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ABSTRACT

The family of polyamine oxidases (PAO) in Arabidopsis (AtPAO1-5) mediates polyamine (PA) back-conversion, which reverses the PA biosynthetic pathway from spermine, and its structural isomer thermospermine (tSpm), into spermidine and then putrescine. Here, we have studied the involvement of PA back-conversion in Arabidopsis salinity tolerance. AtPAO5 is the Arabidopsis PAO gene member most transcriptionally induced by salt stress. Two independent loss-of-function mutants (atpao5-2 and atpao5-3) were found to exhibit constitutively higher tSpm levels, with associated increased salt tolerance. Using global transcriptional and metabolomic analyses, the underlying mechanisms were studied. Stimulation of abscisic acid and jasmonate (JA) biosynthesis and accumulation of important compatible solutes, such as sugars, polyols and proline, as well as TCA cycle intermediates were observed in atpao5 mutants under salt stress. Expression analyses indicate that tSpm modulates the transcript levels of several target genes, including many involved in the biosynthesis and signaling of JA, some of which are already known to promote salinity tolerance. Transcriptional modulation by tSpm is isomer-dependent, thus demonstrating the specificity of this response. Overall, we conclude that tSpm triggers metabolic and transcriptional reprogramming that promotes salt stress tolerance in Arabidopsis.
INTRODUCTION

Salinity affects more than 800 million hectares of arable land around the world and it has a detrimental impact on crop yield (Hasegawa 2013). To cope with saline stress, plants have evolved mechanisms that involve discrimination of ions taken up by roots, osmotic adjustment, sequestering of ions into separate cellular compartments, and tolerance against ionic toxicity (Munns & Tester 2008). Polyamines (PAs) are organic amines the levels of which increase in response to various stress conditions, including salinity. Genetic approaches indicate that these compounds are required to cope with abiotic constrains, although their modes-of-action are not fully elucidated (Cona et al. 2006; Alcázar et al. 2006b; Kusano et al. 2008; Alcázar et al. 2010; Tiburcio et al. 2014). In the glycophyte species, Arabidopsis thaliana (hereafter referred to as Arabidopsis), the most abundant polyamines (PAs) are the diamine, putrescine (Put), the triamine, spermidine (Spd), and the tetraamines, spermine (Spm) and its structural isomer thermospermine (tSpm). Biosynthesis of PAs requires the presence of arginine or ornithine, from which Put is synthesized. Both amino acids are derived from glutamate, a derivative of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA) intermediate 2-oxoglutarate, which is also precursor of the compatible solute proline (Alcázar et al. 2010). Spd is synthesized from Put via co-action of Spd synthase and S-adenosylmethionine decarboxylase. The latter is also involved in the biosynthesis of Spm and tSpm, by Spm synthase (SPMS) and tSpm synthase (ACaulis5, ACL5), respectively. Despite their structural similarity, Spm and tSpm isomers exhibit strikingly different biological functions and their biosynthesis is differentially regulated (Takahashi & Kakehi 2010; Vera-Sirera et al. 2010; Takano et al. 2012).

In Arabidopsis, salt stress up-regulates SPMS expression (Urano et al. 2003; Bagni et al. 2006; Tassoni et al. 2008; Naka et al. 2010). Long-term salt stress in this and other species leads to increased Spm levels (Naka et al. 2010; Alet et al. 2012). Genetic engineering of the PA pathway and correlational studies using cultivars with contrasting salinity tolerance, suggest that Spm accumulation is a metabolic hallmark often associated with enhanced salt tolerance (Yamaguchi et al. 2006). However, sensitivity to salinity of Arabidopsis mutants impaired in tSpm biosynthesis (acl5-1) or both tSpm and Spm (acl5-1 spms-1) is higher than that of Spm deficient (spms-1) or wild-type plants (Yamaguchi et al. 2006; Alet et al. 2012). Therefore, a potential contribution of tSpm to salt stress tolerance could not be ruled out. Evidence indicate that PA-triggered salinity tolerance is independent of the salt overly sensitive (SOS) signalling pathway (Yamaguchi et al. 2006; Alet et al. 2012) and may involve calcium signalling (Yamaguchi et al. 2006), modulation of various cation and K+ channels (Pottosin & Shabala 2014), H+/ATPase activities (Pottosin et al. 2014), protein post-translational modifications such as S-nitrosylation, carbonylation and tyrosine nitration (Tanou et al. 2014), enzymatic and non-enzymatic reactive oxygen species (ROS) detoxification (Saha et al. 2015), among others (Alcázar et al. 2010). However, the
overall picture remains largely undefined and global transcriptional and metabolic approaches are, to our knowledge, not yet reported.

Free PA levels are not only determined by their biosynthesis but also by their oxidation (Cona et al. 2006). In recent years, several genes have been characterized that are involved in PA oxidation (Moschou et al. 2012; Tavladoraki et al. 2012). PA oxidation requires the activity of copper-containing amine oxidases (CuAOs) or FAD-containing polyamine oxidases (PAOs). Both types of amine oxidases exhibit different substrate preferences. While Put is preferentially oxidized by CuAOs, higher molecular weight PAs (Spd, Spm and tSpm) are PAO substrates (Cona et al. 2006). PAOs are classified into two main families, depending on whether they terminally oxidize PAs or catalyse the PA back-conversion, which reverses the PA biosynthetic pathway releasing H$_2$O$_2$ (Moschou et al. 2012; Tavladoraki et al. 2012). Arabidopsis carries five AtPAO genes (AtPAO1-5), all known to be involved in PA back-conversion (Tavladoraki et al. 2006; Kamada-Nobusada et al. 2008; Moschou et al. 2008c; Takahashi et al. 2010; Fincato et al. 2011; Ahou et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014). Among them, AtPAO5 encodes a cytosolic PAO, the expression of which is restricted to vascular tissue, which is also the site of synthesis of tSpm by ACL5 (Kim et al. 2014). AtPAO5 preferentially catalyses the conversion of tSpm to Spd, but not of Spd to Put (Ahou et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014). Consistent with the proposed catalytic activity of AtPAO5, atpao5 mutants accumulate higher levels of tSpm under normal growth conditions (Ahou et al. 2014; Kim et al. 2014). Therefore, AtPAO5 and ACL5 activities can fine-tune the balance between tSpm and Spm.

PA mechanisms of action might involve cross-regulation with different hormones such as gibberellins (Alcázar et al. 2005), ethylene (Bitrián et al. 2012), auxins (Tong et al. 2014) or abscisic acid (ABA) (Alcázar et al. 2006a; Cuevas et al. 2008). Recently, an apoplastic CuAO (AtAO1) that is expressed early during root vascular tissue differentiation has been shown to mediate jasmonic acid-triggered early protoxylem differentiation (Ghuge et al. 2015). In addition to the involvement of jasmonates (JAs) in plant development, wounding and biotic stress interactions, recent evidence indicate that JA contributes to salt stress tolerance (Kazan 2015). Overexpression of the JA biosynthesis gene allene oxide cyclase 1 (AOC1) from wheat (Triticum aestivum) in Arabidopsis leads to higher JA levels, and this is associated with increased salt tolerance independent of ABA and dependent of MYC2 (Zhao et al. 2014b). Furthermore, overexpression of the wheat 12-oxophytodienoate reductase 1 in Arabidopsis promotes ABA-dependent salt tolerance and enhanced ROS detoxification (Dong et al. 2013). Hence, JA triggers both ABA dependent and independent salinity tolerance in this species.

Overexpression of PA biosynthetic genes has been successfully applied for the manipulation of PA levels in plants (Alcázar et al. 2006b). However, isolation of PA catabolism mutants emerges as an alternative approach for the accumulation of PAs. It is expected that such approaches should help to further define PA-related
biological functions. In this work, we have studied the involvement of PA back-conversion during salt stress in *Arabidopsis*. Expression analyses indicate that *AtPAO5* is the *Arabidopsis* PAO gene family member most transcriptionally responsive to salinity. Phenotypic characterization of two *atpao5* mutant alleles evidences that *AtPAO5* loss of function leads to salinity tolerance. Through global transcriptional and metabolic analyses, we identify that salt tolerance in *atpao5* associates with early boosting of ABA biosynthesis and higher JA levels, followed by the accumulation of important compatible solutes, TCA cycle intermediates, and transcriptional modulation of several target genes by tSpm. Collectively, the results indicate that tSpm has a protective role against salt stress in *Arabidopsis*.

**RESULTS**

**Expression of AtPAO1-5 gene family members during salt stress**

To identify which *AtPAO* gene family members are transcriptionally responsive to salinity, we determined the expression of all five (*AtPAO1-5*) members by qRT-PCR in 4-week-old wild-type *Arabidopsis* plants treated with 50 mM NaCl between 0 and 96 h (Fig. 1). The expression of most *AtPAO* genes (*AtPAO1, AtPAO3, AtPAO4*) remained unaltered by the saline treatment. In contrast, the expression of *AtPAO5* progressively increased up to 4.5-fold after 96 h of salt treatment. These analyses also revealed an early, but transient, peak of *AtPAO2* expression after 3 h (Fig. 1). We concluded that *AtPAO5* is the PAO family member that is most transcriptionally responsive to saline conditions in *Arabidopsis*, which might represent its potential involvement during salt stress.
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To investigate the biological significance of the transcriptional activation of *AtPAO5* by salt stress, two *atpao5* T-DNA insertion mutants were isolated: *atpao5*-2 (Kim et al. 2014) and *atpao5*-3 (*Fig. S1a*). *AtPAO5* expression was undetectable in both *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3, indicating that they are loss-of-function mutants (*Fig. S1b*). Loss of *AtPAO5* expression in *atpao5*-3 did not lead to consistent changes in the expression of *AtPAO1*-4 genes during salt stress (*Fig. 1*). These results point to the absence of transcriptional compensatory mechanisms between *AtPAO5* and *AtPAO1*-4 gene family members during the saline treatment.

*Figure 1.* Transcript profiles of *AtPAO* gene family members during salt stress. qRT-PCR expression analyses of *AtPAO1–5* genes in 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* wild-type and *atpao5*-3 loss-of-function mutants exposed to 50 mM NaCl for 0–96 h. Values are relative to wild type (0 h) and are the mean ± SD of three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates. nd, not detectable. Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student–Newman–Keuls test at *P* value <0.05.

**Salt tolerance phenotype of *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 loss-of-function mutants**

To investigate the biological significance of the transcriptional activation of *AtPAO5* by salt stress, two *atpao5* T-DNA insertion mutants were isolated: *atpao5*-2 (Kim et al. 2014) and *atpao5*-3 (*Fig. S1a*). *AtPAO5* expression was undetectable in both *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3, indicating that they are loss-of-function mutants (*Fig. S1b*). Loss of *AtPAO5* expression in *atpao5*-3 did not lead to consistent changes in the expression of *AtPAO1*-4 genes during salt stress (*Fig. 1*). These results point to the absence of transcriptional compensatory mechanisms between *AtPAO5* and *AtPAO1*-4 gene family members during the saline treatment.
The salt-tolerant phenotype of \textit{atpao5-2}, \textit{atpao5-3} and wild-type plants was investigated. Four-week-old plants grown in the absence of stress were exposed to gradual increases of NaCl (50, 100, 150, 200 and 250 mM NaCl; refer to Materials and methods). \textit{atpao5-2} and \textit{atpao5-3} mutants maintained higher percentage of water content and chlorophyll levels than wild-type plants, consistent with a better performance under salt stress conditions (Fig. 2a-c). Salt stress tolerance was also phenotypically evident in \textit{atpao5-2} and \textit{atpao5-3} seedlings (Fig. 2d,e). We concluded that AtPAO5 loss of function leads to enhanced salt tolerance in \textit{Arabidopsis}.
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**Figure 2.** Salt stress tolerance of *atpao5* compared with wild-type plants. (a) Phenotype of *atpao5*-2, *atpao5*-3 and wild-type plants after 96 h of 200 mM NaCl treatment with gradual increases of salt (refer to Materials and methods). (b) Percentage of water content and (c) chlorophyll levels in *atpao5*-2, *atpao5*-3 and wild-type plants exposed to gradual increases of salt (+NaCl) and untreated controls (−NaCl). (d) Phenotype and (e) chlorophyll levels of *atpao5*-2, *atpao5*-3 and wild-type seedlings exposed to gradual increases of salt (refer to Materials and methods). Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student-Newman-Keuls test at *P* value <0.05.

**PA levels in *atpao5* loss-of-function mutants during salt stress**

Free Put, Spd, Spm and tSpm levels were quantified in *atpao5*-2, *atpao5*-3 and wild-type plants exposed to 0, 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl for 48 h (Fig. 3). In the absence of stress (0 mM NaCl), PA levels remained constant over the time of analysis (Fig. 3). Put levels significantly increased in the wild type and both *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 mutant alleles exposed to 150 mM NaCl, while less or no Put increases were observed at lower NaCl concentrations (50 and 100 mM NaCl, Fig. 3). Conversely, Spd levels declined in response to 100 and 150 mM NaCl in the wild type and both *atpao5* mutants (Fig. 3). No remarkable differences in the levels of Put, Spd or Spm were observed between *atpao5* mutants and the wild type (Fig. 3). However, the levels of tSpm were consistently higher in *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 than the wild type and remained unaltered during the saline treatment (Fig. 3). These results are in agreement with previous observations that tSpm is preferential substrate for AtPAO5 activity (Ahou *et al.* 2014; Kim *et al.* 2014). Because both *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 mutants exhibited identical salt tolerance phenotypes and PA profiles (Figs. 2 & 3), *atpao5*-3 was selected for further characterization.
Sodium ion levels in \textit{atpao5-3} during salt stress

Quantification of Na$^+$ in leaves of \textit{atpao5-3} and wild type during salt stress evidenced the occurrence of lower shoot sodium accumulation in \textit{atpao5-3} (Fig. S2). This observation suggested that Na$^+$ exclusion might contribute to the salt-tolerant phenotype of \textit{atpao5}, which is in line with a potential role for PAs in regulating various ion channels (Pottosin & Shabala 2014). However, because shoot Na$^+$ content in \textit{atpao5-3} almost reached wild-type levels after 96 h of 150 mM NaCl treatment, without evident symptoms of ion toxicity (Figs. 2 & S2), we reasoned that other mechanisms, in addition to transient Na$^+$ exclusion, might contribute to the salt tolerance phenotype induced by \textit{AtPAO5} loss of function.

\textbf{Figure 3}. Free Put, Spd, Spm and tSpm levels in 4-week-old wild-type, \textit{atpao5-2} and \textit{atpao5-3} mutants treated with 0, 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl between 0 and 48 h. Values are the mean ± SD of three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates. FW, fresh weight. Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student–Newman–Keuls test at $P$ value <0.05.
Quantification of ABA in atpao5-3 during salt stress

ABA levels were determined during early (osmotic) and late (ionic, approximately 24 to 48 h after 100 mM NaCl) phases of salt treatment (Fig. 4a) (Munns & Tester 2008). Under basal conditions, no differences in ABA contents were observed between atpao5-3 and wild-type plants. Treatment with 50 mM NaCl induced ABA accumulation in both genotypes after 3 h of treatment. However, ABA levels in atpao5-3 were moderately but significantly higher than the wild type up to 48 h of salt treatment (Fig. 4a). Quantitative expression analyses of the ABA biosynthesis gene NCED3 and ABA-inducible gene RD29B (Uno et al. 2000) in atpao5-3 and wild type correlated with ABA levels (Fig. 4b). Conversely, atpao5-3 accumulated less ABA than the wild type at later stages of salt treatment (Fig. 4a). This observation is consistent with the salinity tolerance in atpao5-3 that is associated with delayed sodium accumulation in leaves (Fig. S2). We concluded that, although ABA biosynthesis is moderately promoted in atpao5-3 during the osmotic phase of salt stress, this is translated into higher ABA-dependent RD29B gene expression compared with the wild type.

Figure 4. (a) Determination of ABA levels in atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to gradual increases of NaCl between 50 to 150 mM. Values are the mean ± SD of five technical replicates. The analysis was repeated in two additional independent experiments showing similar results. (b) Quantitation of NCED3 and RD29B expression in atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to 50 mM NaCl between 0 and 96 h. Values are the mean ± SD from three biological replicates, each with three technical replicates. Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student-Newman-Keuls test at P value <0.05.
Hydrogen peroxide levels in *atpao5-3* during salt stress

To determine the ROS detoxification capacity, hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) levels in *atpao5-3* and wild-type plants were quantified during salt stress (Fig. S3). Interestingly, H$_2$O$_2$ levels did not differ between both genotypes and accumulated to similar levels throughout the saline treatment (Fig. S3). Major increases in hydrogen peroxide were observed during the ionic phase of salt stress in both the wild type and *atpao5-3* (Fig. S3). These results suggest that the salt tolerance of the *atpao5-3* mutant is not caused by enhanced ROS detoxification capacity. It also demonstrates that contribution of AtPAO5 to the overall generation of H$_2$O$_2$ during salt stress in *Arabidopsis* is rather limited.

Transcriptome analyses of *atpao5-3* during salt stress

To get further insight into mechanisms underlying *atpao5-3* salt tolerance, we determined the genome wide-expression profiles of *atpao5-3* and wild-type plants before and after 3 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment using Affymetrix microarrays. Genes that exhibited significant expression differences ($P < 0.05$; fold change $\geq 2$) were detected when comparing genotypes (*atpao5-3* 0 h versus wild-type 0 h, referred to as ‘p0w0’; *atpao5-3* 3 h versus wild-type 3 h, ‘p3w3’) and treatments (wild-type 3 h versus wild-type 0 h, ‘w3w0’; *atpao5-3* 3 h versus *atpao5-3* 0 h, ‘p3p0’) (Fig. 5a; Tables S2–S15).

In the absence of stress, already 201 genes were differentially expressed between *atpao5-3* and the wild type (*p0w0*, Table S4). GO analyses identified a significant number of genes related to signaling (Fig. 5b), which were mainly related to JA, protein kinases and transcription factors (Table S4). Interestingly, *atpao5-3* exhibited higher basal expression of JA metabolism genes *lipoxigenase 4* (LOX4), *AOC1*, jasmonoyl-isoleucine-12-hydroxylase (CYP94B3), jasmonate ZIM-domain 1 (JAZ1), JAZ5, JAZ6, JAZ7 transcriptional repressors and the JAZ-target transcription factor *MYC4* (Table S16). Overall, these results suggest that *atpao5-3* has increased basal expression of several JA biosynthesis and signalling genes. Remarkably, most JA genes up-regulated in *atpao5-3* were also induced by salt stress in the wild type (*w3w0* in Table S16).
AtPAO5 loss-of-function mutations promote salt stress tolerance

Figure 5. (a) Venn diagrams and (b) heat map of gene ontology terms from differentially expressed genes in atpao5-3 and wild-type plants treated with 50 mM NaCl between 0 and 3 h. Genes in the different comparisons (w3w0, wild-type 3 h versus wild-type 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment; p3p0, atpao5-3 3 h versus atpao5-3 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment; p0w0, atpao5-3 0 h versus wild-type 0 h; and p3w3, atpao5-3 3 h versus wild-type 3 h) are listed in Tables S2–15.

In the comparison between treatments (p3p0 and w3w0), 805 differentially expressed genes in p3p0 were detected and 622 in w3w0, of which 356 were common between p3p0 and w3w0 (Fig. 5a; Tables S2, S3 and S10). Common genes were similarly up- or down-regulated and were mostly related to abiotic stimulus but also response to wounding, further reinforcing a potential role for JA on salt stress (Table S10; Fig. 5b). In the set of genes whose expression was exclusively changed in atpao5-3 by 50 mM NaCl treatment (p3p0; Table S6), a significant enrichment of protein kinases was identified (Table S6; Fig. 5b). We also found that salt treatment led to increased expression of the Na+/pyruvate symporter bile acid:sodium symporter family protein 2 (BASS2, At2g26900) and Na+/H+ antiporter sodium:hydrogen antiporter 1 (NHD1, At3g19490) in atpao5-3, which are sodium transporters localized to the chloroplast envelope that mediate the plastidal influx or efflux of Na+, respectively (Furumoto et al. 2011; Müller et al. 2014) (Table...
Enhanced expression of NHD1 in atpao5-3 might contribute to its salt tolerance, because nhd1 mutants exhibit reduced growth, less chlorophyll levels and lower photosynthetic performance because of decreased capacity of sodium export from chloroplasts (Müller et al. 2014). Interestingly, exogenous application of 100 µM tSpm to 7-day-old wild-type seedlings quickly induced the expression of NHD1, and this response was not triggered by Spm (Fig. S4). This early peak of NHD1 expression was followed by a 2.3-fold expression peak after 8 h of treatment with tSpm or Spm, which overlapped with BASS2 expression kinetics (Fig. S4). These data suggest that tSpm rapidly induces NHD1 expression, thus potentially promoting Na⁺ efflux from the chloroplast. Conversely, Spm and tSpm exhibit the same effect on BASS2 transcriptional up-regulation after 8 h of exogenous treatment (Fig. S4).

Taken together, the data suggest that AtPAO5 loss of function impacts cell signalling through the transcriptional modulation of protein phosphorylation. This reinforces the hypothesis of a PA modulon in plants that involves transcriptional regulation of certain target genes by PAs (Tiburcio et al. 2014).

Transcriptional regulation of the JA pathway by tSpm JA levels during salt stress.

To investigate the effect of higher PAs on the transcriptional regulation of the JA pathway, we applied 100 µM Spm or tSpm to 7-day-old wild-type seedlings and monitored the expression kinetics of JA biosynthesis genes (LOX1, LOX2, LOX3, LOX4, AOS, AOC1, AOC2, OPR3, OPCL1, CYP94B3; Fig. 6a), JA signalling genes (JAZ1, JAZ5, JAZ6, JAZ7, JAZ10, MYC2, MYC3 and MYC4; Fig. 6b) and JA response marker genes (VSP2 and PDF1.2; Fig. 6b). A significant up-regulation of JA marker gene JAZ10 was observed by exogenous application of tSpm, whereas Spm induced less, but still significant (≥ 2-fold), up-regulation. Conversely, PDF1.2 and VSP2 expressions were only significantly induced by tSpm and not by Spm (Fig. 6b). Exogenously supplied tSpm also increased the expression of 13-lipoxygenases LOX1-4 (Fig. 6a). LOX encode enzymes oxidizing α-linoleic acid to 13(S)-hydroperoxy-octadecatrienoic acid, which is precursor of different oxylipins. tSpm induced the transcriptional up-regulation of LOX1 and LOX4 expression, whereas Spm had little or no effect (Fig. 6a). The transcript levels of AOS, which is the first gene committed to JA biosynthesis, allene oxide cyclases AOC1 and AOC2, OPC-8:CoA ligase 1 (OPCL1) and CYP94B3, were also increased by tSpm treatment and less by Spm. Conversely, OPR3 expression was more induced by Spm than tSpm (Fig. 6a).
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Consistent with the transcriptional activation of the JA pathway by tSpm, the expression of transcription factors JAZ1, JAZ5, JAZ6, JAZ7 and JAZ10 was highly induced by exogenously supplied tSpm and less by Spm (Fig. 6b). Among MYC2, MYC3 and MYC4 gene family members, tSpm significantly induced MYC4 expression after 24 h of treatment (Fig. 6b). Based on DNA binding specificity, MYC4 has been suggested to have different target genes than MYC2 (Fernández-Calvo et al. 2011). Remarkably, MYC4, ACL5 and AtPAO5 expression are found in the vasculature, which is the site of tSpm biosynthesis (Fernández-Calvo et al. 2011; Kim et al. 2014). Dose-response expression kinetics were determined for some tSpm and/or Spm-induced genes after 15 min of treatment, using different concentrations of tSpm and Spm (0, 5, 10, 20 and 100 µM; Fig. S5). Expression up-regulation was already observed using as low as 5 µM tSpm or Spm, thus suggesting the specificity of this
response (Fig. S5). Transcriptional responses increased linearly with the concentration of exogenously supplied PAs, with the highest differences observed at 100 µM tSpm or Spm.

Finally, and consistently with the transcriptional activation of the JA pathway by tSpm, we observed higher levels of JA in atpao5-3 than in the wild type during the saline treatment (Fig. 7). Overall, JA levels increased during saline stress but accumulated to higher levels in atpao5-3 than the wild type (Fig. 7).

Altogether, these results indicate that tSpm, and to a lower extent Spm, promote the transcriptional activation of genes involved in JA biosynthesis and signalling. Furthermore, these data indicate that transcriptional up-regulation of the JA pathway by PAs is isomer-specific with major contribution by tSpm and minor contribution by Spm.

Global metabolite profiles of atpao5-3 during salt stress
To get further insight into additional mechanisms underlying atpao5-3 salt stress tolerance from a metabolic view, we performed global metabolite profiling of atpao5-3 and wild-type plants during salt stress by GC-MS. Our analyses identified 84 metabolites in 36 samples analysed, which were annotated according to the MPIMP-
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Golm inventory list (Kopka et al. 2005) (Table S17). The chemical nature of 56 of such metabolites was known, and this set was used for PCA. For simplification, we used 0 and 24 h time points in which most metabolic changes were evident (Fig. 8a). PC1, which explains 72.8% of total variance, revealed the effect of salt stress on metabolic profiles. PC2 (13.3% of total variance) reflected the differences between genotypes (atpao5-3 and wild type), which increased after exposure to salt stress (Fig. 8a). *atpao5-3 0 h* was shifted to the right in PC1, which suggests that *atpao5-3* loss of function triggers a metabolic response similar to the salt treatment (Fig. 8a). Furthermore, metabolic responses to salt in *atpao5-3* were earlier and more intense, consistent with a metabolic pre-acclimation hypothesis (PC1 in Fig. 8a). PC1 and PC2 loadings with highest values corresponded to galactinol and melibiose (Table S18). HCA Pearson's correlation and average linkage of metabolites and samples revealed the occurrence of four major metabolite clusters (Fig. 8b). Sample groupings evidenced contrasted differences between *atpao5-3* and wild-type plants under salt stress. Heat map representation clearly indicated that some metabolic responses to salt were more intense in *atpao5-3* than the wild type, in line with PCA observations (Fig. 8b).
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Based on metabolite profiles of the wild type, we defined ‘early’ and ‘late’ salt-responsive metabolites. Late salt-responsive metabolites were those that exhibited significant ($P < 0.05$) differences in the wild type after 24 and 48 h of 150 mM NaCl treatment. Early salt-responsive metabolites corresponded to those showing significant ($P < 0.05$) differences in the wild type after 8 and 24 h of 50 mM NaCl. The sum of Put and agmatine levels was the only early salt-responsive metabolite detected, but its levels were not consistently different between the wild type and atpao5-3 during salt stress (Fig. S6). Conversely, 28 late salt-responsive metabolites were detected, of which 22 were increased and 6 reduced by salt treatment (Tables S19 and S17). Eighteen of the 28 late salt-responsive metabolites exhibited significant differences between atpao5-3 and wild type (Table S19). Among these, we identified polyols (galactinol, myo-inositol), amino acids (proline, alanine, serine), TCA cycle intermediates (succinate, malate), sugars (lyxose), dehydroascorbic acid and shikimic acid (Fig. 9 and Table S17).

Figure 8. (a) Principal component analysis (PCA) and (b) HCA Pearson's correlation and average linkage of metabolites and samples from atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to salt stress.
A number of additional metabolites were exclusively changed in atpao5-3 by salt stress and not in the wild type. Such ‘elicited’ metabolites in atpao5-3 corresponded to amino acids (alanine), sugar alcohols (arabitol, erythritol), additional TCA cycle intermediates (fumarate, citrate), sugars (glucose, sucrose, rhamnose) and ethanolamine, which is precursor of choline and glycine betaine (Chen & Murata 2011) (Fig. 9 and Tables S20 and S17). Increased levels of glucose in atpao5-3 suggested activation of glycolysis that would feed the TCA cycle. Indeed, depletion of starch content and accumulation of soluble sugars seem to be a general response to salt stress (Kempa et al. 2008).

Altogether, metabolic profile analyses indicate that atpao5-3 mutant, which exhibits constitutively higher tSpm levels, responds earlier and more intense to salt stress and accumulates higher levels of important compatible solutes. Overall, we conclude that tSpm triggers metabolic reprogramming in Arabidopsis that allows a faster and more intense response to salt stress.

**DISCUSSION**

In this work, we have studied the potential involvement of the PA back-conversion pathway in Arabidopsis salt stress tolerance. Arabidopsis carries five PAO genes (AtPAO1-5) that encode proteins with distinct subcellular localizations and different substrate preferences. AtPAO1 and AtPAO5 are cytosolic enzymes, whereas AtPAO2-4 are localized in peroxisomes (Møller & McPherson 1998; Cona et al. 2006; Reumann et al. 2009; Planas-Portell et al. 2013). Arabidopsis PAOs mediate PA back-conversion, which is coupled to H$_2$O$_2$ production. High levels of H$_2$O$_2$ generated by apoplastic PAO activity can trigger programmed cell death under salt stress in tobacco (Moschou et al. 2008b; Moschou et al. 2008a). However, in Arabidopsis, most PAO are peroxisomal and apoplastic oxidation seems to rely on CuAO activity (Planas-Portell et al. 2013). Among AtPAO gene family members, AtPAO5 is the most transcriptionally responsive to salt stress (Fig. 1). Consistent with a potential role for AtPAO5 on salt stress, two independent atpao5 loss-of-function mutants (atpao5-2 and atpao5-3) exhibit better performance under salinity (Fig. 2). Our results indicate that AtPAO5 activity is detrimental for salinity tolerance. Tolerance to abiotic stress has also been generated by antisense down-regulation of PAO expression in tobacco (Moschou et al. 2008b). However, atpao5 tolerance does not correlate with diminished ROS production because the levels of H$_2$O$_2$ during salt stress are barely
affected by \textit{atpao5} mutation (Fig. S3). Our analyses suggest that tSpm, which levels are constitutively higher in \textit{atpao5} mutants under salt stress (Fig. 3), triggers transcriptional and metabolic reprogramming that is isomer-specific. These results support an intrinsic role for PAs providing salt stress tolerance (Moschou \textit{et al.} 2008b).

Hyperosmolarity is the primary effect of salt stress, causing turgor loss and ABA induction (Hasegawa 2013). To achieve osmotic adjustment, different compounds such as sugars, sugar alcohols, proline and glycine betaine accumulate (Chen & Murata 2002). We observed that ABA biosynthesis is moderately promoted in \textit{atpao5-3} compared with the wild type early during the osmotic phase of salt stress (Munns & Tester 2008), but this is translated into significant differences in the expression of the ABA-inducible \textit{RD29B} gene (Fig. 4) (Uno \textit{et al.} 2000). Metabolic profiling by GC-MS of polar metabolites evidenced contrasted differences between \textit{atpao5-3} and wild-type plants during salt stress (Fig. 8a). Most metabolic changes were observed after 24 h of NaCl treatments and involved higher levels of sugars, polyols, proline and TCA cycle intermediates in \textit{atpao5-3} compared with the wild type (Figs. 8b & 9). Most of such metabolites were also found increased in \textit{Arabidopsis} wild-type plants exposed to 150 mM NaCl between 6 h to 5 d (Kempa \textit{et al.} 2008). The higher levels of some osmoprotective compounds in \textit{atpao5-3} are compatible with early boost of ABA biosynthesis (Kempa \textit{et al.} 2008). Compatible solutes maintain cell turgor by osmotic adjustment, and redox balance by removing excess of ROS, thus contributing to abiotic stress tolerance (Munns & Tester 2008; Székely \textit{et al.} 2008; Krasensky & Jonak 2012). Interactions between PAs and ABA have been described during drought and cold stresses, and lower ABA levels have been shown to underlie the freezing sensitivity of \textit{adc1} mutants (Alcázar \textit{et al.} 2006a; Cuevas \textit{et al.} 2008; Toumi \textit{et al.} 2010). Conversely, ABA levels in \textit{atpao5-3} are lower than the wild type at later stages of the saline treatment, which is consistent with better performance of \textit{atpao5-3} under salt stress that leads to reduced stress perception. Reduction in the levels of organic acids and TCA cycle intermediates are often observed in metabolite profiling of glycophyte species exposed to saline stress (Gong \textit{et al.} 2005; Sánchez \textit{et al.} 2008; Hill \textit{et al.} 2013; Zhao \textit{et al.} 2014a). These observations suggest that energy production and/or plant growth are repressed by salinity (Zhao \textit{et al.} 2014a). In this work, we have observed that \textit{atpao5-3} accumulates higher levels of TCA cycle intermediates during salt stress (Fig. 9) and this associates with tolerance. Higher levels of soluble sugars suggest activation of glycolysis that would feed the TCA cycle. Taken together, \textit{atpao5-3} mutation impacts cell metabolism through promotion of the accumulation of stress-protective compounds and TCA cycle intermediates.

Despite salt stress tolerance was observed at later stages of treatment (Fig. 2), we determined transcriptional changes early during salt stress (3 h after 50 mM NaCl treatment; Fig. 5) to distinguish between direct effects from \textit{atpao5} mutation
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from late, possibly indirect, transcriptional changes derived from long-term salt exposure. Microarray expression analyses revealed an enhanced transcriptional steady state of the JA pathway in *atpao5*-3 mutants (Table S16). Quantitative expression of several JA biosynthesis, signalling and marker genes from wild-type seedlings treated with 100 µM tSpm or Spm evidenced that this effect is isomer-specific (Fig. 6). The expression of most JA-related genes analysed responded to exogenous tSpm within 15 min of treatment, which is consistent with a direct effect of tSpm on transcriptional regulation. In addition, *atpao5*-3 exhibited higher JA levels than the wild type during salt stress (Fig. 7). JAs are known to accumulate under saline conditions (Fig. 7) (Moons *et al.* 1997; Pedranzani *et al.* 2003), and correlations between high JA levels and salinity tolerance have been observed in different rice cultivars (Kang *et al.* 2005). Furthermore, most transcriptionally up-regulated JA genes detected in the microarray were responsive to salt treatment (Table S16). Additional recent evidence support that JA are positive regulators of salt tolerance (Dong *et al.* 2013; Zhao *et al.* 2014a, 2014b; Kazan 2015). Based on these evidences, we suggest that tSpm-triggered transcriptional up-regulation of JA biosynthesis and signalling genes, as well as higher JA levels, contribute to the metabolic reprogramming and salt tolerance phenotypes of *atpao5* mutants. We suggest that both ABA and JA pathways are involved in tSpm-triggered salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*.

Microarray analyses also identified that the expression of BASS2 and NHD1 genes were up-regulated by salt stress in *atpao5*-3. BASS2 encodes a plastid localized Na+/pyruvate symporter, the activity of which is coupled with that of the Na+/H+ antiporter NHD1 (Furumoto *et al.* 2011). BASS2 functions in C4 photosynthesis and provides pyruvate for the plastidic methylerythritol phosphate pathway in C3 plants (Furumoto *et al.* 2011). In *Arabidopsis*, NHD1 (Na+/H+ antiporter 1) mutation leads to higher plastidic Na+, which is associated with reduced growth and lower chlorophyll levels (Müller *et al.* 2014). Interestingly, tSpm rapidly induces NHD1 expression (Fig. S4) that may allow higher efflux of chloroplastic Na+, thus contributing to enhanced tolerance against salt stress. In addition, PAs with higher net charge have stronger inhibitory effect on the vacuolar non-selective cation channels, hence, potentially assisting vacuolar Na+ sequestration (Pottosin & Shabala 2014).

Altogether, we propose that mechanisms underlying salt stress tolerance by PAs are diverse and additive and involve transcriptional and metabolic reprogramming by tSpm. This view is consistent with co-evolution of PAs with stress pathways that has allowed efficient integration of environmental signals into transcriptional and metabolic responses. Furthermore, we conclude that tSpm is not only involved in plant development but also plays an important role in abiotic stress tolerance.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material and growth conditions

*Arabidopsis thaliana* wild-type (Col-0), *atpao5*-2 (N553110) and *atpao5*-3 (N509671) T-DNA insertion mutants were obtained from the Nottingham *Arabidopsis* Stock Centre (University of Nottingham, Loughborough, UK). Seeds were sown on a mixture of soil and vermiculite (3:1) and stratified 4 d in the dark at 4 °C to synchronize germination. Plants were grown under 16/8 h light/dark cycles, 100-125 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ of light intensity, 21 ± 2 °C and 70 ± 10% relative humidity. Salt treatments in adult plants were performed by irrigation of 4-week-old plants grown on soil with incremental concentrations (50, 100 and 150 mM) of NaCl every 4 d (Gaxiola *et al*. 2001). Samples from rosette leaves were harvested at different time points, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until further analysis. Salt treatment of *Arabidopsis* seedlings was performed as follows: Different genotypes were germinated on a nylon mesh (Ø 43 µm) placed on top of 0.5× MS-MES medium (Murashige & Skoog, 0.5 mM MES, 1% sucrose, 1% agar, pH 5.7 with KOH) under sterile conditions. Ten days after germination, the nylon mesh with seedlings was transferred to the same medium supplemented with 50 mM NaCl for 3 d. Seedlings were then transferred to 0.5× MS-MES medium supplemented with 225 mM NaCl. Chlorophyll quantification was determined in the different genotypes 5 d after 225 mM NaCl treatment.

Identification of *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 mutants

Confirmation of the identity of the mutants, and isolation of homozygous lines, was performed by PCR amplification and sequencing using a combination of gene- and T-DNA-specific (SALK-LB) primers (Table S1). Briefly, genomic DNA from individual plants was extracted using DNeasy Plant Minikit (Qiagen) and used as template for PCR amplification with ExTaq DNA polymerase (Takara). Amplified bands from SALK-LB and gene-specific combinations were separated in 1% (w/v) agarose gels stained with EtBr and purified by gel scission using Qiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen). Purified DNA was used for sequencing using the same primer set. The position of T-DNA insertion was confirmed by local alignment with the *AtPAO5* gene (www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle) and BLAST query (www.arabidopsis.org). Transcript levels of *AtPAO5* in the homozygous lines were determined by real-time PCR (RT-PCR) from cDNA of *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 mutants using the *AtPAO5*-specific primers and the following PCR conditions: 95 °C 5 min, 30 cycles (95 °C 15 s, 55 °C 20 s, 72 °C 1 min), 72 °C 10 min, and primers specified in Table S1. Single T-DNA insertion lines were confirmed by the occurrence of 1:3 segregation for the presence of kanamycin resistance gene (*NTPII*) in the progeny of segregating *atpao5*-2 and *atpao5*-3 mutants.
Determination of PA levels
The levels of free Put, Spd and Spm were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separation of dansyl chloride-derived PAs as described (Alcázar et al. 2005). Free tSpm levels were determined by PA benzylation according to Kim et al. 2014.

Determination of water content
Water content (WC) was determined using the fresh weight (FW) and dry weight (DW) and calculated as % WC = (FW − DW) / FW. Dry weight was obtained after drying samples at 60 °C for 48 h. The shoots of at least 10 individual plants were used to calculate % WC in every point of analysis and genotype.

Determination of chlorophyll levels
Chlorophyll extracts were obtained from leaves of plants grown on soil or seedlings grown in vitro at indicated time points, using buffered acetone (acetone/Tris-HCl buffer 80:20 vol pH 7.8). Total chlorophyll levels were calculated according to Porra (2002).

Determination of sodium levels
For the determination of Na⁺ content, lyophilized shoot tissue was digested in concentrated nitric acid at 80 °C for 1 h. After digestion, the acid was diluted with double deionized water. Mineral element concentrations in the supernatants were determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (Perkin Elmer Optima 2000).

Determination of ABA and JA levels
ABA and JA levels were analysed by HPLC coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (Durgbanshi et al. 2005). Briefly, the plant tissue was extracted in ultrapure water using a tissue homogenizer. Before extraction, samples were spiked with 100 ng of d6-ABA and dh-JA (Gómez-Cadenas et al. 2002; Durgbanshi et al. 2005). After extraction and centrifugation, the pH of the supernatant was adjusted to 3.0 and partitioned twice against diethyl ether. The organic layers were combined and evaporated. The dry residue was resuspended in a solution of water:methanol (9:1, v/v), filtered and injected into a HPLC system (Alliance 2695, Waters Corp.). The phytohormone fraction was separated on a reversed-phase C18 column using methanol and 0.01% acetic acid in water as solvents. The mass spectrometer, a triple quadrupole (Quattro LC, Micromass Ltd.), was operated in negative ionization electrospray mode, and the different plant hormones were detected according to their specific transitions using a multi-residue mass spectrometric method as described in detail by Durgbanshi et al. 2005.
Determination of hydrogen peroxide levels
Hydrogen peroxide levels were determined as described by Loreto & Velikova (2001). Frozen leaf material (~0.1 g) was homogenized on ice with 0.1% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid. The homogenate was centrifuged at 15 000 × g for 15 min at 4 °C. Then, 0.5 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 0.5 mL of 10 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) and 1 mL of 1 M KI. The absorbance of the assay mixture was determined at 390 nm and the content of H₂O₂ calculated based on standard curves of known hydrogen peroxide concentrations.

Microarray expression analyses
Four-week-old atpao5-3 and wild-type plants were used for microarray analyses. RNA samples were extracted in triplicate from pooled samples of five plants per genotype using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Transcriptomes were analysed using 1 µg of total RNA as starting material. Targets were prepared with MessageAmp II-Biotin Enhanced Single Round aRNA Amplification Kit (Ambion) and hybridized to ATH1 gene chips (Affymetrix). Microarray data and gene ontology (GO) classification were analysed using GeneSpring GX 10 software (Agilent Technologies) and Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery v6.7 (Huang da et al. 2009). A threshold of significance at $P = 0.05$ and a cut-off value of ≥ ±2-fold change was used for the identification of genes that were differentially expressed. Microarray data has been deposited in ArrayExpress under accession number E-MTAB-3817.

Quantitative real-time PCR gene expression analyses
Total RNA isolated from 4-week-old plants or 7-day-old seedlings, as indicated, was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Two micrograms of RNA was treated with DNase I (Invitrogen) and first-strand cDNA synthesized using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and oligo dT. Quantitative real-time PCR using SYBR Green I dye method was performed on Roche LightCycler 480 II detector system following the PCR conditions: 95 °C 2 min, 40 cycles (95 °C, 15 s; 60 °C, 30 s; 68 °C, 20 s). Standard curves were performed for quantification. Primers used for gene expression analyses are listed in Table S1. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analyses were always performed on three biological replicates with three technical replicates each using ACTIN2 (AT3G18780) as housekeeping gene.

Gas chromatography/mass spectrometry metabolite profiling
Metabolite profiling was performed from leaf samples (120 mg) of wild-type and atpao5-3 plants using seven independent biological replicates for each time point under study. Samples were shock frozen in liquid nitrogen, and the fraction enriched in polar primary metabolites was prepared and processed as described (Erban et al. 2007). Gas chromatography coupled to electron impact ionization-time of flight-mass spectrometry (GC/EI-TOF-MS) was performed using an Agilent 6890N24 gas
chromatograph hyphenated to a Pegasus III time-of-flight mass spectrometer (LECO, St. Joseph, USA) (Wagner et al. 2003). Chromatograms were acquired and processed by CHROMATOFO software 1.00, Pegasus driver 1.61 (LECO; http://www.leco.de). Selective peak heights representing arbitrary mass spectral ion currents were normalized by the dry weight of the sample and to an internal standard, which was added upon extraction of the polar metabolite fraction. GC-TOF-MS chromatography data processing was performed using the TagFinder software (Luedemann et al. 2008). Metabolites were identified under manual supervision using the TagFinder, the NIST08 software (http://chemdata.nist.gov) and the mass spectral and retention time index reference collection of the Golm Metabolome Database (Kopka et al. 2005; Hummel et al. 2010). Only metabolites identified in at least four of seven replicates were considered. Wild type (0 h) was used as reference condition for the calculation of Log2 ratios of metabolites. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using R (www.r-project.org). Hierarchical clustering with Pearson correlation was performed using the software MultiExperiment Viewer (www.tm4.org/mev; version 27 4.8.1).
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Tables S1-S20 may be found in the online version of this article. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/wol1/doi/10.1111/pce.12714/suppinfo

Table S1. List of oligonucleotides used in this work.

Table S2. Genes differentially expressed in the comparison between atpao5-3 3 h and atpao5-3 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (p3p0).

Table S3. Genes differentially expressed in the comparison between wild-type 3 h and wild-type 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (w3w0).

Table S4. Genes differentially expressed in the comparison between atpao5-3 0 h and wild-type 0 h (p0w0).

Table S5. Genes differentially expressed in the comparison between atpao5-3 3 h and wild-type 3 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (p3w3).

Table S6. Genes differentially expressed only in the comparison between atpao5-3 3 h and atpao5-3 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (p3p0 only).

Table S7. Genes differentially expressed only in the comparison between wild-type 3 h and wild-type 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (w3w0 only).

Table S8. Genes differentially expressed only in the comparison between atpao5-3 0 h and wild-type 0 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (p0w0 only).

Table S9. Genes differentially expressed only in the comparison between atpao5-3 3 h and wild-type 3 h of 50 mM NaCl treatment (p3w3 only).

Table S10. Genes differentially expressed and common between p3p0 and w3w0.

Table S11. Genes differentially expressed and common between p3p0 and p0w0.

Table S12. Genes differentially expressed and common between p3p0 and p3w3.

Table S13. Genes differentially expressed and common between w3w0 and p0w0.

Table S14. Genes differentially expressed and common between w3w0 and p3w3.

Table S15. Genes differentially expressed and common between p0w0 and p3w3.

Table S16. Microarray analysis of JA biosynthesis and signalling genes in the comparisons p0w0, p3w3, w3w0 and p3p0.

Table S17. Metabolite analysis of atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to NaCl stress.

Table S18. PC1 and PC2 loadings of wild-type and atpao5-3 metabolite profiles 24 h after treatment with 0, 50, 100 and 150 mM NaCl.

Table S19. Late salt-responsive metabolites in wild-type. Metabolites exhibiting significant differences between wild-type and atpao5-3 are highlighted.

Table S20. List of metabolites differentially accumulated in atpao5-3 in response to salt stress.
Figure S1. (a) Schematic representation of T-DNA insertion positions in atpao5-2 and atpao5-3 mutants. The AtPAO5 gene carries a single exon (highlighted in black). 5’ and 3’ uORFs are shown in grey. (b) RT-PCR expression analysis of AtPAO5 transcript levels in wild-type, atpao5-2 and atpao5-3 homozygous mutants. ACTIN2 was used as housekeeping gene.

Figure S2. Quantitation of sodium levels in leaves of atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to gradual increases of NaCl from 50 to 150 mM. Values are the mean ± SD of five technical replicates. The experiment was repeated three times with similar results.
Figure S3. Hydrogen peroxide levels in atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to gradual increases of NaCl between 50 and 150 mM. Values are the mean ± SD of five technical replicates. The analysis was repeated in two additional independent experiments showing similar results.

Figure S4. Quantitative real-time gene expression analyses of BASS2 and NHD1 genes from 7-day-old wild-type seedlings treated with 100 µM tSpm or 100 µM Spm during 0-24 h. Values are the mean from three biological replicates ± SD, each with three technical replicates. Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student-Newman-Keuls test at $P$ value <0.05.
Figure S5. Quantitative real-time gene expression analyses of JA biosynthesis (LOX4 and OPCL1) and signalling (JAZ5) genes from 12-days-old wild-type seedlings treated for 15 min with different concentrations (0, 5, 10, 20, and 100 µM) of tSpm or Spm. Values are the mean from three biological replicates ± SD, each with three technical replicates. Letters indicate values that are significantly different according to Student-Newman-Keuls test at $P$ value $<0.05$. 
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Figure S6. Sum of putrescine and agmatine levels in atpao5-3 and wild-type plants exposed to salt stress. Log$_2$ ratios ± SE are relative to wild-type 0 h.