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Acute lung injury or its more severe form—acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)—are common and important intensive care syndromes affecting many patients. Acute lung injury is characterised by damage to the alveolar-capillary membrane resulting in alveolar flooding, pulmonary inflammation and alveolar coagulopathy, and changes in surfactant properties with severe impairment of oxygenation and respiratory failure mandating mechanical ventilation. It is rarely present at the time of hospital admission but develops over a period of hours to days in subsets of patients with predisposing conditions such as trauma, shock or sepsis, and accompanying interventions including mechanical ventilation and transfusion.1 Acute lung injury may therefore be viewed as a potentially preventable complication. Indeed, implementation of acute lung injury prevention strategies such as lung-protective mechanical ventilation using normal-sized tidal volumes and restrictive blood transfusion leads to a significant decrease in acute lung injury and mortality of mechanically ventilated patients.2 Pharmacotherapies targeting progression to acute lung injury may also benefit patients at risk of this complication. One such preventive strategy might be attenuation of pulmonary coagulopathy.

**COAGULOPATHY IN ACUTE LUNG INJURY**

Recent studies have shown that prominent changes in alveolar fibrin turnover are intrinsic to acute lung injury.3 The profile and extent of these changes vary with the severity of the injury.4 The mechanisms that contribute to pulmonary coagulopathy in acute lung injury are supposed to be similar to those found in the intravascular spaces in severe systemic inflammation (eg, sepsis or septic shock).5,7 Indeed, in acute lung injury, alveolar thrombin generation seems to be mediated, at least in part, by the tissue factor (TF)–factor VIIa (FVIIa) pathway, as the alveolar epithelium has been found to initiate TF-dependent intravascular coagulation in response to inflammation.3 Patients with ARDS show an increase in soluble TF, FVIIa and TF-dependent factor X activation in bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid.6 Similarly, patients who develop ventilator-associated pneumonia have a rise in soluble TF and FVII in BAL fluid over time.7 Furthermore, in ARDS, inhibition of the TF–FVIIa pathway completely abrogates intrapulmonary fibrin deposition.9 In association with enhanced fibrin production, fibrinolytic activity is depressed in BAL fluid of patients with acute lung injury or ARDS, related to raised levels of plasminogen activator inhibitor 1 (PAI-1), the main inhibitor of fibrinolysis. PAI-1 is increased in acute lung injury and is probably secreted by lung epithelial cells, fibroblasts and endothelial cells.10,11 Patients at risk of ventilator-associated pneumonia show similar changes in pulmonary fibrin breakdown.7 Although the lung has only a limited capacity to produce protein C, activated protein C (APC) system is present in BAL fluid.12 The protein C system is suppressed in patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia and acute lung injury or ARDS.14

**ANTICOAGULANT TREATMENT IN CRITICAL CARE PRACTICE**

Recombinant human activated protein C for severe sepsis and septic shock

Intravascular fibrin formation with sepsis is thought to perpetuate diffuse
endothelial damage in multiple organs, contributing to multiple organ dysfunction and eventually death. Three recent randomised controlled trials studied the effect of recombinant human (rh)-APC infusion in sepsis. One trial (PROWESS) reported a significant reduction in mortality with the use rh-APC in patients with sepsis. Two subsequent trials (ADDRESS and RESOLVE) were negative, showing no reduction in mortality. These latter two trials, however, can neither be seen as confirmatory nor “negative” for several reasons. First, the study populations were different from the population in the initial trial. Indeed, because the ADDRESS trial enrolled patients at low risk of death and not patients with severe sepsis, the results of this trial cannot and should not be used to draw any conclusions regarding the evidence for benefit of infusion of rh-APC. Interestingly, though, when patients with a high risk of death in the ADDRESS trial are analysed separately, the differences in mortality between patients treated with rh-APC and placebo resemble the difference in mortality in the PROWESS trial. Similar problems exist with the interpretation of the RESOLVE trial which studied paediatric patients. Severe disease outcome in children may be completely different from that in adults. It is therefore not justifiable to use the negative findings in this trial as evidence against the benefit of rh-APC in adults. International guidelines therefore clearly support the use of rh-APC in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock.

Recombinant human activated protein C for lung injury?

While infusion of rh-APC has been found to reduce mortality in patients with severe sepsis, many patients included in this trial had concomitant acute lung injury or ARDS, and many had pneumonia as the primary source of sepsis. One can question whether the beneficial effect of rh-APC is solely the result of its effect on intravascular coagulopathy since it exerts an anticoagulant effect in the lung as well. Indeed, activation of coagulation after pulmonary challenge with endotoxin is attenuated by intravenous rh-APC. Concurrently, increased PAI-1 activity is diminished by rh-APC. Thus, systemic administration of rh-APC influences pulmonary fibrin turnover and therefore may benefit patients with acute lung injury or ARDS, even in the absence of severe sepsis or septic shock. To date, only one study has been published on the effect of rh-APC in patients with acute lung injury. In this study, eligible subjects were critically ill patients who met the consensus criteria for acute lung injury; those with severe sepsis and a high chance of dying were excluded. Although there was no difference between patients receiving placebo or rh-APC in the number of ventilator-free days or in 60-day mortality, rh-APC decreased pulmonary dead space fraction.

ACTIVATED PROTEIN C FOR HYPEROXIA-INDUCED LUNG INJURY IN MICE: A PREVENTIVE OR THERAPEUTIC MEASURE?

In this issue of Thorax, Looney et al report their findings on the ability of recombinant murine APC (mAPC) to attenuate hyperoxia-induced acute lung injury in mice (see page 114). Hyperoxia produces dramatic increases in lung vascular permeability and extravascular lung water, and adversely affects lung fluid balance. Despite procoagulant changes in the lung with hyperoxia, the investigators found that intratracheal administration of recombinant mAPC did not ameliorate a number of measures of hyperoxia-induced lung injury, although the impact on BAL fluid levels of thrombin-antithrombin complex or histological evidence of fibrin deposition in the alveolar space or microvasculature were not assessed. An increase in BAL fluid levels of PAI-1 was prevented with intratracheal recombinant mAPC treatment, indicating that recombinant mAPC does affect pulmonary coagulopathy in this model of acute lung injury. As the authors themselves point out, the importance of PAI-1 in the pathogenesis of hyperoxic lung injury is endorsed by the survival advantage of PAI-1 knockout mice. When genetically deleted, PAI-1 may allow enhanced clearance of intralveolar fibrin and subsequent improved gas exchange. Recombinant mAPC was found only to affect BAL fluid levels of PAI-1 when given as treatment (at one time point after 48 h of hyperoxia) and not when given as a preventive measure (every 24 h starting from day 0 up to 72 or 96 h); the reasons for this are not entirely clear. It remains uncertain how to translate this finding to clinical practice in which supportive measures such as mechanical ventilation may prevent patients from dying because of respiratory failure due to increases in lung vascular permeability and extravascular lung water. Prevention of increased BAL fluid levels of PAI-1 may potentially be of more benefit under these circumstances.

CAN OR SHOULD ANTICOAGULANT TREATMENT BE A LOCAL STRATEGY?

The study by Looney et al confirms other animal studies specifically targeting pulmonary coagulopathy. Of note, coagulopathy in acute lung injury is merely restricted to the pulmonary compartment. Local treatment such as direct intratracheal instillation or nebulisation may allow higher local concentrations, thereby potentially increasing local efficacy while limiting the risk of systemic bleeding. Looney et al successfully tested the intratracheal route of delivery with recombinant mAPC. We recently tested nebulisation of several anticoagulants and found similar results, and other animal studies have confirmed the potential beneficial effects of nebulised anticoagulants. The results from these preclinical studies are in line with studies in humans showing that administration of heparin to the lower respiratory tract by nebulisation is feasible and safe. In paediatric patients suffering from inhalation injury, nebulised heparin even seems to reduce mortality.

CONCLUSION

Alveolar coagulopathy is intrinsic to acute lung injury. Several studies show that anticoagulant therapies effectively attenuate disturbed alveolar fibrin turnover with this severe complication. It is obvious that local therapy has the advantage of limiting the risk of systemic bleeding with anticoagulation. Whether we should go for preventive or therapeutic strategies remains to be established in further experiments. More preclinical and clinical studies are needed to determine whether anticoagulant strategies benefit subjects with acute lung injury before such treatment is advocated as standard practice in these patients.
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Pleural ultrasound

David Feller-Kopman

The use of hand-held portable ultrasound (US) has probably had more of an impact in the care of patients with pleural effusions than any other development since Light introduced a method for detecting pleural fluid and may be better than chest CT scanning for differentiating pleural effusion from pleural thickening. The use of US has also been found to predict the need for pleural intervention in patients with empyema. To date, however, only the presence of a pleural mass and the “echogenic swirling pattern” have been suggestive of the presence of a malignant pleural effusion (MPE). In this issue of Thorax, Qureshi and colleagues, by using a systematic approach to the patient with suspected MPE, were able to accurately identify patients with malignant and benign disease (see page 139). Thoracic US was performed without clinical history and considered consistent with MPE if diaphragmatic/parietal pleural nodules, pleural thickening >1 cm or hepatic metastases were found. The inability to resolve the five distinct layers of the diaphragm which are normally easily seen and a diaphragm thickness >7 mm were also associated with malignant effusions. Benign disease was considered present when infection was confirmed or patients had a clinical follow-up of at least 12 months. Thoracic US correctly diagnosed 26/35 patients with malignant disease and 19/19 patients with benign disease (sensitivity 79%, specificity 100%, positive predictive value 100% and negative predictive value 75%) for differentiating malignant from benign disease. In comparison with chest CT scanning as...
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