Decompressive hemicraniectomy in cerebral sinus thrombosis: consecutive case series and review of the literature

Coutinho, J.M.; Majoie, C.B.L.M.; Coert, B.A.; Stam, J.

Published in:
Stroke

DOI:
10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.543421

Link to publication

Citation for published version (APA):

General rights
It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations
If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.
Decompressive Hemicraniectomy in Cerebral Sinus Thrombosis: Consecutive Case Series and Review of the Literature
Jonathan M. Coutinho, Charles B.L.M. Majoie, Bert A. Coert and Jan Stam
Stroke 2009;40;2233-2235; originally published online Apr 16, 2009;
DOI: 10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.543421
Stroke is published by the American Heart Association. 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 72514
Copyright © 2009 American Heart Association. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0039-2499. Online ISSN: 1524-4628

The online version of this article, along with updated information and services, is located on the World Wide Web at:
http://stroke.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/40/6/2233
Decompressive Hemicraniectomy in Cerebral Sinus Thrombosis
Consecutive Case Series and Review of the Literature

Jonathan M. Coutinho, MD, MSc; Charles B.L.M. Majoie, MD, PhD; Bert A. Coert, MD, PhD; Jan Stam, MD, PhD

Background and Purpose—Thirteen percent of patients with cerebral venous and sinus thrombosis (CVST) has a poor clinical outcome. In patients with a poor prognosis, endovascular thrombolysis can be considered, but this procedure does not appear to be beneficial in patients with impending transtentorial herniation because of large hemorrhagic venous infarcts. Therefore, halfway through 2006, we changed our policy to decompressive hemicraniectomy in these patients.

Methods and Results—Patients with CVST and impending herniation attributable to venous infarcts were eligible for surgical intervention. Since 2006 we consecutively treated 3 patients with decompressive hemicraniectomy. Two patients had an excellent outcome. The third patient, who had been comatose for at least 12 hours before surgery, died despite intervention.

Conclusions—Our data suggest that decompressive hemicraniectomy can be life-saving and can result in an excellent outcome in patients with severe CVST. (Stroke. 2009;40:2233-2235.)
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A pproximately 13% of patients with cerebral venous and sinus thrombosis (CVST) has a poor clinical outcome.1 Transtentorial herniation attributable to mass lesions is the most common cause of death. The International Study on Cerebral Vein and Dural Sinus Thrombosis (ISCVT) identified risk factors that predict poor outcome, which include coma, intracerebral hemorrhage, and thrombosis of the deep venous system.1 Endovascular thrombolysis may be considered in patients with these risk factors, but its efficacy has not been proven in a randomized trial. Furthermore, in our experience endovascular thrombolysis is not beneficial to patients with impending transtentorial herniation attributable to large infarcts or hemorrhages2: It comes too late and cannot prevent further brain stem compression. Therefore, halfway through 2006, we decided to change our policy and treat these patients with decompressive hemicraniectomy.2

In this case series we describe the first 3 consecutive patients, discuss previous case reports, and suggest a course of action for future research.

Methods
Since July 2006, we treated patients with severe CVST and signs of transtentorial herniation with decompressive hemicraniectomy. Indications for surgery are unilateral third nerve dysfunction or deterioration on the Glasgow coma score caused by local brain edema or venous infarction with midline shift or obliteration of basal cisterns, and not attributable to seizures.

A large hemicraniectomy was performed, with special effort to extend the decompression toward the temporal skull base. The dura was opened widely to ensure maximal decompression. The cortical surface was covered with hemostatic material (Surgicell), after which the skin, temporal muscle, and fascia flap were closed in 3 layers. Patients received high-dose subcutaneous nadroparin immediately after the diagnosis CVST was made. Postoperatively, nadroparin was continued in prophylactic dosage for 24 hours. Thereafter, dosage was increased to therapeutic range. Patient C only received nadroparin after surgery, because she was operated on immediately after admission.

Follow-up visits were performed at 6 and 12 months after discharge, and outcome was expressed on the modified Rankin Scale (mRS; 0=complete recovery, 6=death).

Results
Patient A, a 39-year-old man, was admitted with severe headache, nausea, and disorientation (E 4M6V5). His history included a deep-vein thrombosis of the left leg and a protein C deficiency. The CT-scan showed a left temporal hemorrhagic infarct (Figure, A) and MR-V showed thrombosis of the left transverse and sigmoid sinuses. Despite nadroparin treatment, he deteriorated and became comatose (E1M3V1) because of enlargement of the hemorrhagic
infarct with a 12-mm midline shift (Figure, B). Hemicraniectomy was subsequently performed. Immediately postoperatively the patient showed marked improvement (E0M0Vaphasia), and the CT-scan showed reduction of midline shift (7 mm). At 6 months a right quadrant-anopia and a mild expressive aphasia were his only residual symptoms (mRS 2). At 12 months he had resumed all daily activities (mRS 1).

Patient B, a 36-year-old woman, was admitted because of a generalized epileptic seizure (E1M2V1). On day 3, treatment was withdrawn because there was no hope for recovery. She died 5 days later (mRS 6). The diagnosis of CVST was confirmed at autopsy.

**Discussion**

We present 3 consecutive cases with severe CVST and transtentorial herniation, treated with decompressive hemicraniectomy. This procedure resulted in excellent recovery in 2 patients. Before we changed our policy, similar patients in our center all had a fatal outcome despite maximal conservative treatment and endovascular thrombolysis.

The scanty evidence for the efficacy of hemicraniectomy in CVST comes from small case series, summarized in the Table. Including our cases, 11 of 13 patients had a good outcome (mRS ≤3). However, comparability between cases is hampered by a wide variation in preoperative clinical condition (GCS and pupillary reactions) among patients.

There are several reasons why the concept of hemicraniectomy in severe CVST with impending herniation is plausible. First, hemicraniectomy can remove the immediate threat of fatal herniation. Second, decompressive hemicraniectomy has been shown to be effective in young patients with malignant middle cerebral artery infarction and impending herniation. The mechanism causing death is likely to be similar in both diseases. Finally, there is ample evidence that even large venous infarcts in general have a better potential for recovery than arterial infarcts.

To obtain more reliable data, a prospective case registry of hemicraniectomy in CVST will be included in a new, large, international study, the ISCVT-2. Participating centers will report clinical outcome on consecutive patients treated with decompressive hemicraniectomy for CVST. This will minimize selection bias of predominantly successful cases.

In conclusion, our data, supported by earlier case reports and pathophysiological plausibility, suggests that decompressive hemicraniectomy can be life-saving and result in an excellent outcome in the severest cases of CVST. Until more and better data are available, however, the decision to perform hemicraniectomy in CVST remains up to the individual judgment of the treating physician.
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