UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Between mind and body: painting the inner movements according to Samuel van Hoogstraten and Franciscus Junius

Weststeijn, T.

Publication date

2009

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

The Passions in the Arts of the Early Modern Netherlands

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Weststeijn, T. (2009). Between mind and body: painting the inner movements according to Samuel van Hoogstraten and Franciscus Junius. In S. S. Dickey, & H. Roodenburg (Eds.), *The Passions in the Arts of the Early Modern Netherlands* (pp. 261-281). (Nederlands kunsthistorisch jaarboek = Netherlands yearbook for history of art; No. 60). Waanders.

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

Between Mind and Body: Painting the Inner Movements according to Samuel van Hoogstraten and Franciscus Junius

Thijs Weststeijn

‘Who among the great Italian or Netherlandish masters has not had [...] something particular as his speciality?’ According to Samuel van Hoogstraten (1627-1678), who asked this question in his *Inleyding tot de hooge schoole der schilderkonst* (1678), Dürer focused on draperies and Caravaggio on ‘naturalness’ (fig. 1). As concerns the Netherlandish masters, in his opinion ‘Rubens [concentrated on] rich compositions, Anthony van Dyck on grace, [and] Rembrandt on the passions of the soul.’¹ This article will argue that Van Hoogstraten, although he based his remarks on rhetorical commonplaces, made a careful choice when he praised his former master, Rembrandt van Rijn (1606-1669) for depicting emotions.

Van Hoogstraten’s classification of Rembrandt as focused on the *lijdingen des gemoeds* first caught the attention of Jan Emmens. His 1964 study *Rembrandt en de regels van de kunst* largely overlooked contemporary views about the passions.² To Emmens, Van Hoogstraten rejected Rembrandt’s concern for ‘merely’ depicting emotions and thus put his master, together with Caravaggio, in the camp of the despicable ‘Naturalists’, to use the term developed at the time by Bellori.³ But if Emmens paid little attention to Van Hoogstraten, he portrayed Franciscus Junius (1591-1677), another theorist who wrote about the passions, as entirely irrelevant to the Dutch situation (fig. 2). While Van Hoogstraten’s importance is now more fully recognized, the scholarship on Junius has not yet recovered from this criticism. This is in contrast to the fact that Junius’s treatise was read widely the seventeenth century and Van Hoogstraten can be called his most faithful student.⁴ As was already remarked by his contemporaries, Van Hoogstraten depended on Junius’s ideas to structure his treatise; following his predecessor’s lead, Van Hoogstraten’s theory gives pride of place to the depiction of the passions as the central element connecting illusionism, visual story-telling and the ultimate ethical aims of painting.⁵

Van Hoogstraten may have first been confronted with Junius’s ideas in Rembrandt’s studio. The inventory of Rembrandt’s library does not mention Junius’s book, *The painting of the ancients*, published in Dutch in 1641, but the quarto-sized volume may have been among the ‘fifteen books of various sizes’ that were not identified by name.⁶ Both Joachim von Sandrart (1606-1668) and Constantijn Huygens (1596-1687), who had

1
Samuel van Hoogstraten, *Self-portrait*, before 1678, engraving, from *Inleyding tot de hooge schoole der schilderkonst*, Rotterdam 1678, unpaginated.

2

Wenceslaus Hollar after Anthony van Dyck, *Franciscus Junius*, before 1641, engraving, from Franciscus Junius, *De schilder-konst der oude*, Middelburg 1641, unpaginated.

visited Rembrandt's studio and were among the early critics of his work, were well acquainted with the treatise and had probably met the author.⁷ Junius had written his book in England in a community of Dutch artists working for Thomas Howard, Earl of Arundel, whose famous collection included several works by Rembrandt.⁸ In 1642, when Van Hoogstraten arrived in Rembrandt's studio, Von Sandrart and Junius were in Amsterdam, too. Although Van Hoogstraten's descriptions of discussions in Rembrandt's studio do not involve Junius, it is possible that his learned treatise, which had just been published, was the subject of much debate: it was only the second book on painting written in Dutch.⁹

Dutch authors of art literature needed Junius's book first of all because it developed a consistent theoretical system, based on classical rhetorical theory. Van Hoogstraten derived formulations by rhetoricians like Quintilian and Cicero, who had stated that the perfect orator should be as lifelike and captivating as a painter, from Junius's *Painting of the ancients*. Junius unscrupulously adapted rhetorical theory to his pictorial aims, often simply by changing the word 'orator' to 'painter'. He defended this method by asking, 'Who [...] will take it upon himself to disparage [my book], because by means of slight verbal change, I have applied passages of Cicero, Horace, and Quintilian from oratory and the art of poetry to the visual arts? Surely such a person has little comprehension of the close affinity which joins these arts one to another'.¹⁰

Van Hoogstraten, like his master Rembrandt, may have had some formal training in rhetoric, which was considered an indispensable skill for all social activities in the Dutch Republic.¹¹ It was widely taught, and both painters probably attended 'Latin school' in preparation for a further education at a university.¹² The title page of the second chapter of Van Hoogstraten's treatise depicts Polymnia, the *Rederijkster* or the Muse of rhetoric, instructing two young men, probably aspiring painters, on how to speak about the artwork at their knees (fig. 3). The boy on the right holds a small book, possibly a treatise on rhetoric or a work of art theory, which may suggest that the vocabulary and structure provided by rhetoric are necessary to speak sensibly about art.

Dutch art theory closely follows rhetorical theory when it states that the passions are, in Van Mander's words, the 'kernel and soul of art' ('kern en ziel van de kunst').¹³ Van Hoogstraten calls them 'the most noble part of art' ('alleredelste deel der kunst'), and Junius states that the successful depiction of emotion is the best imitation of ancient art.¹⁴ However, Van Hoogstraten and Junius use neither the same terminology nor the international term *affect* preferred by Van Mander.¹⁵ Whereas Van Hoogstraten speaks about *hartstochten* and *lijdingen*, quite literal translations of the term 'passions', Junius speaks about *roersel*, or 'movement', and uses the related verbs *ontroeren* and *beroeren* ('to stir the mind') that are still in use in modern Dutch. Both Van Hoogstraten and Junius use derivations of the verb *bewegen*, a more common term for 'to move', to describe various aspects relative to internal affective movements and the external bodily actions that are seen as their counterparts. Thus Junius speaks about 'ziel-wroeghende bewegingen' or 'movements that

3

Samuel van Hoogstraten, *Polymnia, De Rederijkster*, engraving, from *Inleyding tot de Hooge Schoole der Schilderkonst*, Rotterdam 1678, facing p. 37.

perturb the soul', the 'inwendighe bewegingen onses ghemoedts' or 'internal motions of our mind'.¹⁶ A specific term used both by Van Hoogstraten and Junius is *beweeglijkheyt* or 'moving quality', discussed below.¹⁷ Both authors use different words to adapt the passion theory of international humanism to the Dutch situation. It was Junius's special concern to find adequate translations for Latin-root terms that had not earlier been used in Dutch.¹⁸ Van Hoogstraten may have borrowed his term *hartstocht* from the medical treatise by Johan van Beverwijck for which he made some illustrations.¹⁹

The central position that early modern rhetorical theory allots to the passions stems from its adherence to Roman authors in particular (in contrast to the Greek rhetoricians), who had stated that the most important function of rhetoric was not to teach or to delight, but to move an audience. According to their view, not arguments or facts, but

emotions are the strongest form of persuasion.²⁰ This view appears to be corroborated by modern aesthetics, which states that, in contrast to the fiction of art, emotions are real experience and therefore make a longer-lasting impression. But early modern art theory does not claim that emotions appeal to a different level of consciousness than art does. As will be argued here, Junius and Van Hoogstraten suppose that the spectator who is affectively stirred by the image is completely, that is, mentally and physically, transported into the painting's virtual reality.

A central idea is that *hartstochten* are nothing more nor less than 'movements of the heart', and they should first of all be understood in this physical sense. Junius's vocabulary, in particular, closely follows the physiological notion that, when the heart is stirred, the blood warms and rises to the head, changing the colour of one's face and ultimately leading to gestures and other physical movements.²¹ When another person beholds these movements and colour changes, the reaction occurs in reverse: the sense impression acts as a stimulus warming the blood, which translates into a movement of the heart echoing the original passion. This is why actions are more eloquent than words, and why painting may be more rhetorical than rhetoric itself.²² The overarching importance of the passions as the domain where one can directly study and influence human behaviour may have been most comprehensively expressed by the philosopher Thomas Hobbes (1588-1679): '[n]either in us are there anything else but diverse motions; for motion produces nothing but motion.'²³

Art literature obeying contemporary ideas about emotion regards the passions as the medium connecting mind and body as well as 'internal' and 'external' aspects of reality. The depiction of the passions hence determines the painter's purported role in society. Ancient theories about the importance of the imagination are in this case only strengthened by the views developed by René Descartes (1596-1650), an author well known to Van Hoogstraten. This philosopher saw the passions as the mediating instance between on the one hand *res extensa*, or the visible world, and on the other *res cogitans*, or thought. Of central importance to the painter appears Descartes's contrast between reason and imagination: while the former may venture freely in the realm of pure thought, the latter is necessarily linked to the passions and therefore to the body, and apprehends its surroundings by analogy to the individual body in which it is confined.²⁴

As the passions are construed as the domain linking inside and outside, or ethics and natural philosophy, they relate both to specific prescriptions for pictorial representation and to general guidelines for the painter's moral standards. They often do so in the context of Stoic attitudes that place great stress on knowing how human behaviour is determined by the affects.²⁵ In the following, the ways in which the painter uses his knowledge of the passions to bridge the inside and outside will be discussed, as will the way in which he may use them to transform the artwork into an alternative reality that becomes the meeting place for the artist, the spectator and the depicted figures. From the perspective of the artist, the epitome of painterly skill, namely the depiction of the

passions as part of a narrative, of *changing* emotions, will be explored. Secondly, as the beholder's internal passions are supposed to be stirred by the movements and colours shown on the painting, the artistic experience of beholding art will be studied as a distinct category of emotional response. Finally, we will address the way the passions are related to the imagination and to the affective state associated specifically with the making of art.

Affective Narrative in Painting

The passion theories of Van Hoogstraten and Junius share a central tenet: as the passions are to a large extent physical reactions to sensory perceptions, the painter can move his public by simply depicting figures in various emotional states. To quote from Van Hoogstraten's book: 'It is not enough for a picture to be beautiful, it must have in it a certain moving quality (*beweeglijkheyt*) that has power over those who see it; as Horace sings about poetry:

A beautiful poem will not easily move me
But kindness can transport heart and soul.
One smiles, or weeps, the viewer follows the trail:
So if you want me to cry, you must cry first.

Van Hoogstraten concludes: 'and so it is with Artists, they do not stir the mind if they omit this moving quality'.²⁶

A high theoretical aim in classical poetics consists in a conjunction of the concepts *affectus* and *varietas*. Authors are praised who are able to conjure up a vision of a multitude of persons showing their individual emotions.²⁷ Indeed, Van Hoogstraten cites Rembrandt in this respect: 'I recall having seen in a certain characteristically composed piece by Rembrandt, representing John the Baptist preaching, an admirable attentiveness in the listeners of different moods: this deserves the highest praise'.²⁸ This description probably refers to the grisaille *John the Baptist Preaching*, now in Berlin (fig. 4). In a similar vein, Constantijn Huygens praises Rembrandt for his talents in depicting the passions and in moving the beholder. He repeats what was seen as the epitome of painterly skill in antiquity: the complex display of multiple emotions within one figure. Huygens mentions Rembrandt's painting, *Judas repentant*, in this context (fig. 5). He writes that Rembrandt, by focusing on the 'liveliness of the passions' (*affectuum vivacitas*) in his depiction of Judas as torn apart by the conflicting emotions of hatred, anger and sorrow, has surpassed the ancients and the Italians.²⁹

The classical orators attach great importance to the representation of one moment in a narrative with such force that the audience sees it as if it were happening before its eyes. If the orator manages to conjure up a scene that will involve the spectator, he will have the audience on his side and ready to believe his arguments. The concept that captures a complex of stylistic virtues related to the representation of this moment is the Greek term *enargeia*. Cicero translates this to *evidentia* or *perspicuitas*.³⁰

4

Rembrandt, *John the Baptist preaching*, c. 1634, oil on canvas mounted on panel, 62 x 80 cm, Gemäldegalerie, Berlin.

Again, Junius and Van Hoogstraten suggest different translations: Junius uses *uytdruckelickheyd* and Van Hoogstraten speaks about *oogenblikkige beweeging*. Junius explains in English as follows: ‘Energia [...] Tully [Cicero] calleth it Evidence and Perspicuitie [...] This vertue seemeth to shew the whole matter; and it bringeth to passe, that the affections follow us with such a lively representation, as if we were by at the doing of the things imagined.’³¹

Van Hoogstraten transposes the concept of *energia* to his own art theory, in stating, with a quotation from Horace, that the painter should focus all his attention on a critical moment in a story:

Whether one wants to paint just one event in an image, or a couple of events, one must take care only to show an instantaneous movement (*oogenblikkige beweeging*) which in particular expresses the action of the History [...]. So that the work will delight the viewer, as if he were one of the bystanders, with one voice, terrify him with a violent act [...] or else he is moved to compassion by an injustice done.’³²

5

Rembrandt, *Judas Repentant*, 1629, oil on panel, 76 x 101 cm, private collection, Mulgrave Castle.

Artists in Rembrandt’s studio experimented with the selection of adequate moments from a narrative. This practice is exemplified by the many images that were made of Abraham’s sacrifice: in the painting now in St Petersburg, the master seems to have used such a fast shutter speed for his ‘snapshot’ that the knife falling from Abraham’s hand has been captured in mid-air (fig. 6). The story of Abraham and Isaac is described by Junius as especially fit for emotionally moving (*bewegen*) the beholder:

Saint *Gregory Nyssen* after an ample and most patheticall (*beweghelick*) relation of *Isaac* his sacrifice, hath added these words; ‘I saw often in a picture’, sayth he, ‘the image of this fact, neither could I looke upon it without teares, so lively did Art put the historie before my eyes.’³³

As quoted above, Junius writes that the ‘affections’ should ‘follow us with such a lively representation, as if we were by at the doing of the things imagined’; this may have prompted Van Hoogstraten to state that the viewer should become ‘one of the bystanders’ in the narrative in order to experience the strong emotions of horror or pity. This notion that the

6

Rembrandt, *Abraham's sacrifice*, 1635, oil on canvas, 193 x 133 cm, Hermitage, Saint Petersburg.

beholder feels as if he is present at the evoked scene is central to what Junius's art theory takes from rhetorical theory. He is of the opinion that an art lover must appraise paintings as if he were confronted with the things themselves rather than with painted objects; he states 'that wee should not onely goe with our eyes over the severall figures represented in the worke, but [that] we should likewise suffer our mind to enter into a lively consideration of what wee see expressed; not otherwise than *if wee were present, and saw not the counterfeited image but the reall performance of the thing*'.³⁴

Another example where the selection of a 'snapshot' may make the beholder think he is 'present' at a 'reall performance' is *Belsahazzar's Feast*

7

Rembrandt, *Belsahazzar's feast*, c. 1635, oil on canvas, 167 x 209.5 cm, National Gallery, London.

(fig. 7). Here, the close grouping of figures around a table seemingly leaves a space open for the beholder to join in as a personal guest at Belshazzar's supper. On the right, Rembrandt applies the virtue of *oogenblikkige beweeging* to the woman who spills her drink: he paints the moment that the wine splashes over the woman's velvet sleeve, creating a dark stain.

Junius stresses that the confrontation with one powerful image transports the beholder into a complete narrative context, with more conviction than a long-winded speech. He concludes: 'Our outer senses need present only the beginning of any historical narrative to our mind, and our active wit will soon readily comprehend the entire story, as a sequence of events'.³⁵ This is based on the early modern idea that the imagination is an essentially visually oriented faculty. Again Hobbes's words may be enlightening: 'the imagination is able to fly from one Indies to another [...] and to penetrate the hardest matter and obscurest places, into the future and herselfe, and all this in a point of time'.³⁶ Apparently, one strong visual perception may give rise to a string of imaginative associations.

In the context of the aim of evoking 'the reall performance' of the narrative, we should also take account of the practice, common in the seventeenth century, to hang paintings behind curtains that were opened when the scene was presented to a spectator. This practice, described by Poussin, would add to the virtue of instantaneousness or *oogenblikkige beweeging*.³⁷ Junius describes how the opening of curtains in front of a narrative moment captured in paint may, for further drama, be accompanied by a sound effect, such as the sound of a clarion when the image concerns a scene of military action.³⁸ Huygens describes how the 'sudden terror' produced by revealing an image in this way contributes to the effect of lifelikeness.³⁹

Both *Abraham's Sacrifice* and *Belshazzar's Feast* depict moments when a protagonist is confronted with a sudden turn of events. Abraham realizes that he does not really have to sacrifice his son; Belshazzar sees the writing on the wall predicting the downfall of Babylonian rule and knows that he will be punished for using the silverware that his father stole from the Temple. This state of affairs makes it all the more plausible that the pictorial notion of *oogenblikkelijke beweeging* was developed on the basis of ancient poetical theory. The theory of tragedy had already stated that the notion of 'putting things before the eyes' of the public was especially relevant to the moment when the true outcome of a story is recognized. In a moment of 'tragic recognition', the protagonist on the stage becomes aware of the fatal flaw that, in effect, makes him or her a tragic character.⁴⁰

The rhetorical scope of the depiction of this moment of recognition is in accordance with the notion that the passions form a bridge between inside and outside: the beholder is expected to be so personally affected by the image that his close involvement in the narrative changes and purifies his character. This notion also appears in Van Hoogstraten's treatise that states that the beholder can be moved to the two emotions of terror or pity, as quoted above.

These two reactions were developed by Aristotle in his poetics, which was actualized in the seventeenth century in the works of Daniel Heinsius.⁴¹ Van Hoogstraten describes how viewing images of people who change their minds may lead to a sudden emotional change in the spectator; he speaks about *schrik en verandering*, a moment of 'shock and change'.⁴² A painter Van Hoogstraten praises for his choice of subject matter is Dirck van Baburen (c. 1595-1624).⁴³ He may have been thinking about Baburen's representation of Pero who visits Cimon, her imprisoned father. Because Cimon is starving he is breast-fed by his daughter (fig. 8). This scene is described by Junius as such a cathartic picture that it can inspire young girls to change their reckless behaviour and become pious daughters.⁴⁴ Besides inspiring this kind of repentance, painterly 'shock and change' may also lead to other emotions: the buyer of a painting that depicted an act of vengeance may end up enacting a similar violent deed, as a play by Thomas Kyd recounts.⁴⁵

The Beholder's Share

To elaborate further on the involvement of the viewer in the work of art, Junius borrows from ancient rhetorical theory. He was one of the first authors in the tradition of art theory to systematically elaborate on 'the beholder's share'. According to his treatise painters should, just like orators, involve the spectator so forcefully that he forgets he is confronted with a work of fiction or art and thinks it is reality itself that he experiences. The work of art is, in this rhetorical view, no more than a trigger for a train of associations on the beholder's side: '[I]t is [...] required, that all those who mean to enter into a judicious consideration of matters of art, must by the means of these Images accustom their mind to such a lively representation of what they see expressed in the picture, as if they saw *the things themselves and not their resemblance only*'.⁴⁶

As the passions are seen as internal physical movements, eventually all senses are supposed to be taken over by the art object: the spectator sees the depicted figures moving, wishes to touch them, smells the painted flowers or enters into a conversation with a portrayed individual.⁴⁷ Junius's suggestion that paintings go accompanied by sounds was discussed above; the importance of smell and movement is attested in an admonishment by Van Hoogstraten that artists should depict Venus's 'most enchanting elegance' by imagining 'a divine fragrance like ambrosia wafting from her hair, her robe trailing behind her, and her tread that of a true goddess'.⁴⁸

The personal involvement of the spectator, who is expected to be immersed in a virtual reality, is the aspect of Junius's theory that most appealed to the Dutch authors quoting from his treatise. They included not only Van Hoogstraten but also Willem Goeree (1635-1711) and Gérard de Lairese (1640/41-1711).⁴⁹ On the one hand, the theory recovered by Junius from antiquity states that the artist should make himself 'present' at the narrative that he wants to evoke. Junius gives several descriptions of the way in which the painter or writer becomes wholly absorbed in the

8

Dirck van Baburen, *Cimon and Pero*,
c. 1625, oil on canvas, 127 x 151.1 cm, York
City Art Gallery, York.

image he conjures up in his mind's eye, where the artist 'has noted from the beginning to the end every particular accident [...] as if he had made himself present ('sich selven [...] ver-teghenwoordighet hadde')'.⁵⁰ This was indeed deemed the strongest kind of persuasion: you believe more easily in an eye-witness account, especially if the speaker manages to convince you of his affective sincerity, his good intentions, and his affinity to your own ethical position. It is this rhetorical convention to which Rembrandt seems to allude when he includes images of himself in his Passion series, not only as a spectator, but even as an actor in the narrative, as in the central part of the group raising Jesus's cross (fig. 9). In a letter to Huygens, Rembrandt claims that he has tried to depict 'the greatest and most natural movement' in this series, and here his term *beweechgelickheijt* may refer to this direct emotional involvement of the beholder.⁵¹ The moment of recognition, of identification with the actors in a narrative, is supposed to facilitate the resonance of the depicted passion in the beholder's consciousness. Van Mander relates the idea that

9

Rembrandt, *The Raising of the Cross*, c. 1633, oil on canvas, 96 x 72 cm, Bayerische Staatsgemäldesammlungen, Alte Pinakothek, München.

10

Rembrandt, *The Resurrection*, ca. 1639, oil on canvas, 92 x 67 cm, Bayerische Staatsgemäldesammlungen, Alte Pinakothek, München.

the painter should include an *Assistenzfigur*, advice first formulated in the Italian tradition, directly to ideas stemming from Aristotle's theory of tragedy.⁵² He states that pictures aimed at the arousal of terror or pity should contain one figure with his face 'directed at the spectators', who thus 'presents them a perspicuous (*druckich*) scene'.⁵³

Van Hoogstraten states that it is especially in the depiction of Christ's passion where the painter should try his utmost to work on the spectator's emotions (*de grootste beweeging, die ons mogelijk is*).⁵⁴ In his *Raising of the Cross*, Rembrandt appears to deploy his full range of rhetorical tricks (fig. 9): he 'makes himself present' in the narrative and establishes a direct relationship with the beholder through the eye contact of the figure in oriental dress in the background. In another image of the series, he repeats the depiction of *oogenblikke beweeging*, as the sword of one of the guards at Jesus's grave is again shown falling from his hand (fig. 10).

Concluding these remarks on ‘the beholder’s share’, it must be noted that the public’s reaction to painting is explained by Junius and Van Hoogstraten as a specific emotion. Ideally, the artist is not alone in the scenes he depicts: the consummate artistic experience places artist and spectator in the same imagined artistic realm. This idea may be understood in a strongly literal and physical sense. On the one hand, the onlooker is expected to physically ‘incorporate’ the image when he gives it ‘life’ through affective involvement. This is exemplified by seventeenth-century poems on paintings that express how the beholder’s ‘enflamed’ heart relates to the ‘burning’ beauty of a depicted figure.⁵⁵ On the other hand, the onlooker’s senses are deemed to be totally captivated by the artist’s original imagination.⁵⁶ Then painting becomes ‘action at a distance’ – when objects set things in motion without touching them.⁵⁷ This is why artworks are expected to elicit reactions in animals such as dogs that start barking or goats that attack paintings, as Van Hoogstraten describes, or in humans, who stretch out their hands from the desire to touch the painted body, taste the painted fruit, and even speak to the depicted figures.⁵⁸

In this context one can understand a well-known remark from the treatise *Cours de peinture par principes* (1708) by Roger de Piles (1635–1709). Discussing Rembrandt’s skills in the lifelike depiction of people, De Piles describes how a portrait of the artist’s maidservant placed in a window deceived passers-by. This proved the author’s observation that a painting lacking in design but made with powerful chiaroscuro ‘does not leave its viewers in peace, it calls out to them, and makes them stop for some time’.⁵⁹ De Piles, who was well-acquainted with Junius’s treatise, concludes, ‘A true painting must draw in the viewer by the force and great truth of its imitation, and [...] the surprised viewer must respond, as if entering into a conversation with the figures that it depicts.’⁶⁰

The ‘dialogical’ relationship between artwork and viewer that De Piles supposes is also described in De Lairese’s *Groot schilderboek* (*Great Book on Painting*, 1707). When this author discusses how artworks are able to transport the beholder into a virtual reality, he quotes directly from Junius’s treatise: ‘we notice that Artists [...] apply their shadows thus [...] that the figures come forward with more power, and seem to meet the spectator’s eyes outside the picture plane’.⁶¹ De Lairese’s remark has been connected to Rembrandt’s paintings which suggest that the depicted figure comes out of the frame, such as *Portrait of Agatha Bas* (fig. 11): the woman’s fan is shown falling over the picture frame, and she is grasping the frame with her right hand. The painting may demonstrate the notion that the spectator and the depicted figure, appearing on the verge of starting a conversation, ‘seem to meet’ and encounter each other in the alternative reality of the artist’s original experience.⁶²

The Artist’s Temperament

According to Junius, artists should, like orators, be of a certain emotional disposition; their working process should likewise involve a specific artistic emotion.⁶³ Painters should endeavour to experience the emotions they want to represent. As was recorded by Arnold Houbraken, Van

11

Rembrandt, *Portrait of Agatha Bas*, 1641, oil on canvas, 104.5 x 85 cm, The Royal Collection, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II, London.

Hoogstraten encouraged his pupils to perform plays in his studio in order to provide them with experience in representing emotion.⁶⁴ The ideal artist would pair a great imagination and memory with an ability to experience the passions – without, however, letting them disturb his Stoic state of mind – in order to represent them on canvas:

The [imitation of actors] will also be useful in the expression of the passions of the person you have in mind, in particular in front of a mirror [that allows you] to be actor and spectator at the same time. But here a Poetic spirit is required in order to imagine another man’s role. Anyone who does not feel this spirit [...] will never master the thing unless some God or Poet lends him a helping hand.⁶⁵

Van Hoogstraten makes clear that practicing this most noble part of painting heavily encumbers the artist’s imagination, and may result in serious fits of melancholy.⁶⁶ The dangers apparently involved in the artist’s mental re-enacting of the things he represents explain the topical nature of Poussin’s remark that he does not succeed in painting Christ’s passion, because it makes him physically ill.⁶⁷

Junius describes the specific nature of artistic frenzy by harking back to the vocabulary he uses for the arousal of the passions in general: the heating of the blood, which rises upwards and seeks a way out of the body. He states that artists are ‘impelled by the sudden heate of a thoroughly stirred Phantasie [...] their minds being once in agitation cannot containe themselves any longer [...] it is not possible for them to rest, untill they have eased their free spirit of such a burden’.⁶⁸ This is why creativity may sometimes be restrained by too much rhetorical affectation. The vocabulary of the passions is also relevant to the advice, common in texts on art theory, to work in an unaffected way, close to one’s nature. Hence Van Mander closes his chapter on the passions with a reference to the painter Eupompos, who supposedly said that one ought not to follow the example of the ancients but rather study the people around one.⁶⁹ Junius describes the perfect painter as someone who derives his knowledge of the passions from diligent observation of nature, not from theory:

To a learned and wise imitator every man is a booke: he converseth with all sorts of men, and when he observeth in any of them some notable commotions of the minde, he seemeth then to have watched such an opportunitie for his studie, that he might reade in their eyes and countenance the severall faces of anger, love, fear, hope, scorn, joy, confidence, and other perturbations of our minde.⁷⁰

Again, it is the theory of rhetoric that is enlightening here. By a surprising paradox, the orator who uses a minimum of eloquence is praised the most highly, as exemplified by the apostle Paul who persuades through his passionate speech, and not through skill or knowledge. Juan Huarte, the author of a courtiers’ manual well-known in the Netherlands and cited by Van Hoogstraten, compares Paul’s speaking style to a ‘rough’ manner in painting;⁷¹

Those that knew [...] said that his words and speeches were similar to a cupboard or painting, which looked on the outside very roughly and coarsely done; but when it was opened, one saw many splendid artworks and paintings [...] Paul, whose innate ability was not trained sufficiently to learn foreign languages, and to express them with refined polish [...], did he not say about himself: ‘although I am coarser in speaking than the [other Apostles], I am no less in true knowledge and wisdom’?⁷²

When Rembrandt painted himself as the apostle Paul, he may have presented himself as someone with the mental disposition required for the affective arousal of the audience (fig. 12). This painting is done in the well-known ‘rough’ manner for which Rembrandt’s late work is famous. Part of Rembrandt’s artistic *persona* was, of course, his reputation as someone ‘not suited to learn foreign languages’, as Von Sandrart wrote.⁷³ The rough brushstroke may hence be associated with the courtier’s virtue of ‘dissimulating’ simple demeanour which enables greater persuasive force.⁷⁴ As with Huygens’s opinion of the painter Michiel van Mierevelt, whom he praises for his naturalness in both painting and speech, what is

12
Rembrandt, *Self-portrait as the apostle Paul*, 1661, oil on canvas, 91 x 77 cm, Rijksmuseum, Amsterdam..

at stake is of course *professed* artlessness: Van Mierevelt ‘deliberately hides behind a mask of ignorance and in so doing makes it very difficult for experts’.⁷⁵ When art theory thus turns against rhetoric in order to give free reign to the painter’s passions, it apparently uses a trope which itself stems from rhetorical tradition.

Conclusion

When Gerardus Vossius, a humanist well known to both Junius and Van Hoogstraten, published his painting treatise ‘De graphice’ in 1650,⁷⁶ he gave the painter the epithet *pathopoios*, maker or designer of the passions, a qualification subordinated to the painter’s abilities as *ethopoios*.⁷⁷ Clearly this statement, derived from the ancient rhetoricians, was the highest form of praise, the ultimate scope of rhetoric being none other than moving an audience in order to effect a lasting change in character.

The paramount importance of the passions to Van Hoogstraten’s and Junius’s ideas suggests that many aspects of Dutch art theory, which has traditionally been assigned an overarching concern with techniques of illusion and representation,⁷⁸ should rather be interpreted as concerned with persuading the public. The ‘motions of the mind’ are essential to this project: the belief that the viewer is not confronted by an artwork, but by a virtual reality, is highly catalyzed by the emotional appeal of the artwork. Thus the vocabulary of *bewegelijkheid*, or movement, appears essential to Van Hoogstraten’s and Junius’s terminology of the suggestion of images that are close to life. While the term *beweghens kracht* denotes the power to move affectively or bring the image to life, the *beweghelickheyd onser gedachten* denotes the mind’s power to infuse life into art.⁷⁹ Hence it is not surprising that one of the strongest words of criticism used by these authors is *onbeweghelick*, lacking in movement.⁸⁰ Only artists who have the right mental *beweghelickheyd* are able to invite the spectator to meet them in the alternative reality of the artwork, crossing the bridge between mental and corporeal aspects of reality.

Notes

I wish to thank Stephanie Dickey, David DeWitt and Franziska Gottwald for inviting me to the inspiring conference in Kingston where this article was first presented. I am indebted to Eric Jan Sluiter who supervised my dissertation about Van Hoogstraten’s art theory (concluded in 2005). Lynne Richards and Beverley Jackson translated most of the quotations from seventeenth-century Dutch (of course, I myself remain solely responsible for all mistakes). I also thank the J. Paul Getty Trust and the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research for their generous funding, as well as the Dutch University Institute in Florence (NIKI) for its hospitality.

- 1 ‘Wie ook der Italiaensche of Nederlandsche groote Meesters is er oit geweest, die of in’t geheel der konst, of in eenich deel, niet iets byzonders als eygen gehad heeft? [...] Rubens [was fixed] op rijklijke ordinantien, Anthony van Dijk op bevallijkheit, Rembrandt op de lijdingen des gemoeds, en Goltzius op eenige groote Meesters hand eigentlijk na te volgen’, Samuel van Hoogstraten, *Inleyding tot de hooge schoole der schilderkonst*, Rotterdam 1678, 75.
- 2 J.A. Emmens, *Rembrandt en de regels van de kunst*, Amsterdam 1979 (ed. princ. 1968).
- 3 G.P. Bellori, *Le vite de’ pittori, scultori e architetti moderni*, ed. E. Borea, Torino

- 1976 (ed. princ. 1672), 215.
- 4 Besides Van Hoogstraten, some authors who refer to Junius’s treatise are: W. de Geest, *Het kabinet der statuen*, Amsterdam 1702, 110; A. Houbraken, *De groote schouburg der Nederlantsche konstschilders en schilderessen*, Amsterdam 1718-1721, vol. I, 138; G.J. Vossius, ‘De origine et progressu idolatriae’, in: *Opera*, Amsterdam 1700, vol. III, 45; W. den Elger, *Zinne-beelden der liefde*, Leiden 1703, 204-206, and L. Bidloo, *Panpoëticon Batavum*, Amsterdam 1720, 47.
- 5 On Van Hoogstraten’s debt to Junius see W. Goeree, *Inleyding tot de practijck der algemeene schilderkonst*, Middelburg

- 1697, 65. Elsewhere, Goeree refers to Junius to state that a ‘true artist’ must ‘above all’ focus on the passions (*de Passien des Menschlijken gemoeds*), since ‘the greatest power of painting consists in the lifelike expression of the passions’, W. Goeree, *Natuurlyk en schilderkonstig ontwerp der menschkunde*, Amsterdam 1682, 69. Van Hoogstraten mentions Junius in *op.cit.* (n. 1), 72, 75-76, 79, 93, 179, 184, 193, 245, 289, 305-306 and 332. His borrowings are explained more fully in chapter IV of my book, *The visible world: Samuel van Hoogstraten’s art theory and the legitimization of painting in the Dutch Golden Age*, Amsterdam 2008.
- 6 Inventory of Rembrandt’s bankruptcy of 1656, reprinted in A. Golahny, *Rembrandt’s reading: the artist’s bookshelf of ancient poetry and history*, Amsterdam 2003, 77-78.
- 7 J. von Sandrart, *Teutsche Academie*, Nürnberg 1675, vol. II, chap. 3, 345; on Von Sandrart’s visit to Arundel’s art collections see vol. I, ‘Lebenslauf und Kunstwerke Joachims von Sandrart’, 5-6. Huygens probably met Junius when he visited the Arundel collection together with Jacques de Gheyn III; I.Q. van Regteren Altena, *Jacques de Gheyn, three generations*, The Hague/Boston/London 1983, I, 126. For his knowledge of the treatise, see W.P. van Stockum (ed.), *Catalogus der bibliotheek van Constantijn Huygens verkocht op de Grootte Zaal van het Hof te ’s Gravenhage 1688*, The Hague 1903, 39, no. 502.
- 8 The incomplete inventory made in Amsterdam in 1655, listing only works Arundel had taken with him from England, mentions a ‘small head of a man’ and ‘an old man drawing’ by Rembrandt; document in the Public Record Office in London, DEL 1,7, ff. 693r-705v, described in M. Hervey, *The life, correspondence and collections of Thomas Howard, Earl of Arundel*, Cambridge 1921, 473-500, esp. 487.
- 9 Van Hoogstraten talks about discussions in Rembrandt’s studio in *op.cit.* (n. 1), 11, 12, 95, 181.
- 10 F. Junius, K. Aldrich, P. Fehl & R. Fehl (eds.), *Franciscus Junius, The literature of classical art. 1. The painting of the ancients: De pictura veterum, according to the English translation (1638)*, Berkeley/Los Angeles/Oxford 1991, 358.
- 11 J. Jansen, ‘Het geslaagde spreken: welsprekendheid als beroepsbekwaamheid in de zeventiende eeuw’, *De zeventiende eeuw* 18, no. 1 (2002), 31-42.
- 12 Golahny, *op. cit.* (n. 6), 52-58; P. Thissen, *Werk, netwerk en letterwerk van de familie Van Hoogstraten in de zeventiende eeuw. Sociaal-economische en sociaal-culturele achtergronden van geletterden in de Republiek*, Amsterdam/Maarssen 1994, 52-71.
- 13 Van Mander calls the affects ‘rechte Kernen oft Siele die Const in haer heeft besloten’, with the scholium ‘D’Affecten uytreelden, Siele der Consten’; K. van Mander, ‘Grondt der edel vry schilderconst’, in: *Het schilder-boeck*, Haarlem 1604, chap. VI, 55, f. 27r.
- 14 Van Hoogstraten, *op.cit.* (n. 1), 109, F. Junius, *De schilderkonst der oude*, Middelburg 1641, 221.
- 15 Cf. the title of Chapter VI of Van Mander, *op.cit.* (n. 13), which deals with ‘Wtbeeldinghe der Affecten, passien, begeerlijckheden, en lijdens der Menschen’.
- 16 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 331, 50.
- 17 For the term *beweghelickheyd* see *ibid.*, 118.
- 18 Junius’s ‘invention’ of artistic terminology was discussed in my presentation at the Director’s Seminar, The Warburg Institute, London, 21 June 2006.
- 19 Cf. C. Brusati, *Artifice and illusion: the art and writing of Samuel van Hoogstraten*, Chicago 1995, 19.
- 20 Junius cites from Cicero’s *De optimo genere oratorum*: ‘It is [the artists’] duty ... that they should teach; it is for their owne credit that they should delight; it is altogether requisite that they should moove and stirre our minde’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 297; cf. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 330.
- 21 Junius speaks about the ‘hear’ caused by ‘stirred passions’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 180; cf. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 189, 190, 215.
- 22 Cf. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 50: ‘he is the best Historian that can adorne his Narration with such forcible figures and lively colours of Rhetorike, as to make it like unto a Picture’.
- 23 Quoted in S. James, ‘The passions in metaphysics and the theory of action’, in: D. Garber & M. Ayers (eds.), *The Cambridge history of seventeenth-century philosophy*, Cambrige/New York 1998,

- 913-951, 928.
- 24 Descartes, *Bedenkingen van d’eerste wysbegeerte*, Amsterdam 1657, 45-46; A.O. Rorty, ‘Descartes on thinking with the body,’ in: J. Cottingham (ed.), *The Cambridge companion to Descartes*, Cambridge 1992, 371-392.
- 25 Willem Goeree underpins the usefulness of his *Menschkunde*, which deals with the human passions as well as anatomy for the artist, by pointing out that ‘Knowledge of human nature is not only of great importance to Drawing and Painting, but also contributes to a civilized society and enhances social intercourse. [...] Indeed, is the knowledge [of the affects] not also necessary in one’s everyday dealings with our fellow human beings?’, Goeree, *Menschkunde*, *op. cit.* (n. 5), unpaginated, f. *sv.
- 26 “t Is niet genoeg, dat een beelt schoon is, maer daer moet een zekere beweeglijkhey in zijn, die macht over d’aenschouwers heeft; Gelijk Horatius van het dichten zingt: “Een schoon gedicht zal mij niet licht beroeren,/ Maer vriendelijckhey kan hert en ziel vervoeren./ Men lacche, of ween’, d’aenschouwer raekt op’t spoor:/ Dus wilt gy dat ik schreye, schrey my voor.” Zoo is’t ook met de Schilders, zy beroeren ‘t gemoed niet, zooze deeze beweeglijkhey overslaen’, Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 292. On Horace’s influential maxim, see also the essays by Chapman, Dickey, Roodenburg, Schiller and Sluijter in this volume.
- 27 Cf. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 220.
- 28 “t Gedenkt mij dat ik, in zeker aerdich geordineert stukje van Rembrandt, verbeeldende een Johannes Predicatie, een wonderlijke aendacht in de toehoorden van allerley staaten gezien hebbe: dit was ten hoogsten prijslijk’, Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 183.
- 29 C. Huygens, *Fragment eener autobiographie*, ed. J.A. Worp, s.l., s.a., 77. Huygens composed the manuscript in the period 1629-1631.
- 30 Cicero, *Orator* 23.79; Quintilian, *Inst. orat.* 7, 2, 32: ‘Intersequitur ‘enargeia’ quae a Cicerone illustratio et evidētia nominatur, quae non tam dicere videtur quam ostendere; et adfectus nonaliter, quam si rebus ipsis intersimus, sequentur.’ For *enargeia* and *energeia* see N. Michels, *Bewegung zwischen Ethos und Pathos. Zur*

Wirkungsästhetik italienischer Kunsttheorie des 15. und 16.

Jahrhunderts, Münster 1988, 61, 182.

- 31 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 265. The Dutch edition speaks about ‘uytdruckelickheyd of duydelickheyd’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 291. Junius’s term conflates the meaning of the two Greek terms, which are etymologically not related; see Weststeijn *op.cit.* (n. 5), chapter IV.
- 32 ‘Het zy nu, datmen een enkel beelt, of veele te zamen voor hebbe, men moet toezien, datmen alleenlijk een oogenblikke beweging, welke voornamentlijk de daed der Historie uitdrukt, vertoone [...] Op dat het werk eenstemmich den toeziender, als een anderen omstander verrukke, van een felle daed doe schrikken, en door het zien van iets blygeestichs doe verheugen: of dat hy door eenich aengedaen ongelijk met meedelijden bewoogen worde’, Van Hoogstraten, *op.cit.* (n. 1), 116.
- 33 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 53; see also Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 49: ‘Greg. Nyssenus na een wijtdloopigh en gantsch beweghelick verhael van Isaacks Offerhande, heeft dit daer en boven daer by gevoeght. “Ick hebbe menighmael,” seght hy, “d’afbeeldinge deser geschiedenisse in een Schilderye met weenende ooghen aanschouwer, soo krachtighlick was de gantsche Historye door de Konst voor ooghen gestelt”’. He refers to St. Gregory of Nyvena, *De deitate filii et spiritus sancti oratio*, which was especially popular with authors of the Counter-Reformation; cf. G. Comanini, *Il Figino*, Mantova 1591, 310.
- 34 Italics mine. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 300; Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 335: ‘dat wy de bysondere figuren, die ons in’t werck sijn voorgesteld, niet alleen met onse ooghen haestighlick behooren t’overloopen; maer dat wy de selvighe insghelijcks door den gantschen aendacht onses Konst-lievenden ghemoeds moeten insien, als of wy met de levendighe teghenwoordigheyd der dingen selver, ende niet met haere gekontrefeyte verbeeldinghe te doen hadden’.
- 35 ‘Onse uytterlicke sinnen behoeven slechts het beghinsel eenigher gheschiedenissen aen ons ghemoed aen te dienen, en strecks sal ons werckende hoofd de gantsche gheschiedenis,

- gelijkse uyt veele en vast aen een gheschaeckelde omstandigheden bestaet, [...] nae den eysch der saecke vaerdighlick beseffen’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 340.
- 36 Th. Hobbes, ‘The answer of Mr. Hobbes to Sir William d’Avenant’s preface before Gondibert’, in: Sir W. Davenant, *Gondibert*, ed. D. Gladish, Oxford 1971, 49.
- 37 That the purpose of the curtain was not to protect the work of art from dirt and dust is clear from a letter dating from 1648 from Poussin to Paul Fréart de Chantelou, in which the painter writes that to achieve the best possible effect on the viewer, it is best to display paintings one at a time, in N. Poussin, *Correspondence*, ed. Ch. Jouanny, Paris 1911, 384.
- 38 ‘[W]anneer hy eenen ghewaependen krijghs-man ghemaect hebbende, ghelijck den selvighen ghereed stond om eenen uytval te doen op de vyanden die ‘t omringende platte land afliepen, niet goed en vond datmen dese sijne Schilderye te voorschijn soude brenghen, sonder eerst eenen Trompetter heymelick by der hand te hebben die zijn Trompette stekende eenen loosen alarm op den selvighen ooghenblick soude maecken als men de gordijne die ‘t stuck bedeckt hield beghost te verschuyven’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14) 341; Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 346. The curtain is not mentioned in the English edition.
- 39 Huygens, *op. cit.* (n. 31), 73.
- 40 Vondel paid much attention to this concept, describing it as *staetveranderinge*. Albert Blankert has associated this term with the work of Rembrandt and his pupils; A. Blankert, *Ferdinand Bol 1616-1680. Een leerling van Rembrandt*, Den Haag 1976, 41-45. See also the essays by Golahny and Sluijter in this volume.
- 41 On Heinsius’ adaptation of Aristotelian poetics see J. Konst, *Woedende waakgierigheid en vruchteloze weeklachten; de hartstochten in de Nederlandse tragedie van de zeventiende eeuw*, Assen 1993, 52.
- 42 ‘[D]e staetige Schilderye van Polemo, een man, die [...] op een wonderlijke wijze was bekeert [...] ‘t Meysje, dit staetich gezicht beschouwende, kreeg zulk een schrik en verandering, datze haer omkeerende weer dadelijk nae huis liep. Wat rechtschapen man zou niet wenschen zulk een Schilderye

- gemaect te hebben?’, Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 350-351.
- 43 *Ibid.*, 257.
- 44 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 45, after Gregory of Nazianzus, *Carmina moralia* 1.2.10, 793-807.
- 45 Quoted in M.A. Tassi, *The scandal of images: Iconoclasm, eroticism, and painting in early modern English drama*, Cranbury 2005, 152.
- 46 Italics mine. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 303; Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 341: ‘Want het den ghenen, die de konstighe wercken met een rijp oordeel soecken t’overweghen, niet ghenoech en is, datse de rechte verbeeldinghe van ‘t afghebeelde argument met de Schilder-beelden selver vergelijken, ‘t en sy saecke datse sich ‘t afghebeelde met eenen oock voorstellen als of het voor gheen bloote afbeeldinghe maer voor de saecke selver was te houden’.
- 47 See further Weststeijn, *op.cit.* (n. 5), chap. III.
- 48 ‘[W]ie zal zich geen allerbehaeglijkste zwier inbeelden, als hy van Venus by Virgiel deeze woorden leest? “Zoo spreekende; gingze heene, blonk over haeren roosverwigen nek, het hair gaf een goddelijke locht, als ambrosie, van zich, het kleet sleepte na, en haer tret wees wel uit, datze waerachtig een godinne was”’, Van Hoogstraten, *op.cit.* (n. 1), 296.
- 49 Cf. Goeree, *Inleyding*, *op. cit.* (n. 5), 62-63; G. de Lairese, *Groot schilderboek*, Haarlem 1740 (ed.princ. 1707), vol. II, 158.
- 50 Junius states that Ovid’s account of Phaeton’s fall had only been possible because the painter has ‘made himself present’ in the events he describes, ‘stepping with Phaeton in the chariot’: “Als Ovidius den roeckeloosen jongelingh, die sijnes Vaders vierighen waeghen verlanght hadde te betreden, nae ‘t leven beschrijft, dunckt u dan niet dat hy selfs mede met Phaeton op den waeghen ghestapt sijnde het selvige ghevaer van’t begin tot het eynde toe uyt ghestaen heeft? want het en hadde hem andersins niet moghelick gheweest de minste schaduwē van soo een vreeselick verwerde noodts-praeme door ‘t verbeelden t’achter haelen, ‘t en waer saecke dat hy sich selven aldaer in maniere van spreken, ver-teghenwoordighet hadde’, Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 49. Cf. Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 56.
- 51 Letter to Constantijn Huygens of 12

- January 1639, reprinted in H. Gerson (ed.), *Seven letters by Rembrandt*, Den Haag 1961, 34.
- 52 '[I]n historia si adsit facies cogniti alicuius hominis, tametsi aliae nonnullae praestantioris artificii emineant, cognitius tamen vultus omnium spectantium oculos ad se rapit', Alberti, *De Pictura*, Book III, par. 56, in: C. Grayson (ed.), *Leon Battista Alberti, on painting and on sculpture*, London 1972, 98-100.
- 53 'Ten gheeft d'History oock gheen cleyn vercierien/ Als een der bootsen ghewent nae de lieden/ Is gheordineert/ op sulcker manieren/ Als wilde hy hun/ met neerstigh bestieren/ Medelijdich eenich jammer bedienen/ Of yet dat schrickelijck staet te gheschieden/ En doet schier t' Ghemhaels beschouwers toevloeyen/ Door zijn aenwijsen/ een druckich bemoeyen', Van Mander, *op. cit.* (n. 13), chap. V, par. 38, f. 18r.
- 54 '[W]y Schilders [zijn] gewoon [...] in het bitter lijden Christi, de moeder Maria, als den Zalichmaker aldernaest, met de grootste beweeging, die ons mogelijk is, uit te beelden', Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 110.
- 55 Cf. Jan Vos on a painting of the sleeping nymph Iphigenia by Jacob Backer: 'zy brandt ons nu zy slaapt; indien zy wakker wardt, / Zoo maakt z'ons heel tot asch: want 't oog ontsteekt het hart', J. Vos, *Alle de gedichten*, Amsterdam 1726, I, 336.
- 56 'The supposed mutual dependence of artwork and spectator is exemplified in Shakespeare's *The rape of Lucrece* (I, 1498) when the heroine, contemplating a wall painting of figures in mortal agony 'lends them words, and she their looks doth borrow': on the one hand Lucrece's imagination infuses the painting with life, on the other hand she is physically influenced by the depicted passions.
- 57 For several sources describing painting as 'action at a distance' see T. Weststeijn, "'Painting's enchanting poison". Artistic efficacy and the transfer of spirits', in: C. Göttler & W. Neuber (eds.), *Spirits unseen: the representation of subtle bodies in early modern European culture* (Intersections, 8), Leiden 2007, 141-178; for an explicit seventeenth-century author on this subject see A. Darmon, *Les corps immatériels. Esprits et images dans l'oeuvre de Marin Cureau de la Chambre*, Paris 1985.
- 58 Cf. Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 170. Samuel Pepys writes of being barely able to suppress his desire to touch the painted water drops on the fruit on one of Van Hoogstraten's still lifes, *The diary of Samuel Pepys*, 11 vols., London 1970, vol. 4, 26.
- 59 R. de Piles, *Cours de peinture par principes*, Paris 1708, 10-11; see also 301: 'Un tableau dont le dessin et les couleurs locales sont médiocres mais qui sont soutenues par l'artifice du clair-obscur, ne laissera pas passer tranquillement son spectateur, il l'appellera, il l'arrêtera du moins quelque temps'.
- 60 'La véritable peinture doit appeler son spectateur par la force et la grande vérité de son imitation, et ... le spectateur surpris doit aller à elle, comme pour entrer en conversation avec les figures qu'elle représente', *Ibid.*, 4. De Piles refers to Junius on pages 139-148.
- 61 'Dus zien wy dat de Konstenaers allenthalven [...] schaduwen [...] met meerder kracht mogt uitsteeken, en d'oogen der aanschouwers zelfs ook buiten het tafereel zoude schynen t'ontmoeten', Lairese, *op. cit.* (n. 50), II, 158, a quotation from Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 264.
- 62 Poems on portraits often use the topos of the 'speaking likeness'; see J.A. Emmens, 'Apelles en Apollo: Nederlandse gedichten op schilderijen in de zeventiende eeuw', in: *Kunsthistorische opstellen I*, Amsterdam 1981, 5-60; S. Dickey, *Rembrandt: portraits in print*, Amsterdam 2004, 128; Weststeijn, *op. cit.* (n. 57), 172-173.
- 63 Cf. Junius's opinion that poets and painters 'wanneer haer ghemoedt eenes gaende ghemaect is, soo en is het hun niet moghelijk de hitte haerder beroerder sinnen langher te bedwinghen, maer sy worden door ick en wete niet wat voor een onwederstaenelicke kracht aen gheport om haere swanghere herssenen als met den eersten t'ontlasten, en haeren vryen Gheest door vreemde omweghen, door den eenen of den anderen dienstbaeren Godt, door verscheyden fabulachtighe grepen overvloedighlick uyt te storten', Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 49.
- 64 Houbraken, *op. cit.* (n. 4), vol. II, 163; cf. Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 108-115.
- 65 'Dezelve baet zalmen ook in't

- uitbeelden van diens hartstochten, die gy voorhebt, bevinden, voornaemlijk voor een spiegel, om te gelijk vertooner en aenschouwer te zijn. Maer hier is een Poëtische geest van noode, om een ieders ampt zich wel voor te stellen. Die deeze niet en gevoelt [...] en zal de zaek niet machtich zijn; ten waer hem eenich Godt of Poët de hulpige hand bood', Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 109-110; see also 200.
- 66 Cf. 'in't ordineeren moetmen zich vooral van zwaarmoedicheit wachten', *ibid.* 178. Cesare Ripa likewise stresses that the painter who 'overloads' his imagination will be afflicted by melancholy, since he 'gestaedigh de fantasien van de sichtelijcke wercken in't hoofd hebbe. En hier door verkrijght hij veel sorge en swaarmoedigheyt, 't welck daer nae eene aenbrandinge veroorsaect, gelijk de Medicijns verhaelen, waer uyt dat natuyrlijcker wijze in den Menschen, neffens veele andere toevallen, dese besondere voortkomt', C. Ripa, *Iconologia of uijtbeeldinghen des verstants*, Amsterdam 1644, 452.
- 67 N. Poussin, *Actes*, Paris 1960, Vol. II, 219; cf. S. James, *Passion and action: the emotions in seventeenth-century philosophy*, Oxford/New York 1997, 231.
- 68 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 56; Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 49: 'soo en is het hun niet moghelijk de hitte haerder beroerder sinnen langher te bedwinghen, maer sy worden door ick en wete niet wat voor een onwederstaenelicke kracht aen gheport om haere swanghere herssenen als met den eersten t'ontlasten'.
- 69 See E.J. Sluijter, "'Horrible nature, incomparable art": Rembrandt and the depiction of the female nude', in: J. Lloyd Williams *et al.* (eds.), *Rembrandt's women*, cat. exh. Edinburgh (National Gallery of Scotland), Munich 2001, 37-49, 41.
- 70 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 10), 208; Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 221.
- 71 Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), 73.
- 72 '[Z]y zeyden (die verstonden wat een wonder verstandt, en weeten in hem zat) dat zijne woorden en spreuken eeven eens waaren als een kas of taefereel, 't welk van buyten heel rou, en plomp gewrogt daar uyt zag, maar dat oopen-gedaan zijnde, men daar binnen zag zulke oover-treffelijcke werken, en schilderyen [...]'[Paulus, die] door zijne ingebooren kragt niet

- afgerigt genog en was om vreemde taalen te leeren, en die met treffelijkke, en zuivere netticheydt te kunnen uyten, [...] had hy zelve aldus van hem niet gesproocken gehadt? "Ik meen dat ik niet minder gedaan en heb als een van al de Apostelen; en schoon ik wel wat plomper als zy in het spreekken ben, in goede kennis, en weetenschap ben ik daarom by haar niet minder"', J. Huarte, H. Takama trans., *Onderzoek der byzondere vernuftens eygentlijkke abelheên*, Amsterdam 1659, 230.
- 73 According to Von Sandrart, Rembrandt could 'nicht als nur schlecht Niederländisch lesen', *op. cit.* (n. 7), Vol. I, part II, chap. 3, 326.
- 74 For some associations between loose brushwork, the ability to disguise one's true intentions, and theories in courtiers' literature about irony, see V. von Rosen, *Mimesis und Selbstbezüglichkeit in Werken Tizians. Studien zum venezianischen Malereidiskurs*, Emsdetten 2001, 331.
- 75 Huygens, *op. cit.* (n. 29), 75-76.
- 76 Junius was brother-in-law to Vossius and collaborated with him on many scholarly projects; Vossius's treatise is based to a large extent on the quotations collected by Junius. Van Hoogstraten, *op. cit.* (n. 1), cites Vossius on pages 43, 69, 280.
- 77 G. Vossius, 'De graphice, sive arte pingendi', in: *De quator artibus popularibus, grammaticis, gymnasticis, musicis, & graphice, liber*, Amsterdam 1690 (ed.princ. 1650), § 19, 70, uses *Pathopoios* as a synonym for 'Affectus effingens': 'Hinc Graphice Callistrato, ubi Aesculapii statuam describit, vocatur π, ars mores effingens. Ac poterat similiter π π (affectus effingens) dicere.'
- 78 This now traditional image of Dutch art theory is still expressed in C. Harrison, P. Wood & J. Gaiger (eds.), *Art in theory 1648-1815: An anthology of changing ideas*, Oxford/Malden 2000, 274.
- 79 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 274, 118.
- 80 Junius, *op. cit.* (n. 14), 279.