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In the expanding field of nutritional metabolomics the use of optimized and robust strategies are essential to find meaningful and significant results. Data from nutritional studies can be extremely large, multidimensional and complex. Moreover the data may hide just a subtle biological variation due to the nutritional treatment, and the effect may be highly variable across individuals. Usually the treatment effects are much smaller than the biological variations between individuals. A properly chosen experimental design and a well-adapted data analysis strategy are therefore essential to ensure that the intended information in the data can be assessed and that the biological question of interest can be answered.

Nutritional interventions studies, in which the bioavailability and/or the bioactivity of dietary ingredients are investigated, are usually designed as crossover studies. A major benefit of the crossover design is that each individual acts as his own control. This feature allows for the direct comparison of treatments, and is particularly efficient in the presence of large between-individual variation [1]. A specific method which is capable to cope with the crossover structure in metabolomics data is Multilevel Data Analysis (MLDA) [2]. This recently introduced method permits a separate analysis of the between-individual variation and the within-individual variation in the data (Fig. 1). The basic principle of MLDA relies on the variation splitting property of ANOVA, and can be considered as the megavariate extension of a paired t-test. In crossover designed metabolic experiments the use of MLDA is preferred over classical megavariate data analysis methods such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA) or Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA). An important limitation of using these megavariate methods is that the paired structure in the data is not taken into account. Consequently, the induced variation due to the nutritional treatment is often largely overwhelmed by the biological...
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Fig. 1: Urinary 600 MHz 1H NMR spectra obtained from four individuals after placebo intervention (black) and grape/wine extract intervention (red). In the spectral region 0.05–1.50 ppm, the biological variation between the four data-pairs is illustrated (note that the variation due to treatment is much smaller than the variation between individuals). In the region 7.45–7.50 ppm, the effect of the grape/wine treatment within the individuals is shown by the systematic increase of hippuric acid.

Fig. 2: PCA between-individual scores of (a) the 1st and 4th PC and (b) the 2nd and 4th PC. The score plots reflect the biological (gender) and experimental (batch-to-batch) variation in the study group, respectively. The (c) NMR signals that increased significantly with the treatment effect (d) can be assigned to relative small phenolic compounds that derive from gut microbial polyphenol metabolism.

study group, whereas the PC2-PC4 score plot in figure 2b reveals a distinction between two different NMR runs.

To find systematic differences among the intervention groups, multilevel PLS-DA on the within-individual data was used. The results from the multilevel PLS-DA analysis on the within-individual differences show that the levels of a few phenolic metabolites were significantly increased in the urine after the treatment (fig. 2c). Remarkably, these metabolites could not be identified significantly in an original PLS-DA approach. Among the observed metabolites, we found hippuric acid as the strongest biomarker for the intake of the grape/wine extract. As shown in figure 2d, hippuric acid is represented by three signals in the aromatic region (δ 7.83 ppm, d, CH₃/CH₂ δ 7.64 ppm, t, CH₄ δ 7.55 ppm, t, CH(CH₃). The presence of hippuric acid is in agreement with previously reported studies where the metabolic impact of polyphenolic-rich diets was studied [4–7]. Hippuric acid is thought to be the metabolic end-product of flavonoid degradation by the gut microbiota. Besides hippuric acid also other phenolic compounds were significantly elevated in the urine, i.e. 4-hydroxy/hippuric acid and 4-hydroxyphenylacetic acid. Like hippuric acid these phenolic acids are known gut microbial fermentation products of flavonoids.

Recently we were also able to integrate MLDA in a pharmacokinetic experiment. In a crossover designed, placebo controlled, intervention study, a human study group was investigated upon the temporal, urinary excretion of polyphenolic metabolites after the intake of black tea solids. Investigation of these urinary metabolites was performed using the 1H NMR and GC/MS urinary profiles. Due to the integration of experimental design information in the data analysis it was possible to uncover a considerable list of gut mediated metabolites. Among the metabolites we could identify phenolic intermediates such as 1,2,3-trihydroxybenzene, 1,3-dihydroxynaphthalene, 4-0-methylgallic acid, gallic acid, hippuric acid and 4-hydroxyhippuric acid. The excretion in the
The large variation that can be observed in the excretion profiles indicates that polyphenol metabolism is extremely liable to individual differences. For nutritionists this observation is essential to assess the intended efficacy and activity of the nutritional dose. Hence, it is plausible that a major consistency in nutrigenetic responses also leads to a major consistency in physiological responses (e.g. blood pressure, cholesterol lowering etc.). Large inter-individual variations in nutrigenetic responses on the other hand may be prognostic for large variations in physiological responses. In order to have a quantitative description of the inter-individual differences, we estimated the quantities of three pharmacokinetic parameters for each of the identified biomarkers, and for each individual. These parameters include (i) the cumulative urinary output after 48 hours, (ii) the rate constant of the excretion and (iii) the lag time. A first order kinetic model was fitted to the excretion curves to estimate the quantities of these pharmacokinetic parameters [8]. Also here, we used the crossover structure in the data for optimal model fitting through a simultaneous parameterisation of the excretion curves derived from the placebo period and the treatment period. The pharmacokinetic quantities derived from the parameterization allow distinguishing between different metabolic phenotypes. An example is given in figure 3b where the total output of hippuric acid, 4-hydroxyhippuric acid and 1,3-dihydioxyphenyl-2-O-sulphate after 48 hours were used to distinguish between poor and strong metabolizers. The ability to subdivide subgroups is the fundamental principle in personalized nutrition which aims for appropriate treatment and dosing to humans on the individual level [9].

In conclusion, in nutritional intervention studies where the treatment effects are typically subtle and liable to large variations between individuals, crossover designs are often used to improve the interpretability and information yield. The paired structure in data obtained from such designs is ignored by the default data analysis methods as PCA and PLSDA. Specifically developed multilevel data analysis methods are able to separate the treatment effect from the biological variation between the individuals and therefore can study the treatment effect in much more detail. Also in kinetic studies a proper selection of the experimental design and the associated data analysis method may improve the estimation of the kinetic parameters. We demonstrated that a crossover designed pharmacokinetic study in combination with a customized data analysis approach is indispensable for the (untargeted) selection of biomarkers, as well as for the pair-wise parameterization of the pharmacokinetic parameters.
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