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Abstract – The responses of the predatory mite *Phytoseiulus persimilis* to herbivore-induced plant volatiles are at least partly genetically determined. Thus, there is potential for the evolution of this behaviour by natural selection. We tested whether distinct predator genotypes with contrasting responses to a specific herbivore-induced plant volatile, i.e., methyl salicylate (MeSa), could be found in a base population collected in the field (Sicily). To this end, we imposed purifying selection on individuals within iso-female lines of *P. persimilis* such that the lines were propagated only via the individual that showed either a preference or avoidance of MeSa. The responses of the lines were characterized as the mean proportion of individuals choosing MeSa when given a choice between MeSa and clean air. Significant variation in predator responses was detected among iso-female lines, thus confirming the presence of a genetic component for this behaviour. Nevertheless, we did not find a significant difference in the response to MeSa between the lines that were selected to avoid MeSa and the lines selected to prefer MeSa. Instead, in the course of selection the lines selected to avoid MeSa shifted their mean response towards a preference for MeSa. An inverse, albeit weaker, shift was detected for the lines selected to prefer MeSa. We discuss the factors that may have caused the apparent lack of a response to selection within iso-female line in this study and propose experimental approaches that address them.
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induced plant volatiles may serve the natural enemies, such as predatory mites, as a signal of prey presence (Dicke and Sabelis 1988; Dicke and van Loon, 2000; Kessler and Baldwin, 2001; Janssen et al. 2001). However, a single herbivore species may induce qualitatively and quantitatively different volatile blends on different plant species (van den Boom et al. 2004). Moreover, in natural settings the blends of volatiles produced by plants infested with various herbivore species will mix (Shröder and Hilker 2008). These results beg the question whether predators can extract a signal of prey presence from the variation in the blends of herbivore-induced plant volatiles. Adaptive learning may serve to update predator preferences for specific volatiles (or their mixtures) if these are experienced in the presence of suitable prey (Drukker et al. 2000; de Boer and Dicke 2004a; de Boer et al. 2005; Takabayashi et al. 2006). Moreover, a genetically determined response to specific volatiles may evolve by natural selection if these volatiles are consistently associated with the presence of suitable prey and genetic variation in predator response to these volatiles exists (Allison and Hare, 2009).

We studied the responses of inbred iso-female lines established from a population of the predatory mite Phytoseiulus persimilis Athias-Henriot (Acari: Phytoseiidae) that was collected in the field. In particular, we asked whether it is possible to obtain lines of \textit{P. persimilis} expressing contrasting responses to a specific plant volatile, methyl salicylate (MeSa). MeSa is consistently part of the blend of volatiles induced by feeding of the herbivorous mite \textit{Tetranychus urticae} Koch (Acari: Tetranychidae) – the prey of \textit{P. persimilis} – on a variety of plant species (van den Boom et al. 2004). \textit{Phytoseiulus persimilis} is attracted to a range of concentrations of MeSa presented in pure form (Dicke et al. 1990; de Boer and Dicke 2004b; de Boer et al. 2004; van Wijk et al. 2008). Using gene-silencing techniques, Ament et al. (2010) dramatically reduced the emission of MeSa in tomato plants infested with \textit{T. urticae} without changing the induced emission of the remaining volatiles and found that \textit{P. persimilis} no longer differentiated between the infested and uninfested plants. Together, the evidence supports the hypothesis that the response of \textit{P. persimilis} to MeSa may aid the predator in its search for prey and thus influence its fitness, although evidence for life-time fitness benefits under natural settings is yet to be shown. Furthermore, natural populations of \textit{P. persimilis} harbour genetic variation in their response to MeSa when offered as a pure compound, against a background of volatiles from uninfested plants (Sznajder et al. 2010), as well as genetic variation in the response to the blends of plant volatiles induced by two-spotted spider mites on Lima bean plants (Margolies et al. 1997; Jia et al. 2002). Thus, there is potential for the evolution of predator responses to MeSa through natural selection.

We selected for genotypes with contrasting responses of \textit{P. persimilis} to MeSa when offered as a pure compound. The selection was performed on indi-
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individuals within iso-female lines and two groups of iso-female lines were established where in one group the lines were propagated via females that showed a preference for MeSa, whereas in the second group the lines were propagated via females that avoided MeSa. This procedure aimed at setting apart and fixing genotypes distinct with respect to predator response to MeSa in genetically homozygous lines. Lines were thus purified in six rounds of selection, and the response to MeSa was measured after three and six rounds.

Materials and methods

Predators

The base population and the iso-female lines of *P. persimilis* were maintained in a climate room (25 °C, 70% humidity, and light:dark = 16:8) on a diet of *T. urticae* offered on detached leaves of Lima bean plants. Fresh leaves of Lima bean plants infested by two-spotted spider mites were provided on a daily basis to each individual population. The base population originated from a sample of predators collected in 2002 at different locations throughout Sicily (Partinico, Scopella, Trappeto, Terrasini, Siculiana, Laghetto, Menfi, Trabia, Alcamo). Thus, it was presumably genetically variable, although local populations of *P. persimilis* are generally considered to be inbred under natural settings (Helle and Overmeer 1973).

Selection within iso-female line

Previous work (Sznajder et al. 2010) showed that variation among iso-female lines in their mean response to MeSa is greater when the predators are starved. Therefore in this study the selection was conducted on starved predators. These were obtained by food deprivation applied for 24 h prior to a selection test. During the period of food deprivation females were kept in an Eppendorf vial at 18 °C with water provided in a strip of wet filter paper. The vial had a gauze-covered opening to allow for airflow but was otherwise sealed.

Selection criteria were applied to individual females within iso-female lines. The iso-female lines were established by mated females selected from the base population in the first round of selection (a single binary choice-test for MeSa vs. clean air; the details of this choice-test follow in the next section) as illustrated in Figure 3.1. Typically in haplodiploid arthropods such lines are obtained through mating between a virgin female and her sons. However, *P. persimilis* is pseudo-arrrhenotokous, i.e., sons and daughters arise from fertilized eggs and male zygotes become haploid due to paternal genome inactivation and elimination during embryogenesis (Helle et al. 1978; Nelson-Rees et al. 1980). Hence, mating is a prerequisite for producing eggs destined to become females as well as males. Therefore, in order to establish iso-female lines we selected...
females that had already mated, instead of virgin females, and maintained these lines by brother-sister mating. By doing so we did not control for the genetic contribution of males to the next generation. However, assuming additive genetic variation for the selected trait and random mating, the confounding effect of the genetic contribution via unknown males was expected to be eradicated in the course of the selection rounds.

In the first selection round (Figure 3.1) we established two groups of iso-female lines originating either from (1) the females that showed a preference for MeSa (15 lines, referred to as Plus lines) or (2) the females that showed an avoidance of MeSa (9 lines, referred to as Minus lines). The females selected in the first round are further referred to as founders of the iso-female lines (or founders for shorthand). Each iso-female line was further propagated via females whose responses were consistent with the response of the founder of their line. Propagation of the lines was performed on the basis of single choice-tests (see next section) and the females that made the same choice as the
founder of their line were placed each in a separate Petri dish to establish descendant iso-female lines. We imposed such bottlenecks within each line at intervals of about 2.5 weeks.

In total we imposed six rounds of selection. However, using the above procedure the number of lines would grow exponentially with every selection round (even though some lines failed to establish). Therefore, after three selection rounds we temporarily halted the experiment in order to evaluate the responses of already established lines. The mean responses of these lines were evaluated relative to the mean response of the base population measured at the start of the selection experiment. Selection was continued in Plus lines where the mean proportion of individuals choosing MeSa was not lower than in the base population (i.e., 0.64), and in Minus lines where the mean proportion was not higher than in the base population. Based on this criterion we resumed selection in four Plus lines and in three Minus lines. Thus, after another three rounds of selection we established 19 Plus lines and 14 Minus lines.

Genetic exchange among the iso-female lines was prevented by maintaining each line in a sealed large Petri dish with a small opening covered with gauze (mesh width = 0.07 mm; average adult predator size = 0.5 mm, average predator egg size = 0.2 mm; thus, the mesh width is small enough to prevent immigration and emigration) to allow for airflow. The Petri dish used for rearing was accessed by removing the lid on an alcohol-cleaned surface (to prevent immigration of predators into the opened Petri dish).

Olfactory choice-test

We used a choice-test between MeSa in pure form and clean air to (1) select individual females with respect to their response to MeSa within iso-female lines, and to (2) evaluate the mean responses of these lines after three and six rounds of selection. We used a single choice-test to determine the behaviour of the predator in order to exclude the possibility that the experience with the presentation of MeSa in the experimental set-up influenced its response to MeSa. Individuals that chose to walk towards the clean air source were interpreted as avoiding MeSa, while individuals that chose to walk towards the MeSa source were interpreted as having a preference for MeSa. The responses of the iso-female lines were calculated as the mean proportion of females choosing MeSa (the number of adult females per replicate experiment varied between 17 and 25).

The choice-test was conducted in a so-called I-tube olfactometer: a single straight glass tube (length 20 cm, ø 0.5 cm) with a 2-mm opening in the middle to introduce the predatory mites; Sznajder et al. 2010). This olfactometer was provided with a trap at the end of either arm of the I-tube to collect predators. Opposite the entrance hole, another opening (ø 0.5 cm) was present which was
gauze-covered and served as an air-outlet. At the ends of the I-tube there were plastic trap vials (Ø 30 mm, height 55 mm) which in turn were connected to jars that either contained a capillary with MeSa or not. The traps were designed as an easy-to-enter-yet-difficult-to-exit vial, and were provided with a water source (wet cotton wool). After purification by activated carbon filters, air was flowing at 20 l/h through the jars, the vials and the arms of the glass tube, leaving the system through the opening in the middle of the I-tube. At release in the middle of the I-tube, a predatory mite finds itself in air streams coming from right and left, i.e., one with MeSa and the other without. Subsequently, it can move left or right in the I-tube and ultimately it enters one of the trap vials or it stays in the I-tube. After release of the test animals, the entrance hole was sealed with Parafilm®. Pilot experiments showed that the I-tube olfactometer produces results consistent with our knowledge of the responses of *P. persimilis* to herbivore-induced plant volatiles (M van Wijk, unpubl.).

Within a single replicate experiment a group of females was released sequentially into the I-tube, i.e., the individuals were released in the olfactometer one after the other. Visual cues play no role in predator orientation as the predator is blind and orients itself by means of chemical cues. Previous studies using a Y-tube set-up showed that there is no effect of possible residues deposited along the path taken by an individual on the choices of subsequent individuals (Sabelis and van de Baan 1983; van Wijk et al. 2010). After 25 min, the number of mites in each of the two trap vials was counted. The number that remained in the I-tube, was scored as ‘no choice’. For each replicate of a line, a new clean I-tube was used and the side of the arm containing air with MeSa was interchanged to exclude any effects of unforeseen asymmetries in the experimental set-up.

Synthetic MeSa (Sigma-Aldrich Fluka, pure; assay ≥99%) was offered undiluted in a small capillary (9 μl, Ø 0.60 mm, Omnilabo) placed in one of the jars connected to the I-tube. The air flow through the set-up was started at least 2 h before the start of the test. The MeSa evaporation rate was ± 30 μg/h. This evaporation rate was chosen based on the responses elicited in the general population. In particular, in order to increase our chances of detecting selection for both and increased and decreased response to MeSa we used the concentration that elicits neutral responses (50:50) in the base population.

**Statistical analysis**

We hypothesized that the propagation of iso-female lines through individuals that exhibited either preference for MeSa or aversion to MeSa would lead to a shift in the mean responses of those lines towards, respectively, preference for MeSa or aversion to MeSa. Therefore, we asked whether there was a significant
difference in the mean response to MeSa between the Plus lines and the Minus lines after three and (or) six rounds of selection. Moreover, we wished to make inferences about the sources of random variation due to iso-female line. To this end, we constructed a mixed-effects model (Pinheiro and Bates 2000; Venables and Ripley 2002) that included the fixed effects of (1) the selection treatment (two levels: selection for a preference for MeSa, selection for an aversion to MeSa), (2) the time point at which the average responses of the iso-female lines were determined (two levels: after the 3rd round of selection, after the 6th round of selection), and (3) the proportion of no-choice individuals as a covariate. The model assesses the effect of these factors on the proportion of individuals choosing MeSa calculated for each replicate. The random effect consisted of the factor iso-female line nested in the factor founder (where founder was the female selected in the first round of selection, see Selection). Such a grouping structure reflected relatedness among the iso-female lines as determined by the first common founder of these lines.

The diagnostics plots for the untransformed response variable showed that the assumptions of the mixed-effects model were satisfied (random variables were normally distributed with mean zero and independent for different groups, and within-group errors were independent and normally distributed around a mean of zero). For this reason the analysis was performed on untransformed data, using SPlus 6.2 software (Pinheiro and Bates 2002). Significance of the fixed effects, their interaction and significance of the random effect was tested using likelihood ratio tests (Pinheiro and Bates 2000; Venables and Ripley 2002).

Results

The behaviour of the base population did not change during the experiment. The mean proportion of individuals choosing MeSa was 0.64 at the start (SE = 0.15, n = 57) as well as at the end of the experiment (SE = 0.13, n = 101). In contrast, after three rounds of selection the mean responses of the iso-female lines were variable and include preference and aversion in both the Plus and the Minus lines (Figure 3.2a). This variation among the iso-female lines was slightly lower after six rounds of selection (Figure 3.2b). However, the variation in the mean responses of the Minus lines was shifted in the direction opposite to the selected criterion, as compared to the mean responses shown by their ancestral lines after three rounds of selection.

We did not find a significant difference in the mean responses to MeSa between the Plus and Minus lines (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{selection}} = 0.09$, Table 3.1). This was the case after three rounds of selection as well as after six rounds of selection (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{time-point}} = 0.21$, Table 3.1). However, we found an interaction between the selection and the time-point at which the
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Figure 3.2 – The responses of the iso-female lines propagated via individuals that chose MeSa (labelled with letters) and the lines propagated via individuals that avoided MeSa (labelled with numbers) obtained after (a) three and (b) six rounds of selection. The empty circle represents the proportion of individuals choosing MeSa per replicate \((n = 15-25\) individuals) calculated excluding the no-choice individuals. The filled circles indicate the mean proportions of individuals choosing MeSa calculated per iso-female line. The dotted vertical line indicates the response of the base population at the start of the selection. Note that not all the lines in (a) were selected past the third selection round (see Selection within iso-female line and Figure 3.3). Note also that the relatedness among the lines is indicated by the labels of the lines (see also Figure 3.1). Hence, e.g., the lines FAA, FAC, and FBA share their great grandmother F (i.e., the founder), and the lines FAA and FAC share also their grandmother A.
responses of the lines were measured (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{selection: time-point}} = 0.02$, Table 3.1). Given the non-significant effect of the selection and the time-point factors, this interaction indicates that there was a significant difference between the Plus and Minus lines at the end of the selection (but not half-way through the selection) and this difference was due to a change in behaviour of the Minus lines but not the Plus lines. Figure 3.2 reveals that the change in the response of the Minus lines was opposite to the direction selected. After six rounds of selection the Minus lines shifted their average responses towards a preference for MeSa, when compared to the responses of their ancestor lines measured after three episodes of selection.

The analysis was performed on data including the responses of the iso-female lines that were not subject to selection past the third round (see Selection and Statistical analysis). In order to further explore the responses of the lines as a function of the behaviour of their ancestor lines we plotted the mean responses of the lines obtained after six rounds of selection as a function of the behaviour of their ancestor lines that were measured after three rounds of selection. This relationship is presented in Figure 3.3 showing a shift in mean responses of the Minus lines but also an equivalent shift in the responses of the Plus lines (albeit weaker). This result for the Plus lines was not detected in the above analysis using the mixed-effects model presumably due to the greater variation among the Plus lines.

We found a significant effect of founder (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{founder}} = 0.02$, Table 3.1), i.e., the grouping with respect to founder (between-founder variation) explained a significant amount of variation in the behaviour of the lines. This confirms that there was genetic variation in predator responses to MeSa in the base population and the founder effect indicates a genetic back-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Likelihood ratio (df1, df2)</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Random</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Founder</td>
<td>(9, 8)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iso-female line</td>
<td>(9, 8)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection treatment</td>
<td>(8, 7)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time point</td>
<td>(8, 7)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Covariate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion no choice</td>
<td>(8, 7)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection treatment: Time point</td>
<td>(9, 8)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ground that was ‘frozen’ within the iso-female lines at the start of the selection experiment. Adding the iso-female line effect (nested in the founder effect) did not explain more variation in predator responses to MeSa (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{line}} = 0.10$, Table 3.1). The variation explained by the iso-female line effect was smaller (by orders of magnitude) than the variation explained by the founder effect (Table 3.1). The iso-female line effect represents the deviation in the behaviour of individual lines from the mean response of the group of lines that shared a founder (within-founder variation). Thus, the non-significant effect of the iso-female line indicates that there was little within-founder variation among the lines while controlling for the effect of the main factors selection treatment and time point. Moreover, we observed a relatively large amount of residual variance that represents the deviation of the individual replicates from the average response of the iso-female lines (the within-line variability, Table 3.1). Thus,

**Figure 3.3** – Mean responses of the descendant lines after six rounds of selection (y-axis) as a function of the responses of their ancestral lines after three rounds of selection (x-axis). Only the names of the ancestral lines are shown; letter labels refer to the lines selected for the preference of MeSa (Plus lines) while number labels refer to the lines selected for the aversion of MeSa (Minus lines). The circles represent the mean proportions of individuals choosing MeSa calculated per line (for the responses of individual replicates see Figure 3.2). Successful selection for extreme responses to MeSa would result in a positive correlation between these proportions such that the descendant Plus lines would lie either on or above the dotted diagonal line, while the descendant Minus lines would lie on or below the diagonal line.
there was a substantial environmentally determined component in predator responses to MeSa as measured in the olfactory test used in this study.

The average proportion of individuals not making a choice amounted to 0.16. The covariate representing the proportion of individuals that made no choice in the olfactory test was not significant (likelihood ratio test: $P_{\text{proportion no-choice}} = 0.25$, Table 3.1), i.e., there was no relationship between the mean response to MeSa in a single replicate test and the number of individuals that made no choice in this replicate.

**Discussion**

We showed that the amount of variation in predator responses to MeSa explained by the founders of the iso-female lines was significantly different from zero, thus confirming that the responses of *P. persimilis* to MeSa have a genetic component (Sznajder et al. 2010). However, we have not found expected differences in the behaviour between the iso-female lines maintained via individuals that chose MeSa (Plus lines) and the lines maintained via the individual that avoided MeSa (Minus lines). Instead, we observed a shift in the mean response to MeSa in the direction opposite to the selection criterion, i.e., the responses of the Minus lines at the end of selection were significantly shifted towards preference for MeSa as compared to the responses of their ancestor lines half way through the selection (Figure 3.3). The corresponding pattern, albeit weaker, was observed for the Plus lines.

Our experiment aimed at purifying the responses of individual lines and to this end we repeatedly re-established the lines via single mated females that expressed the behaviour in question (i.e., either preference of MeSa or avoidance of MeSa). Therefore, this procedure led to obtaining genetically homozygous lines. Such lines can be further used to study the genetic determination of predator response to MeSa by an analysis of reciprocal crosses between iso-female lines, for example to test whether more extreme or intermediate responses to MeSa can be observed in heterozygous genotypes. Inbreeding in the lines of *P. persimilis* was less likely to be associated with inbreeding depression because in haplodiploids deleterious alleles are immediately expressed in haploid males and therefore can be purged by natural selection (Crozier 1985; Werren 1993; Henter 2003; Charlesworth and Willies 2009; unless these alleles have female-limited effect; Saito 2001; Tien et al., submitted).

Re-establishing the lines via single mated females creates the conditions favourable for random genetic drift. If in this study random genetic drift was stronger than selection within iso-female line, then the genetic differences between and within the Plus and Minus lines would become fixed by chance. In particular, random genetic drift is expected to overcome selection if $N_s s < 1$, where $N_s$ is the effective population size and $s$ is the selection coefficient.
i.e., the product of the effective population size and selection coefficient is less than unity (Hartl and Clark 1989). To illustrate this relationship, suppose that predator response to MeSa is determined by a single gene that has two alleles: an allele for preference of MeSa and an allele for the avoidance of MeSa. Hence, in this study the selection would be effective if selection coefficient was $s > 0.67$ (given that the population is started by a single mated female and $N_e$ in a haplodiploid system is given by $(9*N_f*N_m)/(2N_f+4N_m)$; Hedrick and Parker 1997). In this experiment, in the lines selected for preference for MeSa, the genotypes that showed avoidance of MeSa were eliminated. Hence their fitness was assumed to be $W=0$, and their selection coefficient $s=1$ (in the lines selected for avoidance of MeSa the selection coefficient of the genotype showing preference for MeSa was 1). In reality the selection was performed on phenotypes in a single selection test and it cannot be expected that these phenotypes always reflected their genotypes. Therefore the correct genotypes may not have been always selected for, and in such situations the selection coefficient of the out-selected genotypes would have been less than one. However, in this theoretical system, for the selection coefficient to be lower than 0.67 the rate of selecting a phenotype inconsistent with its genotype (e.g., a phenotype that shows preference of MeSa while its genotype would avoid MeSa) must be equal to or more than 0.25 ($s=1-W=1-P_a/P_A$, where $P_X$ is the probability of genotype X contributing to the next generation). This means that in about 75% of selected cases the phenotype reflects its genotype but in 25% of these cases it does not.

The above analysis serves as an illustration only because the details of the genetic architecture of the response to MeSa in $P. persimilis$ are not known; in fact our study is among the first (Sznajder et al. 2010) to provide evidence that there is a genetic basis for this behaviour. Therefore the number of loci influencing this trait (and hence the appropriateness of the above model) or the magnitude of the error in selecting wrong genotypes based on their phenotypes are yet unknown. Thus, we cannot fully exclude the possibility that the random genetic drift may have played a role in some of the selection episodes.

It is informative to discuss other factors that may have contributed to the differences in the average responses to MeSa among the Plus and Minus lines in this experiment. In particular, the genetic component for a trait inferred from the differences among iso-female lines (as in this study and in Sznajder et al. 2010) comprises additive as well as non-additive genetic effects. This role of non-additive vs. additive effects in the genetic determination of predator response to MeSa may be explored by analysis of reciprocal crosses between iso-female lines that are different with respect to this trait (e.g., Carton et al. 1992).

For the correct interpretation of a change in the behaviour of iso-female lines due to an experimental treatment the influence of general environmental
effects that pertain to common rearing conditions must be minimised (David et al. 2005). However, in order to explain the results of this study, such general environmental effects would have to influence the Plus lines and Minus lines in a consistently different way. Moreover their effect would have to change between the mid-point (after three rounds of selection) and the end-point (after six rounds of selection) of the experiment. Finally, environmental effects would most likely be also reflected in a shift of the mean response of the base population (as this population was maintained in the same climate room as the selection lines but physically separated). The mean responses to MeSa of the base population, however, did not change as measured between the start and the end of the selection experiment (although fluctuations in the responses of the base population in-between the measurements cannot be ruled out). Based on these results we conclude that general environmental effects may have played only a minor role.

In this study we propagated the lines based on the behaviour of mated females, i.e., for practical considerations the females were allowed to mate in the culture and, thus, prior to the selection test. Therefore, the responses to MeSa of the males were not subject to selection and the genetic contribution of the males to the next generation was not controlled. Assuming additive genetic variance for the selected trait and random mating this effect of uncontrolled genetic contribution of the males should be eradicated in the course of selection rounds. Suppose, however, that mating is non-random such that, for example, females that show preference for MeSa mate more often with males that avoid MeSa. The resulting disassortative mating may lead to responses of the lines fluctuating within the spectrum between preference and aversion as observed in different generations of our selection experiment. Any form of non-random mating would hinder selection and it may possibly be realized with respect to a trait correlated with olfactory responses, rather than with respect to the response to MeSa itself. There is evidence of non-random mating in *P. persimilis*. Virgin females were shown to mate more readily with unrelated mates in no-choice mating experiments (although no such preference for non-kin mates was found in choice experiments; Enigl and Schausberger 2004). Moreover, *P. persimilis* may be able to recognize individuals based on familiarity, as shown for juvenile predators (Schausberger 2005, 2007). A relationship between mating preference and olfactory responses of the partners could provide an explanation for the observed shift in the behaviour of the iso-female lines in our experiment. If such a relationship holds, then selection experiments on the olfactory responses of *P. persimilis* require that the mating is ensured to take place among individuals exhibiting the same olfactory response. Uncovering any such relationship requires that we study the olfactory responses of males as well as females and their mating preferences with respect to this behaviour.
MeSa presented in a pure form without a background of volatiles was a novel experience to the predators in the sense that the predators had not perceived it prior to the experimental test. However, the predators were reared on detached leaves of plants infested with *T. urticae*. Therefore, they had experience with the full blend of plant volatiles released by *T. urticae*—infested plants and this full blend does include MeSa. This experience may influence predator responses in selection, however evidence to date shows that predator responses to pure compounds does not explain subsequent responses to mixtures of these compounds and, conversely, predator attraction to the full volatile blend induced by *T. urticae* is not due to attraction to all individual compounds constituting this blend (van Wijk 2007; van Wijk et al. 2008). There is evidence that experience acquired during rearing on infested plants may influence subsequent predator responses to full volatile blends of these plants when uninfested (Takabayashi and Dicke 1992; but see also van den Boom et al. [2002] for no effect of rearing history on predator responses to full blends). In general, exposing *P. persimilis* to full blends of volatiles (Drukker et al. 2000; de Boer and Dicke 2004a; de Boer et al. 2005; van Wijk 2007) or individual volatile compounds (Sznajder et al. 2010; chapter 4 in this thesis) that are coupled with the presence of *T. urticae* resulted in increased predator preference for these compounds. In line with these results, suppose that prior experience of predators with the full blend of volatiles did increase predator responsiveness to MeSa in the experimental test. In this scenario, predators that showed a preference for MeSa may have acquired this behaviour due to learning. Therefore, purifying selection in this experiment would not change the mean behaviour of the lines established from selecting such individuals (because the learned responses masked the genetically determined behaviour). Alternatively, the selection could further increase the preference of MeSa in those lines because the set-up would effectively select for improved learning of MeSa, provided that there is genetic variation in learning ability in this population. Furthermore, according to this hypothesis, selected predators that avoided MeSa should be interpreted as poor learners presumably revealing their genetically determined response to MeSa. Hence, propagating lines via these individuals would lead to stronger aversion to MeSa exhibited in the descendant lines. As can be seen in Figure 3.3, these predictions are not consistent with the results of this study. Although we cannot exclude the possibility that the experience prior to the selection tests did not influence the predator responses, current knowledge on how experience shapes predator responses to herbivore-induced plant volatiles does not provide a straightforward explanation for the results obtained in this study.

Finally, the role of maternal effects shaping predator responses to MeSa (i.e., non-Mendelian transmission of a trait from mother to offspring) was not
addressed in this study. Maternal effects may influence the magnitude as well as the direction of population responses to selection (Kirkpatrick and Lande 1989; Lande and Kirkpatrick 1990). They encompass a diversity of effects deriving from parental influence during rearing (various forms of parental care or the choice of oviposition sites) or cytoplasmic effects pertaining to inheritance of cytoplasmic factors passed on to the egg during oogenesis. To the best of our knowledge there is no parental care in \textit{P. persimilis}, and an effect of oviposition in an environment characterized by different volatile profiles can also be excluded (as all lines were reared on Lima bean leaves infested with \textit{T. urticae}). However, we cannot rule out a cytoplasmic maternal effect.

In conclusion, our results confirm that the responses of \textit{P. persimilis} to MeSa have a genetic component. Future studies should address the response to MeSa (or other herbivore-induced plant volatiles) of males as well as females and thus help explain whether any correlation between predator mating preferences and the response to MeSa may contribute to the outcome of selection.
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