active present participle’):**
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (subject clauses) ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
\[ \text{[A könyv-ét a fiú-nyak gyorsan olvasó]} \]
the book-acc the boy-dat fast read-prs.pnc girl here is
'Here is the girl who reads the book to the boy fast.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 45)

**Pred-ótt (‘passive, past participle’)**
Remark: Used in prenominal relative clauses where the relativized item is the undergoer/patient of the DC. This element is gapped; the agent is marked OBL with the agentive postposition \( \text{által}' by'.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (patient clauses) ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: OBL - Ø

**Example:**
Ref Mod:
\[ \text{Az [Anna által tegnap olvás-ótt] könyv} \]
the Anna by yesterday read-pst.pnc book
'the book (that was) read by Anna yesterday.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 46)

**Pred-endó/-endő (‘future participle’)**
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (subject and object clauses) ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT/SENT-Ø/Ø-Ø

**Examples:**
Ref Mod:
\[ \text{[el-jövendő kör]} \]
the pref-come-fut.pnc age
'the age to come' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 319)

\[ \text{[ki-javítendő dolgozat-ok]} \]
delgazat-ökl the pref-correct-fut.pnc paper-pl
'the papers to be corrected' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 320)

**Pred-end-ó (‘simple converb’)**
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
\[A \quad \text{gyerek}-wk \quad [\text{kiabál-va}] \quad \text{szalad-t-ak} \quad \text{végig} \quad \text{az} \quad \text{utca-n}.\]  
The children ran down the street shouting. (Kenesei et al. 1998: 320)

Pred-vén (‘perfective converb’):
Remark: This form is very infrequent in spoken Hungarian; it is used only in formal and ceremonious style in writing. The perfective converb has historically been used to refer to an action preceding that of the finite verb. Nowadays it is sometimes used synonymously with the simple converb.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect/mood/agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
\[Ez-t \quad \text{mond-t-a} \quad \text{nek-em} \quad [\text{az} \quad \text{asztalf} \quad \text{ő}-n] \quad \text{ül-vén}.\]  
'Sitting at the head of the table s/he said this to me.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 321)

Clause + hogy

Remarks: The construction can be combined with the expletive pronominal az, which takes case according to the function of the DC. In nominative and accusative function case can be omitted; in other functions it cannot. When functioning as the complement of a manipulative or evaluative predicate, the dependent predicate takes the subjunctive marker -j- and a prefix meg-. In the case of a manipulative predicate the complementizer can be omitted; in the case of an evaluative predicate it cannot.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained (SBJV)
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Anna  elmondta  nekünk  \(\text{azt}, \quad [\text{hogy} \quad \text{Péter} \quad \text{beteg} \quad \text{volt}].\]  
Anna told us that Peter was sick.

Anna  azt  mondta, \(\text{[hogy]} \quad \text{tanul-ja} \quad \text{meg} \quad \text{a} \quad \text{verset}\]  
Anna told you to learn the poem.

Nem  szükséges, \(\text{[hogy]} \quad \text{Péter} \quad \text{meg-tanul-ja} \quad \text{a} \quad \text{verset}\]  
'It is not necessary for Peter to learn the poem.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 32)

Clause úgy + hogy

Remark: úgy is the adverbial form of the pronominal az in the main clause (most often ‘thus’). Optionally a relative pro-adverb is attached to the subordinator hogy.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS ((derived) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Examples:
Pred Mod:
Péter  úgy  akadt  el, \(\text{[hogy} \quad \text{olvasott}].\]  
'Peter fell asleep in such a manner that he was reading.' 2 'Peter fell asleep reading.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 50)
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**REL.PRON + clause**

Remarks: The relative pronoun takes case-marking according to the function of the head noun in the dependent clause. Optionally, the construction can be combined with a clause-initial demonstrative along with the lexical head noun.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

(Als) a könyv, [amely-et Anna olvast-,] érdekes volt
dem the book which-acc Anna read-pst interesting was

'The book that Anna was reading was interesting.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 38)

Itt van a fiú, [aki-nek Anna felolvasta a könyvet]
here is the boy who-dat Anna pref-read-def the book.acc

'Here's the boy to whom Anna read the book.' (Kenesei et al. 1998: 42)

**Japanese**

Clause = no, mono, koto, wake, yoo

Remarks: The ‘nominalizers’ are in fact case-marked nouns meaning ‘thing’. The dependent predicate is tensed, but the subject can optionally appear in the genitive. Therefore this construction has a double classification 1/3.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)/3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: Tense retained
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS-SENT/SENT-SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head:

[Ano hito ga/no hon o kai-ta koto ga] yoku sira-re-te iru
that person nom/gen book acc write-pst thing(nmlz) nom well know-pass-ger be

'It is well known that that person wrote a book.' (the fact that that person wrote a book is well-known.) (Lombardi Vallauri 1997: 497)

**Unmarked REL clause**

Remark: There is no relative marker, but resumptive pronouns (in the form of demonstrative, personal, or reflexive pronouns) can be optionally used.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nominals)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Tense retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT-SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT-Ø (gapping)

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

Dakara, [tamago motte-ru] hito mo ita shi,
so eggs hold-pst person too were and
[juusu mo motte-ru] hito mo ita shi...
juice too hold-pst person too was and

'So, there were people holding eggs, and people holding juice, and….' (Hinds 1986: 59)

With resumptive pronoun:

[Sono mae ni kuruma ga tomatte-iru] mite
dem front dir car nom stopped shop

'the shop in front of which a car is parked.' (Hinds 1986: 61)

**Pred-te/-de/-ite**

Remark: Overt subjects can take the topic marker in stead of the nominative.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:

Pred Mod (see for more instances the next example):

Son san wa [hoka no nihonjin sutaffu o kun-de] shigoto o shi-te i-ru

Song Mr. top other attr Japanese staff obj unite-conv job obj do-conv be-prs

‘Mr Song is working together (in a united manner) with other Japanese staff.’

(Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 469)

Different subject:

Yasuko wa jaugoroku no koro ibiki no kuse ga

Yasuko top fifteen:sixteen attr time snore attr habit subj

at-te, oya wa kyosei ni kushin shi-ta-soo da

be-conv parents top correction loc obj efforts do-pst-likely cop.prss

‘They say that Yasuko snored when [she was] fifteen or sixteen [and her] parents did their best to get rid [of this habit].’ (Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 469)

Pred-i/-Ø (‘infinitive’)

Remark: Overt subjects can take the topic marker in stead of the nominative.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Pred Mod:

Same subject:

Ogata Shingo wa [sukoshi mayu o yose-Ø] [sukoshi kuchi o

Ogatta Shingo top slightly eyebrow obj moved.together-inf slightly mouth obj

ake-te] [nanila kangae-te] i-ru fuu datta

open-conv something think-conv be-prs look cop.pst

‘Ogata Shingo looked as if he was thinking (about) something, bringing his eyebrows slightly together and slightly opening his mouth.’ (Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 468)

Different subject:

Shingo wa kao o shikame-Ø, Shuuichi wa yoi ga same-ta daroo

Shingo top face d.obj frown-inf Shuuichi top drunkenness subj abate-pst tent

‘Shingo frowned, [and] it seemed that Shuuichi got sober.’ (Alpatov & Podlesskaya 1995: 468)

Hmong Njua

ghoo + clause

Remarks: This construction can be a complement clause or a nominalization, depending on the scope of its structural coding, as shown by the position of the marker, which may either precede the dependent predicate, or the whole DC. Since there is no difference in terms of verbal/nominal categories and/or argument encoding, this construction is classified as a balanced one only.

This construction is used for subject clauses.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head:

Qhoo cov zej zog tsiv tawm wa koo zoo sach

comp cl neighbour move out do 1sg happy

‘It makes me happy that the neighbour moves out.’ (Hartriehausen 1990: 200)

kuam/(has)tas + clause

Remarks: The choice between the two forms depends on the type of matrix predicate. Kuam is used with complements of desideratives and manipulatives; {has}tas with complements of perception, knowledge, propositional attitude, and utterance. Both forms are used for object complements only.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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Examples:

Ref Head: Peter *saav* [tuan Paul yuav lub *fais*]
peter want comp paul buy cl car
‘Peter wants Paul to buy a car.’ (Harriehausen 1990: 220)

*Kuv* *paub* [(has)tas rug yuav *npaq*]
1sg know comp 3sg buy flowers
I know that he has bought flowers.’ (Harriehausen 1990: 22)

Unmarked clause

Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head: 
*Kuv* *xaav* [kuv moog tsev *sai*]
1sg hope 1sg go house soon
‘I hope to go home soon.’ (Harriehausen 1990: 221)

Lango

Pred-(kk)3 ('infinitive/nominalization')
Remarks: Overt subjects are marked as attributive modifiers.
The construction is used for complements of phasal, modal, desiderative, and achievement predicates.
Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No aspect; no subject agreement. Object agreement, voice and (benefactive/ventive) valency retained.
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/POSS - SENT

Example:

Ref Head: 
ám *ɪ̀ttò* [gwɛ̀ɛ̀ yòò dìlò]
1sg.want.progr kick.inf ball
‘I want to kick the ball.’ (Noonan 1992: 213)

Nî + clause

Remark: The dependent predicate is indicative when there is independent time reference and subjunctive when there is dependent time reference.
Functional distribution: RIG: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (see remark)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
\[Áŋô [ni lôô dâgô tîc]\]
1sg-know-hab comp man 3sg-hate-hab work
'I know that the man hates work.' (Noonan 1992: 191)

\[âmè [ni dâkô ṣwàâlî âtîn ʰûk]\]
1sg-want-progr comp woman 3sg-buy-ren-sbjv child book
'I want the woman to buy the child a book.' (Noonan 1992: 191)

\[âmè + clause\]
Remark: The marker \[âmè\] is a combination of the attributive particle à and the relative particle \[mè\]. The relativized item is gapped. A resumptive pronoun must be used when the relativized item is a benefactive, associative, or object of preposition.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained (see remark)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/SENT - Ø/Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
\[lôô [âmè̱ mârò ʰûk]\]
man REL-ATTR.PRT 3sg-like-hab dog
'The man that likes the dog.' (Noonan 1992: 215)

\[âtîn [âmè̱ dâkô ṣwàâlî ʰûk]\]
child REL-ATTR.PRT woman 3sg-buy-ren-pfv-3sg book
'The child for whom the woman bought a book.' (Noonan 1992: 215)

\[KET\]
Bare infinitive
Remarks: The bare form is used in Ref Head function for the complements of phasal and modal (ability) predicates. The object, if expressed, is incorporated. For the complement of desiderative or modal (necessity) predicates, the infinitive is marked for translative case.
The bare infinitive is also used in Ref Mod function, but this may involve lexical derivation, since the infinitive apparently cannot take any arguments.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, modifiers, small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: None (i.e. no tense/mood, no subject-object agreement)
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: Ø - INC/POSS - INC

Examples:
Ref Head:
\[[áâ-nô ʰûk-ër] bînît\]
pl-anim-pl.gen tent-making,inf it.ended
'We finished making the tent.' (Lit: 'Our tent-making ended.') (Vajda 2004: 78).

\[áî i̱p̱ā ti̱p̱āram\]
1sg sing,inf I know
'I know how to sing/I can sing.' (Vajda 2004: 78)

\[áð-árp ãsâmâ-nâp]\n1sg-dat hunt,inf-trl need
'I need to hunt' (Vajda 2004: 77)

Ref Mod:
\[bà̤ yâ̤ ā̤jë̤ dë̤i\]
find boot
'A boot that is found' (Vajda 2004: 79)

Unmarked clause
Remark: This construction is apparently infrequent. Werner (1997: 355): "It happens in Ket that a finite verb stands before a noun in attributive position." (emphasis added, EvL)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, modifiers, small/derived adjectives)
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Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
dótam-báàm íɣȍvilde [bìnımín nàgìtnaŋ]dotam-old.woman she.heard brother.pl orphans.they.became
‘Old Dotam Woman (a forest witch) heard that the brothers had become orphans.’ (Vajda 2004: 93)

Ref Mod:
Tur; [ital‘em] kẹ̀nt
dem he.has.knowledge person
‘This knowledgeable person’ (lit: this person who has knowledge) (Werner 1997: 355)

Clause + èta gọ̀r‘a
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod
TaʃXm, [èta gọ̀r‘a] ke‘reta).
It has become cold, as if it cuts
‘It has become piercingly cold.’ (Werner 1997: 348)

Clause + àsòqá
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod
bù toʃn dòlán hà̀ [deʃŋ dòlin àsòqá].
3masc so he.lived all people they.lived like
‘He lived like everyone (else) lived.’ (Vajda 2004: 87)

Clause + PROS(ecutive) CASE
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives) ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
à-k hịp [dùrèn-bes] tiṣìtè
2sg.-gen son he.cries-PROS he.sits

REL PRON + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: Class/number agreement
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Mod:
àt gìn miṣiaw [gẹ̀-rẹ̀ sọ́g dàjàrọ́p]
1sg woman L.sees.HER who-FEM there she.lives
‘I am looking at the woman who lives there.’ (Vajda 2004: 30)
All people who lived here have died. (Vadja 2004: 30)

**Pred-s/-bes clause**

Remark: The -s suffix, and its allomorph -bes (used when the object of the dependent clause stands between the dependent predicate and the head noun) are called 'nominalizers' (and glossed as such), but they appear on finite predicates, and arguments remain SENT.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: Class/number agreement
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

[\text{mámáll dòblà-s}] \text{dim}

milk he.drink.it-NMLZ child

'a child who drinks milk' (Vajda 2004: 79)

[\text{dòblà-bes mámáll}] \text{dim}

he.drink.it-NMLZ milk child

'a child who drinks milk' (Vajda 2004: 79)

**Itelmen**

Infinitives (various forms)

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Examples:**

**Pred-s (INF I)** (Used for complements of modal, phasal and manipulative predicates.)

Ref Head:

\text{Utu-z-en [ŋŋga bolse jawal-s.]}  

can.not-prs-3.sg something more say-INF.I

'He cannot say anything anymore.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 168)

**Kemna  t-iti-čen [p'č-kh no-ka-s.]

1.sg 1.sg -force-3.sg.pat child-DIM eat-CCM-INF.I

'I forced the child to eat.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 169)

**Pred-kìlh-kålh/-kàlə'n/-kala'n (PL) (INF II)** (Used for complements of direct perception predicates.)

Ref Head:

\text{Truk Sin 'a ŋewte nita əŋ qan-kìt k'-uzu-knen [əŋ qsx-ki.]

suddenly S. INF.III-caus-INF.II smoke come.out-INF.II

'Suddenly S. saw that smoke was coming out.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 173)

**Pred-kì/ka (INF V)**; (Used for complements of phasal and modal predicates and some manipulative predicates. Applies only to those verbs that take -k in Infinitive I.)

Ref Head:

\text{Sen'agecul-n nita angar-ki k'-uzu-knen [ŋŋpx-ki.]

INTERJ s.-poss soul WAS-CAUS INF.III-start-INF.III hurt-INF.V

For some reason S. became sad. (lit.: S's soul began to hurt.)' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 180)

**Pred-s (INF VI)** (Same function as Infinitive V, but it is used with those verbs that do NOT take k- in Infinitive I.)

Ref Head:

\text{T-utu-s-čen [Ememqut met'el-Ł.]

1.sg-can.not-prs-3.sg.pat e. kill-INF.IV

'I cannot kill E.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 182)

**Unmarked clause**

Remark: Used for subject clauses and sometimes for object complements of perception predicates.
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Examples:

Ref Head:  
Qetew [ŋki-ŋmen qeq¿¿].
good find-3.sg.3.sg
'It is good that he has found the strength.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 204)

Utre n-esxl i-kiq-laxl-kiçen [ən-kesxl-kiçen muza-ʔn].
in.the.morning 1.pl-wake.up-1.pl 1.sg-see-1.sg 1.pl-be.dry-1.pl 1.pl-pl
'In the morning we woke up, and I saw that we were dry.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 205)

min + gapped clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (derived adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)
Example:
Ref Mod: T-çki-kiçen nu Nwilwejŋ [min k-çil-knen kulaka-ʔnk].
1sg-find-1sg DEM N. REL INF.HI-choose-INF.HI Kulak-LOC
'I found this Nwilwejŋen, whom the Kulaken had chosen.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 203)

qatz + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT
Example:
Pred Mod: Ewun fçora celoj ql [qatz k-nig-ʔn] t-lax-3-k.
thus yesterday whole day as.if INF.HI-loaded-INF.HI 1.sg-go-prs-1.sg
'Thus I went around all day, heavily loaded.' (Georg & Volodin 1999: 213)

Koasati 'Nominalizations' (various forms)
Remarks: For all verb classes, except one ('class 2A'), the nominalization is formed form the 1st person plural affirmative, without phrase-terminal markers. Other nominalizations are formed by replacing the element -li with the element -ka. (Kimball 1991: 273-274). Nominalization cannot take any other verbal morphology than categories related to voice/valency (reciprocal, reflexive, locative and instrumental prefixes).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/phrase-terminal marker; only voice/valency can be retained.
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT
Examples:
Ref Head: [Icó sammící-t inkab-ə≤q] veháyi-1
deer do:how-CONN to:shoot&hit-NMLZ know-1sg.sbj
'I know how to shoot deer.' (Kimball 1991: 280)

[ápabcíkí a:wb-kr] a-bawa-ka-V
law ruin-NMLZ 1sg.sbj.stat-want.neg-PHTM
'I don't want to break the law.' (Kimball 1991: 275)

Participles (various forms)
Remark: Participles can express subject/object cross-reference, but apparently with special forms. (Kimball 1991: 288): “It seems likely that the participle suffixes are added to an already nominalized verb. This supposition is strengthened by the fact that all the participial suffixes (with the exception of the future participle, which is transparently derived from the present participle) also appear as article suffixes on nouns.”
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Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker; only voice/valency can be retained.
Nominal categories: CASE agreement (ACC is zero-marked)
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø (gapping)

**Pred-

Ref Mod:

Yílahá [ípa-li-

`The orange that I am eating is very good.' (Kimball 1991: 289)

Yílahá [ám-híska-

`I ate the orange that you just gave me.' (Kimball 1991: 289)

**Pred-

Ref Mod:

Akkó á:tí [ípa-

`A person who eats all the time is very fat.' (Kimball 1991: 290)

**Pred-

Ref Mod:

Á:ti [hí:ca-li-

`I saw the person that I used to see.' (Kimball 1991: 292)

**Pred-

Ref Mod:

[yyí-k  atákka-

`It flies with its legs hanging down.' Lit.: 'Its legs hang down and it flies.' (Kimball 1991: 488)

[Talásba-:si-n]

`I cut it up thinly.' ('as thinly as possible') (Kimball 1991: 488)

**Pred-

Remark: The suffix is a connector. This construction is used when the verb modifier can be considered as
an action that takes place at the same time as the action of the matrix verb (= simultaneity clause).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Fololoháci-č] cokkící-li-n bící-li-ŋ im-ca-matáťí-Vhco-ŋ
be.coiled.up-sp-conn sit(ŋ)-sw see-1ss-verb see-1ss-obj 3stat.obj-1sbl.stat-stat-be.afraid(ŋ)-har-sw
‘If I see one sitting coiled up, I am afraid of it.’ (Kimball 1991: 489)

Pred-ŋ
Remark: The suffix is a same-subject marker
This construction is used when the adverbial action can be applied as much to the subject of the sentence as to the verb (i.e. secondary predication).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no phrase-terminal marker
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Wayybhaka-ŋ] ho-pálki-palámmi-n fly(pl)-ss distr-be.fast-adv-sw
‘They all fly very fast.’ (Kimball 1991: 490)

Thai
Unmarked clause
Remarks: This construction is used for subject clauses and for object complements of desiderative and achievement predicates. Under special conditions it also occurs in Ref Mod function, namely expressing a subject relative clause that gives a general description of the head noun. In such cases, the relativized item is gapped.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod = PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
[3ak-kamlaŋ thák wan] dìi tɔŋ ràap-kaaŋ
exercise every day good towards body
‘Doing exercise every day is good for your body.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 253)

Khòw yìak [ɔŋ bùa khaŋ]
3 want pierce 3 ear
‘She wants to have her ears pierced.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 231)

Ref Mod:
èk pen dìk [riam kîŋ]
Ec cop child study well
‘Ek is a child who studies well.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 250)

thî + clause:
Remark: This construction is used in Ref Head function, as the complement of predicates expressing evaluation and emotion, and sometimes (optionally) of desiderative predicates. In Ref Mod function it is used in combination with a gapping strategy or a resumptive pronoun.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod = PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT/SENT - Ø (gapping)
Examples:
Ref Head:
\[\text{t\text{-w}ā} \text{ dii nā [thîi mây mii kbay pen aley]}\]
but good PRT COMP NEG have who COP what
‘But it was good that no one was hurt.’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 255)

Ref Mod:
Khon [thîi duulëe] ni pen pen acaan bs
person REL take.care PRT COP COP teacher Q
‘Is the person who takes care [of the students] a teacher?’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 243)

Khon [thîi khâs pay vàu kan taam raqraq]
people REL RSP3 go stay RCP school
‘people who want to stay at school’ (Iwasaki & Ingkaphirom 2005: 245)

(thîi+) wāa cà + clause
Remark: In some cases this construction combines with the flexible subordinator thîi, while in other cases this element is optional and only wāa (‘say’) and/or the ‘challengeable marker’ (CM) cà remain.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
khît [wāa cà hās gaan than thîi-nil]
think say/COMP CM look.for work do here
‘I think that I will look for work here.’ (Iwasaki & Preeya Ingkaphirom 2005: 262)

Basque
Pred-tz(e)
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense, mood and agreement
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Damu dut [zuri gezurre esu-te]-a
regret have you.DAT lie say-NMLZ-DET
‘I regret telling you a lie.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 656)

[baurek etxean liburuak sari irakur-tze]-a
children.erg home.loc books often read-NMLZ-DET
‘children’s often reading books at home’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 666)

Pred-tu/du/-i-Ø (‘perfective participle’)
Remark: this is the perfective counterpart of the nominalization with –tz(e) (see above). In combination with instrumental or partitive case\(^\text{10}\), or with a postposition such as gabe ‘without’, this construction can also be used in Pred Mod function.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No Tense, mood and agreement
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Damu dut [zu irain-du]-a
regret aux you offend-PPV-NMLZ-DET
‘I regret having offended you.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 668)

\(\text{10}\) In Eastern dialects, in stead of –rik, the morpheme –ta is used, which is probably related to the conjunction eta and as such seems to form a dedicated adverbial construction in combination with the participial form (see Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 745-746). In the classification of Chapter 6, this is not taken into account as a separate coding strategy.
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"Children’s having often read books at home" (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 666)

'I need/want to buy a house in Bilboa.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 694)

'Money is earned by working, not by being lazy.' (Saltarelli 1988: 55)

'With Jon being in America right now, there’s very little we can do to make progress with the work.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 746)

'He continued forward without turning his head.' (Saltarelli 1988: 55)

"Many Basque speakers want that the Basque language be official in the entire Basque Country.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 640).

'I wanted to speak about matters that I don’t know.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 763)

'I lost the money that Peter brought.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003:764)
Clause + -en bezala
Functional distribution: Ríg: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Zeuk ean didaz]-en bezala egin dut lana
You.EMPH say AUX.ADV do AUX.job
'I did my job the way you told me.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 722)

(subjunctive) clause -(e)la
Remarks: With desiderative and manipulative main predicates, the auxiliary in the dependent clause takes subjunctive form. -(e)la can be used in Pred Mod function for adverbial manner clauses, either by itself or combination with the partitive case -rik. In its basic form -(e)la has a modal/temporal (simultaneous) meaning↑. The combination with -rik is a dialectal variant, separating the Bizkaian and Gipuzkoan area from the eastern dialects.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod (+ partitive case) ≠ PoS (nouns, derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: (CASE)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
batzuek uste dute [bauk oro kazeten eta kazeta-egileen egitekoak dir]-ela
some.erg think AUX these all journals.gen and journal-makers.gen duties are-COMP
'Some think that all these are duties of journals and journalists.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 635)

Jaikitzen da, [jauzi egiten du]-ela-rik
rise.3PF AUX, jump do.3PF AUX-ADV-PART
'(S)he gets up, jumping.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2003: 713)

bait-clause
Remark: The conjunction bait can be used in Ref Head function, but this is uncommon. In Ref Mod function it is used frequently, namely for extraposed relative clauses. In this function, a resumptive pronoun can optionally be added.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Hau da haren abanrailik bandiena [ez baitu ainitz xahutzen]
this is this advantage.PART biggest.det not conj.aux much spend.3PF
'That's the main advantage, that he doesn’t spend much.' (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2004: 648)

Ref Mod:
Landibarren badira lau kartier.
Landibar.loc are four neighbourhood those(esp) conj-are
Beauvem Dona Martine, Donoztia eta Azkonbegi]
Beauve Dona Martine, Donoztia and Azkonbegi
‘There are in Landibarre four neighbourhoods, which are Beauve, Dona Martine, Donoztia and Azkonbegi.’ (Hualde & Ortiz de Urbina 2004: 816)

Abun
do/Ø + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
An jam  [do an karowa ne nde]
3sg know comp 3sg close.to there neg
‘He knew not to [go] close to there.’ (Berry & Berry 1999: 189)

An syogat pa  [jogru san].
3sg order child take.off clothes
‘He ordered the girl to take off (her) clothes.’ (Berry & Berry 1999: 187)

gato + clause
Remark: The relative clause can be followed by a determiner.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Men mu gu ye  [gato man siri su men bi nggo].
1pl go kill person rel do wrong with 1pl poss woman
‘We will go and kill the person who committed adultery with our (clans) woman.’ (Berry & Berry 1999: 146)

Men mu dw syur mo syur wak  [gato nje ben] ne
1pl go go.in water at water hole rel people make det
‘We went and washed at the well that people had made.’ (Berry & Berry 1999: 146)

sa gato + clause
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ (modifiers)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: none
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
An da ben mó sarewo an ye ben kete
3sg actual do exist however 3sg neg do too.much
bado yo teker  [sa gato nyim ne nde re.]
maybe neg too.much adv earlier det neg pfv
‘Although she does [these things] she does not do [them] very much, I mean, not like [she did them] before.’ (Berry & Berry 1999: 158)

Bambara
Clause + ka
Remark: The exact coding details are unclear; the literal translation suggests that this is a balanced construction.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained?
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT
Examples:
Ref Head:

*M'a fè: i ka taga*
I want that you go ➔ I want you to go.

*M'a fè au: ka fo fo cikè*
I want that you cultivate your field ➔ I want you to cultivate your field. (Brauner 1974: 80)

**Pred-le/-ne ('perfective participle'):**
Remark: Apparently, the dependent predicate is non-finite and the relativized item is gapped.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT/Ø?

Example:
Ref Mod:

*Mígô [pasa-le] person loose.weight-FFVT.ptc*
*a thin person* (*a person who has lost weight*) (Brauner 1974: 73)

**min(u)/man(u) + clause**
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:

*Dunan [min nana Kasilikò] eye tubahu ye*
Stranger REL come K, COP European COP
*‘The stranger who has come to K is a European.’* (Brauner 1974: 82)

**Pred-tó ('present participle' ➔ verb)**
Remark: Apparently, the dependent predicate is non-finite, and the co-referential subject is coded twice.

It is not clear how other argument(s) are coded.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: None
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:

[A kasi-tó séginà] a ka dugu
3sg cry-PRS.PTC go.back? 3sg PST? place/village
*‘He went back to his village, crying.’* (Brauner 1974: 72)

**Georgian**

**Pred-a:**
Remark: Argument coding is in ergative alignment: subjects of intransitive and objects of transitive verbs (S and P) are POSS. Transitive subjects (A) are typically OBL: accompanied by *mier ‘by’ (or -gan ‘from, by’) (Hewitt 1995: 542).

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/mood/person-number agreement; aspect is retained.
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL - POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:

*minda [st'at'iis ts'er-a]*
1.3.want.PRS article.gen write-NMLZ(-NOM)
*I want to write an article.* (Vamling 1989: 35)
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1.3. prefer.

'I prefer to do this.' (Vamling 1989: 99)

'Vent the standing down of the president' (Hewitt 1995: 542)

The making use of their rights by the citizens' (Hewitt 1995: 542)
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Example:
Ref Mod:
Ager  m-i-k'av-i-a  [xel-mo-sa-`er]-i  kagald-eb-i
here  I-ov-hold-prs.stat-3  hand-prev-fut.ptc-sign]-nom  paper-pl-nom
'I am holding here the papers which are to be signed.' (Hewitt 1995: 609)

rom + (subjunctive) clause
Remarks: In Ref Head function, the strategy can be combined with a suitable correlative in the main clause. This is obligatory when the clause is dependent on a postposition or functions obligatorily (i.e. follows a verb that governs instrumental case). (Hewitt 1987: 218)

Complements of modal and desiderative predicates combine with the subjunctive mood (optative when the matrix verb is present or future tense and pluperfect when the matrix verb is past). The complementizer is often omitted with subjunctive complements, whereas it is usually obligatory with indicative complements (Vanmling 1989: 32/33). With subjunctives, the complementizer rom may also be replaced by titko(s) or any of its synonyms vitori(c) and vitori(c)da. (Hewitt 1995: 623)

In Ref Mod function either the head or the co-referential noun can be deleted, or both may be retained. (Hewitt 1995: 606-607) When the co-referential NP is deleted and it does not function as a subject or direct object in the DC, a resumptive pronoun is often used. In the Ref Mod function, the relativizer itself avoids clause-initial position and usually appears between the first constituent and the verb. (Hewitt 1987: 187)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
(i)  u`k`ve  ie-ω-a-mën-i-e
(that(nom)) already prev-1-nv-notice-ths-aor.ind
[rom es xalx-i  sando  ar-ari-i]
comp this people-nom trustworthy(nom) not be-prs-it
'I have already noticed that this people is not trustworthy.' (Hewitt 1995: 613)

Minda  [gamovatsxo  namtsevari]
1.3.want.prs  1.3.bake-opt  cake.nom
'I want to bake a cake.' (Vanmling 1989: 33)

Ref Mod:
[gusi  rom  beč`ed-i  m-a-čak-ε]  is  (beč`ed-i)  sad  ar-i-i
[yesterday rel ring-nom me-ly-present-aor.ind that(nom) (ring-nom) where be-prs-it]
'Where is that ring which you presented to me yesterday?' (Hewitt 1995: 607)

[K`inu-ii  rom  (ma-i)  ε-lat′arək-čb-ø-i]  is  negrel-i  sad
[cinema-in rel rbp-dat ifpv-speak.to-ths-ifpv-ind that Mingrelian-nom where ga-i-can-ı]
prev-sv-get.to.know-aor.ind
'Where did you get to know that Mingrelian to whom you where speaking in the cinema?' (Hewitt 1995: 607)

REL PRON + clause
Remark: The relative pronouns are eis-c ‘who’, ra-c ‘which’, and ra-mel-i-c ‘who, which’. (Hewitt 1987: 218)

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: Case agreement on the REL.PRON
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Ref Mod:
q`iela,  [eis-c  nacionalizm-s]
[all(nom) who(nom)-rel nationalism-dat]
a-b-q`u-čb-ı  samisbolo-s  u-galat`eb-i
prey-it-follow-ths(fut)-he homeland-dat ov-betray-ths(fut)-he
'Everyone who follows nationalism will betray this country.' (Hewitt 1995: 601)
Appendix III: Dependent Clause Constructions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author-nom</th>
<th>who-gen</th>
<th>book-dat(-rel)</th>
<th>burn-ths-they</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'the author, whose books they are burning...' (Hewitt 1995: 600)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ra in clause**

- **Functional distribution:** Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)
- **Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)
- **Verbal categories:** Retained
- **Nominal categories:** None
- **Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

| Pred Mod: |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| [r-e-smar-eb-i-an ra saxalep armi-is let'eva-s] |
| ov-help-ths-prs-they ADV people's army-gen attack-dat |
| partisan-pl-nom NV-strengthen-ths-they(prs) |
| 'Helping the people's army to attack, the partisans strengthen ...' (Hewitt 1995: 600) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rogorc ('as, like') + clause</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Functional distribution:</strong> Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (manner adverbs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Structural type:</strong> 1 (Balanced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Verbal categories:</strong> Retained</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nominal categories:</strong> None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Argument encoding:</strong> SENT - SENT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Example:**

| Pred Mod: |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| [Rogorc ten g-e-p'rian-eb-a], ise mo-i-kic-i |
| as you(dat) you-iov-appeal-ths-it so prev-pass-act-aor.ind(,imp) |
| 'Act as the fancy takes you.' (Act as you are appealed to.) (Hewitt 1995: 589) |

**Bukiyip**

**Unmarked clause**

- **Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
- **Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)
- **Verbal categories:** Retained
- **Nominal categories:** None
- **Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT / Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

| Ref Head: |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Énan n-a-kli | yek i-wich umu énaniny moul |
| He he-real-say I I-irr-enter ben his work |
| 'He said that I would have his job.' (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 179) |

| ch-a-kli |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| ch-e-geik mamawegasibel |
| pl.mix-real-say/want pl.mix-irr-build parent.wood.poss.fence |
| 'They wanted to build a parent type (= very strong) fence. (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 182) |

**Clause + (u)li**

**Remark:** The relativized item is gapped, but it is cross-referenced (with a prefix denoting class and number) on the dependent predicate.

- **Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
- **Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)
- **Verbal categories:** Retained
- **Nominal categories:** None
- **Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT
Example:
Ref Mod:
H-a-gab-we-yagú  agú-dak
3PL-MASC.SUBJ-REAL-fix-CL3.SG-OBJ cl3.SG.DEM-this
nehé-gali  traq [g-a-lowe-mu bbensin uli]
large-CL3.SG truck cl3.SG.SUBJ-REAL-travel-BEN gasoline REL
'They repaired this big truck which transports gasoline.' (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 103)

*buidouk + clause + -(u)mu*
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS ((small) manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Buidouk eceb ch-a-kli-]
like they.mix 3PL.MIX.SUBJ-REAL-say-like
'Like they said' (Conrad & Wogiga 1991: 968)

Abkhaz

Pred-*ra*
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/agreement
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement
Argument encoding: POSS/OBL - POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Arä iz-gä-zaa-ra]  (Ø-)r-d-br-we-ye't
here 1SG.Poss-be-ths-NMLZ it-2PL-know-DYN-FIN
'They know that I was here.' (Hewitt 1979: 31)

[darä rä-la wac˚ Čerkëssk'-qä hä-li-ra]  (Ø-)jä-s-ło-ye't
them them-by(instr) today Čerkessk-to our-send-NMLZ it-prev-1SG-be.surprised.at-FIN
'I am surprised at their sending us to Čerkessk today.' (Hewitt 1979: 31)

Pred-N.FIN
Remark: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal
categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
[d-jaa-wa]  (Ø-)xä-i-c'a-wa-yë',
he-come-DYN(N.FIN,PRES) it-head.in-I-put-DYN-FIN(PRES)
'I believe that he will come.' (Hewitt 1987: 238)

REL.PRON + Pred-N.FIN
Remarks: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal
categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
The person affix that is used in independent clauses is replaced with one of two relative affixes. -y(ə) is used
in stead of all person affix of the first declination, regardless of the person, class and number of the head
noun, whereas -ʊ(ə) performs this function for all person affixes of declinations 2 and 3. (Hewitt 1987: 200)
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: Class agreement of REL.PRON
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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Examples:
Ref Mod:
[arpəhsඁ sə dəz-əi-əz] a-xàc' d-aa-yt'
det-woman her-who(rel)-kill-n.fin(pst.indf) det-man he-come-fin
'The man who killed the woman came.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)

[r-ə’-yə-ə] ə-yə-wə-də r-ə’-yə-wə-də
teacher=adv who(rel)-be-n.fin the-woman her-he-know-dyn-fin
'He knows the woman who is a teacher.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)

[ə-xà’a yə-bəq’ə-ə-ə] a-ə r-wa-yt'
the-man whom(rel)-see-pl-n.fin the-women them-I-know-dyn-fin
'I know the women whom the man saw.' (Hewitt 1987: 201)

ş-Pred-N.FIN
Remark: This construction makes use of a special non-finite paradigm. It expresses nearly all verbal categories that are also expressed in independent clauses, but in a different form.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Almost all retained, but dependent paradigm
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
[Ye-ş-wa-sə hə-z] yə-q’a-c’a
it-adv-you-to-I-say-nfin(pst.indf) it-prev-do.imp
'Do it as I told you!' (Hewitt 1987: 120)

Polish
Pred-nie
Remark: Occurs with A-argument unexpressed under co-referentiality and P-argument SENT, but also with an A-argument oblique A and a P-argument POSS.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No TAM/agreement
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: OBL - POSS/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Proszę o [zwolnienie z pracy dwie pracownice]
request:1sg about dismiss-nmlz:loc from work:gen two:acc woman.worker:acc.pl
'I request the dismissal from work of the two women workers.' (Comrie 1976: 191)

[kupowa-nie mies-a prez Hanka]
buy-nmlz meat-gen by Hanka
'the buying of meat by Hanka' (Koptjevskaja-Tamm: 293)

Pred-INF (various forms)
Remark: There is a number of different infinitival endings:
-əće əq’ę
-ćę -nəć
-ćeć -şćę
-ćę -ćę
-şę -wəćę
-węć -ywəćę
e -tyńəc’ -əwəćę

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, agreement
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Example:
Ref Head:
Postanowiłem [kupić dom]
I decided buy:inf house
'I decided to buy a house.' (Bielec 1998: 19)
**Pred-c— (‘present active participle’)**

- Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
- Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
- Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, valency, person marking
- Nominal categories: Adjectival agreement (number, gender, and case)
- Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

*Widzę chęć [stuchać-c-e-go radio]*

‘I see a boy (who is) listening to the radio.’ (Bielec 1998: 170)

**Pred-anyl/-anal-ane(SG)/-anel-ant(PL) (‘present passive participle’)**

- Remarks: Used with imperfective verbs only. Can possibly be regarded as derived adjectives, since there are no overt arguments: The relativized item is gapped, and the demoted agent argument remains unexpressed.
- Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
- Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
- Verbal categories: No tense, aspect, valency, person marking
- Nominal categories: None
- Argument encoding: Ø - Ø (gapping)

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

*Odejź [sprzedawi-ana] tam jest tania. clothes (being) sold-PTC(PASS.PRS) there are cheap

The clothes (being) sold there are cheap.’ (Bielec 1998: 171)

**Pred-PASS.PST.PTC (various forms)**

- Remarks: There are several formation strategies, depending on the form of the infinitive. This construction is used with perfective verbs only.

**Example:**

Ref Mod:

*Mikołaj ma [złamamą] nogę*

N. has broken(PASS.PST.PTC) leg

‘Nicolas has a broken leg.’ (Bielec 1998: 171)

**Pred-PRS.3dPL + -c (‘adverbial participle’)**

- Remark: The (present) converb (called adverbial participle in Bielec 1998) is formed by adding the suffix -c to the 3rd person plural of the present tense.

**Example:**

Pred Mod

*[Stuchać-c] ubratem sie*

‘Listening to music, I got dressed.’ (Bielec 1998: 71)

**ze + clause**

- Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
- Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
- Verbal categories: Retained
- Nominal categories: None
- Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
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Example:
Ref Head:
Myślę, że ona jest mężatką.
‘I think that she is married.’ (Bielec 1998: 239)

*kto?y/kto?o/kto?e/co* (REL, PRON) + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: Adjectival declination of relative pronoun
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Mod:
Chata, gdzie mieszkały, była mała. [= w którym]
‘The cottage in which they lived was small.’ (Bielec 1998: 155)

jak (gdyby) + clause

Functional distribution: Rig: PredMod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:
Agata spojrzała na mnie, jak gdyby chciała o coś zapytać.
‘Agatha looked at me as if she wanted to say something,’ (Bielec 1998: 238)

Burushaski
Pred-(á)as (INF)

Remarks: Used as the complement of modal, phasal, desiderative, and manipulative predicates. With phasal and desiderative predicates, the dependent verb form it is declined like a regular noun.

When combined with an inherent (dative, inessive, adessive, superessive or locative) case marker, this construction can be used as an adverbial simultaneity clause.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, + CASE also Pred Mod. ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Tense, mood and subject agreement are lost. Aspect and object-agreement are retained.
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
but múshkíl bila [góo–ltir-as]
very difficult be.IV 2-show-INF
‘It is very difficult to show (it) to you.’ (Anderson 2002: 545)

Ref Mod:
[Chá–ate oó–ry–ar] bok
post–superess neg–sit-INF dog
‘a dog which doesn’t sit at its post’ (Anderson 2002: 545/Berger 1998: 171)

Pred Mod:
[ší–ar]–ar
say–INF–dat
‘when he said’ (Anderson 2002: 547/Berger 1998: 190)

[du–ás–ar]–ulo
subj.vers–come.out-INF–INESS
‘when he came out’ (Anderson 2002: 547/Berger 1998: 190)

Pred-im/-um/-am (aorist participle)

Remark: In combination with a dative, inessive, adessive or superessive (➔ privative) case marker this construction can be used as an adverbial simultaneity clause. The durative form of the aorist participle can also function adverbially, in combination with locative or superessive case, or with the comitative marker káa and the genitive case. These constructions seem to have ‘true’ manner semantics.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod (+ CASE also Pred Mod) = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Tense, mood and subject agreement are lost. Aspect and object-agreement are retained.
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Mod:

\[ \text{he-} \text{beard} \quad \text{white} \quad \text{be(come)-aor.ptc} \quad \text{elder-sg.art} \]
\[\text{an old man with a white beard.' (Anderson 2002: 546 / Berger 1998: 166)\]

Pred Mod:

\[ \text{ill} \quad \text{be-1-aor.ptc-iness} \quad \text{God-obl-dat} \quad \text{prayer} \quad \text{aux-tr-1-aor.ptc} \]
\[\text{When I was ill I prayed to God.' (Anderson 2002: 549)\]

\[ \text{neg-cry-aor.ptc-superess} \]
\[\text{‘without crying/having cried’ (Anderson 2002: 549/Tikkanen 1995: 493)\]

\[ \text{work} \quad \text{neg-aor.ptc-loc} \]
\[\text{‘without working’ (Anderson 2002: 551/Berger 1998: 172)\]

\[ \text{in} \quad \text{girit-im-i} \]
\[\text{He danced singing’ (Anderson 2002: 551/Berger 1998: 140)\]

\[ d\text{-ar-um-} \text{e} \]
\[\text{‘without working’ (Anderson 2002: 551/Berger 1998: 172)\]

\[ \text{neg} \quad \text{aor.ptc-loc} \]

\[ \text{without crying/having cried’ (Anderson 2002: 549/Tikkanen 1995: 493)\]

n-Pred-\text{an} (RDP)

Remark: This is the only primary converbal form, i.e. one that is not derived from another non-finite form by case-marking. It normally expresses anteriority but it may also express manner of action or an accompanying circumstance, in which case the conjunctive participle is often reduplicated.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Tense, mood and subject agreement are lost. Aspect and object-agreement are retained.
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT / Ø - SENT

Examples:
Pred Mod:

\[ \text{father(erg) mother(erg)} \quad \text{1-dat} \quad \text{trouble} \quad \text{conv-1sg.io-give-conv} \quad \text{big-pl} \quad \text{3cl.poss-son(pl)-dat} \]
\[\text{‘Father and mother arranged good marriages for their big sons, giving me a lot of trouble.’ (Tikkanen 1995: 502)\]

\[ \text{story} \quad \text{conv-do-conv} \quad \text{conv-do-conv} \quad \text{vizier-erg} \quad \text{say-stat-2masc:cl:sg subj} \]
\[\text{‘Going on talking and talking, the vizier spoke.’ (Tikkanen 1995: 502)\]

Clause + \text{ke/ki}

Remark: This construction is borrowed from Persian/Urdu. It can be used as the object complement of utterance and cognition predicates (no example available), as a relative clause (no example available), and as a simultaneity clause.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref mod (Simultaneity clause) ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives, small/derived manner adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
Example simultaneity clause:

[\text{Zungating-} \text{village-ill} \text{flower-PL} \text{PRET.-3CL.SG-(come)-3CL.SG}]

\text{ban} \text{dastúur-an} \text{b-il-úm}

'The apricots coming into blossom, when the flowers came to the village of Zungating, there was a custom …' (Tikkanen 1998: 498)

Clause + \text{\textit{-sén} / -ét} (QUOT)

Remark: The quotative marker takes the form of the anterior converb of the quotative verb \text{\textit{sén}}-'to say' or \text{\textit{dr}}-'to do/to speak'. The construction can be used in Ref Head function for complements of utterance and cognition predicates. No examples.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: All retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

\text{Lavukaleve}

Pred-\text{-e/-i}

Remark: The so-called "Agreement Suffix" (which is lost in this construction) marks gender and number of a core argument (which argument that is depends on various factors, such as focus). The possessive paradigm is identical to the verbal subject prefix paradigm except for one form: the first person singular subject prefix is \text{a-}, while the possessive form is \text{nga-}. However, the fact that nominalizations take \text{a-} is taken as evidence that the other forms are also subject prefixes rather than possessive markers. The construction can take a determiner and can also be dependent on a postposition.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM, no Agreement Suffix
Nominal categories: (CASE / DET)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Head

\text{Ngai} \text{[nqa-bo'rea} \text{la o-ma-e]}

\text{1sg} 3\text{sg.fem.obj} \text{take-nmlz}

\text{e-lili-re} \text{ta a-lei}

\text{3sg.neut.obj} \text{want-n.fin} \text{just 1sg.subj-exist}

'No! I just want to take my arrow.' (Terrill 2003: 352)

Clause + AGR + DET

Remark: The Agreement Suffix is obligatorily used on the (final) dependent predicate to cross-reference the head of the construction, which can be the subject, the object, or a postpositional object. Relative clauses are internally headed.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: DET (+ adjectival gender and number agreement)
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Mod:

[\text{Ali nga-fós'al} \text{a-u-m} \text{na}] \text{a-le-m fin.}

\text{man 1sg.poss-fish 3sg.masc.obj-eat-sg.masc ART 1sg.subj-see-sg.masc 3sg.masc.foc}

'I saw the man who ate my fish.' (Terrill 2003: 442)

[\text{Ali a-na} \text{so-oks-ne} \text{a-ngooa-m} \text{na}] \text{o-fce}

\text{man 3sg.masc.obj-in rdp-laugh-IPFV 1sg.subj-stay-sg.masc sg.masc.art 3sg.poss-pig}

\text{na o-lufu-m}

\text{3sg.masc.art 3sg.subj-leave-sg.masc}

'The man who I laughed at had lost his pig.' (Terrill 2003: 448)

\text{Alamblak}

Pred-\text{nef}

Remark: The subject of intransitives may be incorporated or POSS. With transitive predicates, either the subject or the object can be POSS.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (ALT-SENT)
Verbal categories: No agreement (actor and undergoer)
Nominal categories: Gender and number marking on predicate
Argument encoding: INC/POSS/SENT - SENT/POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
Yifemr pëthawonmëanr nan-bo yi-nef-t
t Father talk.try.I.him [I-GEN go-nmlz-3SG.FEM]
‘I tried talking to Father (about) my going.’ (Bruce 1984: 124)

Yifemr pëthawonmëanr [vir-bo yak-ni-nef-t na]
t Father talk.try.I.him he-GEN get-go-nmlz-3SG.FEM me
‘I tried talking to Father (about) his taking me.’ (Bruce 1984: 125)

Pred-/kfët (INF)
Remark: Unlike the nominalization in -nef, the infinitive does not take gender/number markers.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (ALT-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/agreement (actor and undergoer)
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: INC/POSS - INC/POSS

Examples:
Ref Head:
Na iñji wañf ɨ nahmëanr [baripat rhu-haku-t]
1SG thus hear.arrive.REM.PST.1SG.3SG.FEM lake.only remain-always-INF
‘Thus I have heard it up to now (that) it is always only a lake.’ (Bruce 1984: 284)

(ind -Pred) + clause
Remark: Relative clauses are optionally marked with ind, but mostly unmarked. The function of the head noun is not marked, except when a possessor is relativized.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: Aspect and mood are retained; agreement (subject and object) is lost
Nominal categories: (CASE), see above
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - Ø/SENT (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
[met-t manba-bayrne] yima-r
woman-3SG.FEM money-gave person-3SG.MASC
‘a man (who) gave money to a woman / a man (to) whom a woman gave money’ (Bruce 1984: 106)

(ind habbi kmi [na ind-kfëmi-t]
dem small place I rel-said-3SG.FEM
‘the small place (about) which I spoke’ (Bruce 1984: 108)

Pipil
ka(h) + clause
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod (occasionally) ≠ PoS (nouns, adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT
Examples:

Ref Head:

\[ \text{ya klaroh k-ita-k [kah wicts ne siua-t].} \]
He clear it-see-PRET COMP come the woman-ABS

\[ \text{He saw clearly that the woman (was) coming.)' (Campbell 1985: 126) \]

\[ \text{tesu ki-mati katka [ku ne i-siua-w se bru:ih].} \]
\[ \text{neg it-know before COMP the his-wife-POSS a witch} \]
\[ \text{He didn’t know before that his wife (was) a witch.' (Campbell 1985: 126) \]

Ref Mod:

\[ \text{Ni-k-miktih ne mistun [ka ki-kwah ne tu:tu:-t]} \]
I-it-killed the cat REL it-ate the bird

\[ \text{I killed the cat which ate the bird.' (Campbell 1985: 129) \]

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Mod:

\[ \text{Naha ni-k-ita-k ne siua-t [ne ki-pa:k ne kwah-kwa:ch-ti]} \]
\[ \text{I I-see-her the woman-ABS REL it-washed the pl-clothes} \]
\[ \text{I saw the woman who washed the clothes.' (Campbell 1985: 129) \]

ke (REL) + clause
Remark: This construction is borrowed from Spanish (que).

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Ref Mod:

\[ \text{Kanih ne taka-t [ke ki-kutamin] k-its-ki ne chumpipi k-wi:ka ka i-chan} \]
then the man-ABS REL it-throw-PRET the turkey it-take to his-house

\[ \text{Then the man who threw it down grabbed the turkey (and) took it to his house.' (Campbell 198: 129) \]

ken-aken (’just as’) + clause
Remark: This construction has simulative semantics.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:

Pred Mod:

\[ \text{Ah, ni-paxaxlwa [kenaken taha ti-kis-tak ti-paxaxlwa]} \]
\[ \text{Oh, I-walk just-as you you-leave-FFV you-walk} \]
\[ \text{‘Oh, I’m taking a walk just as you have come out to take a walk.’ (Campbell 1985: 289) \]

ADV + clause
Remark: This construction has simultaneity semantics.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT
**Examples:**

**Pred Mod:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kejman</th>
<th>yaha yavii katka derechb ne kayeh, yah kis-ki i-ixpan siwa:na:wal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>when</td>
<td>he go before straight the street then leave-PRET his-before Siguanaba</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

‘When he was going (down) the street, he came out in front of (the) Shaunaba.’ (Campbell 1985: 131)

**Wambron**

- **e (CONN) + clause**

**Remark:** The connector -e links all preverbal NPs, irrespective of their functional or structural specification, so this includes object clauses.

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** SENT- SENT/ Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Keno</th>
<th>beta-khe-n-[e] lava-trabo...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

‘And he saw that he had trapped it (…)’ (De Vries 1986: 29)

- **a + clause-a**

**Remark:** The suffix -a links all types of pre-nominal modifiers to the head noun, including relative clauses, which are additionally marked by the relativizer -a, which delineates the relative clause by cliticizing to its first constituent. Relative clauses can also be head-internal. If that case, the construction is not pre-nominal, so the connector -a does not appear.

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (adjectives)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** Ø/SENT - Ø/SENT

**Examples:**

**Ref Mod:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nuk</th>
<th>[oy-a temke-n-a] kev-e hetak-nok-ndep</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

I pig-REL shoot-3SG.PRS-TR-CONN man-CONN see-NEG-1SG.PRS

‘I do not see the man who shoots the pig.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 56)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[aliv-a ndu-n-e takhima-lope-n-eve] setelep-ke-khe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

‘The sago I bought yesterday is delicious.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 57)

**Pred stem-mo**

**Remark:** This is a “medial verb form”, which takes switch-reference marking for same subject (SS). The interpretation is temporally neutral (but conceptually close).

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbal categories:** No tense, mood, and subject agreement

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**

**Pred Mod:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jakhow-e [maa-te-mo] ka-lemba?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

they-CONN good-SS go-3PL.PST

‘Did they travel without problems?’ (lit.: ‘Did they go well?’) (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992: 19)

**Pred-o**

**Remark:** This is also a “medial verb form” with same-subject switch reference marking. The interpretation is not temporally neutral: it expresses simultaneity.

**Functional distribution:** Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbal categories:** No tense, mood, and subject agreement. Transitivity markers can be retained.

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)
Example:
Pred Mod:
Jákèe-è  [ke-è-a]  nggòm  li-knde
they-conn go-tr-sst song sing-3pl-prs
‘While travelling they are singing.’ (De Vries & De Vries-Wiersma 1992:20)

clause-ka
Remark: The suffix -ka (and its allomorphs) is a circumstantial suffix that also occurs with inanimate NPs that specify the circumstances of the event denoted by the verb, including time, location, instrument, and manner.
Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
[Nukh-è  ande-lepo-ngga]  ev-o    kav-e    nde-tmbo
I-conn cut-1sg.pst-adv that-conn man-conn come-3sg.pst
‘When I ate, that man came.’ (de Vries 1986: 41)

DhAasaNac
Clause (+DEM) + DET
Remarks: Used for the complements of desiderative and perception predicates, and for all types of relative clauses. With subject relative clauses the subject is repeated in the matrix clause with a pronoun.
The construction further takes the determiner ka or its clitic form =a; in the Ref Mod function often preceded by a deictic.
There is only one difference with independent clauses: for constructions in non-past tense, imperfective aspect is excluded; instead, the dependent form must be used.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, (small) adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: DET
Argument encoding: Ø / SENT - Ø / SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
Yú  [kúun kosolonni=a]  ku  ʔargi
I  you  laugh pfv=det you.obj sec.pfv
‘I saw that you were laughing.’ (Tosco 2001: 287)

yú  [kúun  hí  fu=ɗɗ u=a]  feɗe
I  [you 3(verbal pron) open.dep=det want.ipfv
‘I want you to open it.’ (Tosco 2001: 288)

Ref Mod:
Máa  [ŋũuɗ  ɖgiɡ  ɗi=ti=a]  ʔi  ye  ʔolg
Man  trees dig.rdp.def=that=det 3.subj me know
‘That man who is digging trees (he) knows me.’ (Tosco 2001: 283)

Pred Mod:
Yú  [ʃiio  ʃi  ku]  múa  pigẹp=att=a  du  seol
I  cattle  have.pfv det person young=that=det upon go.pfv
‘I, having the cattle, went to the boy.’ (2001: 286)

Pred-ɲ/-an
Remarks: The suffix -ɲ is used for the basic and causative/factitive paradigms, -an for the middle and inchoative paradigms. The dependent predicate has feminine nominal gender.
The object is unmarked (noun-stripping).
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: Tense and aspect are lost
Nominal categories: (DET), gender marking
Argument encoding: Ø/POSS - unmarked

Examples:
Ref Head:
[rúb  mummur-an]  ʔi  beyi
sorghum cut.rdp.m-nmlz 3.subj finish.pfv
‘The harvesting of the sorghum is finished.’ (Tosco 2001: 121)
Yu [ʔep ɡali-p ɕi]-a fed: I animal skin-NMLZ 1.POSS-DET wah.IPFW
‘I want to skin the animal myself.’ (lit. ‘I want my animal skinning.’) (Tosco 2001: 121)

**BERBICE DUTCH CREOLE**

((fu/fe)) + deranked clause

Remark: The complementizer can be deleted.

Functional distribution: Rg: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type:   2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No TAM

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø – SENT

**EXAMPLES:**

Ref Head:

Eke timi [(Ø) tan langgi ka] 1sg be.able stand long NEG
‘I am not able to stand up for very long.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 249)

Governor ma suk-a [fi nimi bosa ju krik-te-ke.] Governor irr want-IPFV comp know how.FOC 2sg get-IPFV.1sg
‘The governor will want to know how you got me.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 249)

((bi(fi)) (QUOT) (+ dati) (COMP) + clause

Remark: The complementizer dati can be deleted. Dati-clauses can also be preceded by the quotative marker bi(fi) (from the verb for ‘to say’), resulting in a ‘double’ complementizer sequence.

Functional distribution: Rg: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type:   1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**EXAMPLES:**

Ref Head:

Bat nou eke boro [dat-o mw-a] but now 1sg hear comp-3sg go-IPFV
‘But now I hear that he is leaving.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 244)

Bateni nim-te [dat-ek ma ku-mona-ngga] but.3pl acquire.knowledge.FFV comp-1sg irr come-Mona-LOC
‘But they knew that I would come to Mona’s.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 241)

Eke pan-te ju [date ju mu bifi ka] 1sg tell-FFV 2sg comp 2sg must speak neg
‘I told you that you must not talk.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 238)

Ek glof ka [(Ø) o nin-te musu] 1sg believe neg 3sg know-FFV much
‘I don’t believe he knows much.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 242)

Eni pama-te-ke [bifi date-ke ma mu danga ka] 3pl tell-FFV.1sg quot comp-1sg irr go there neg
‘They told me that I should not go there.’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 238)

((Wh-word) + clause:

Remark: The Wh-element can be deleted (only in restrictive clauses).

Functional distribution: Rg: Ref Mod = (adjectives)

Structural type:   1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

**EXAMPLE:**

Ref Mod:

Ds pugu manj-ap [swat fol me hari] the big-big man-PL what full with hair
‘the giants that are covered with hair’ (Kouwenberg 1994: 268)
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**Babungo**

*īa + clause:

**Remark:** The subject of the matrix clause is repeated in the dependent clause as a logophoric pronoun.

**Functional distribution:**

- **Rig:** Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
- **Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)
- **Verbal categories:** Retained
- **Nominal categories:** None
- **Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head:

\[ Lambi \] gi [īa yi zīzās]  
Lambi say-pfv that he-L.PRON be.sick.progr

‘Lambi said that he was sick.’ (Schaub 1985: 31)

**fāt/yīu + clause**

**Remarks:** In Ref Mod function, if the head noun is the subject of the main clause, it may be reintroduced into the matrix clause with a pronoun after the relative clause, or the head noun may be repeated together with an anaphoric demonstrative after the relative clause (especially after ‘heavy’ ones). If the relativized item is an instrumental, locative, or time adverbial, a resumptive pronoun is obligatory. In subject and object clauses resumptive pronouns are also possible, but usually not expressed.

In Pred Mod function, the construction has simulative semantics.

**Functional distribution:**

- **Flex:** Ref Mod, Pred Mod (simulative) ≠ PoS (small/derived adjectives, small manner adverbs)
- **Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)
- **Verbal categories:** Retained
- **Nominal categories:** None
- **Argument encoding:** SENT / Ø - SENT / Ø (gapping)

**Examples:**

**Ref Mod:**

\[ mə̀ yè wə́nt ɨ́ə [fāt/yīu (gwō) shaw ngū yē] \]
I see.pfv person that REL he steal.pfv bow your

‘I have seen the man who has stolen your fowl.’ (Schaub 1985: 32)

\[ hwàng ngwō [fāt rī gwōa nə̃ jwū nə̃] \]
hammer that [REL father my Pst come.pfv with gwōn,] hwàng ghə̃ liu tāa gī II

‘That hammer which my father came with (it), that hammer is in the house. (Schaub 1985: 33)

**Pred Mod:**

\[ gwō ə̀ sə̃ sə́dy [fāt nəbi wə̃ nə̃yə̃ tī gwə̃] \]
she plant.pfv corn ADV mother her tell.pfv to her

‘She has planted the corn like her mother told her.’ (Schaub 1985: 39)

\[ gwō gwōa tī kī [yīu və̃ nə̃yə̃ tī gwə̃] \]
he cut.pfv tree that ADV they tell.pfv to him

‘He has cut that tree how/when he was told.’ (Schaub 1985: 39)

**kī/i/O + clause**

**Remark:** There are two types of these "simultaneous aspect constructions": One relating to a preceding verb (anaphoric), and the other relating to a following verb (kataphoric). With stative verbs the anaphoric construction is marked by the simultaneity particle \(kī\). With other verbs, there is no overt coding. In both cases, the verb following the particle can only be in the imperfective aspect. The other type (kataphoric) is used when event B occurs while A is still in process. It is marked by the marker \(kī\) in the first verb phrase, which expresses the event in process. The verb with which the marker \(kī\) occurs, is in the imperfective aspect, while the verb of the following clause is in the perfective aspect.

**Functional distribution:**

- **Rig:** Pred Mod = PoS (small manner adverbs)
- **Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)
- **Verbal categories:** Restricted aspect
Examples:

Pred Mod:
Nonphoric:

he sleep-IMPF sim rest-IPFV body
‘He was asleep, resting his body.’ (Schaub 1985: 220)

he walk-IPFV from on road sing-IPFV songs
‘He was walking on the road, singing songs.’ (Schaub 1985: 220)

Kataphoric:

he sim walk-IPFV from on road suddenly fall-PPV ground
‘When he was walking on the road, he suddenly fell down.’ (Schaub 1985: 221)

Nama

Clause without ke (= DECL) + !xáis-a

Remark: This construction is marked by the nominal class marker !xáis (SG. FEM), which can be shortened to -s, and the object marker -à.

Apart from the declarative marker ke, no verbal categories are lost.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (small manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained except declarative marker

Nominal categories: Nominal class

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:

Ref Head:

Tsíí / / 'íípàk-kxm ke kè míípa [!úu-kxm t a !xáis-à]
And him-we DECL told were.going-we SG.FEM-OBJ
‘And we told him that we were going.’ (Hagman 1974: 257)

//túlhe 'uítulo kërë wëth [vë-/-amsà xuú-kxm/xii báa !xáis-à]
he DECL really believed we had come from Windhoek SG.FEM-OBJ
‘He really believed that we had come from Windhoek.’ (Hagman 1974: 257)

Unmarked clause without ke (= DECL) (+ RSP)

Remark: When the head of a relative clause functions as an oblique argument, the construction is usually marked with an appropriate postposition or associative particle, and a resumptive pronoun may be added which agrees with the head in person/number/gender.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained except declarative marker

Nominal categories: (Nominal agreement of RSP)

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:

Ref Mod:

[//naá 'bíanà xuú kë /xii báa 'rîl kôkëh
from that land had come the.people
‘the people who had come from that land’ (Hagman 1974: 229)

Oblique argument:

[Tíita //'úas tápa sií nií//zaa//xaa] !úas
I which(RSP) at am going to teach town
‘the town I am going to teach (in).’ = ‘the town where I am going to teach’ (Hagman 1974: 231)

Derranked clause without ke (= DECL) + -se!u/ltsíí/Ø

Remark: The declarative marker ke cannot be expressed and the marking of aspect is restricted: the dependent clause must have non-punctual aspect. Usually, the subject is unexpressed under coreferentiality.

The adverbial manner suffix -se and the general adverbial subordinating conjunction !uas are completely interchangeable, but -se is by far the most frequently used. The construction with tsií requires punctual aspect in the dependent clause.

The unmarked variant has the same meaning, but can only be used with a special set of verbs in the
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**Dependent clause.** Tense cannot be expressed in this variant (but aspect is retained).

**Functional distribution:** R: Pred Mod = PoS (derived manner adverbs)

**Structural type:** 2 (D-SENT)

**Verbal categories:** No declarative marker, restricted tense/aspect

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

**Pred Mod:**

\[ \text{ra-se-p ke kë pëé} \]
rejoicing-adv-he

‘Rejoicing, he left.’ (Hagman 1974: 244)

\[ \text{xóókxoeku kè !nàeí’úhè} \]
the.prisoners were transported bound with chains ADV (Hagman 1974: 246)

**Hdi**

Clause + ká (+ low tone subject pronouns)

**Remarks:** All subject pronouns following the complementizer, except the 3rd person singular a have low tone, whereas subject pronouns in independent clauses, except for the 3rd person plural, have high tone.

**Functional distribution:** R: Head Ref = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained (special subject forms)

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

\[ \text{mbítá mnà-tà} \]
Mbitsa say-

‘Mbitsa said that Mbaka is a hunter.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 422)

\[ \text{ndá sn-í} \]
stat know-1 sg

‘I know that they forgot their hoe.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 450)

**Ref Mod:**

\[ \text{gùrúm} \]
hole com

‘a hole that went deep into the ground’

\[ \text{màmú sàn } \]
exist certain chief com

‘There was once a chief who married two wifes.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 406)

**Remarks:** The predicate takes dependent aspect marking, but is otherwise balanced.

---

**Hdi**

Clause + ká (+ low tone subject pronouns)

**Remarks:** All subject pronouns following the complementizer, except the 3rd person singular a have low tone, whereas subject pronouns in independent clauses, except for the 3rd person plural, have high tone.

**Functional distribution:** R: Head Ref = PoS (nouns)

**Structural type:** 1 (Balanced)

**Verbal categories:** Retained (special subject forms)

**Nominal categories:** None

**Argument encoding:** SENT - SENT

**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

\[ \text{mbítá mnà-tà} \]
Mbitsa say-

‘Mbitsa said that Mbaka is a hunter.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 422)

\[ \text{ndá sn-í} \]
stat know-1 sg

‘I know that they forgot their hoe.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 450)

**Ref Mod:**

\[ \text{gùrúm} \]
hole com

‘a hole that went deep into the ground’

\[ \text{màmú sàn } \]
exist certain chief com

‘There was once a chief who married two wifes.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 406)

**Remarks:** The predicate takes dependent aspect marking, but is otherwise balanced.
This construction is used for (imperfective) object relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained (dependent imperfective aspect)

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:

Wùyá s kwì [tà klà-ghá-tà-gì, kà-xàŋ]  
Here thing IPFV take-2SG-REF-1PL.EXCL COMP-3PL  
‘Here is the thing that we give you.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 409)

tà (IPFV) + clause with nominalized verb

Remark: Used for subject relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: Only imperfective aspect retained, no other affixes indicating role/number of argument(s).

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:

Màmú ts ə̀ mə́ k-xà kɗérí [tà irí ndà tsì]  
exist enemy-PL:GEN Kderi IPFV envy. nmlz assoc 3SG  
‘There were enemies of Kderi who envied him.’ (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 404)

Pred-a (dependent perfective aspect):

Remark: Used for (perfective) object relative clauses only.

Functional distribution: Ríg: Ref Mod = PoS (small adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained (dependent perfective aspect)

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:

Gítà kàm ná dzá’tá plá-ghá-m-plá-ŋí tà dà-ghá [dzá-xàŋ]  
today then dem fut return-2SG-in-return-1PL.EXCL OBJ father-2SG kill:DEP.PFV-3PL  
Today, we will avenge your father whom they have killed. (Frajzyngier & Shay 2002: 410)

Mandarin Chinese

Unmarked clause

Remarks: In Ref Mod function, the relativized element is gapped; in Pred Mod function it can be left unexpressed under co-referentiality.

In Ref Mod function, this construction is called a "descriptive clause". It is semantically similar to a relative clause marked with de (see below), although according to Li & Thompson it constitutes a separate assertion: “Semantically, a descriptive clause simply adds another assertion to the first one. A relative clause, on the other hand, is a part of the noun phrase naming the item in question, and as such expresses a pre-established class of items with the property it names.” (Li & Thompson 1981: 618)

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small adjectives, small manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Not applicable

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping/co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:

Wō pànwàng [nǐ kuài yìdiàn biye]  
I hope you soon a.little graduate  
‘I hope you’ll graduate a bit sooner.’ (Li & Thompson 1981: 599)

Ref Mod:

wó màí-le yì-jiàn yīfu [tàí dà]  
I buy-PFV one-CL outfit too big  
‘I bought an outfit that turned out to be too big.’ (Li & Thompson 1981: 614)
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The children were busy building a sand-house on the beach.' (Asher 1982: 21)

My wife makes coffee just as my mother makes it.' (Asher 1982: 48)

Raman told me to come to his house.' (Asher 1982: 22)

The washerman whom the carpenter beat' (Asher 1982: 28)

You should tell him (that) he should come quickly!' (Childs 1995: 281)

They say and it’s no lie, that your people are your people.' (Childs 1995: 278)

He really thinks that if he cries he will be free.' (Childs 1995: 280)
Unmarked deranked clause:
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:
I stay Kisi study
'I continued to study Kisi.' (Childs 1995: 281)

he fail Saa work do ideoph
'He failed completely to do the work for Saa.' (Childs 1995: 281)

Noun class pronoun + clause (+ RSP) + noun class suffix
Remarks: The head noun loses its noun class suffix, which is supplanted by a noun class pronoun (unless the noun belongs to the o-class) and the noun class suffix appears at the end of the relative clause. The relativized item is gapped, but when the syntactic role of the head noun is oblique, or when the head noun is distant, a resumptive pronoun can appear in the relative clause.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod = PoS (small/derived adjectives)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No TAM
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Mod:
The water that he drank wasn't good. 'The person who inspects towns will return.' (Childs 1995: 286)

Did Tamba give you any help with this work that you were doing? (Childs 1995: 288)

The long letter that I wrote to you in English and Kisi, it took me a whole hour to finish writing it.' (Childs 1995: 290)

Nung
Unmarked clause
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, small adjectives, no adverbs)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping/co-referentiality)

Examples:
Ref Head:
You speak two sentences be.able all
'You can speak both sentences.' (Saul & Wilson 1980: 55)

Then he wanted to be king again.' (Saul & Wilson 1980: 52)
Lêo bàn măng [kīhm mi lái] then see PL gold be much
‘Then they saw that there was much gold.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 111)

Mu’hn və [pi kəo mu’hn ma] he say older brother his come
‘He said that his older brother came.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 115)

Ref Mod:
mu’hn kə nə dən tə dər-sləo [dər-sləo lái] she again become like CL child-girl good-girl much
‘She then became a very pretty girl.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 33)

Pred Mod:
Tú mà pəy [chūhm lái] CL horse go slow very
‘The horse goes very slowly.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 95)

Mu’hn càng có [heng lái] cá səhm He speak story strong very all day
‘He spoke loudly all day.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 95)

(REL) + gapped clause + DEM/FOC
Remarks: Relative clauses are formed with a demonstrative or a focus marker or both added to the end of the clause and/or a relativizer at the beginning of the clause. When the function of subject/source/locative-directional is relativized, the relativizer is optional. When the object is relativized, the DEM/FOC marking is optional. When the indirect object/beneficiary are relativized, the marker hù ‘to, for’ is added at the end of the relative clause. With all types of relative clauses, an anaphoric mu’hn is often inserted before the main predicate.

Examples:
Ref Mod:
Lêo vəng [khi bə tə] chīhng du tə bə hù’ kə Hong [khi mà tə]
Then boy ride goat DEM then take CL goat give man Hong ride horse DEM
‘Then that boy riding the goat gave the goat to that man Hongh riding the horse.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 16)

kə [tə-ŋə təb sən thǐ nm tə nə]
man REL lost CL stone DEM FOC
‘The man who had lost the stone.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 78)

Ahn bən [cəu-ni-ə-kəo ni]
CL house I.run.out DEM
‘The house (that) I was running from.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 80)

kə [tə-ŋə ən se ən hə’ mi] mu’hn
man REL I.gave CL book to FOC he
‘The man I have the book to, he ….’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 79)

Ōhn kə [cəu ən tu hə’ ni]
CL man I.bought CL hat for FOC
‘The man for whom I bought the hat.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 79)

Kat + clause
Remark: This construction is a simultaneity clause. The subject is expressed in both the matrix and the dependent clause.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Not applicable
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT/Ø
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Example:
Pred Mod:
Lēo [mu'hn kət hābn cāb sla'] mu'hn láo lái
then he when see cl. tiger he fear much
‘Then when he saw the tiger he was very afraid.’ (Saul & Wilson 1980: 110).

Garo
Clause + in-e
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT- SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Pir-ga-cha song ni-to-a in-e] ang-a chanchi-a
Pirgacha village beautiful-neut comp I-nom think-neut
'I think Pirgacha village is beautiful.' (Burling 2004: 318)

[Bi-a sok-ba-ku-ja in-e] ang-a chanchia
he-nom arrive-here-not-yet comp I-nom believe
'I believe that he has not yet arrived here.' (Burling 2004: 319)

Pred-a
Remark: With the suffix git-a ‘like’, this construction can be used as a simulative clause.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head (+ extra suffix also simulative) = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
ang-a [bi-ni giit ring'-a]-ko kin-a-a
I-nom he-gen song sing-nmlz-acc hear-neut
'I hear him singing songs.' (Burling 2004: 294)

Similative:
Jal dong-kan, [bi-ni ha'-rik-a-gitul].
whatever be.at-imp he-gen wish-nmlz-like
‘Let (him) be according to his wishes.’ (Burling 2004: 297)

Pred-a-ni
Remark: Even though the suffix –ni is homophonous with the genitive case marker, the construction takes (a second) case-marker according to function.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: Ø - Ø?

Example:
Ref Head:
[agen-a-ni]-ko seng-ja, an-tang dra-eminan kam-ko dak-a
talk-nmlz-gen-acc wait-neg own force-adv work-acc do-neut
‘Not waiting for talk (instructions), (he) does the work forcefully himself.’ (Burling 2004: 296)

Pred-na (INF) (+ in-e)
Remark: Used as the same-subject complement of modals, desideratives and predicates of achievement and emotion. With achievement and emotion predicates, the complementizer in-e can optionally be added.
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)
Verbal categories: No tense/aspect
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: Ø-SENT (co-referentiality)
**Examples:**

**Ref Head:**

Ang-a  [mi cha-na] man-a

I-nom rice eat-inf can-neut

‘I can eat rice.’ (Burling 2004: 320)

Bi-a  [kat-ang-na] ba-sik-ing-a

he-nom run-away-inf want-progr-neut

‘He wants to run away.’ (Burling 2004: 320)

Ang-a  [bik-ko nam-et-na] (in-e) chee-ta dak-no-a

I-nom you-acc good-caus-inf comp try-fut

‘I will try to improve you.’ (Burling 2004: 321)

Ang-a  [nang-ko nik-na] (in-e) ken-a

I-nom you-acc look-at-inf comp fear-neut

‘I am afraid to look at you.’ (Burling 2004: 321)

**Pred-kan/ka-na** (IMP-INF) (+ in-e)

Remark: -ka is the 3rd person imperative suffix, used here as a subordinating suffix with manipulative predicates. The complementizer in-e is optional with this construction.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense/aspect

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**

Ref Head:

Nang-ko  [boi bre-kan-na] (in-e) ang-a bit-a

you-acc book buy-comp comp I-nom order

‘I order you to buy a book.’ (Burling 2004: 322)

**Pred-gip-a**

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No tense/aspect

Nominal categories: Case agreement

Argument encoding: Ø/POSS - Ø/SENT (gapping)

**Examples:**

Ref Mod:

[nek-o pi-sa-ko nik-gip-a] me-tra

house-loc child-acc see-ptc woman

‘the woman who saw the child at the house.’ (Burling 2004: 301)

[mechik-ni skang-o] den-gip-a a-bol

women-gen previously-loc cut-ptc firewood

‘firewood that the women chopped previously’ (Burling 2004: 299)

**Pred-e/-e-ming/-e-min-a**

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod = PoS (small/derived manner adverbs)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense/aspect

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

**Example:**

Pred Mod:

Na-tok-ko  [nam-e] [ni-e] cha-ja-ad-de, (…)

fish-acc good-conv watch-conv eat-conv

‘If you don’t watch out well when eating the fish, (…)’ (Burling 2004: 314)

lit.: ‘If you don’t eat the fish in a good and watchful manner, ….’

[Klemen-ko rim-jol-e] ba-ti-cha re-ang-a

Clement-acc take-along-conv market-loc go-neut

‘(I) go to the market, taking Clement along.’ (Burling 2004: 315)
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**Krongo**

**ānì (QUOTE) – tīŋ + clause**

Remark: This construction is used only with utterance verbs.
The quotative marker is part of the matrix clause; the particle *tīŋ* is suffixed to the first word of the dependent clause.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

**Example:**

Ref Head:

\[ g-āā & Lāwāalà ọtọ- ń & ãndày & ānì \]

conn:mmasc-cop Luwaala inf:say-tr dat:3.pl quot

\[ [k-óc-ìiní- tīŋ & ãay & ñākkāri & g-ādelà] \]

PL-fst-make-prt you.pl plan conn:mmasc-ppfv:good

'And Luwaala says to them, that they have made a good plan.' (Reh 1985: 354)

**Remarks:**
For class II verbs, the nominalization and the infinitive have the same form; for class I verbs, the infinitive is formed without the prefix. The construction (NMLZ and INF) takes case marking when not in object function (the accusative is zero-marked). Infinitives in object function with subject-to-object raising are locative case-marked. When an overt subject is a full noun, the subject takes a possessive prefix. If not, it is expressed by a dependent person suffix.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 3 (D-AL T)
Verbal categories: No agreement/mood, tense, aspect and valency/voice are retained
Nominal categories: CASE
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT/Ø - SENT

**Examples:**

Ref Head:

\[ n-ātāasà & ã ʔ & āŋ \]

1 / 2-impf:want I nmlz-ppfv:cook-ben-tr-2.sg(dep) thing dat:1

'I wanted you to cook something for me.' (I wanted your cooking something for me.) (Reh 1985: 333)

\[ n-ātāasà & ã ʔ & āŋ \]

1 / 2-ppfv:want I nmlz-ppfv:help poss-Sarah me

'I want Sarah to help me.' (lit. I wanted Sarah's helping me.) (Reh 1985: 333)

\[ Ákù  úudà & n-ātāasà & ã ʔ & āŋ \]

1 / 2-impf:want I nmlz-ppfv:eat meat 1 / 2-impf:want I

'I want to eat meat.' (Reh 1985: 335)

\[ ñáŋ ìkí-nìinò & mó-di \]

you(acc) loc-ppfv:teach me loc-language gen-homeland

'I want you to learn me Krongo.' (Reh 1985: 335)

**CONN-Pred (+ RSP)**

Remarks: The construction is marked by a connector-prefix, which is fused with morphemes encoding agreement.

In Ref Mod function, if the relativized item is not the subject of the DC, an anaphoric pronoun is used, which takes case according to function.

In Pred Mod function, the DC obligatorily has imperfective aspect.

Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Mod, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives, small mAdverbs)
Structural type: 2 / 3 (D-SENT/D-AL T)
Verbal categories: No agreement/mood, tense, aspect and valency/voice are retained.
Nominal categories: Gender and number agreement (+ case agreement of RSP)
Argument encoding: POSS - SENT/Ø - SENT
Examples:
Ref Mod:
káaw [m- tín]  
person conn: fem- ipfv.walk  
‘the woman, who walks’, ‘the walking woman’ (Reh 1985)

n-úllà à [ŋ-úufò]-nkò-nìimò   kàti  
1 / 2- ipfv:love I loc-sg-clothes conn: neut -ipfv:sew-tr poss-mother my  
‘I love the dress that my mother is sewing.’ (Reh 1985: 256)

Hixkaryana
Pred-n/m-/-th-/-h to + - rɨ to + - rɨ
Remarks: The construction is formed with the nominalizer -nɨ, followed by the inflectional suffix -rɨ‘possessed item’, glossed as POSSD. For past tense, -nɨ is replaced by -thɨ; for negation, the form -hɨ to- is used.

Examples:
Ref Head:  
ro-wanota-nɨ  
1. poss-sing-NMLZ-POSSD  
‘my singing’ (Derbyshire 1979: 165)

Amna-ɨ-omok-ɨ-ɨ-ɨ  
1-3. poss-come-PST.NMLZ-POSSD  
‘our coming (in the past)’ (Derbyshire 1979: 165)

ɨ-komo  
3. poss-sleep-NEG.NMLZ-POSSD-COLL  
‘their not going to sleep’ (Derbyshire 1979: 166)

Karyhe kamara Ø-to-thɨ-ɨ  
quickly jaguar 3. poss-go-PST.NMLZ-POSSD  
‘the going quickly of the jaguar.’ (Derbyshire 1979: 23)
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Koseryebyaha, [r-eurka-ni-r̃i] bona
I.am.afraid, 1.Poss.fall-NMLZ-Possd to
'I am afraid of falling.' (lit. I am afraid to my falling.) (Derbyshire 1979: 24)

Pred Mod:
[Terrywynnery ro-zaanosta-ni me] kreyebaha
loudly my-singing-NMLZ DNMLZ I.take.a.bath
'I take a bath singing loudly.' (Derbyshire 1979: 28)

Uro ehtxemako, [owoha Waraka yahxeman-ti-ri wya] me treat.with.medicine byyou Waraka treat-PST,NMLZ-Possd like
'Treat me with medicine just like you treat Waraka.' (Derbyshire 1979: 31)

Slave
Clause + ñ/Ø
With the verbs 'want' and 'say' the complementizer can be omitted.
The construction is used in Ref Mod function when the relativized item expresses a time or location.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Ɂelá táh la] kodeyihshá yíle
boat 3.is comp 1sg.knew neg
'I didn’t know that the boat came in.' (Rice 1989: 1245)

metá [Ɂelú kánndh gha] tuí
3.father there 1sg.go FUT 3.say
'His dad said that he is going there.' (Rice 1989: 1224)

Ref Mod:
bejó sia [Jim Ɂeghálayeda ñ] if ? agöt’h
here probably Jim 3.work rel foc area.exist
'This must be the place where Jim works.' (Rice 1989: 1317)

Clause + gha/gú
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
[Ɂibalá líts’e qá] kegyá ga
canvas one.folds comp is.difficult
'It is difficult for me to fold canvas.' (Rice 1989: 1246)

[sah bêbl gá] ñdeygho náe’it
bear 1sg.be comp refl about 1sg.dreamed
'I dreamed that I was a bear.' (Rice 1989: 1246)

Clause + i/si/i’i
Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: None
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Ɂelá [bvhá ri]
boat 3.is.big rel
'the big boat' (Rice 1989: 1309)
Parts of Speech and Dependent Clauses

Clause + gbáré

Remark: The meaning of the adverbial subordinator is instrumental ‘by means of’.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no manner adverbs)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Pred Mod:

dene [gháts’eyeda gbáré] yá gá’ets’ederet-

‘One learns through watching.’ (1063)

Nivkh

Pred-out/vur (‘retelling converb’):

Used only for the complements of utterance predicates.

The allomorphy is conditioned by person agreement: -t for 1st person (SG/PL), -r for 2nd or 3rd person.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT - SENT

Example:
Ref Head:
If [imn-ay ḥils p’e-ny-vur] it-t’

‘He said [that] they would pick berries.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 57)

Unmarked clause

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS (nouns)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT / Ø - SENT (co-reference)

Examples:
Ref Head:

[Parf n’aksi p’fy-i-d] n’t j-ajm-d
evening 1-elder.brother come-fut-fin I obj-know-fin

‘I knew (that) my elder brother would come in the evening.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 49)

Pred without FIN SUFFIX (‘participles’)

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no adjectives)

Structural type: 1 (Balanced)

Verbal categories: Retained except for FIN suffix

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: SENT / Ø - SENT / Ø (gapping)

Example:
Ref Mod:

[NT zosk] t’ako tyr t’ey p’y-i-d’.

I break PTCS knife table on be-fin

‘The knife which I have broken is on the table.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 50)
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**Pred -r/-t/-ř**

Remark: The allomorphy is triggered by person agreement: -t for 1st person (SG/PL) subject/agent, and -r for 2nd or 3rd person. The other variants are dialectological.

Functional distribution: Rig: Pred Mod ≠ PoS (no manner adverb)

Structural type: 2 (D-SENT)

Verbal categories: No tense/agreement, aspect and mood can be retained

Nominal categories: None

Argument encoding: Ø - SENT (co-referentiality)

Ex: Pred Mod:

Haɪmår / t’axyť [n’ux-kis nloblap-d’i-kauv-r] t’y-r

‘The old man looked straight, not blinking [his] eyes.’ (Gruzdeva 1998: 54)

hoχor [ex-gu-r] mzy-d

therefore fast-caus-conv:2/3SG descend-ind

‘Therefore he descended quickly.’ (Matissen & Drossard 1998: 44)

**WEST GREENLANDIC**

Pred-niq

Remark: With intransitive predicates, the S takes relational case (RELC) and triggers possessive agreement. With transitive predicates, there are several possibilities. When only the P is present, the dependent predicate can be unmarked or marked with the passive suffix. The P appears in relative case and triggers possessive agreement. When both arguments are present, the dependent predicate is marked with a semi-transitivizing affix (SEMTR). The A appears in the relative case, and the predicate bears the corresponding possessive suffix, while the P is in the instrumental. Thus, the possessive argument in the nominalization always corresponds to the absolutive argument in the corresponding finite clause12.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Head = PoS: nouns

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No mood, person/number agreement. Tense can be retained, but is usually not expressed.

Nominal categories: Case, nominal agreement

Argument encoding: RELC/POSS - Ø/INSTR

Ex: Ref Head:

[umiaru-up qassi-nut itkin-ni-si-a-a] naluungil-ara

ship-rel how.many-all arrive-nmlz-fut-3sg.poss know-1sg.3sg.ind

‘I know when the ship will arrive.’ (Fortescue 1984: 113)

Anguip tuqunnira

man:relc kill:nmlz:3sg.poss

‘the killing of the man’ (i.e. he is killed) (Fortescue 1984: 46)

nalu-aa [qinnuta-ata qanuq naammassi-niqar-ni-ssa-a]

not.know-3sg:3sg.indic request-3sg.possrelc how implement-pass-nmlz-fut-3sg.poss

‘She didn’t know how his request would be implemented.’ (Fortescue 1984: 45)

[tkingum-mi-nik tuqut-si-nir-a] tuuar-para

friend-his.refl-instr kill-semtr-nmlz-3sg.poss hear-1sg.3pl.ind

‘I heard of his killing his friend.’ (Fortescue 1984: 45)

Pred-ta/sa (‘passive participle’):

Remark: Overt subjects are in relative or ablative case, non-gapped objects remain SENT.

Functional distribution: Rig: Ref Mod ≠ PoS (no lexical strategy)

Structural type: 3 (D-ALT)

Verbal categories: No tense, mood, verbal agreement.

Nominal categories: Nominal agreement (number and case)

Argument encoding: RELC/ABL/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Ex: Ref Mod:

angum-mut [iippassaq naaspi-ta-e]-nut turniap-para

man-all.sg yesterday meet-pass.ptc-1sg.poss-all.sg give-1sg.3sg.ind

‘I gave it to the man I met yesterday.’ (Fortescue 1984: 49)

---

12 Strictly speaking, therefore, West Greenlandic has no transitive nominalizations. (see Koptjevskaja-Tamm 1993).
angut [sallaasa-a tigu-a-ni] nigur-niar-paa
man gun-his take-PASS.PTC-3POSS.PREFL avoid-try-3SG.3SG.IND
‘He tried to avoid the man whose gun he had taken.’ (Fortescue 1984: 53)

Participial mood clause
Remarks: The participial mood endings are parallel to the indicative ones, but built up on mood-marker su
in the intransitive and gi in the transitive.
In Ref Head function, the construction is used for different-subject complements. When it functions as
such, the dependent clause is morphologically marked as an object.
In Ref Mod function, the construction must be intransitive and can only take 3rd person inflection. Relative
clauses that modify the subject of the matrix clause agree in (relative) case with their head.
When marked for instrumental case the participial mood can also be used in Pred Mod function.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Ref Mod, + CASE also Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no lexical
strategy for modifier functions)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement in Ref Mod function
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT/Ø (gapping)

Examples:
Ref Head:
Ilisima-vaa [urni-ssa-giga]
know-3SG.3SG.IND come.to-fut-1SG.3SG.PTC
‘He knew I would come to him.’ (Fortescue 1984: 36)

paasi-ngil-issinar-para
understand-not-completely-1SG:3SG.IND come.along-wany-2SG.PTC
‘I didn’t understand at all that you wanted to come along.’ (Fortescue 1984: 36)

Ref Mod:
Niviariaq [kalaallisut ilinnia-lir-suq]
girl Greenlandic learn-begin-intr.PTC
‘the / a girl who has begun learning Greenlandic.’ (Fortescue 1984: 49)

Pred Mod (with case):
[Kulturi-ikkut sunniit-ar-uar-tu]-mik
culture-PROS effect-have-well-intr.PTC-instr
‘having considerable cultural effect’ (Fortescue 1984: 56)

Contemporative mood clause
Remark: The contemporative or conjunctive mood for same-subject clauses. The contemporative is formed
with the mood-marker lu/llu followed by person markers (1st, 2nd, 4th) or 3rd person object markers.
Functional distribution: Flex: Ref Head, Pred Mod ≠ PoS (nouns, no lexical strategy for Pred Mod function)
Structural type: 1 (Balanced)
Verbal categories: Retained
Nominal categories: Nominal agreement in Ref Mod function
Argument encoding: SENT/Ø - SENT

Examples:
Ref Head:
Uqar-sinnaa-vunga [tama-asa uuqattaar-sima-llugit]
say-can-1SG.IND all-3PL try-PPV-1SG-3PL.CONT
‘I can say that I have tried them all.’ (Fortescue 1984: 40)

Pred Mod:
[Imir-lunge] siir-puq
do.hurriedly-1SG.CONT go.in-1SG.IND
‘He entered quickly in a hurry.’ (Fortescue 1984: 55)

[uqa-ngua-runt] ini-mi-nut siir-puq
say-little-4SG.NEG.CONT room-his.PREFL go.in-3SG.IND
‘He entered his room without even speaking.’ (Fortescue 1984: 62)