UvA-DARE (Digital Academic Repository)

Phase transition in fluctuating branched geometry

Bialas, P.; Burda, Z.

DOI

[10.1016/0370-2693\(96\)00795-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00795-2)

Publication date

1996

Published in

Physics Letters B

[Link to publication](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Bialas, P., & Burda, Z. (1996). Phase transition in fluctuating branched geometry. *Physics Letters B*, 384, 75-80. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(96\)00795-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(96)00795-2)

General rights

It is not permitted to download or to forward/distribute the text or part of it without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), other than for strictly personal, individual use, unless the work is under an open content license (like Creative Commons).

Disclaimer/Complaints regulations

If you believe that digital publication of certain material infringes any of your rights or (privacy) interests, please let the Library know, stating your reasons. In case of a legitimate complaint, the Library will make the material inaccessible and/or remove it from the website. Please Ask the Library: <https://uba.uva.nl/en/contact>, or a letter to: Library of the University of Amsterdam, Secretariat, Singel 425, 1012 WP Amsterdam, The Netherlands. You will be contacted as soon as possible.

ELSEVIER

19 September 1996

PHYSICS LETTERS B

Physics Letters B 384 (1996) 75–80

Phase transition in fluctuating branched geometry

Piotr Bialas^{a,1}, Zdzislaw Burda^{b,2}^a *Universiteit van Amsterdam, Instituut voor Theoretische Fysica, Valckenierstraat 65, 1018 XE Amsterdam, The Netherlands*^b *Fakultät für Physik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 10 01 31, Bielefeld 33501, Germany*

Received 20 May 1996

Editor: P.V. Landshoff

Abstract

We study grand-canonical and canonical properties of the model of branched polymers proposed in [1]. We show that the model has a fourth order phase transition and calculate critical exponents. At the transition the exponent γ of the grand-canonical ensemble, analogous to the string susceptibility exponent of surface models, $\gamma \sim 0.3237525\dots$ is the first known example of positive γ which is not of the form $1/n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. We show that a slight modification of the model produces a continuous spectrum of γ 's in the range $(0, 1/2]$ and changes the order of the transition.

1. Introduction

The problem of summing over random geometry appears in many areas of modern physics such as string theory, quantum gravity, membranes and others. The problem is known for being hard to study both analytically and numerically. Therefore one frequently uses simplified models. In many cases branched polymers capture some essential features of more complicated models. Being simple and solvable they offer us insight into such issues like value of the string susceptibility exponent, correlation functions, renormalization group, sum over genera etc. [1–4].

In the present paper we study a model with a coupling to the branching of the polymers [1]. The model has two regimes. For small values of the coupling the exponent $\gamma = 1/2$ while for larger values it is nega-

tive and depends linearly on the coupling. We extend the analysis from the original paper [1], localize the transition and find that at this point $\gamma \sim 0.3237525\dots$

We also study the canonical ensemble and show that the model exhibits a fourth order phase transition. A slight modification of the model allowed us to obtain a continuous spectrum of γ 's between 0 and $1/2$. In the canonical ensemble this generalized model can exhibit a phase transition of an arbitrary order equal or higher than 2.

2. The model

We consider an ensemble of *planar rooted trees* generated by the recursion relation shown in Fig. 1. Each bubble in the figure denotes the grand canonical partition function Z . By iterating the relation one produces all possible planar rooted trees. Each tree contributes to the partition function the factor $e^{-\mu n}$, where n is the number of vertices in the tree. Each vertex additionally contributes a weight factor depending on

¹ Permanent address: Institute of Comp. Science, Jagellonian University, ul. Nawojki 11, 30-072 Kraków, Poland.

² Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Jagellonian University, ul. Reymonta 4, 30-059 Kraków, Poland.

Fig. 1. Partition function.

its degree, i.e. the number of branches which meet at vertex. In Fig. 1 we denoted these weight factors by t_k , where k is degree of vertex. In this paper we analyze the model with $t_k = k^{-\beta}$, where β is an external parameter. The equation from Fig. 1 can be written as:

$$Z(\mu, \beta) = e^{-\mu} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{Z(\mu, \beta)^{k-1}}{k^{\beta}} \quad (1)$$

which generates following partition function (in the following we drop the arguments of the Z function):

$$Z = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}} e^{-\mu n(T)} e^{-\beta E(T)} \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{T}$ denotes the ensemble of all trees. The function $E(T)$ plays the role of energy which the tree contributes to the ensemble and is equal:

$$E(T) = \sum_{v \in T} \ln k(v) \quad (3)$$

The sum goes over all vertices of the tree T , and $k(v)$ is degree of vertex v . By summing first over trees with fixed number of vertices we rewrite (2) as:

$$Z = e^{-\phi} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_n e^{-\mu n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{n(f_n - \mu)} \quad (4)$$

The coefficients z_n of the series at $e^{-\mu n}$ depend on β and correspond to a sum of $e^{-\beta E(T)}$ over the ensemble of all trees with n vertices. They are canonical partition functions for the ensembles of trees with n nodes. We have introduced convenient variables which we will use later on: free energy density $f_n(\beta)$ for the system with n vertices defined from the expansion coefficients: $z_n = e^{n f_n}$ and thermodynamic potential which we call free enthalpy $\phi = -\ln Z$.

For large positive β the canonical partition function is dominated by branched polymers with minimal energy. Such branched polymers maximize the number of vertices in the last generation i.e. vertices with

degree $k = 1$. They look like short bushes. In the extreme case such bushes have one branched vertex with n branches while all other vertices belong to the last generation. The energy of such branched polymers is $\ln n$. When β becomes smaller the entropy becomes important and more and more elongated structures appear in the ensemble. At certain β the system undergoes a fourth order phase transition associated with the change of the regimes. Finally if one allowed for negative β then for large negative β , trees with large energy would mainly contribute to the canonical ensemble. The maximal energy E for the system with n nodes is $n \ln 2$ and comes from the chain structure.

3. Exponent γ

The series (4) representing the grand canonical partition function Z is regular at $e^{-\mu} = 0$. The behaviour of z_n in the thermodynamic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ can be deduced from the behaviour of the function Z at the radius of convergence $e^{-\mu_0}$, when $\mu \rightarrow \mu_0^+$, which corresponds to the first singularity of Z encountered when μ comes from ∞ . At this singularity free enthalpy as a function of chemical potential behaves for a given β as:

$$\phi - \phi_0 \sim (\mu - \mu_0)^{1-\gamma} \quad (5)$$

where ϕ_0 , μ_0 and γ depend on β . The parameter μ_0 is the critical value of the chemical potential and γ is the universal exponent defining a type of singularity which accounts for the universality class a model belongs to. Its counterpart in the surface models is called the string susceptibility exponent. As will be seen later γ is equal or less than $1/2$ and therefore the function on the right hand side goes to 0 when μ approaches μ_0 . The value of free enthalpy at $\mu = \mu_0$ is denoted by $\phi_0 = \phi(\mu_0)$. The singularity (5) corresponds to the following asymptotic behaviour of the coefficients z_n in the limit of large n :

$$z_n(\beta) \sim n^{\gamma-2} e^{n\mu_0} \quad (6)$$

In the thermodynamic limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ the free energy density is $f_{\infty}(\beta) = \mu_0(\beta)$.

The series $Z(\mu)$ is given by an indirect relation (1) which can be rewritten:

$$\mu(\phi, \beta) = \ln L_{\beta}(e^{-\phi}) + 2\phi \quad (7)$$

Fig. 2. Critical free enthalpy.

where $L_\beta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n/n^\beta$ is a special function whose basic properties, frequently used in this paper, are listed in the appendix. Throughout the paper we use convention for functions which have β as second argument that prime denotes derivative at fixed β . The function $\phi(\mu)$ is singular at μ_0 when either $\mu'(\phi_0) = 0$ or $\mu(\phi_0)$ itself is singular at $\phi_0 = \phi(\mu_0)$. The first condition reads:

$$\mu'(\phi_0, \beta) = \frac{\partial \mu(\phi_0, \beta)}{\partial \phi} = 0 \quad (8)$$

which, by virtue of (32), simplifies to:

$$2L_\beta(e^{-\phi_0}) = L_{\beta-1}(e^{-\phi_0}) \quad (9)$$

The solution of (9) is shown in Fig. 2. The function ϕ_0 approaches its critical value $\phi_C = 0$ when $\beta \rightarrow \beta_C$. At this point the argument of L_β : $e^{-\phi_0}$ becomes unity and is equal to the radius of convergence of L_β . For $\beta > \beta_C$ the critical value of ϕ stays at $\phi_C = 0$ and corresponds directly to the singularity of the map $\mu(\phi, \beta)$. Inserting $e^{-\phi_0} = 1$ to the (9) we get the critical value of β :

$$2\zeta(\beta_C) = \zeta(\beta_C - 1) \quad (10)$$

which can be found numerically $\beta_C = 2.4787508...$

Inserting this back into μ we get the critical value of the chemical potential at the radius of convergence of the series (4) which also corresponds to the free energy density in the thermodynamic limit $f_\infty(\beta)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\infty(\beta) &= \mu_0 = \mu(\phi_0(\beta), \beta) \\ &= \begin{cases} \ln L_\beta(e^{-\phi_0(\beta)}) + 2\phi_0(\beta), & \beta < \beta_C \\ \ln L_\beta(1) = \ln \zeta(\beta), & \beta \geq \beta_C \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We can now compute the exponent γ . We separately consider three cases $\beta < \beta_C$, $\beta = \beta_C$ and $\beta > \beta_C$. We invert the function $\mu(\phi)$ (7) with respect to ϕ , for ϕ near to ϕ_0 . For $\beta < \beta_C$ we have:

$$\mu - \mu_0 = \frac{\mu_0''}{2}(\phi - \phi_0)^2 + \dots \quad (12)$$

and from there:

$$(\phi - \phi_0) = \left(\frac{2}{\mu_0''}\right)^{1/2} (\mu - \mu_0)^{1/2} + \dots \quad (13)$$

The second derivative μ_0'' is finite in this range. For $\beta = \beta_C$ the expansion starts directly from the singular term:

$$\mu - \mu_0 = w(\beta_C)(\phi)^{\beta_C-1} + \dots \quad (14)$$

because $\mu' = 0$ at this point and β_C is between 2 and 3. The coefficient $w(\beta) = \Gamma(1-\beta)/\zeta(\beta)$. Inverting this with respect to ϕ we obtain:

$$\phi = w(\beta_C)^{1/(\beta_C-1)} (\mu - \mu_0)^{1/(\beta_C-1)} + \dots \quad (15)$$

Finally, for $\beta > \beta_C$ the expansion of μ around μ_0 starts with a nonvanishing linear part:

$$\mu - \mu_0 = \mu_0' \phi + \dots + w(\beta) \phi^{\beta-1} + \dots \quad (16)$$

The dots between the terms ϕ and $\phi^{\beta-1}$ correspond to integer powers ϕ^n with n less than β (see (34)). Inverted with respect to ϕ the equation yields:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\mu_0'}(\mu - \mu_0) + \dots - \frac{w(\beta)}{(\mu_0')^\beta} (\mu - \mu_0)^{\beta-1} + \dots \quad (17)$$

Comparing the singularities with (5) we obtain:

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \beta < \beta_C \\ \frac{\beta_C - 2}{\beta_C - 1} = 0.3237525..., & \beta = \beta_C \\ 2 - \beta, & \beta > \beta_C \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

4. Canonical ensemble

Properties of the canonical ensemble in the thermodynamic limit are described by the free energy density $f_\infty(\beta)$. It is a continuous function of β but it has singularity at $\beta = \beta_C$. To extract the dominating singularity of free energy for $\Delta\beta = (\beta_C - \beta) \rightarrow 0^+$ let us first consider the critical free enthalpy $\phi_0(\beta)$ defined by Eq. (9). Using (34) we can for small β and ϕ_0 expand Eq. (9):

$$-a\Delta\beta + b\phi_0^{\beta_C-2} + \dots = 0 \quad (19)$$

up to $o(\Delta\beta)$ and $o(\phi_0^{\beta_C-2})$. The expansion coefficients are $a = 2\xi'(\beta_C) - \xi'(\beta_C - 1) = 3.4950\dots$, $b = -\Gamma(2 - \beta_C) = 3.5548\dots$. Solved for ϕ_0 the last equation gives:

$$\phi_0(\beta) = c\Delta\beta^{3-\eta} + \dots \quad (20)$$

in the limit $\Delta\beta \rightarrow 0^+$. The exponent $\eta = 3 - 1/(\beta_C - 2) = 0.911231\dots$, and the coefficient $c = (a/b)^{1/(3-\eta)} = 0.9666\dots$. We can now insert ϕ_0 into (11) and compute singularity of $f_\infty(\beta)$. The first derivative is:

$$\begin{aligned} f'_\infty(\beta) &= \frac{\partial\mu(\phi_0, \beta)}{\partial\phi} \phi'_0 + \frac{\partial\mu(\phi_0, \beta)}{\partial\beta} \\ &= \frac{(\partial_\beta L_\beta)(e^{-\phi_0})}{L_\beta(e^{-\phi_0})} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

The term containing $\partial\mu/\partial\phi$ vanishes identically for ϕ_0 (8). Now we can expand the last expression in small ϕ_0 , and then in small $\Delta\beta$. The dominating singularity for $\Delta\beta \rightarrow 0^+$ comes from the first nonvanishing term containing ϕ_0 . This term is linear in ϕ_0 and introduces the singularity $\Delta\beta^{3-\eta}$:

$$f'_\infty(\beta) = \frac{\xi'(\beta)}{\xi(\beta)} + d\Delta\beta^{3-\eta} + \dots \quad (22)$$

The coefficient in front of the singular term:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= c \frac{\xi'(\beta_C)}{\xi(\beta_C)} \left(\frac{\xi(\beta_C - 1)}{\xi(\beta_C)} - \frac{\xi'(\beta_C - 1)}{\xi'(\beta_C)} \right) \\ &= 2.4990\dots \end{aligned}$$

is obtained by expanding (21) and using (34). The first term on the right hand side of (22) matches the function for $\beta > \beta_C$ (11) and solutions come

smoothly one into the other. The contribution of the singular term $(\Delta\beta)^{3-\eta}$ vanishes at $\Delta\beta = 0$ as well as for the second and the third derivative of the free energy density f_∞ . For the fourth derivative the singular term blows up at β_C :

$$\frac{d^4 f_\infty}{d\beta^4}(\beta_C^-) - \frac{d^4 f_\infty}{d\beta^4}(\beta_C^+) = e\Delta\beta^{-\eta} + \dots \quad (23)$$

where $e = (3 - \eta)(2 - \eta)(1 - \eta)d = 0.5045\dots$. This shows that the model has a fourth order phase at β_C in the canonical sector with a singularity given by the exponent η .

5. Non-universality

The dependence of the exponent γ on the critical value of the coupling suggests a non-universality. By changing slightly the model one should be able to change the value of β_C without spoiling the formulas (18). In this way one can change the value of the exponent γ_C . We will show below that indeed a simple modification of the model produces a whole continuous spectrum of γ 's.

We modify the model by letting the weight t_1 , coupled to the number of points of the last generations, vary in contrast to the model defined by (1) where it was set to 1. Now t_1 can take arbitrary value greater than zero. The value of t_1 affects the number of points in the last generation. Decreasing/increasing t_1 for a given β favours/suppresses polymers with many points in the last generation. By changing t_1 we can shift the critical value of β where the phase transition takes place. On the other hand this modification does not change the singularity of the function on right hand-side of (1).

After introducing the modification of the weight t_1 Eq. (7) becomes:

$$\mu(\phi, \beta) = \ln\{L_\beta(e^{-\phi}) + (t_1 - 1)e^{-\phi}\} + 2\phi \quad (24)$$

Repeating the analysis from the previous sections we first find the critical line ϕ_0 as a solution of $\mu' = 0$:

$$2L_\beta(e^{-\phi}) - L_{\beta-1}(e^{-\phi}) = (1 - t_1)e^{-\phi} \quad (25)$$

for β less than β_C and 0 for β greater than β_C . The position of the critical point is given by:

$$2\xi(\beta_C) - \xi(\beta_C - 1) = 1 - t_1 \quad (26)$$

Fig. 3. Phase diagram of the extended model.

The value β_C as a function of t_1 is shown in Fig. 3. For $t_1 \rightarrow +\infty$, the value of β_C goes to 2. For $t_1 \rightarrow 0$, β_C goes to infinity. As first, consider the case $2 < \beta_C < 3$ corresponding to $t > t_1^* = 1 + \zeta(2) - 2\zeta(3) = 0.24081 \dots$. Repeating the same analysis as for the original model we get that γ is given exactly by the same formula (18) with β_C replaced now by the current value $\beta_C(t_1)$. At the critical point we have $\gamma_C = (\beta_C - 2)/(\beta_C - 1)$ which changes continuously between 0, $1/2$ when β_C goes from 2 to 3. When β_C exceeds 3, which is for $t_1 < t_1^*$, the situation changes. The expansion (14) starts then not from the singular term with the power $\beta_C - 1$ but from the term $\mu_0''\phi^2$ which after inverting gives the singularity $\phi \sim (\mu - \mu_0)^{1/2}$ and hence $\gamma = 1/2$.

To find the type of the singularity in the canonical ensemble we determine the behaviour of the critical free enthalpy for $\Delta\beta = (\beta - \beta_C) \rightarrow 0^+$. As before first consider the case $2 < \beta_C < 3$. Expanding the equation $\mu' = 0$ for small $\Delta\beta$ and small ϕ we get exactly the same equation as (19) with exactly the same coefficients but calculated now at different β_C . The function ϕ_0 has then the singularity $\phi_0 \sim (\Delta\beta)^{1/(\beta_C-2)}$ whose power depends through β_C on the parameter t_1 and varies continuously.

The situation changes for $\beta_C > 3$ ($t_1 < t_1^*$) because then additionally regular terms in ϕ_0 enter the expansion. They introduce powers of ϕ_0 smaller than $\phi_0^{\beta_C-2}$:

$$-a\Delta\beta + g\phi_0 + \dots + b\phi_0^{\beta_C-2} + \dots = 0 \quad (27)$$

where $g = -2\zeta(\beta_C - 1) + \zeta(\beta_C - 2)$, and a, b are as in Eq. (19). Inverting the last equation for ϕ_0 as a function of $\Delta\beta$ we get:

$$\phi_0 = \frac{a}{g}\Delta\beta + \dots - \frac{b}{g}\left(\frac{a}{g}\right)^{(\beta_C-2)}\Delta\beta^{(\beta_C-2)} \dots \quad (28)$$

Inserting the singularity of ϕ_0 into f'_∞ analogously as we did in (22) we obtain the singular part of the first derivative of the free energy:

$$f'_\infty \sim \begin{cases} \Delta\beta^{1/(\beta_C-2)}, & t_1 > t_1^* \\ \Delta\beta^{\beta_C-2}, & t_1 < t_1^* \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

One sees that the order of the transition grows arbitrarily and the transitions gets softer when β_C approaches 2, corresponding to $t_1 \rightarrow 0$, or when β_C , $t_1 \rightarrow \infty$. The strongest transition takes place for $t_1 = t_1^*$ for which $\beta_C = 3$ and the second derivative of the free energy diverges logarithmically $\ln(\Delta\beta)$.

6. Discussion

The model described above exhibits a manifold behaviour summarized on the phase diagram (t_1, β) (Fig. 3). The model has two phases with bush and tree like branched polymers. They are separated by a critical line on which the order of the transition and the critical exponents vary. It has a stable phase for $\beta < \beta_C(t_1)$ where the exponent $\gamma = 1/2$ and it is not affected by a perturbation of couplings. This is a generic branched polymer phase and has realizations in surface models and higher simplicial gravity [5]. At the critical line $\beta_C(t_1)$ the exponent γ is unstable against small changes of the model parameters and reveals a continuous spectrum in the interval $(0, 1/2]$.

It is known that for $c > 1$ exponent γ is not universal which means that γ depends not only on c but also on additional parameters controlling a specific model realization. For example, for gaussian field on random surface the exponent γ changes as a function on the number of fields c and the integration measure parameter [6]. A classification for a certain subclass of models was listed by Durhuus [7] who showed that positive γ is of the form $1/n$ $n = 2, 3, \dots$ and in particular $\gamma = 1/3$ is realized by multispin models. Our results do not prove that surface models with arbitrary

γ in the range $(0, 1/2]$ do exist but surely make it more plausible.

We believe that models of branched polymers are worth studying not only in the above mentioned context of universality of random surfaces but also as solvable models for fluctuating geometry. Our present understanding of critical phenomena is mainly based on the spin type models on regular fixed geometry. One wonders if this intuition can be translated directly to fluctuating geometry. In particular, there is a difficulty with defining correlation functions on fluctuating geometry since the distance between points fluctuates [3,8]. A study of models as the one discussed here, especially around the phase transition, can hopefully give us a more profound understanding of those problems.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to J. Jurkiewicz, B. Petersson and J. Smit for many valuable discussions. P.B. thanks the Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and KBN (grant 2PO3B 196 02) for financial support. Z.B. has benefited from the financial support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under the contract Pe 340/3-3.

Appendix

We shortly summarize properties of the function defined by the series:

$$L_\beta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^\beta} \quad (30)$$

For $z = 1$ and $\beta > 1$ the function reduces to the Riemann Zeta function:

$$L_\beta(1) = \zeta(\beta) \quad (31)$$

Calculating derivative with respect to the argument z we get within the radius of convergence $|z| < 1$:

$$z \frac{dL_\beta(z)}{dz} = L_{\beta-1}(z) \quad (32)$$

which also holds for $z = 1$ when $\beta > 2$. The function $L_\beta(z)$ has singularity when $z \rightarrow 1$ of the type:

$$\text{sing } L_\beta(z) \sim \Gamma(1 - \beta)(1 - z)^{\beta-1}, \quad \beta \neq 1, 2, \dots \quad (33)$$

where Γ is the Euler gamma function.

Put together, the last equations give for $x \rightarrow 0$:

$$L_\beta(1 - x) = \zeta(\beta) + \dots + (-)^n \zeta(\beta - n) \frac{x^n}{n!} + \Gamma(1 - \beta)x^{\beta-1} \quad (34)$$

up to $o(x^{\beta-1})$. n is the largest integer less than $\beta - 1$. For integer β 's the dominating singularity is of the type $x^{\beta-1} \ln x$.

References

- [1] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus, J. Fröhlich and P. Orland Nucl. Phys. B 270 (1986) 457.
- [2] J. Ambjørn, B. Durhuus and Jónsson, Phys. Lett. B 244 (1990) 403.
- [3] P. Bialas, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) 289.
- [4] J. Ambjørn, P. Bialas and J. Jurkiewicz, RG flow in an exactly solvable model with fluctuating geometry, e-Print Archive: hep-lat/9602021.
- [5] J. Ambjørn and J. Jurkiewicz, Nucl. Phys. B 541 (1995) 643.
- [6] F. David, J. Jurkiewicz, A. Krzywicki and B. Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B 290 (1987) 218.
- [7] B. Durhuus, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 203.
- [8] B.V. de Bakker and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B 454 (1995) 343.