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Abstract

A dynamic transcriptome is a key characteristic of zebrafish embryogenesis. In a previous study on the transcriptomes of 179 individual embryos from late blastula to mid gastrula we observed a tight regulation of gene expression. The measured embryonic transcriptome dynamics was captured using ten logical gene-expression types, such as starting and stopping gene expression. Here, we study several cellular factors that might be involved in the regulation of these gene-expression types, by extensive bioinformatics analyses and two small-RNA sequencing experiments. We analyzed several cellular factors; the genome location of genes, the gene size, transcription factor binding sites in promoter regions, polyadenylation signals in 3'UTRs, and miRNA target sites in 3'UTRs. The results indicate that these factors are concurrently operational with no factor clearly prevailing in any gene-expression type. Hence the tight regulation of the embryonic transcriptome is a cumulative result of many regulators. This raised the question if regulators show a tight regulation as well. For this, we analyzed the miRNAs that are present in this embryonic stage, and show that embryonic miRNA expression itself is tightly regulated, both during development, as well as when miRNA expression from individual embryos is compared.
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Introduction

Gene-expression regulation is a key process in the life cycle of a cell that enables it to develop and respond to the wide array of intracellular and extracellular signals to which it is exposed during its lifetime. The means available to regulate gene expression are manifold such as control of the production or rate of production of mRNAs in the nucleus by chromatin remodeling, nucleosome organization at promoters [1], transcription factors (TFs) interacting with transcription-factor binding sites (TFBSs), interaction of the transcription machinery with promoters and enhancers, RNA pol II pausing [2] or by structural components in the nucleus, i.e. nuclear laminae [3]. Also export of mRNAs to the cytoplasm is well-regulated [4] and in the cytoplasm transcripts can be activated via polyadenylation or silenced and degraded via deadenylation and interaction with miRNAs. These processes are deployed at different timescales: chromatin remodeling can take place within seconds [5], RNA pol II transcription takes place at a speed of tens of bases per second (minutes per transcript) [6] and nucleosome turnover can be in the order of minutes to hours [7]. Even more, transcription regulation may also have a stochastic component, which in some cases is used to create a binary switch [8]. In general, in a multicellular organism responses of an individual cell are integrated at tissue level and, upwards at the level of the organ and the organism. The modeling of this process is reviewed by Coulon et al. [9].

Also embryo development is driven by tight regulation of gene activity [10,11], which makes transcriptome-regulation an important research topic in embryology as well. The early zebrafish embryo is primarily dependent on maternally provided transcripts that are activated by cytoplasmic polyadenylation [12,13]. From the 64-cell stage onwards, miR-430 genes are zygotically transcribed and between the 128-cell and 512-cell stages a wider range of relatively short zygotic transcripts are observed [14,15]. Maternal transcripts are, at least partially cleared by miR-430 [16] and from the gastrulation onwards the amount of polyadenylated mRNA molecules, which most likely have a zygotic origin [15,17,18], increases steadily[19].

Until recently, transcriptome dynamics in embryogenesis was studied using pools of eggs or embryos taken at time points rather far apart during development. Nowadays, comprehensive studies on individuals and/or at a high time-resolution are feasible. Our previous study of the transcriptome in individual zebrafish eggs revealed an overall strict regulation of the maternal transcriptome, including a maternal effect that introduced mother-specific differences [20]. Recently two high-resolution time-course studies along embryonic development were carried out. In Xenopus the developmental period from egg to 65 hours post fertilization (hpf) was covered by pools of minimally 10 embryos and time points along the entire development that are minimally 30 minutes apart [19]. In a previous study of us (Figure 1B) [21] we profiled transcriptomes of 180 individual zebrafish embryos that are on average one minute apart in a period from late blastula to mid gastrula. Both studies indicate that the changes in gene-expression during gastrulation are modest and transcriptome dynamics are described by half periods (off - on - off) of hours rather than minutes. In our study we further showed that this tight regulation
of gene-expression also applies to individual embryos. In total we identified ten types of
distinct gene-expression profiles (Figure 1D) and determined the precise starting and
stopping points of expression for a number of genes. Noticeable, several genes showed
expression at two or three distinct levels that strongly related to the spawn an embryo
originated from (Figure D).
In the current study, we focus on several cellular factors that might be involved in
the dynamics of the different gene-expression types. We investigated: i) the genome
location of genes in these types to determine the role of nucleosome organization; ii)
the gene size since in early development, the size of the expressed genes is increasing
[14]; iii) the gene promoter regions for specific TFBSs; iv) the 3’UTRs for cytoplasmic
polyadenylation motifs; v) the 3’UTRs for miRNA targets and vi) overrepresentation of
specific pathways and gene ontology (GO) categories within the gene-expression types.
In all these analyses, we also included the set of genes that showed a distinct multi-level
expression pattern (Figure 1D) that correlates with spawn. To obtain insight in the
regulation of the gene-expression regulating factors, we investigated miRNA expression
in individual embryos at approximately 50% epiboly (Figure 1C) using the same spawns
as in our high-resolution gene-expression time course, plus miRNA expression in
individual embryos spanning the entire zebrafish embryogenesis including unfertilized
eggs and adult zebrafish (Figure 1A).

Results and Discussion

EXPERIMENT SET-UP
To investigate the factors that are involved in regulation of transcriptome dynamics during
early zebrafish embryogenesis, we started our analyses using the results of our gene-ex-
pression series with an extremely high temporal resolution on 180 individual zebrafish
embryos [21], (Figure 1B). These embryos were obtained from nine different spawns,
developmentally ordered and profiled from late blastula to mid-gastrula stage (approxi-
mately 40 - 80% epiboly). The individual profiles of the genes in this high-resolution time
course can be queried at http://genseq-h0.science.uva.nl/shiny/Dr-Browser-v2, together
with the maternal-transcriptome results from another study of individual unfertilized
zebrafish eggs [20]. The transcriptome dynamics in the embryonic time course was cap-
tured in ten distinct gene-expression profiles, i.e. types (Figure 1D). These ten types can
be summarized in four categories of gene-expression dynamics: Down (Types 1-4), Level
(Type 5), Up (Types 6-9), and Oscillating (Type 10), which leaves only one atypical type:
Multi-Level (Figure 1D). Here we set out to link the observed gene-expression profiles
to cellular factors that possibly can explain the observed transcriptome dynamics, such
as chromosome location, TFBSs and miRNA expression. And as an example of the reg-
ulation of regulators, we investigated miRNA expression during the complete zebrafish
embryogenesis by two additional small-RNAseq experiments (Figure 1A and 1C).
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The ten distinct gene-expression types of the time series (Figure 1 B and D) are based on the dynamic behavior of 6,734 Ensembl-defined expressed genes. Since chromosome organization, e.g. by nucleosome organization at promoters [22] plays a role in gene-expression regulation, we investigated the genome distribution of the associated genes per type (Supplemental File S1, pages 1-10). Overall, the fold enrichment of the number of genes of a specific gene-expression type on a chromosome, are distributed in a non-random fashion (Figure 2). The Discontinuously-Increasing Type (Type 6) and the Starting
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Type (Figure 1D, Type 9) show a distinct pattern on the genome that differs from the other types. Both these types of genes are significantly overrepresented with a more than twofold enrichment on chromosome 4 and 9 respectively, (Supplemental File S2). We also plotted the position of the Multi-Level Type genes on the genome. These genes show an even wider distribution of fold enrichments on the chromosomes as compared to the Types 6 and 9 (Supplemental File S1, page 11), however none of the chromosomes showed a significant overrepresentation of Multi-Level Type genes. Altogether, this means that, although the link appears not to be particularly strong, chromosome location seems to be a factor that is involved in the transcriptome dynamics of some types during early embryogenesis.

In early embryogenesis, gene size is strongly related to gene expression [14]. In an attempt to link gene-size to gene-expression we found that gene-size distributions of expressed and non-expressed genes are different (Supplemental File S3). On average, expressed genes are shorter but very short genes of less than 4 kb were shown to be overrepresented in the non-expressed set. Comparing sizes of the genes in the Up, Level,
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Table 1. TFBSs in 300 bp regions upstream and downstream of the start sites of genes in the Expressed, Non-expressed, Down, Equal and Up Type gene sets. Percentages are given as the ration of TFBSs found in a set and number of TFBSs in the database (JASPAR 2014 and UniProbe Mouse).

and Down Categories with that of expressed genes, the Up and the Down Category distributions are significantly different: the Up Category genes are shorter while the Down Category genes are longer. This is in line with the fact that the earliest transcribed zygotic genes are relatively short [14]. It also suggests that especially the longer genes in the Down Category are not early zygotic genes and thus are likely to have a maternal origin. The size distribution of Multi-Level Type genes did not significantly differ from the size distribution of the present genes (results not shown).

Linking Promoter TFBSs to Gene-expression Types

TFs have an obvious role in gene-expression, hence we investigated the possible binding sites for TFs in the sets of expressed and non-expressed genes. TFBSs are typically located in the promoter; however defining promoters is a challenge and hence we arbitrarily took 300 bp regions upstream and downstream of the start site of each gene. Thus, we detected some remarkable patterns in our dataset. In the set of expressed genes, 307 TFBS motifs were found while in the set of non-expressed genes only 165 (Supplemental File S4) were found. Both in the expressed and non-expressed gene sets, the number of TFBS motifs found in the upstream regions was larger than that in the downstream regions (respectively 1.9 and 1.4 times more). Three TFBS motifs that were enriched in the downstream regions of the non-expressed gene set were also enriched in the expressed genes upstream regions; two of them being POU domains (Pou2F2 and Pou3F3, for sequence logos, cf. Supplemental File S5). In the upstream analysis of the non-expressed gene set and the downstream analysis of the expressed gene set an overlap was found of 8 TFBS motifs, two of these belonging to the IRF-class (Irf6 and Irf4). The overrepresented TFBS motif with the lowest p-value in the upstream analysis of the expressed gene set was the TATA-box (Tbp primary). By considering the TFBS motif descriptions, some patterns appear that link homeobox domains (Hox, Homez, Hlx or lmx) and POU domains to gene expression, whereas SRY (SOX) boxes and Zinc motifs relate to non-expression (Table 1). One of the two uniquely enriched motifs in the non-expressed downstream set is Pou5f1::Sox2, a protein that is implicated in the maintenance of pluripotency, the activation of zygotic genes and in the regulation of
miR-430, a miRNA responsible for the clearance of maternal mRNAs [23]. E2F TFs are involved in regulation of cell cycle progression [24]. The E2F6 (inhibitory) binding site is overrepresented in both the non-expressed upstream and the expressed downstream set. All other both inhibitory as activating sites are overrepresented in the upstream and downstream expressed set, except E2F1 and E2F3 which are unique for the upstream expressed sequences.

We also tested the gene-expression categories Down, Level, Up, and Type Multi-Level for TFBSs (Table 1). The absence of any overrepresented binding motifs in the Type 5 set probably implies that the genes in this set are not one group and thus are regulated by many different factors. Most (56%) of the overrepresented TFBSs were found upstream of the Up Category genes, which is understandable given that they all change expression in the examined embryonic developmental period. The overrepresented motifs in the gene sets with increasing gene expression are in majority zinc finger motifs. In the Up-Category 5 TFAP motifs and 10 zinc finger motifs were found.

All 11 TFBSs found in the downstream sequences of the Down Category overlap with TFBSs in the non-expressed genes. This TFBS set contains 4 MEIS homeoboxes, 2 PBX homeoboxes and 2 TALE family homeoboxes (TGFB) with remarkably low p-values. All these box motifs, except for one PBX box motif, are also overrepresented in the downstream sequences of the gene-expression Type 1 set, while one MEIS box is overrepresented in the Type 2 set as well. The Meis cofactor has been reported to bind directly to Pbx, and to displace histone deacetylases from Hoxb1 regulated promoters in zebrafish embryos [25]. Interestingly, meis itself (ENSDARG00000042361, ENSDARG00000002795) is not expressed, whereas pbx/knotted1 (ENSDARG00000018765, ENSDARG00000078080) is expressed as Type 6 (Discontinuously Increasing) and hoxB1b (ENSDARG00000054033) is expressed as Type 9 (Starting). This reveals the puzzling status quo, in which the expression of the meis/pbx regulated genes is going down, whereas the gene expression of the regulators pbx and hoxB1b is going up (for profiles, see http://genseq-h0.science.uva.nl/shiny/Dr-Browser-v2/). As Pbx/Hox1b regulation in a later phase of embryogenesis is used in the formation of the hindbrain [26], the up regulation of pbx and hoxB1b might be an initial stage in this process, while the observed down regulation of the genes during early gastrulation might be the final part of a process that took place in an earlier phase of embryogenesis. Noticeably, in the set of Multi-Level Type genes, we did not find any overrepresented TFBS motifs.

**Linking 3'UTR polyadenylation motifs to gene-expression types**

At the late blastula stage in zebrafish embryogenesis, which coincides with the start of our high-resolution time course (Figure 1B), there is extensive zygotic transcription. Whether at the early gastrula stage all maternal mRNA has been cleared, is still a question. Maternal mRNA can be polyadenylated in the cytoplasm, a process that uses polyadenylation motifs in the 3' UTR of transcripts [12,17]. Hexamer motifs (hex-1 and hex-2) form the actual polyadenylation signal and determine the actual start of the poly(A)-tail. Cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements (eCPE, CPE-1, CPE-2, and CPE-3) can bind to
the CPE-binding protein and to other factors and not only support polyadenylation but also act as regulatory elements in cytoplasmic polyadenylation as well [12]. Finally, Pumilio binding elements (PBEs) facilitate the binding of the Pumilio protein, which in turn can stabilize the binding of CPEB [27]. We analyzed overrepresentation of such motifs in the gene-expression categories and types (Supplemental File S6). In the Expressed genes all motifs but one hexamer (hex-2) and the Pumilio Binding Element are highly overrepresented. The hex-2 motif within the expressed genes is overrepresented in the Type 2 set. Furthermore, two other motifs are overrepresented in the Type 5 set, among which is the embryonic cytoplasmic polyadenylation element [28]. Likewise, the hex-1 motif is overrepresented in the Up Type, which is largely due

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathway</th>
<th>Down</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Up</th>
<th>e.</th>
<th>n.e.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dre04010:MAPK signaling pathway</td>
<td>5.42E-03 (1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04622:RIG-I-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>2.28E-02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04070:Phosphatidylinositol signaling system</td>
<td>2.28E-02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04144:Endocytosis</td>
<td>2.47E-02 (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre03018:RNA degradation</td>
<td>3.37E-02</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04621:NOD-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>3.66E-02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04620:Toll-like receptor signaling pathway</td>
<td>3.98E-02 (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre00071:Fatty acid metabolism*</td>
<td>2.73E-02 (2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04114:Oocyte meiosis*</td>
<td>9.78E-02 (3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre03040:Spliceosome</td>
<td>2.84E-09 (5)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre00280:Valine, leucine and isoleucine degradation</td>
<td>3.47E-03 (5)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre00640:Propanoate metabolism</td>
<td>1.09E-02 (5)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04110:Cell cycle</td>
<td>2.05E-02 (5)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04144:Endocytosis</td>
<td>4.57E-02 (5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre03010:Ribosome</td>
<td>5.36E-29 (7)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre00190:Oxidative phosphorylation</td>
<td>1.38E-03 (7)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre03050:Proteasome</td>
<td>1.11E-02 (7)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre00260:Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism*</td>
<td>1.14E-02 (9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04260:Cardiac muscle contraction*</td>
<td>1.90E-02 (7)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dre04512:ECM-receptor interaction*</td>
<td>3.83E-02 (6)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Overrepresented KEGG Pathways in the gene-expression categories. The gene-expression types are organized in three categories: Down (Types 1-4), Level (Type 5) and Up (Types 6-9) Categories (Figure 1D) and overrepresentation is determined (p-value) against the background of the expressed genes. Shown are the p-values of the overrepresentation of the Down, Level and Up Categories. Gene-expression types that are overrepresented individually are indicated by their type number between brackets. *: no overrepresentation was found in any category, but that a gene-expression type was overrepresented individually. In that case p-values are shown in italics and represent the overrepresented gene-expression type. The columns marked with e. and n.e. respectively indicate the rank by which a pathway is overrepresented in the expressed genes and non-expressed genes. Ranks are called by p-values, without a fold-enrichment criterion.
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...to its highly significant overrepresentation in Type 7 genes. These results indicate that a process in which maternal mRNAs are reused via cytoplasmic polyadenylation cannot be ruled out.

**LINKING PATHWAYS TO GENE-EXPRESSION TYPES**

Genes operate in cellular pathways. Hence pathway analysis is a common approach in omics experiment analysis. Here, we tested which biologically important sets, such as KEGG pathways, were overrepresented in any of the gene-expression types and categories (Table 2). The top five KEGG pathways that are overrepresented in the Expressed gene set are also overrepresented in the gene-expression Types 5 and 7. These two types are characterized by an expressed status throughout the studied embryogenic period. Other overrepresented pathway categories in the Types 5, 6 and 7 also point at transcriptional and translational processes, whereas the starting Types 8 and 9 show morphogenic terms and an involvement of the homeobox domain (Supplemental File S7). In the Down Category gene-set, a number of signaling pathways are overrepresented that are also overrepresented in the Non-Expressed gene set. Remarkably, also some embryonic developmental gene ontology terms are overrepresented in the gene-expression Type 4 which is characterized by presence during the entire developmental process studied here. Three pathways from the expressed set are also overrepresented in the Down Category, but with a lower rank than the pathways in the Level and Down Category. With three genes, the iron-sulfur cluster binding set was found to be the only overrepresented category in Multi-Level Type genes. The fact that in these analyses mostly pathways that describe processes on a high generic level such as ‘Cell cycle’ or ‘Spliceosome’ are identified is because genes in pathways are not expressed at the same time and in the same way. Hence, genes of a pathway are likely to be scattered over the gene expression types and categories, preventing their discovery by this naïve analysis.

**LINKING 3’UTR miRNA TARGET SITES TO GENE-EXPRESSION TYPES**

Over the last decades it has become clear that non-coding small-RNAs, such as miRNAs play an important role in gene-expression regulation. We therefore examined the regulation of genes in the various gene-expression types by miRNAs by estimating the overrepresentation of miRNA target sites in the 3’UTRs of these genes (Table 3, Supplemental File S8). The gene sets in the Up Category do not have overrepresented miRNA targets, neither do the sets in the Down Category have any underrepresented targets. There is a large overlap in target sites between the Up Category underrepresented set and the Down Category overrepresented set, although miR-128-3p, miR-20a-3p and miR-20a-5p are specific for the Up Category underrepresented set and miR-181a-2-3p, miR-124, miR-16a, miR-228 and miR-150 are specific for the overrepresented targets in the Down Category genes. No overrepresented target sites were found for the Level Category and Multi-Level Type genes. The observations that no miRNAs are expressed that target genes with increasing expression and that genes with a decreasing expression have miRNA targets of actually expressed miRNAs...
are in line with the general miRNA mechanism. MiR-430c-3p, miR-19a-3p, miR-19a-5p and miR-430c-5p are the 1st, 6th, 7th and 8th most expressed miRNAs and target genes in the Down Category. However, other highly abundant miRNAs, such as miR-430b and miR-20a do not specifically target a gene-expression category nor type.

**Analyzing miRNAs in zebrafish embryogenesis**

Although miRNA target-sites in 3' UTRs were used it was not possible to establish a strong link between our gene-expression categories or types and miRNAs. Nevertheless, it is obvious that miRNAs are an important factor in gene-expression regulation. To estimate the function of overrepresentation, we checked which miRNAs are actually present during early gastrulation by a small-RNAseq experiment of 16 individual zebrafish embryos that originated from the same spawns as in [21] at approximately 50% epiboly (fig. 1 C). The types are organized in three categories: Down (Types 1 to 4), Level (Type 5) and Up (Types 6 to 9). Overrepresentation for the expressed genes is calculated against the background of all queried genes and analysis of the genes in the gene-expression types is performed against the background of the expressed genes. Since TargetScanFish, from which the targets were retrieved often does not discriminate between the 3p and 5p mature miRNA forms, the number of corresponding occurrences of expressed miRNAs and targets is indicated between brackets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class/Category</th>
<th>Number of targets</th>
<th>Intersect of targets and expressed miRNAs</th>
<th>Intersecting expressed miRNAs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expresed</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>miR-12, miR-462, miR-21a, miR-385, let-7a, miR-81, miR-430a-3p, miR-430a-5p, miR-733, miR-430c-3p, miR-430c-5p, miR-430b-3p, miR-430b-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-expressed</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up (overrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Up (underrepresented)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>miR19a-3p, miR19a-5p, miR-128-3p, miR-248, miR-430c-3p, miR-430c-5p, miR-122, miR-16a, miR-20a-3p, miR-20a-5p, miR-17a-3p, miR-17a-2-3p, miR-17a-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level (overrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level (underrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down (overrepresented)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14 (10)</td>
<td>miR-17a-3p, miR-17a-2-3p, miR-17a-5p, miR-181a-3p, miR-181a-5p, miR-181a-2-3p, miR-124-3p, miR-124-5p, miR-122, miR-16a, miR-228, miR-150, miR-430c-3p, miR-430c-5p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Down (underrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Level (overrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Level (underrepresented)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Number of miRNA targets** [17] **that are overrepresented or underrepresented in the set of expressed genes and in the types of the high-resolution time course experiment [21] and the intersect with these targets and the actually expressed miRNAs in embryos taken from the same spawns as in [21] at approximately 50% epiboly (fig. 1 C). The types are organized in three categories: Down (Types 1 to 4), Level (Type 5) and Up (Types 6 to 9). Overrepresentation for the expressed genes is calculated against the background of all queried genes and analysis of the genes in the gene-expression types is performed against the background of the expressed genes. Since TargetScanFish, from which the targets were retrieved often does not discriminate between the 3p and 5p mature miRNA forms, the number of corresponding occurrences of expressed miRNAs and targets is indicated between brackets.
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Figure 3. Heatmaps of high- and low abundant miRNAs. Heatmaps of scaled and centered log2 miRNA counts. The spawn number is indicated below the sample-id. Sample information is provided in Supplemental File S11. A. Heatmap of high-abundant miRNAs with a total read count > 5,000. B. Heatmap of low-abundant miRNAs with a read count of at least 50 in one of the samples but with less than 5,000 reads in all samples.

Relative amount of synthetic spike-in reads of 0.79 does not suggest big differences in the absolute amount of expressed miRNAs between these staged embryos. Moreover, we found 11 miRNAs with over 5,000 reads in all 16 samples together (high-abundant) and 84 miRNAs with less than a total of 5,000 reads, but more than 50 reads in at least one sample (low-abundant, Supplemental File S10). Remarkably, the top 5 miRNAs accounts for 91% of all miRNA, whereas the top 11 for 96%.

In our previous high-resolution time series experiment, we observed that the expression effect of genes belonging to the Multi-Level Type correlated with the spawn from which the embryos originated. We questioned whether miRNAs would also show a spawn-specific expression effect. In the high-abundant miRNA set only the samples from spawn 7

Figure 4. Relative miRNA abundance in zebrafish development. Relative counts of miRNA abundances in an embryonic developmental time course. The X-axis represents developmental stage as specified in panel H. The Y-axis represents relative counts. A-G miRNAs specific for a developmental stage. All miRNAs have a relative abundance of at least 700 (approximately 0.5% of all mapped miRNA reads) and are present in one or more specific stages relative to all other stages at a ratio indicated in the figure. A. Egg; B. Maternal: egg, 64 cell + oblong stage; C. Late Blastula + gastrula: 30% epiboly + 70% epiboly + 90% epiboly; D. Somite: 3-somite + 12-somite + 22-somite; E. Pre-hatching: prim-5 + prim-16; F. Post-hatching: Long-pec + protruding mouth; G. Adult (male). H. Legend of the X-axis.
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cluster together and in the low-abundant miRNA set this is the case for spawn 7 and spawn 1 (Figure 3, Supplemental File S10). Hence, in contrast to mRNA expression, spawn seems no major determinant in relative miRNA expression. To gain a better insight in the regulation of miRNAs during embryogenesis, we additionally sequenced small-RNA along the entire zebrafish embryonic development (Figure 1A). In contrast to the small RNA samples taken at early gastrulation, over embryonic development the correlation of the small RNA read counts with the amount of synthetic spike-in reads is low, i.e. 0.24. Also, the number of miRNA reads in the 64-cell stage is substantially lower than in later stages (Supplemental File S11). This indicates that the absolute amount of miRNA is changing during embryogenesis, an observation that was also made by others [29,30]. To evaluate how miRNAs are relatively expressed during embryogenesis, we partitioned the developmental time in seven stages (egg, maternal, gastrulation, somite, pre-hatching, post-hatching and adult) (Figure 4H). It is clear that there are several distinct miRNA expression profiles during embryogenesis, which shows an extensive regulation of the expression of these miRNA during embryogenesis. As these expression profiles are indicative for their functioning in embryogenesis, they may be used to elucidate the roles of these miRNAs. The miR-430 serves as an example, being involved in maternal mRNA degradation and being expressed with a peak at the gastrulation (Figure 4C).

Pushing forward, we analysed the 11 high-abundant miRNAs that we previously identified at early gastrulation more extensively. These miRNAs display a wave-like expression pattern over the entire embryogenesis (Figure 5B); some that are maternally deposited
show an increase in relative abundance by late gastrula stage and have a decreased relative abundance in adult tissue. Others are zygotically expressed from the oblong stage onward, with relative abundance peaking at different stages. Yet in the early gastrulation experiment (Figure 1C), we see in the highly abundant set 2 subsets of miRNAs that show an opposite behaviour in two groups of samples (figure 3A). However, upon closer inspection, it became clear that, at 50% epiboly, whenever dre-miR-430c-3p is expressed at a high level, dre-miR-430b-3p is expressed at a low level (correlation -0.90, Figure 5A). So these two miRNAs with this striking anti-correlating expression during gastrulation, show a decreasing and an increasing relative abundance, respectively if measured from oblong to 90% epiboly, (Figure 5B). It is therefore possible that the anti-correlating behaviour originates from the fact that the analysed embryos were in a slightly different embryonic stage.

To a lesser extent, dre-miR-430a-3p and dre-miR-430b-5p also show anti-correlated expression (correlation -0.6). In total, we found in the combined high- and low-abundant (n=95) miRNAs, eight sets with a correlation higher than 0.9 and two sets of miRNAs with a correlation lower than -0.85 (Supplemental File S12). A correlation of -0.85 was found between dre-miR-430b-5p and dre-miR-17a-5p, which are the 4th and 21th most abundantly expressed miRNAs. A decreasing, respectively increasing relative expression similar to dre-miR-430c-3p and dre-miR-430b-3p pair is observed with the anti-correlating pair miR-430b-5p and miR-17a-5p (data not shown). While the genomic clusters of miR-430a and miR-430b lie on chromosome 4 at around 28 Mbase, with many variants (e.g. miR-430a-10.1, miR-430b-20.1 and miR-430a-17.2) interspersed with one another, miR-17a has two variants, one on chromosome 1 and on chromosome 9. So chromosome location alone does not explain this strong correlation. Collectively, it shows that the expression of miRNAs that regulate the expression of mRNA is quite strictly regulated.

Concluding Remarks
The embryonic transcriptome is tightly regulated during development. This is not only established for pools of embryos [19], but is also true when individual embryos are compared to one another over time. Here we analysed a number of cellular factors that might be involved in this regulation and found that all gene-expression regulation strategies studied here are used by the developing zebrafish embryo. The picture that emerges is that a large number of different regulatory events take place in the short developmental time from late blastula to gastrula. For instance, the overrepresented motifs in the Up Category were almost exclusively found in genes of that category, but these motifs are only found in the promoters of a subset of these genes; the most highly overrepresented motif in this category, ESR1 was found in 18 % of the genes of this category (data not shown, cf. Material & Methods). Hence ESR1 is a cis-element that is important in the up-regulation of genes during this embryonic phase, but it is only one of the many factors involved in the up-regulation. Another example that illustrates the diversity of regulatory mechanisms involved is the co-occurrence of miR-430 as the predominant miRNA in
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the blastula and gastrula stages and the presence of cytoplasmic polyadenylation motifs in many expressed genes. MiR-430 is widely implicated in the clearance of the maternal message [16] while the presence of cytoplasmic polyadenylation motifs still keeps open the possibility for the embryo to activate and use maternally provided transcripts. Looking at predominant pathways and Gene Ontology categories we see that a number of these sets are overrepresented in the gene-expression types. However, the percentage of genes that is called to be present in a set is with 19% highest in the Type 6 for GO:0045449 (regulation of transcription), but in general these percentages are below 5% (Supplemental File S7). Rather than to characterize early gastrulation as to be regulated by a handful of mechanisms, we observe an overwhelming amount of processes. Not only the resulting gene expression is tightly regulated, also at least some of the regulators themselves are tightly controlled. This is illustrated by the anti-correlating expression of miR-430c-3p and miR-430b-3p (Figure 5A), but also by the wave-like expression cascade of the different members of the miR-430 family Figure 5B).

We conclude that genes in gene-expression types are active in specific pathways. These temporal expression profiles might also be referred to as synexpression groups, a term coined by Niehrs and Pollet [31] and recently again used to characterize the embryonic transcriptome [19]. Compared with the latter study our study zooms in on a much shorter time period and has an even higher sampling density. But also in this shorter developmental period of 3 hours, the underlying regulation of the strict temporal behaviour of the transcripts in the Up, Level and Down Categories, is extremely complex on the level of the individual transcriptome. Novel approaches in single cell analysis [32], RNA tomography [33] and RNA tracking in live cells [34] may add to the resolution in time and space at which the developing embryo can be studied in the future.

Materials and Methods

**High-resolution time course experiment late blastula to mid-gastrula**
The high-resolution time course experiment in early zebrafish embryogenesis and specifically the handling of the zebrafish embryos, image processing, RNA extraction, amplification & labeling, hybridization, scanning, data preprocessing & normalization, determination of the sample order, gene categorization, identification of starting and stopping points and identification of multi-level genes is described in [21]. 3’ UTR sequences, sequences upstream of genes and gene locations were retrieved using Biomart Zv9 (Ensembl release 79). From the same experiment 16 previously not used samples from 8 spawns were taken (Supplemental File S11) and their small RNA content was sequenced using the procedure described below. The 16 embryos were in early gastrulation with an epiboly ranging from 43% to 58%.

**Embryonic developmental course**
A number of samples were taken along the zebrafish’ development (Supplemental File S11). Zebrafish were handled and maintained according to standard protocols (http://
ZFIN.org). The local animal welfare committee (DEC) of the University of Leiden, the Netherlands specifically approved this study. All protocols adhered to the international guidelines specified by the EU Animal Protection Directive 86/609/EEC. Embryos were obtained by placing a female and a male, both of genotype ABTL, overnight in a tank separated by transparent, but watertight division. Approximately 1 hour after the start of the light period the division was removed after which spawning and fertilization took place, generally in one or more pushes. Embryos were retrieved by transferring both animals to a different tank and sieving the remaining water. Embryos, which were obtained from one spawn were maintained at 28.5 °C in egg water (60 μg/ml Instant Ocean sea salts). The embryos were processed, from approx. 10 minutes’ post fertilization (hpf) to 72 hpf. During this period, embryos were, while kept at a temperature of 28.5 °C, one by one taken out of the medium and positioned along the anteroposterior axis under a stereo microscope (Leica MZ16 FA) in a 1.5% agarose gel (Sigma Aldrich IX-A) composed with egg water. After an image was taken the embryo was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube and was snap-frozen in liquid Nitrogen. Unfertilized eggs (oocytes) were collected by following a similar approach as described above. However, approximately 1 hour after the start of the light period the female now was removed from the tank and anesthetized briefly in another tank with egg water containing 0.02% buffered ethyl 3-aminobenzoate methane sulfonate (Tricaine, Sigma-Aldrich). Subsequently, the eggs were obtained by gently squeezing the female. Whole body male-adult zebrafish samples and eggs were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C. Before freezing, fish were put under anesthesia using 0.02% buffered Tricaine.

**SMALL-RNA ISOLATION**

Tubes with single zebrafish embryos were kept in liquid nitrogen and processed using a pre-chilled metal micro-pestle (Carl Roth) and Qiazol (Qiagen) as described by de Jong et al 2010 [35]. Synthetic spike-ins [36] were added to the Qiazol (equal amounts for each single embryo) and subsequently the phase separation was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For the samples in the time course experiment (Figure 1A) the aqueous phase was precipitated to first isolate total RNA, followed by an enrichment of small RNAs using the mirVana miRNA Isolation Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For the samples taken at gastrulation (Figure 1C) the aqueous phase was directly applied to a mirVana spin-column and further processed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Small RNA concentration and quality were assessed on a NanoDrop ND-2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and 2200 TapeStation System with Agilent RNA ScreenTapes (Agilent Technologies), respectively.

**SMALL-RNA-SEQUENCING**

Bar-coded small RNA sequencing libraries were generated using the Ion Total RNA-Seq Kit v2 and the Ion Xpress RNA-Seq bar coding kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For the developmental course samples (Figure 1A), the two-step bead-based size selection that directly follows reverse transcription and cDNA amplification was replaced with a single bead-based purification step using ethanol at a final concentration of 45% and
40% ethanol, respectively. Together, these modifications increase the size limitation of the small RNAs that will be part of the small RNA sequencing library from below ~50 to below ~200 nucleotides. Size distribution and yield of the resulting barcoded libraries were assessed using the 2200 TapeStation System with Agilent D1K ScreenTapes (Agilent Technologies). Libraries were prepared for sequencing on the Ion Chef System (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Sequencing was performed on an Ion Proton System using Ion PI v3 chips (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

**Genome location and gene size**

The visualization of genes on the assembly was done using the ‘View karyotype’ link on the Ensembl website. The fold enrichment of the number of genes of a gene-expression type i on chromosome j was calculated according to the following formula:

\[
\frac{k_{ij}}{h_i} \frac{g_j}{T}
\]

with:
- \(g_x\) = number of expressed genes on chromosome x
- \(h_y\) = number of genes of Type y
- \(k_{xy}\) = number expressed genes on chromosome x of Type y
- \(T\) = total number of expressed genes

Testing the similarity of distribution of gene sizes was performed with the implementation of the Kolmogorov Smirnov test in R (kstest).

**Enrichment analysis of TFBSs**

Promoters were retrieved as 300 nucleotides upstream and downstream stretches of DNA sequence relative to the transcription start site of a gene. These sequences were tested against 591 motifs in the JASPAR Vertebrates 2016 [37] and the UniPROBE Mouse [38] databases using AME version 4.11.2, which is part of the MEME suite [39]. The background model was established once using all upstream and downstream sequences of genes that are interrogated by the microarray [21]. A one-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied on the average odds motif score, after which a correction for the multiple testing of 591 motifs was applied using a Bonferroni procedure. A corrected p-value of 0.05 was used. AME was used with a fixed partition: the non-expressed set was tested with the expressed set as control sequences, the expressed set was tested with the non-expressed set as control sequences and all other sets were tested with the sequences from that set with the remainder of the sequences from the expressed set as control sequences. The number of motifs occurring on a set of promoter sequences was established with the FIMO tool version 4.11.2 [40], which is part of the MEME suite, with a single match-p value cut off of < 0.001.
ENRICHMENT ANALYSIS OF POLYADENYLATION MOTIFS

Hexamer motifs, poly(A) sites (PASs) and Pumilio Binding elements (PBEs) in the 3’ UTRs were determined using the AME tool version 4.11.2 of the MEME suite [39]. The sequence motifs were retrieved from [18,28,41,42]. The background model was established once using the sequences of genes that are interrogated by the microarray [21]. A one-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test was applied on the average odds motif score, after which a correction for the multiple testing of 16 motifs was applied using a Bonferroni procedure. A corrected p-value of 0.05 was used. AME was used with a fixed partition and all sets were tested in a similar fashion as with the TFBS analysis.

SET ANALYSIS

Overrepresentation analysis on pathways and Gene Ontology categories was carried out using the web version of DAVID Bioinformatics Resources 6.7 [43]. Backgrounds were specified by choosing an appropriate subset of all genes that are queried by the microarray. The criteria to call a category (i.e. GO category, chromosome, KEGG pathway) as overrepresented are an EASE-score < 0.05 and a fold enrichment (calculated in a similar fashion as before) larger than 1.5.

miRNA TARGET SITE ANALYSIS

The Ensembl genes that have putative targets of miRNAs in their 3’ UTRs were obtained from TargetScanFish, release 6.2 [17], using those targets with context scores smaller than -0.2. The probability of a found overlap of a gene set containing the targets for a specific miRNA in a set of interest was calculated using a hypergeometric test in R by using an appropriate background (cf. Results and Discussion). A correction for multiple testing was applied using the Benjamini Hochberg procedure from the multtest R-library [44].

miRNA MAPPING, NORMALIZATION AND EXPRESSION ANALYSIS

Reads were mapped to zebrafish miRNA hairpin and mature sequences from miRBase version 21 [45] by the following procedure; all reads larger than 14 nucleotides but shorter than 44 nucleotides were mapped against the annotated mature miRNAs augmented with 3 nucleotides on the 5’ and 3’ end, while allowing for 10% mismatches or indels. For the mature miRNAs that lack either a 5p or 3p counterpart, reads were mapped against the half of the premature hairpin opposite to the half that contains the annotated mature miRNA. These mappings were annotated as ‘putative mature miRNAs’. Since a number of our analyses uses the miRNAs that are defined by TargetScanFish, miRBase annotations were condensed into the annotations of TargetScanFish by aggregation of the miRBase identifiers that point to an identical mature miRNA.

A normalization factor was estimated using the assumption of an equal amount of small-RNA molecules in all samples. This factor was checked using the read counts of the synthetic spike-ins [36]. However, since the assumption that the number of small-RNA molecules is the same throughout development is evidently not correct [29,46], we used relative counts in our analyses. A relative count is defined as the ratio of reads mapping in a sample to a miRNA and the total number of reads in that sample mapping to
miRNAs times the average number of reads mapping to miRNAs in the entire experiment. Clustering of relative counts was performed on log transformed counts that were subsequently scaled and centered over all samples. Heatmaps were drawn with the heatmap.2 function in R using ward.D2 as clustering method and using a Euclidean distance.

DATA AVAILABILITY
All experimental data sets are available online. High resolution gene expression profiles from late blastula to mid-gastrula stage (Figure 1B): accession number GSE83395 (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE83395). Sequencing data are deposited at (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject), small-RNA-seq data developmental time course (Figure 1A): PRJNA347637; small-RNA-Seq data at gastrulation (Figure 1C): PRJNA350377.

SUPPLEMENTAL FILE DESCRIPTIONS
All supplemental information is also available at: http://genseq-h0.science.uva.nl/projects/TranscrDyn/

Supplemental File S1.pdf
Chromosomal location of expressed genes. Page 1 displays the number of expressed genes on each chromosome. The pages 2-10 display per page the position of these genes in a gene-expression type on the genome. The Types 1 to 9 are shown. Page 11 displays the position of the Multi-Level Type genes on the genome. Page 12 shows the distributions of the fold enrichment of the number of genes per type on a chromosome.

Supplemental File S2.xlsx
Overrepresentation of the gene-expression types, of the Down and Up Category and of the Multi-Level Type genes. Shown are the following categories: General: Chromosome, Gene ontology: Biological Process, Molecular Function & Cellular Compartment, Functional Categories: Uniprot Sequence Feature, PIR (Protein Information Resource), Protein Domains: Interpro, SMART, Pathways: KEGG, Tissue: Uniprot Tissue. Each tab shows the set for which overrepresentation was tested and displays all categories that have an EASE value (indicated by PValue) smaller than 0.05 and a fold enrichment larger than 1.5.

Supplemental File S3.xlsx
Tab A. Table of average gene size in expressed and in the Up, Level and Down Categories compared with the average gene size of the remaining genes as indicated in the table. The p-value indicates probability that the gene sizes in the comparison are drawn from the same distribution. Tab B. Visualization of the distributions of gene size in the expressed, Up, Level and Down gene-expression Categories.
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Supplemental_File_S4.xlsx
Enriched motifs in stretches of DNA 300 nt upstream and downstream of the transcription start site. From the 4th column the overrepresented motifs from JASPAR2014 and Uniprobe Mouse are given for each gene-expression type, first by a column enumerating the possible motifs in the upstream sequences and then by a column indicating the possible motifs in the downstream sequences. All values are ordered by corrected p-value from low to high, unless a motif already occurred in a previous column, in which case the p-values are unordered.

Supplemental_File_S5.pdf
All enriched motifs per gene-expression type, p-values, corrected p-values and sequence logos. Gene-expression types without any enriched motifs are omitted.

Supplemental_File_S6.xlsx
Overrepresentation of hexamer motifs, cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements, embryonic cytoplasmic polyadenylation elements and Pumilio binding element per gene-expression type. Corrected p-values above 0.05 are indicated by a dash.

Supplemental_File_S7.xlsx
Overrepresentation of KEGG pathways, Gene Ontology (GO) categories, Chromosomes, Interpro protein ids, SMART ids (http://smart.embl.de/), PIR keywords (Protein Information Resource), Uniprot Sequence Feature and Tissue (http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot) in expressed genes, gene-expression types and Multi-Level Type genes against an appropriate background (cf. Material & Methods) with an EASE-score < 0.05 and a fold enrichment larger than 1.5.

Supplemental_File_S8.xlsx
Genes carrying specific miRNA targets in their 3’UTR that are overrepresented and underrepresented in specific categories. Tab A. Counts of overrepresented and underrepresented miRNA targets in the set of expressed genes, their p-values and corrected p-values. Actual expression of the miRNA at gastrulation is indicated by a ‘1’. Tab B. All miRNA targets overrepresented in gene-expression types. Only types that contain overrepresented targets are shown. The yellow shading indicates the specific miRNA target - dynamic type combination with a called overrepresentation (with a corrected p-value < 0.15). Tab C. All miRNA targets underrepresented in gene-expression types and presented similar to tab B. Tab D. Overrepresentation and underrepresentation in the set of expressed genes of all miRNA targets. Tab E and F. Respectively Overrepresentation and underrepresentation of all miRNA targets in all dynamic categories.

Supplemental_File_S9.pdf
Total number of miRNAs normalized with the assumption of equal amounts of small RNA in the 16 samples taken at gastrulation (Figure 1C).
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Supplemental_File_S10.pdf
Relative counts of read mapped to miRNAs in 16 samples taken at gastrulation (Figure 1C).

Supplemental_File_S11.xlsx
Experiment information on the small RNA sequencing experiments: 18 samples in a developmental time course (upper panel) and 16 samples of embryos taken at gastrulation around 50% epiboly.

Supplemental_File_S12.pdf
Anti-correlating miRNA expression (page 1 and 2) with a correlation coefficient < -0.8 and correlating miRNA expression (page 3 to 10) with a correlation coefficient > 0.9 in 16 samples taken at gastrulation (Figure 1C). Expression is given as log 2 relative counts. Samples without any mapping are indicated as non-expressed.
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