Extending Precision Perturbative QCD with Track Functions

Yibei Li, I. Moult, Solange Schrijnder van Velzen, Wouter J. Waalewijn, and Hua Xing Zhu

1Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Department of Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China
2Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511, USA
3Nikhef, Theory Group, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands
4Institute for Theoretical Physics Amsterdam and Delta Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Received 20 August 2021; accepted 17 March 2022; published 2 May 2022)

Collider experiments often exploit information about the quantum numbers of final state hadrons to maximize their sensitivity, with applications ranging from the use of tracking information (electric charge) for precision jet substructure measurements, to flavor tagging for nucleon structure studies. For such measurements, perturbative calculations in terms of quarks and gluons are insufficient, and nonperturbative track functions describing the energy fraction of a quark or gluon converted into a subset of hadrons (e.g., charged hadrons) must be incorporated. Unlike fragmentation functions, track functions describe correlations between hadrons and therefore satisfy complicated nonlinear evolution equations whose structure has so far eluded calculation beyond the leading order. In this Letter, we develop an understanding of track functions and their interplay with energy flow observables beyond the leading order, allowing them to be used in state-of-the-art perturbative calculations for the first time. We identify a shift symmetry in the evolution of their moments that fixes their structure, and we explicitly compute the evolution of the first three moments at next-to-leading order, allowing for the description of up to three-point energy correlations. We then calculate the two-point energy correlator on charged particles at $\mathcal{O}(c^2)$, illustrating explicitly that infrared singularities in perturbation theory are absorbed by moments of the track functions and also highlighting how these moments seamlessly interplay with modern techniques for perturbative calculations. Our results extend the boundaries of traditional perturbative QCD, enabling precision perturbative predictions for energy flow observables sensitive to the quantum numbers of hadronic states.
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Introduction.—The fundamental problem in collider experiments is understanding how the observed distribution of energy (energy flow) is carried by states of the underlying theory. For quantum chromodynamics (QCD), energy is carried by collimated sprays of hadrons, called jets. The energy flow within jets, referred to as jet substructure [1–3], has come to play a central role in modern collider experiments with wide-ranging applications from searches for new physics and studies of QCD in the vacuum at the LHC, to investigations of QCD in the medium produced by heavy-ion collisions, to future studies of nucleon structure at the electron-ion collider (EIC) [4–6].

Understanding energy flow in confining theories such as QCD is particularly difficult because the microscopic degrees of freedom (the quarks and gluons, with which we can perform calculations using well-developed perturbative techniques) are different from the nonperturbative asymptotic states (baryons and mesons) observed in the detector. In the absence of nonperturbative techniques for computing Lorentzian observables with real-time evolution, the traditional approach has been to focus on a restricted set of questions about the energy flow that can be computed in perturbation theory. The famous theorems of Kinoshita [7] and Lee and Nauenberg [8] ensure that this is possible if one considers the energy flow summed over the quantum numbers of all possible final states. For such inclusive observables, there has been remarkable theoretical progress, driven both by advances in perturbative quantum field theory and by the development of more sophisticated techniques for the resummation of higher-order corrections in singular regions of phase space.

However, there are many interesting cases in modern collider experiments that require an understanding of energy flow on particular subsets of hadrons. For example, many state-of-the-art jet substructure measurements [9–13], as well as measurements of fragmentation [14–20], are performed on charged hadrons. This allows one to exploit the exceptional angular resolution of tracking detectors, as well as suppress pileup contamination, leading to more
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precise measurements. The ability to perform calculations on charged particles therefore increases the precision with which measurements can be performed in complicated hadronic environments. As another example, in deep inelastic scattering experiments such as HERA and the upcoming EIC, measurements of energy flow on flavored (e.g., strange) hadrons allow one to tag the initial state, providing new insight into nuclear structure [5,6]. New theoretical techniques are therefore required to go beyond the paradigm of fully inclusive energy flow observables.

In this Letter we show that nonperturbative track functions [21,22] can be used to extend recent progress in perturbative calculations to observables measured on subsets of final-state hadrons. We focus on a specific class of observables, the $N$-point energy correlators, which characterize correlations in energy flow. We will show that these observables are particularly advantageous for interfacing with track functions, as they only require the knowledge of the $\leq N$th moments of the track functions, instead of their full functional form. We show that the moments of the track function exhibit a shift symmetry, which significantly simplifies the structure of their evolution, and we present a method for computing their renormalization group equations (RGEs), along with explicit results for the first three moments at next-to-leading order. We then perform a complete calculation of the two-point energy correlator at $O(\alpha_s^2)$, explicitly verifying that the infrared poles are as predicted by the track function evolution and establishing for the first time the consistency of the track function formalism beyond leading order. This calculation also illustrates how moments of track functions interface with modern techniques for perturbative calculations. This opens the door to a wide variety of new calculations and enables powerful perturbative calculations to also be applied to obtain predictions for certain nonperturbative measurements.

Energy correlation functions.—Energy flow in collider experiments is characterized by correlation functions, $\langle \mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_2)\cdots\mathcal{E}(\vec{n}_k) \rangle$, of energy flow operators [23–30], where the unit vectors $\vec{n}_i$ specify directions. These correlation functions can be computed in perturbation theory and are known explicitly for the two- [29,31–35] and three-point correlators [36]. They have recently received extensive theoretical interest from a variety of communities [27–30,35–53]. In this Letter, we will emphasize another remarkable feature of these observables, namely, their simple interplay with nonperturbative track functions.

Assuming that the theory exhibits noninteracting asymptotic states, we can define a restricted energy flow operator $\mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n})$ that only measures the energy flow associated with states with certain quantum numbers. For example, $R$ can be the set of electrically charged hadrons. One can then consider the corresponding correlation function $\langle \mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_2)\cdots\mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_k) \rangle$. This correlation function cannot be computed purely in perturbation theory; however, it can be computed using moments of track functions,

$$\langle \mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_2)\cdots\mathcal{E}_R(\vec{n}_k) \rangle = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_k} T_{i_1}(1)\cdots T_{i_k}(1) \langle \mathcal{E}_{i_1}(\vec{n}_1)\mathcal{E}_{i_2}(\vec{n}_2)\cdots\mathcal{E}_{i_k}(\vec{n}_k) \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here $i_a = g, u, d, \ldots$ denote parton flavors and $T_{i_a}(1)$ is the first moment of the corresponding track function, discussed below. For simplicity we have not explicitly written contact terms. Their inclusion involves higher moments of the track functions, whose evolution is a focus of this Letter. This formula should be thought of as a timelike analog of the factorization into a partonic cross section and parton distribution functions (PDFs) for colliding protons. The precise definition of the operators $\mathcal{E}_{i_a}(\vec{n}_i)$ can be given in terms of twist-two quark and gluon operators of definite mass dimension (instead of the more familiar definite spin [27]), as will be discussed elsewhere. For the purposes of this Letter, this matrix element can simply be thought of as the energy correlator computed for particular partonic states. This simple factorization formula for the energy correlators, which was first noted in [49], should be contrasted with other observables where a track function is required for each emitted quark or gluon [21,22], and the full functional form of the track function is required (one notable exception is [54]). While the recent resurgence of interest in the energy correlators was driven by their relation to correlation functions of local operators [27–29,37], their relation to light-ray operators [27,30,43,44], and their simple perturbative structure [33,35,37], for phenomenological applications at collider experiments it may indeed be Eq. (1) that is their most important feature. This emphasizes the importance of the exchange of ideas between different communities to ultimately improve our understanding of real world QCD at colliders.

Beyond leading order in perturbation theory, the partonic energy correlation function on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) contains infrared divergences. These are absorbed into the track functions, from which the track function RGE follows. Again, this is in exact analogy to the renormalization of the PDFs. The existence of a well-defined RGE is guaranteed by the universality of collinear limits, which can be proven to all orders using either diagrammatic techniques [55,56] or effective field theory [57–60]. While PDFs and fragmentation functions have been well tested in higher-order calculations, track functions have only been investigated at leading logarithmic order. In order to firmly establish the track function formalism, we will compute their renormalization group evolution beyond the leading order, and furthermore, show that these objects absorb the IR divergences appearing in an explicit perturbative calculation of the two-point correlator at $O(\alpha_s^2)$. 

182001-2
Track functions and their symmetries.—Fragmentation functions [61–65], which describe the energy distribution of single hadrons, have a long history in QCD. Track functions were originally introduced to describe the total momentum fraction of all charged hadrons [21,22], which is responsible for their more complicated evolution. They have been successfully applied in a number of calculations in perturbative QCD [21,22,49,54]. In this Letter, we generalize this notion to other subsets of hadrons specified by their quantum numbers, such that the track function for quarks in light-cone gauge is given by

\[ T_q(x) = \int d^2 y \, d^2 y' \, e^{i k' \cdot y'/2} \frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_e} \sum_{R,R'} \delta \left( x - \frac{p_R}{k^2} \right) \times \text{tr} \left[ \frac{y^-}{2} \left( 0 \vert \bar{\psi}(y^+ \cdot 0, y_\perp) \vert R R' \rangle \langle R R \bar{\psi}(0) \vert 0 \right) \right] \]

(2)

and similar for gluons. Here \( R \) denotes the hadrons in the final state belonging to the subset, \( \bar{R} \) denotes all other hadrons (the complement), and \( p_R \) is the large light-cone momentum component of \( R \). Despite the fact that we allow \( R \) to be a more general subset of hadrons, we continue to refer to the object in Eq. (2) as a track function. Although similar to the definition of standard fragmentation, the fact that \( R \) is the set of all hadrons of a given property leads to crucial differences. Concretely, if \( R \) consists of all pions in the final state, a final state with two pions with momentum fractions \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) would give a contribution \( \sim \delta(x - x_1 - x_2) \) to the track function, while it would give a contribution \( \sim \delta(x - x_1) + \delta(x - x_2) \) to the pion fragmentation function. Objects similar to track functions have been studied in the context of jet charge [66,67] and fractal observables [68].

We will work with the \( n \)-th moments of the track function, defined as \( T_i(n, \mu) = \int d x x^n T_i(x, \mu) \), with the sum rule \( T_i(0, \mu) = 1 \). In the following, we often suppress the argument \( \mu \) for brevity. While the full track functions encode correlations between arbitrary numbers of hadrons, the \( n \)-th moments can be thought of as encoding correlations between \( n \) hadrons. More precisely, \( T_i(n) \) is related to the \( n \)-hadron fragmentation function [69–71] (this is discussed for \( n = 2 \) in [66]).

Because of the fact that they encode correlations between arbitrary numbers of hadrons, the track functions satisfy complicated nonlinear evolution equations, generated by multiparton splittings, see Fig. 1. Nothing is known about the structure of these equations beyond the leading order. To organize their structure, we note that unlike fragmentation functions, which measure the energy fraction in a single hadron, track functions measure the energy fraction in all hadrons of a given type, as illustrated by the fact that there is a \( T_i(n) \) on each branch of the splitting in Fig. 1. This implies that their evolution equations exhibit a shift symmetry, \( T(x) \rightarrow T(x + a) \), corresponding to energy conservation. In moment space, this corresponds to an infinite set of polynomial shift symmetries, \( T_i(1) \rightarrow T_i(1) - a \), \( T_i(2) \rightarrow \frac{\Delta}{2} + a^2 \), etc., which severely constrain the form of the evolution.

In the body of this Letter, we focus on the simplified case where the track functions are independent of quark flavor, and satisfy \( T_q = T_{\bar{q}} \) to avoid the need for cumbersome notation. The most general case is presented in the Supplemental Material [72]. The shift symmetry implies that the evolution can be expressed in terms of shift-invariant “central moments” \( \sigma_i(2) = T_i(2) - T_i(1)^2 \), \( \sigma_i(3) = T_i(3) - 3T_i(2)T_i(1) + 2T_i(1)^3 \), and so on, as well as \( \Delta = T_q(1) - T_{\bar{q}}(1) \). To simplify the notation, we will define \( \mathcal{S}(m) = (\sigma_q(m), \sigma_{\bar{q}}(m)) \). Using these symmetries, combined with a comparison to the fragmentation function limit, one can then show that

\[ \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} \Delta = \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} \sigma(2) = \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} \sigma(3) = \frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} \sigma(4) \]

\[ = -2[\gamma_{pq}(2) + \gamma_{pp}(2)] \Delta, \]

\[ = -\hat{\gamma}(3) \hat{\sigma}(3) + \hat{\gamma}_{\Delta} \Delta^3, \]

\[ + \hat{\gamma}_{\Delta} \Delta \Delta^3, \]

\[ = -\hat{\gamma}(4) \hat{\sigma}(4) + \hat{\gamma}_{\Delta} \Delta^3, \]

\[ \hat{\gamma}_{\Delta} \Delta^3, \]

and similar for the higher central moments. We emphasize that, since this structure is derived from symmetry, it holds to all orders in perturbation theory. It is remarkably simple compared to the most general form of the nonlinear evolution, emphasizing the important role the symmetry plays in constraining the evolution. Here \( \hat{\gamma}(J) \) are the matrices of timelike twist-two spin-1 anomalous dimensions (our conventions for these anomalous dimensions, as well as explicit results to next-to-leading order (NLO) are provided in the Supplemental Material [72]). The other anomalous dimensions are new, and we will explicitly compute them to next-to-leading order for the first three moments. Remarkably, despite the nonlinearity of the
the collinear limit to NNLL, with eventual applications to measurement of the energy-energy correlator (EEC) on tracks in factorization formula of [46], one can perform the resummation of anomalous dimensions [48,84]. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. After the renormalization of particles, differential in the invariant mass of all particles are given in the Supplemental Material [72]. Here we calculate, we have computed all mixing terms separately evolution equations significantly reduces the required function evolution can be inferred from the remaining IR poles. Using the shift symmetry of the track function evolution equations significantly reduces the required calculations. However, as an additional check on our calculation, we have computed all mixing terms separately and verified that they respect the shift symmetry.

The complete results for the first three moments at NLO are given in the Supplemental Material [72]. Here we present only the mixing anomalous dimensions for the second moment to illustrate their features. We find
\[
\gamma_{\Delta^2} = \frac{4}{3} a_\pi n_f T_F + a_\pi^2 n_f T_F \left[ C_A \left( -\frac{16}{9} \pi^2 + \frac{5897}{675} \right) + \frac{39161}{2700} C_F \right],
\]
\[
\gamma_{\Delta^2} = \frac{7}{6} a_s C_F + a_s^2 C_F \left[ \frac{817}{108} C_A + \frac{1369}{432} - \frac{14}{9} \pi^2 \right] C_F .
\]

where \( a_\pi = a_s / (4\pi) \). We have checked that the leading-order evolution of the second moment is consistent with that of the dihadron fragmentation function [69,70,83].

Because of the smallness of \( \Delta \) for the specific case of charged hadrons in QCD, this implies that the next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) corrections to the DGLAP anomalous dimensions are significantly larger than the NLO corrections to the mixing terms for the evolution of \( \sigma(2) \). This allows one to immediately extend the evolution of \( \sigma(2) \) to NNLO, using the known values of the timelike anomalous dimensions [48,84–86]. Combined with the factorization formula of [46], one can perform the resummation of the energy-energy correlator (EEC) on tracks in the collinear limit to NNLL, with eventual applications to jet substructure.

Two-point correlations at next-to-leading order.—Having calculated the evolution at next-to-leading order, we will now illustrate the consistency of track functions beyond the leading order. We will analytically compute the two-point energy correlation [31,32,87] at order \( \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2) \), with a generic restriction on hadrons. The two-point energy correlator is characterized by a single angle \( \gamma \) between the two calorimeter cells. This calculation also illustrates how track functions seamlessly mesh with perturbative calculations, as this calculation matches the highest order available analytically for the two-point energy correlator on all partons.

The two-point energy correlator has been computed analytically at NLO for both \( e^+e^- \) collisions [33] and Higgs decays [34,88]. When computed on all final-state particles, it is infrared finite to all orders in perturbation theory. However, when computed in dimensional regularization in \( d = 4-2\epsilon \), the partonic two-point correlator in Eq. (1) has infrared poles in \( \epsilon \), which must be absorbed into the track function. These poles are uniquely fixed in terms of the renormalization group (RG) evolution of the track function. Since the RG of the \( n \)th moments of the track function involve mixing with products of all lower moments, it is convenient to write their RG in an abstract form
\[
\frac{d}{d \ln \mu^2} \hat{T}_n = \hat{R}_n \hat{T}_n .
\]

where \( \hat{T}_n \) is a vector of all possible products of moments of track functions that have total weight \( n \) [e.g., for \( n = 2 \), \( \hat{T}_2 = \{ T_g(2), T_g(2), T_g(1)T_g(1), T_g(1)T_g(1), T_g(1)T_g(1) \} \} ], and \( \hat{R}_n \) is a matrix, whose perturbative expansion is \( \hat{R}_n = \sum a_s^k \hat{R}_n^{(k)} \). The IR divergences of the partonic energy correlators follow from the UV divergences of track functions
\[
\hat{T}_{n,bare} = \hat{T}_n(\mu) + a_s \hat{R}_n^{(1)} \hat{T}_n(\mu) + \frac{1}{2} a_s^2 \left( \hat{R}_n^{(2)} + \hat{R}_n^{(1)} - \hat{R}_n^{(1)} \right) \hat{T}_n(\mu) + \mathcal{O}(a_s^3) .
\]

The \( 1/\epsilon^2 \) poles at two loops are completely predicted from the one-loop renormalization, while the \( 1/\epsilon \) provide an independent calculation of the NLO RG evolution and the universality of the track functions.

To compute the EEC on tracks requires the calculation of the general partonic correlators in Eq. (1), extending the calculation of the EEC in [33]. To perform this calculation, we follow the approach of [33] and use reverse unitarity [89] to express the phase space integrals for the EEC in terms of multiloop integrals. These integrals are reduced to master integrals using LiteRed [90,91] and Fire6 [92]. The
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master integrals are found to be the same as for the standard EEC and are evaluated by differential equations, using CANONICA [93] to obtain their canonical form [94]. Master integrals for the contact terms $\delta(\chi)$ are the same as those for cut bubble integrals and can be extracted from [95,96]. The final results are written in terms of classical polylogarithms using HPL [97], and complete analytic results will be presented elsewhere. We again emphasize that this perturbative calculation on tracks matches the state of the art for analytic perturbative calculations of energy flow observables. This clearly illustrates how important the factorization property of energy correlator observables in Eq. (1) is for simplifying the perturbative component of calculations interfaced with tracks.

Extracting the IR poles from the calculation of the partonic correlators, we find that they exactly match with those predicted by Eq. (6), providing a strong check on the track function formalism at $O(\alpha_s^2)$. Absorbing these poles into the renormalized track functions gives an IR finite result for the EEC computed on any subset of final-state hadrons at NLO. In Fig. 2 we show our results for the EEC on all particles, charged particles, and positively charged particles, along with a comparison to PYTHIA [98]. Here and in Fig. 3 we have used track functions extracted from PYTHIA [21,22]. In Fig. 3 we compare our LO and NLO results with DELPHI data [99] for the EEC asymmetry (AEEC), defined as $\text{AEEC}[\cos(\chi)] = \text{EEC}[\cos(\chi)] - \text{EEC}[-\cos(\chi)]$, finding remarkably good agreement at NLO. The disagreement in the region $\cos(\chi) \rightarrow -1$ is due to the fact that we have not incorporated resummation. Such resummation could be included using the results of this Letter, although it is beyond the scope of our current analysis. Although we find this agreement promising, there are a number of details about the normalization of the DELPHI data and the experimental analysis that must be better understood before more quantitative studies can be performed. This is the first $O(\alpha_s^2)$ calculation of a track-based observable, and we hope that the reduced experimental uncertainty for track-based observables can enable improved extractions of the strong coupling constant from event shapes.

Conclusions.—In this Letter we have extended the precision perturbative QCD program by showing the consistency of track functions beyond the leading order and elucidating aspects of their evolution and their interplay with energy flow observables. Our results allow one to harness the significant progress in perturbative quantum field theory to nonperturbative questions, allowing these to be computed beyond leading order for the first time and avoiding the need to model these effects with parton showers.

We believe that the results of this Letter will have many applications to jet substructure, and QCD more generally, at a variety of colliders, ranging from the LHC, to ALICE, to EIC, and $e^+e^-$ colliders, by drastically increasing the breadth of observables for which systematic perturbative calculations can be performed. We look forward to the phenomenological applications of our results.
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