Directional limits on persistent gravitational waves using data from Advanced LIGO’s first two observing runs
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We perform an unmodeled search for persistent, directional gravitational wave (GW) sources using data from the first and second observing runs of Advanced LIGO. We do not find evidence for any GW signals. We place limits on the broadband GW flux emitted at 25 Hz from point sources with a power law spectrum at \( F_{\alpha,\theta} < (0.05–25) \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1} \) and the (normalized) energy density spectrum in GWs at 25 Hz from extended sources at \( \Omega_{\alpha}(\theta) < (0.19–2.89) \times 10^{-8} \text{ sr}^{-1} \) where \( \alpha \) is the spectral index of the energy density spectrum. These represent improvements of 2.5–3× over previous limits. We also consider point sources emitting GWs at a single frequency, targeting the directions of Sco X-1, SN 1987A, and the Galactic center. The best upper limits on the strain amplitude of a potential source in these three directions range from \( h_0 < (3.6–4.7) \times 10^{-25} \), 1.5× better than previous limits set with the same analysis method. We also report on a marginally significant outlier at 36.06 Hz. This outlier is not consistent with a persistent gravitational-wave source as its significance diminishes when combining all of the available data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The stochastic gravitational wave (GW) background (SGWB) is the superposition of many sources of GWs in the Universe [1]. Anisotropies in the SGWB can be generated by spatially extended sources such as a population of neutron stars in the Galactic plane or a nearby galaxy [2,3], or from perturbations in statistically isotropic backgrounds formed at cosmological distances such as the compact binary background [4–9] or the background from cosmic strings [10]. Cross-correlation based methods have been used to search for the anisotropic background in previous observing runs [11–14] of the initial and Advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-wave Observatory (LIGO) [15], and future searches will incorporate data from the Advanced Virgo [16] detector. Using very similar techniques, one can also search for point sources with an unknown phase evolution, which could include rotating neutron stars in the Galaxy [17,18]. Since a SGWB search is by nature unmodeled, performing the anisotropic SGWB search allows us to take an eyes-wide-open approach to exploring the GW sky. For an analysis that focuses on searching for an isotropic SGWB using the same data, see [19].

In this paper, we present the results of three complementary searches, which probe different types of anisotropy. All of the searches are based on cross-correlation methods: for a review see [20]. A spherical harmonic decomposition (SHD) of the GW power on the sky [12,21] is optimized to search for extended sources on the sky with a smooth frequency spectrum. The broadband radiometer analysis [17,18] (BBR) is optimized for detecting resolvable, persistent point-sources emitting GWs across a wide frequency band. Finally, the directed narrow band radiometer (NBR) looks at the frequency spectrum for three astrophysically interesting directions: Scorpius X-1 (Sco X-1) [22,23], Supernova 1987A (SN 1987A) [24,25], and the Galactic center [26,27]. We do not find a significant detection for any of the searches, and so we place upper limits on the amplitude of the anisotropic SGWB, and on point sources with broad and narrow frequency ranges. Our upper limits improve on the best results from previous runs [11] by approximately a factor of 2.5–3 for the broadband searches and a factor of 1.5 for the narrow band searches. For the narrow band radiometer search, we find a marginally significant outlier in the direction of SN 1987A, when analyzing just the data from LIGO’s second observing run (O2). Its significance diminishes, however, when including all of the available data.

II. DATA

We analyze strain data from the first (O1) and second (O2) observing runs of Advanced LIGO’s 4 km detectors in Hanford, Washington (H1) and Livingston, Louisiana (L1). The O1 data set used here was collected from 15:00 UTC on 18 September, 2015 to 16:00 UTC on 12 January, 2016, while the O2 data set was collected from 16:00 UTC on 30 November, 2016 to 22:00 UTC on 25 August, 2017. In O2, linearly coupled noise was removed from the strain time series at H1 and L1 using Wiener filtering [28–32]. The Virgo (V1) detector started to collect data...
from August 2017 but does not contribute significantly to the sensitivity of SGWB searches in O2, both because its noise level is much higher than the LIGO detectors and because it ran for a much shorter period of time. Therefore, we do not include Virgo in this analysis. We plan, however, to include Virgo in the analysis of data from future observation runs.

Our data processing methods follow the procedure used in O1 [11,33]. First, we down-sample the strain time series from 16,384 Hz to 4,096 Hz. We then divide the data into 192 s, 50% overlapping, Hann-windowed segments and apply a cascading 16th order Butterworth digital high-pass filter with a knee frequency of 11 Hz. We compute the cross-correlation of coincident 192 s segments at both detectors in the frequency domain and then coarse-grain to a frequency resolution of 1/32 Hz. Finally, we optimally combine results from those overlapping time segments to produce the final cross-correlation estimate [34].

In order to account for non-Gaussian features in the data, we remove segments associated with instrumental artifacts and hardware injections used for signal validation [35,36]. Segments containing known GW signals [37] are also excluded. Finally, we apply a nonstationarity cut (see, e.g., [38]) to eliminate segments where the power spectral density of the noise changes on time scales that are of the same order as the chosen segment length. In total these cuts removed 16% of the data, leading to a total search live time of 99 days from the O2 run. For our results where we combine data between the O1 and O2 observing runs we have a total search live time of 129 days. In addition, frequency bins associated with known instrumental artifacts are removed [39]. These frequency domain cuts discarded 4% of the most sensitive frequency band for the BBR and SHD searches and 15% of the observing band for the NBR search. The subtraction of linearly coupled noise did not introduce any new frequency domain cuts.

The broadband searches integrate over frequencies between 20 and 500 Hz. This range accounts for more than 99% of the sensitivity for the power law spectral models that we use (see Table I of [40]). The narrow band analysis searches over the frequency band from 20 to 1726 Hz using frequency bins of various sizes depending upon frequency and sky direction. The lower edge of this range is chosen because of increased noise and nonstationarity at lower frequencies, while the upper edge of the range is a product of the filter used to resample the data from 16,384 Hz to 4,096 Hz.

### III. METHODS

The anisotropic SGWB background can be defined in terms of the dimensionless energy density $\Omega_{gw}(f, \Theta)$ per unit frequency $f$ and solid angle $\Theta$,

$$\Omega_{gw}(f, \Theta) = \frac{f}{\rho_c} \frac{d^3 \rho_{GW}}{df d\Theta},$$

where $\rho_c = 3H_0^2c^2/(8\pi G)$ is the critical energy density needed to have a spatially flat universe. We take the Hubble constant to be $H_0 = 67.9$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ [41]. Following past analyses, we assume that we can factorize $\Omega_{gw}$ into frequency and sky-direction dependent terms,

$$\Omega_{gw}(f, \Theta) = \frac{2\pi^2}{3H_0^2} f^3 H(f)\mathcal{P}(\Theta).$$

This quantity has units of the dimensionless energy density parameter per steradian. For the radiometer searches it is useful to define a different representation in terms of energy flux,

$$\mathcal{F}(f, \Theta) = \frac{c^3}{4G} f^2 H(f)\mathcal{P}(\Theta),$$

which has units of erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$ sr$^{-1}$, where $c$ is the speed of light and $G$ is Newton’s gravitational constant.

We divide the searches into the broadband (SHD and BBR), which produce sky maps where the flux has been integrated over a broad range of frequencies, and the narrow band search (NBR), which looks at the strain amplitude spectrum in a fixed sky direction. For the broadband searches, we typically assume that the energy spectrum has a power law form, $H(f) = (f/f_{ref})^{\alpha-3}$, where $\alpha = \{0, 2/3, 3\}$ describes a range of astrophysical and cosmological models [11], and $f_{ref}$ is a reference frequency which we take to be 25 Hz, as in [11]. The SHD search looks for sources with a large angular extent. We express the results in terms of the spherical harmonic decomposition of $\Omega_{gw}(f, \Theta)$ assuming a power-law in frequency of spectral index $\alpha$. We then report the energy density in each direction at a reference frequency of 25 Hz, denoted by $\Omega_{\alpha}(\Theta)$.

For the BBR search, we assume that the angular distribution of the power is localized in a 1 deg$^2$ pixel, $\mathcal{P}(\Theta) = P_{\ell_0}\delta^2(\Theta, \Theta_0)$. The results of the BBR are then given in terms of the quantity $F_{\alpha, \ell_0}$, which is the flux evaluated at the reference frequency of 25 Hz, assuming a power law, after integrating over solid angle. The explicit definitions of $F_{\alpha, \ell_0}$ and $\Omega_{\alpha}(\Theta)$ are given in the Supplemental Material [42].

Finally, the NBR search does not integrate over frequency and attempts to measure the strain amplitude, $h_0$, of a putative monochromatic source in each frequency bin independently. This includes combining adjacent 0.031 Hz frequency bins together to account for the Doppler modulation due to the motion of the Earth around the Solar System barycenter and any binary motion of the source itself [11].

The full description of the methods used to search for an anisotropic SGWB is presented in the Supplemental Material [42] and in the paper describing the analysis of...
the Advanced LIGO O1 data. We follow the notation presented in that letter [11].

The searches all generally start by estimating the dirty map $X_\mu$, and its corresponding covariance matrix $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}$, referred to here as the Fisher matrix [11,21,43]. The dirty map represents an estimate of the GW power as seen through the detector’s beam matrix.

Given the Fisher matrix $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}$ and dirty map $X_\mu^I$, where $I$ labels the observing run, we can form a combined Fisher matrix and dirty map by summing the results from the two runs, O1 and O2 [20],

$$\Gamma_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(O1)} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{(O2)},$$

$$X_\mu = X_\mu^{(O1)} + X_\mu^{(O2)}.$$ (4)

From the combined Fisher matrix and dirty map, we can construct estimators of the power on the sky via

$$\hat{P}_\mu = \sum_\nu (\Gamma_R^{-1})_{\mu\nu} X_\nu.$$ (5)

In the above equations, $\mu, \nu$ label either pixels (i.e., directions on the sky) or spherical harmonic components—i.e., $\mu \equiv (lm)$, depending on which basis is used to represent the sky maps. The subscript “R” on the Fisher matrix means that regularization has been applied (e.g., singular value decomposition) in order to perform the matrix inversion [11].

We can also construct an estimate of the angular power spectrum, $C_l$, for the SGWB from the estimate of the spherical harmonics coefficients, $\hat{P}_{lm}$. The $C_l$’s describe the angular scale of the structure found in the clean maps [21],

$$\hat{C}_l = \left(\frac{2\pi^2 f_{\text{ref}}^3}{3 H_0^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{1 + 2l} \sum_{m=-l}^l |\hat{P}_{lm}|^2 - (\Gamma_R^{-1})_{lm,lm}.$$ (6)

We have also used theoretical models for the SGWB from compact binaries [4] and from Nambu-Goto cosmic strings [10] to check our assumption that the SGWB energy density $\Omega_{gw}(f, \Theta)$ can be factorized into a spectral shape term and an angular power term. We find that both models predict $C_l$’s that follow the appropriate frequency power laws ($\alpha = 2/3$ for compact binaries and $\alpha = 0$ for cosmic strings) across the frequency range in which the LIGO stochastic searches are most sensitive, thereby supporting this assumption (see also [44]).

IV. RESULTS

A. Broadband radiometer and spherical harmonic decomposition results

The sky maps for the BBR search are shown in Fig. 1, and for the SHD search in Fig. 2. Converting maps from the spherical harmonics basis [i.e., $\mu = (lm)$] to the pixel basis is discussed in detail in [21]. Each column indicates a different value of the spectral index, $\alpha$. The top row shows a map of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for each sky direction. The SNR sky maps are consistent with Gaussian noise (see the $p$-values given in Table I). Consequently, we place upper limits on the amount of GW power in each pixel using the methods outlined in [45]. The bottom rows of Figs. 1 and 2 show maps of these upper limits for the BBR and SHD analyses, respectively. The minimum and maximum 95% confidence upper limits across all pixels for both the BBR and SHD searches are shown in Table I. These limits represent a median improvement across the sky of 2.6–2.7 for the BBR search and 2.8–3 for the SHD search, depending on the power-law spectral index, $\alpha$.

B. Limits on angular power spectra

We also use the maps from the SHD analysis to set upper limits on the angular power spectrum components, $C_l$. 

FIG. 1. Broadband radiometer maps illustrating a search for pointlike sources. The top row shows maps of SNR, while the bottom row shows maps of the upper limits at 95% confidence on energy flux $F_{\alpha, \Theta}$, [erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$]. Three different power-law indices, $\alpha = 0, 2/3$ and 3, are represented from left to right. The $p$-values associated with the maximum SNR are (from left to right) $p = 9\%, p = 20\%, p = 66\%$ (see Table I).
The upper limits are shown for three spectral indices in Fig. 3. The upper limit for $\alpha = 2/3$ can be compared with theoretical predictions in the literature for the SGWB from compact binaries [4,6,46]. In particular, the calculation in Refs. [4,46] gives $C_1^{1/2} \approx 3 \times 10^{-11}$ sr$^{-1}$ for $1 \leq l \leq 4$ (the calculation in Ref. [6] gives values that are $\sim 10\times$ smaller). Similarly, the upper limit for $\alpha = 0$ can be compared with predictions for the SGWB from Nambu-Goto cosmic strings in Ref. [10], using the same models for the string network as in Ref. [47]. Assuming the isotropic component of the cosmic string SGWB is consistent with the upper limits set by LIGO’s second observing run [40], the dipole ($l = 1$) can be as large as $C_1^{1/2} \approx 10^{-10}$ sr$^{-1}$, though the values for higher multipoles $l > 1$ are many orders of magnitude smaller. These predictions are therefore consistent with the upper limits obtained here and present an important target for future observing runs.

Looking forward towards the prospect of detection, it is important to note that the finite sampling of the Galaxy distribution and the compact binary coalescence event rate induces a shot noise in the anisotropies of the astrophysical GW background. As it has been recently shown [48] this shot noise leads to a scale-invariant bias term in the angular power spectrum $C_l$ and it scales with observing time. Such a bias will dominate over the true cosmological power spectrum, which to be recovered will need either sufficiently long observing times or subtraction of the foreground.

C. Narrow band radiometer results

The narrow band radiometer search estimates the strain amplitude, $h_0$, of a potential source of GWs in three different directions. The maximum SNR across the frequency band and an estimate of the significance of that

| TABLE I. Search information for BBR and SHD. On the left side of the table we show the value of the power-law spectral index, $\alpha$, and the scaling of $\Omega_{gw}$ and $H(f)$ with frequency. To the right we show results for the broadband radiometer (BBR) and spherical harmonic decomposition (SHD) searches for the combined O1 and O2 analysis, as well as the results from O1 for comparison. We show the maximum SNR across all sky positions for each spectral index, as well as an estimated $p$-value. We also show the range of 95\% upper limits on energy flux set by the BBR search across the whole sky [erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Hz$^{-1}$] and the SHD range of upper limits on normalized energy density across the whole sky [sr$^{-1}$]. These limits use data from both O1 and O2. The median improvement across the sky compared to limits set in O1 is 2.6–2.7 for the BBR search and 2.8–3 for the SHD search, depending on power-law spectral index. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $\alpha$ | $\Omega_{gw}$ | $H(f)$ | Max SNR (% $p$-value) | Upper limit ranges | O1 Upper limit ranges |
| | | | BBR | SHD | BBR | SHD | BBR | SHD |
| 0 | constant | $\propto f^{-3}$ | 3.09 (9) | 2.98 (9) | 4.4–25 | 0.78–2.90 | 15–65 | 3.2–8.7 |
| 2/3 | $\propto f^{2/3}$ | $\propto f^{-7/3}$ | 3.09 (20) | 2.61 (31) | 2.3–14 | 0.64–2.47 | 7.9–39 | 2.5–6.7 |
| 3 | $\propto f^{3}$ | constant | 3.27 (66) | 3.57 (27) | 0.05–0.33 | 0.19–1.1 | 0.14–1.1 | 0.5–3.1 |
SNR for each direction are shown in Table II. The uncertainty on the frequency for the SNR reported in Table II is a reflection of the original (uncombined) frequency bin width. The ephemeris for Scorpius X-1 has been updated since the publication of [11], and so the search presented below assumes a projected semimajor axis, $a_0$, in the center of the range presented by [49].

In the direction of Sco X-1 and the Galactic center, the maximum SNR is consistent with what one expects from Gaussian noise. In the direction of SN 1987A, there is a frequency bin with a 1-sided, single-direction $p$-value 1.7% at 181.8 Hz. This $p$-value includes a trials factor for the number of frequency bins in the analysis. Under the assumption that we search over three independent directions, an extra trials factor would be applied and this $p$-value rises to 5%. Therefore, we find no compelling evidence for GWs from the analysis that combines frequency bins together. We set 95% upper limits on the strain amplitude of a putative sinusoidal gravitational wave signal, $h_0$, in each individual frequency bin, taking into account any Doppler modulation in the signal as well as marginalizing over inclination angle and polarization angle of the source [11]. These limits, along with the 1σ sensitivity of the search, are shown in Fig. 4. To avoid reporting our best limits from downward fluctuations of noise, we take a running median over each 1 Hz frequency band and report the best limit on $h_0$ and the frequency band of that limit in Table II.

The best limits on Sco-X1 set in this paper are higher than the best limit set in O1 using a model-based cross-correlation method [22] and are now lower than those set using hidden Markov model tracking [23]. The torque-balance limit, set by assuming that torque due to accretion is equal to the braking torque due to GW emission, is still around a factor of 5 lower than the limits set in this paper. The best limits on $h_0$ in the direction of the Galactic center and SN 1987A are generally higher than previous modeled searches for isolated neutron stars [24,26,27,50,51] in the frequency bands where those analyses overlap with the one presented here. This search spans a wider frequency band (20–1726 Hz) than any one of those individual analyses. It is important to note that the search presented in this paper is inherently unmodeled, meaning it makes no assumption about the phase evolution of a potential signal past time scales of 192 s.

V. OUTLIER AT 36.06 Hz IN THE O2 DATA

In the process of performing the narrow band radiometer search, a natural intermediate step of the analysis is to look directly at the 0.03125 Hz bins for the O2 data, before combining with O1 and before combining over adjacent bins to account for Doppler modulation. We call these “sub-bins”. For this intermediate data product, the maximum SNRs for the Galactic center, Sco X-1, and SN 1987A are 4.6, 4.3, and 5.3, respectively. These first two values correspond to $p$-values greater than 5%, consistent with Gaussian noise. But for SN 1987A, the maximum SNR of 5.3 at 36.0625 Hz has a corresponding $p$-value of 0.27%, or 3σ, which is marginally significant.

Assuming that the maximum SNR is due to a pulsar which is spinning down due to GW emission, we can relate the observed strain $h_0 = 7.3 \times 10^{-25}$ (assuming circular polarization) at $f = 36.06$ Hz to other parameters describing the pulsar,

$$h_0 = \frac{4\pi G I_{\text{z}} f^2}{c^4} \frac{1}{r}, \quad \dot{f} = -\frac{G}{5\pi c^2} e^2 I_{\text{z}} (2\pi f)^5. \quad (7)$$

We use a fiducial value for the moment of inertia $I_{\text{z}} = 10^{39}$ kg m$^2$. If the source is associated with SN 1987A, then the distance to Earth is approximately $r = 51$ kpc [52,53], leading to an ellipticity $e = 3 \times 10^{-2}$ and spin down $\dot{f} = -7.7 \times 10^{-8}$ Hz/s. But this value of the spin down parameter is inconsistent with the fact that the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direction</th>
<th>Max SNR</th>
<th>$p$-value (%)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz) (±0.016 Hz)</th>
<th>Best UL ($\times 10^{-25}$)</th>
<th>Frequency band (Hz)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sco X-1</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1602.09</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>183.6–184.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SN 1987A</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>181.81</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>247.75–248.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactic center</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>20.28</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>156.8–157.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Results for the narrow band radiometer search. We give the maximum SNR, corresponding $p$-value, and the frequency bin of the maximum SNR for each direction in which we searched. We also give the best 95% GW strain upper limits achieved, and the corresponding frequency band, for all three sky locations. The best upper limits are taken as the median of the most sensitive 1 Hz band.
signal is seen in only one frequency bin. For the signal to remain in a single frequency bin, it either needs to have some balancing torque, perhaps from accretion [54], or the signal would need to be at $r \lesssim 1$ kpc (corresponding to $f \approx 2.9 \times 10^{-11}$ Hz/s). In the latter case, the ellipticity $e = 5 \times 10^{-4}$ is still much larger than that predicted for typical pulsars. An ellipticity this large is unlikely to be caused by elastic deformations [55], but could in principle be caused by large internal magnetic fields [56–58], especially if the protons form a type II superconductor [59]. It is important to note that an all-sky search for continuous GWs from isolated systems has set limits more stringent than our estimate of $h_0$ for this outlier [51].

Using the techniques described in [39], we have not been able to identify a coherent instrumental witness channel that would explain this large SNR. But the fact that the sky direction of the maximum SNR is close to the equatorial pole is consistent with the behavior of instrumental noise lines, since the equatorial poles have no sidereal-time modulation. The signal appears to turn on during O2, with the SNR exceeding 1 on March 13th, 2017, as shown in Fig. 5. In addition, the signal does not exhibit any significant short-term nonstationarity, indicating that this outlier is not generated from a small number of misbehaved time chunks with large SNRs. The turn-on feature of the cumulative SNR is not evidence of a real signal, however, as we have performed simulations of Gaussian noise conditioned on getting a maximum SNR $\geq 5$ and have found examples where a turn-on like this can be produced. In addition, upon combining O2 and O1 data together, the SNR of this frequency bin is reduced to 4.7, which corresponds to a $p$-value of 10%, which is consistent with noise.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have placed upper limits on the anisotropic SGWB using three complementary methods. In each case we do not find conclusive evidence for a GW signal, and so we place upper limits by combining data from Advanced LIGO's first and second observing runs. A marginal outlier at a frequency of 36.06 Hz was seen by the narrow band radiometer search in O2 in the direction of SN 1987A; however it does not appear in the combined O1+O2 data and is not consistent with a persistent signal. We will continue to monitor this particular frequency bin during the next observing run, taking advantage of the greater confidence that comes with increased observation periods and more sensitive detectors.

In the future, the anisotropic searches will include data from Advanced Virgo as well and can be used to study specific astrophysical models. Additionally, new algorithms can take advantage of folded data to produce a wider search of every frequency and sky position [60–63].
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[42] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.062001 includes technical information related to the search that was performed, including more mathematical details.
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